Table 10.-FARM OPERATORS BY TENURE, AND BY COLOR. APRIL 1, 1940, AND OPERATORS REPORTING OCCUPANCY BEGINNING IN EITHER 1939 OR 1940; CLASSIFIED BY TOTAL VALUE OF FARM PRODUCTS, ${ }^{1}$ 1939; FOR THE APPALACHIAN REGION ${ }^{2}$
[Bold face figures are the 1940 Census recorded totals for all farms all other entrles are based upon a 2 -percent sample of the reports for the individual fares, expanded

| Value group | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { FARM } \\ \text { OPERATORS } \end{gathered}$ | FARM OPERATORS BY TENURE ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  | FARM OPERATORS BY COLOR |  |  |  | Farm operators reporting occupancy beginning in 1939 OR 1940 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number |  | Percent distribution of farms in each value group by tenure of operator |  |  | Number |  | Percent distribution of farms in each value group by color of operators |  |  |  |
|  |  | Owners and managers | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { All } \\ \text { tenants } \end{array}$ | All <br> farm operators | Orners and marnagers | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { tenants } \end{gathered}$ | Shite ${ }^{4}$ | Nonwhtte ${ }^{4}$ | White ${ }^{4}$ | Nonwhite ${ }^{4}$ | Number | Percent of all operators |
| All groups......... | $1,052,954$ | 676,233 | 376,721 | 100.0 | 64.2 | 35.8 | 923,403 | 129,551 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 168,025 | 18.0 |
| Unclassifled farms........... | 5,210 | * 2,065 | 3,145 | 100.0 | * 39.6 | 60.4 | 4,539 | * 671 | 87.1 | * 12.9 | 3,738 | 71.7 |
| Farms pith no products sold, traded, or used....... | 13,201 | 7,591 | 5,670 | 100.0 | 57.0 | 43.0 | 11,898 | 41,303 | 90.1 | * 9.9 | 7,248 | 54.9 |
| Classifted farms, total...... | 1,034,543 | 666,637 | 367,906 | 100.0 | 64.4 | 35.6 | 906,966 | 127,577 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 157,039 | 15.2 |
| \$1 to \$99................ | 64,242 | 43,153 | 21,089 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 32.8 | 53,706 | 10,536 | 83.6 | 16.4 | 14,848 | 23.1 |
| \$100 to \$249............. | 192,535 | 127,481 | 65,054 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 33.8 | 169,959 | 22,576 | 88.3 | 11.7 | 35,096 | 18.2 |
| \$250 to \$399............ | 186,328 | 124,610 | 61,718 | 100.0 | 66.9 | 33.1 | 164,629 | 21,699 | 88.4 | 11.6 | 26,810 | 14.4 |
| \$400 to \$599.... . . . . . . . | 180,493 | 115,650 | 64,843 | 100.0 | 64.1 | 35.9 | 156,460 | 24,033 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 27,319 | 15.1 |
| \$600 to \$749............ | 92,124 | 58,323 | 33,801 | 100.0 | 63.3 | 36.7 | 80,279 | 11,865 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 13,242 | 14.4 |
| \$750 to \$999............. | 101,980 | 61,002 | 40,978 | 100.0 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 87,413 | 14,567 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 14,391 | 14.1 |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499........ | 105,198 | 62,310 | 42,888 | 100.0 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 91,741 | 13,457 | 87.2 | 12.8 | 14,106 | 13.4 |
| \$1,500 to \$1,999........ | 46,757 | 29,456 | 17,301 | 100.0 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 41,563 | 5,194 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 5,071 | 10.8 |
| \$2,000 to \$2,499........ | 23,755 | 15,804 | 7,951 | 100.0 | 66.5 | 33.5 | 21,533 | * 2,222 | 90.6 | * 9.4 | *2,495 | *10.5 |
| \$2,500 to \$3,999........ | 25,215 | 16,520 | 8,695 | 100.0 | 65.5 | 34.5 | 23,984 | * 1,231 | 95.1 | - 4.9 | *2,903 | *11.5 |
| \$4,000 to \$5,999, ...... | 8,667 | 6,549 | *2,118 | 100.0 | 75.6 | + 24.4 | 8,540 | \# 127 | 98.5 | *1.5 | * 478 | * 5.5 |
| \$6,000 to \$9,999. . . . . . . | 4,598 | 3,422 | * 1,176 | 100.0 | 74.4 | *25.6 | 4,520 | \% 78 | 98.3 | * 1.7 | * 152 | * 3.3 |
| \$10,000 and over........ | 2,65t | 2,357 | 294 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 2,639 | 12 | 99.5 | 0.5 | * 98 | *3.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Value of farm products sold, traded, or used by farm households.
