These data do not measure net income. The specified expenditures do not include any fixed costs, nor all operating costs.

Efficiency levels of farm operation.—Various data on size of farm, capital investment, amount of labor, gross sales and specified expenses, although inadequate for a complete analysis, provide information on the differences in efficiency of farm operation for peanut farms in various areas and also for different size of farms. Both gross sales and gross sales minus specified expenses per manequivalent were higher in the Virginia-North Carolina area than in either of the other two peanut areas (see Table 58). There was not a great deal of difference in investment per man-equivalent in the Virginia-North Carolina and Georgia-Alabama-Florida areas; the investment in the Oklahoma-Texas area was about 50 percent more than in either of these two areas.

The investment per crop acre was more than twice as much in the Virginia-North Carolina area as in either of the other two areas. On the other hand crop acres per man-equivalent was only one-third as great in the Virginia-North Carolina area as in the Oklahoma-Texas area. Average yield of peanuts per acre in the Virginia-North Carolina area was almost twice the yield in the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area and more than four times the yield in the Oklahoma-Texas area. As indicated before, yield of peanuts in the Oklahoma-Texas area was especially low in 1954. Low yields reduced average income per farm and also the relative efficiency of farms for this area.

In each of the peanut areas, as the gross farm income increased the investment per man-equivalent increased. This same relationship existed for crop acres per man-equivalent. This means that on the larger farms more capital was associated with a unit of labor. A unit of labor was also able to handle a larger unit of

TABLE 58.—Selected Measures of Efficiency on Other Field-Crop Farms in Specified Peanut Subregions, by Economic Class of Farm: 1954

Item	All farms	Economic class of farm					
		I	п	ш	1V	v	vī
Gross sales per man-equivalent. dollars Net sales per man-equivalentdollars Gross sales per \$1,000 investeddollars Investment per \$100 of gross sales dollars Total investment per man-equivalent dollars Investment per crop acredollars Crop acres per man-equivalent Pounds of peanuts per acre	Virginia-North Carolina (subregion 21)						
	3, 228 2, 359 464	8, 765 6, 140 553	5, 685 3, 755 493	3, 980 2, 993 504	2, 681 2, 032 452	1, 651 1, 149 350	836 542 216
	216 6, 971	. 181 15, 868	203 11, 553	198 7, 908	221 5, 951	285 4, 730	464 3, 868
	280 25 1, 521	224 71 1, 601	266 43 1, 853	292 27 1, 599	284 21 1, 383	$258 \\ 18 \\ 1, 203$	311 12 1, 097
Gross sales per man-equivalent dollars Net sales per man-equivalent dollars Gross sales per \$1,000 investeddollars Investment per \$100 of gross sales dollars Total investment per man-equivalent dollars Investment per erop acre Crop acres per man-equivalent Pounds of peanuts per acre	Georgia-Alabama-Florida (subregion 41)						
	2, 534 1, 463 393	3, 149 998 480	4, 588 2, 542 518	3, 640 2, 128 466	2, 488 1, 586 367	1, 512 913 303	718 324 182
	254 6, 440	206 6, 476	193 8, 862	214 7, 805	272 6, 781	330 5, 005	550 3, 929
	107 60 793	105 62 979	89 99 944	107 73 912	118 57 736	108 47 650	99 40 483
	Oklahoma-Texas (subregion 96)						
Grosssales per man-equivalentdollars Net sales per man-equivalentdollars Gross sales per \$1,000 investeddollars Investment per \$100 of gross sales dollars Total investment per man-equivalent dollars Investment per crop acredollars Crop acres per man-equivalent Pounds of peanuts per acre	2,000 1,286 187	10, 722 9, 046 1, 102	7, 599 5, 887 298	4, 416 3, 095 242	2, 558 1, 620 197	1, 418 823 144	646 320 116
	535 10, 711 138 77 354	91 9, 740 135 72 3, 013	336 25, 593 203 126 1, 100	412 18, 193 146 125 413	509 12, 972 129 100 316	695 9, 871 142 70 301	862 5,578 127 44 226

production. Both labor and capital were used more efficiently on the larger farms. The capital investment per \$100 of sales was less than half on the large farms as on the small farms. Both gross sales and net sales per man-equivalent were much greater on the large farms than on the small farms.

SUMMARY AND PROBLEMS

Specialized peanut farms vary considerably in volume of business and size in the various production areas. There are fewer small peanut farms than tobacco farms. About 25 percent in the Virginia-North Carolina region, 45 percent in the Georgia-Alabama-Florida region, and 66 percent in Oklahoma and Texas were Classes V and VI farms. These farms had sales of less than \$2,500 in 1954. About 35 percent of the farms in Virginia-North Carolina were in Classes I, II, and III having sales of over \$5,000 in 1954. In Georgia-Alabama-Florida area only 22 percent had sales of \$5,000 or more.

In the Virginia-North Carolina area the average size of farm in 1954 was 83 acres compared to 164 acres in the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area and 213 acres in the Oklahoma-Texas area. In each area about half of the total land area was in cropland.

In the Virginia-North Carolina area in 1954, 17 percent of the farmers had less than 5 acres of peanuts and only 7 percent had more than 25 acres. In the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area, 5 percent of the farmers had less than 5 acres, and 30 percent had more than 25 acres. In the Oklahoma-Texas area, only 1 percent of the farmers had less than 5 acres in peanuts, and 70 percent had more than 25 acres.

Peanut farms are diversified. Although peanuts were the main source of income on the majority of the farms in the two areas, they contributed less than 50 percent of the average gross income on most groups of farms. Peanut farms tend to be operated intensively with a high percentage of the cropland in row crops. Corn is the most important crop acreage-wise in the Virginia-North Carolina and the Georgia-Alabama-Florida areas.

In both the Virginia-North Carolina and Georgia-Alabama-Florida peanut areas, tobacco was grown on a number of farms. On some farms, tobacco contributed more than 50 percent of the gross income so these farms were included in the other field-crop group. In this analysis there was no way to separate tobacco from peanut farms in these areas.

Cotton is important in all of the areas. About one-fourth of the harvested cropland in the Virginia-North Carolina and Georgia-Alabama-Florida areas is devoted to peanuts compared to slightly more than 55 percent in the Oklahoma-Texas area.

Hogs are an important enterprise on peanut farms in the Virginia-North Carolina and Georgia-Alabama-Florida areas, but not on farms in the Oklahoma-Texas area. Beef cattle are important on most of the farms in Oklahoma-Texas area. They tend to be important only on the larger farms in the other two areas.

With the exception of the larger farms, the labor force on peanut farms is made up mostly of family labor. The proportion of operators working off farms varies by areas. Of the peanut farmers working off the farm the majority worked less than 100 days per year.

Color of operator and percent tenancy also vary by arens. In the Virginia-North Carolina area in 1955, only 44 percent of the operators were white and 63 percent of all operators were classified as tenants. In the Georgia-Alabama-Florida area, 62 percent of the operators were white and 57 percent were tenants. There were no nonwhite operators in the one peanut subregion summarized in the Oklahoma-Texas area; 38 percent of the operators were classified as tenants.