${ }^{2}$ Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, Kentucky, and Tennessee. ${ }^{3}$ Figures for full owners,
4 "white" includes Hexicens; "nonwhite" includes Negroes, Indians, Chinese, Japanese, and all other part omers, managers, croppers, and all othar tenants not availeble. 4 "white" includes Mexicans; "monwhite" includes Nogroes, Indians, Chinese, Japanese, and all other nonwite races. *Figures marked with aster iske have a coefficient of variation of approximately 10 percent or more, and may, therefore, be subject to sampling errors
greatly in excess of that amount. Sea text.

Table 11.-NUMBER OF FARMS, 1940, CROSS-CLASSIFIED BY MAJOR SOURCE OF INCOME AND TOTAL VALUE OF FARM PRODUCTS, ${ }^{1}$ 1939; FOR THE APPALACHIAN REGION ${ }^{2}$
[8old face figures are the 1940 Census recorded totais for all farms; all other entries are based upon a 2 -percent sample of the reports for the indivicual farms, expanded and adjusted to agree with the recorded totals. For State flgures showing the cross-classification of number of farms value of farm products by major source groups and value subgroups of " $\$ 10,000$ and over, " see chapter $V$, table 4]

| value group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALI } \\ & \text { CLASSI- } \\ & \text { FARMS } \end{aligned}$ | FARMS WITH MAJOR SOURCE OF INCOME FROM L.IVESTOCK AND IIVESTOCK PRODUCTS |  |  |  |  | FARMS WITH MAJOR SOURCE OF INCOME FROM CROPS AND FOREST PRODUCTS |  |  |  |  |  | Farms with farm products used by farm households as major source of income (subsistence farms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Livestock farms | Dairy farms | Foultry farms | Other livestock products farms | Total | Field crop farms | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vegetable } \\ \text { farms } \end{gathered}$ | Fruit and nut farms | Horticultural special ty farms | Forest products farms |  |
|  | Nunber | Number | Number | tumber | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Humber |
| Classifled farms, total.. | 1,034,543 | 94,047 | 57,195 | 20,803 | 15,396 | 653 | 395,709 | 378,720 | 6,717 | 5,722 | 1,062 | 4,488 | 544,787 |
| \$1 to \$99........... | 64,242 | - 1 1,828 | ${ }^{7} 761$ | +252 | +764 | ${ }_{*}$ | 5,311 | *4,707 | \% 50 | $\pm 299$ | ..... | ${ }^{+} 325$ | 57,103 |
| \$100 to \$249........ | 192,535 | +4,803 | 42,543 | \$604 | *1,597 | *59 | 28,342 | 24,878 | \$509 | *381 | $\ldots$ | \$574 | 161,390 |
| \$250 to \$399........ | 186,328 | 6,242 | *4,127 | *811 | \#1,304 | *..... | 41,336 | 39,439 | *1,028 | * 427 | * 57 | +385 | 138,750 |
| \$400 to \$599......... | 180,483 | 11,375 | 6,784 | *2,276 | +2,244 | * 71 | 62,757 | 61,319 | *645 | *341 | *10 | *442 | 106,361 |
| \$600 to \$749........ | 92,124 | 8,279 | * 4,809 | \#1,784 | \$1,630 | *56 | 46,337 | 45,113 | *465 | *434 | *129 | *196 | 37,508 |
| \$750 to \$999, ....... | 101,980 | 13,662 | 9,357 | *2,694 | *1,512 | *99 | 59,792 | 57,787 | *627 | *720 | *82 | *576 | 28,526 |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499.... | 105,198 | 17,113 | 10,932 | * ${ }^{\text {2,536 }}$ | *2,552 | *93 | 75,266 | 72,384 | *973 | *1,164 | 40 | *705 | 12,819 |
| \$1,500 to \$1,999.... | 46.757 | 10,002 | 6,429 | +2,404 | \$1,110 | *59 | 34,871 | 33,433 | * 526 | *498 | *167 | *247 | *1,884 |
| \$2,060 to \$2,499... | 23,755 | 5,950 | * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$, 880 | ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ 1,052 | +958 | ${ }^{6} 6$ | 17,415 | 16,308 | * 364 | \$122 | \$120 | *201 | *390 |
| \$2,500 to \$3,999... | 25,215 | 7,006 | *3,920 | +2,171 | *866 | *49 | 18,209 | 16,620 | *265 | \#512 | *173 | *699 | *..... |
| \$4,000 to \$5,999... | 8,667 | 3,525 | \$2,522 | * 1,550 | \$443 | *10 | 5,142 | 4,440 | *190 | ${ }^{4} 267$ | *82 | *163 | *. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$. |
| \$6,000 to \$9,899.... | 4,598 | 2,629 | \$1,362 | ${ }_{\square}^{+968}$ | *239 | *60 | *1,915 | \#1,739 | *..... | ${ }^{*} 129$ | *47 | *..... | 454 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 2,651 | 1,633 | 769 | 701 | 157 | 6 | 1,016 | 553 | 75 | 198 | 165 | 35 | 2 |
| Value group | PERCENT distribution of farms in each value group by major source of income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { classified } \\ \text { farms }}}{ }$ | Farms with mejor source of income from livestock and livestock products |  |  |  |  | Farms with major source of income from crops and forest products |  |  |  |  |  | Farms with farm products used by farm households as major source of income (subsistence farms) |
|  |  | Total | I.Ivestock farms | Dairy farms | Poultry farms | Other Investock products faris | Total | Fiela crop farms | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vegetable } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | Fruit and nut farms | Horticultural specialty farms | Forest products farms |  |
|  | Percent | Fercent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Fercent | Fercent | Percent | Percent |
| Classified farms, total.. | 100.0 | 9.1 +2.8 | 5.5 $\$ 1.2$ | 2.0 $\$ 0.4$ | 1.5 $\$ 1.2$ | $44^{4} 0.1$ | 8.3 | ${ }^{*} 7.3$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ \times 0.1 \end{array}$ |  | \%.... 0.1 | *0.5 | 88.9 |
| \$1 to \$99........... | 100.0 100.0 | \$2.8 | $* 1.2$ $* 1.3$ | *0.4 | \$1.2 | $\square^{(4)}$ |  |  |  | *0.2 |  |  |  |
| \$100 to \$249.. | 100.0 | +2.5 3.4 | \$1.3 | *0.3 | *0.8 | * (4) | 13.7 | 12.9 | *0. 6 | *0.2 | $\underset{*}{\$}$ | *0.3 | 83.8 |
| \$400 to \$589........ | 100.0 | 6.3 | 3.8 | \#1.3 | ${ }^{*} 1.2$ | * (4) | 34.8 | 34.0 | *0.4 | *0.2 | *(4) | * 0.2 | 58.9 |
| \$600 to \$749. . . . . . . | 100.0 | 8.0 | $\pm 5.2$ | \#1.9 | \#1.8 | 00.1 | 50.3 | 49.0 | \$0.5 | \%0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & +0.1 \\ & +0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\pm 0.2$ | 40.728.0 |
| \$750 to \$999........ | 100.0 | 13.4 | 9.2 | \% 2.6 | \#1.5 | \%0.1 | 58.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.7 \\ & 68.8 \end{aligned}$ | *0.6 | *0.7 |  | *0.6 |  |
| \$1,000 to $\$ 1,498 . .$. | 100.0 | 16.3 | 10.4 | \$3.4 | \%2.4 | \%0.1 | 71.5 |  |  | *1.1 | * (4) | +0.7 | 12.2 |
| \$1,500 to \$1,999.... | 100.0 | 21.4 | 13.7 | \% 5.1 | 22.4 | *0.1 | 74.6 | 71.568.7 | *1.1 | *1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | *0.5 | * ${ }^{4.0}$ |
| \$2,000 to \$2,499... | 100.0 | 25.0 | *16.3 | *4.4 | 4.0 | *0.3 | 73.3 |  | *1.5 | *1.8 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500 to \$3,999... | 100.0 | 27.8 | ${ }^{1} 15.5$ | * 8.6 | + ${ }^{\text {a }} 4$ | *0.2 | 72.2 | 65.9 | \#1.1 | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ - 0 | $00.7$ | *2.5 | *..... |
| \$4,000 to \$5,989.... | 100.0 | 40.7 | *17.6 | *17.9 | \$5.1 | \%0. 1 | 59.3 | $\begin{array}{r}51.2 \\ \$ 37.8 \\ \hline 20.9\end{array}$ | $* 2.8$$* . . .1$. | *3.1 |  | \$1.9 | *:... |
| \$6,000 to \$9,989... | 100.0 | 57.2 | *29.6 | \$21.1 | \$5.2 | *1.3 | \$41.6 |  |  | *2.8 | $\$ 1.0$5.8 | $\text { * } \ldots$ | 1.20.1 |
| \$10,000 and over.... | 100.0 | 61.6 | 29.0 | 26.4 | .5.9 | 0.2 | 38.3 | 20.9 | 2.8 | 7.5 |  |  |  |

[^0] was not possible to harmonize the adjusted figures for number of farms, cross-classified by value of products and by wajor source of ancome, with the totals for value of products by major source groups, as published in ch. X of vol. III, General Reports on Agriculture, 1940 . ${ }^{2}$ Virginia, Hest Virginia, North Carolina, Kentucky, and Tennessee. Includes farms with usable reports for value of fara products sold, traded, or used by farm households; but excludes 13 , 201 faras with no farm products sold, tradof approximately 10 percent or more, and may, therefore, be subject to sampling errors greatly in excess of that amount. See text.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Value of farm products sold, traded, or used by farm households. Since, for the sample farms, no tabulation of value of products was made by major source groups, it

