**Economic subregion 114.**—The southern third of Arizona makes up this subregion. It is desert land with the exception of the high rolling hill country of southeastern Arizona. It has a high proportion of public lands, and large livestock ranching operations. For this reason it is comparable with economic subregion 113 in the size and characteristics of the ranches.

The labor efficiency for the ranches is not as high as may be expected for desert ranching where comparatively little winter feeding of the livestock is required. This is especially true with respect to Class I ranches (see Table 15). There is considerable use of untrained employees on the ranches and this may explain part of the low labor efficiency.

The investment in land and buildings per animal unit is below the average of western subregions but it is rather high considering the extent of public land use and desert ranching here. The extensive buying of ranches for winter recreation and for "dude" ranching probably explains in part the high value of land and buildings per animal unit.

The subregion has a relatively higher percent of very large ranches. Nearly a fifth of the livestock farms had sales of over \$25,000 in 1954.

Table	15.—Livestock	Farms	IN S	Subregion	114,	BY	Economic		
Class of Farm: 1954									

Item	Total	Economic class of farm					
		I	II	III	IV	v	VI
Number of farms Percent distribution	1, 111 100. 0	209 18.8	191 17. 2	209 18, 8	195 17.6	200 18. 0	107 9.6
Livestock, average number per farm: Cattle	311 79 326 362, 658 100. 0 2. 4	1, 010 380 1, 086 226, 989 62, 6 7, 1	27 367	167 3 167 34, 998 9. 7 1. 3	87 4 88 17, 075 4. 7 1. 1	48 10 50 10, 067 2. 8 1. 0	31 1 32 3, 379 0. 9 1. 0
Animal units per man- equivalent	137	152	187	127	83	53	33
Hired labor perfarm_dollars Hired labor per animal unit dollars	4, 172 12. 78	17, 509 16. 12			690 7.88	306 6. 08	128 4.06
Investment in land and buildings per animal unit dollars Value of land and buildings, per farmdollars Value of livestook per farm dollars Value of land and buildings and livestook per farm dollars	326 106, 143 30, 186 136, 329	98, 984	284 104, 300 34, 517 138, 817	15, 816	8, 425	4, 848	3, 161
Value of all farm products sold per farmdollars Livestock and livestock products sales as a percent of value of all farm prod- ucts sold	41, 693 87. 7	193, 613 86. 5				1, 891 98. 1	635 99. 6

**Economic subregion 115.**—The southern part of California makes up this subregion. Most of the stock ranching here is on the desert lands east of the coastal mountain ranges of southern California (see Figure 10).

Large stock ranches predominate. About 23 percent of the operators have 83.9 percent of the animal units (see Table 16).

Considering the fact that only limited supplemental feeding is necessary here the labor efficiency in the handling of livestock is low. On the ranches with low gross income this is due to the small size of the ranches. The large amount of hired labor on the small ranches suggests that many are part-time operations probably owned by people with other income who have what they call a stock ranch as an avocation. This characteristic is indicated also by the very high land and building investment per animal unit for all except the Class I ranches.

TABLE	16.—Livestock	FARMS	in Su	BREGION	115,	BY	Economic
	C	lass of I	Farm	: 1954			

Item	Total		uss of farm				
2 UCM		I	II	111	IV	v	vı
Number of farms Percent distribution	1, 715 100. 0	395 23. 0		256 14. 9	252 14, 7	428 25. 0	133 7. 8
Livestock, average number per farm: Cattle. Sheep. Animal units.	242 149 271	875 565 988	117	2	40 5 41	27 1 28	19 7 20
Animal units, total Percent distribution	465, 522 100. 0	390, 378 83. 9		17, 044 3. 7	10, 429 2, 2	11, 809 2. 5	2, 703 0. 6
Man-equivalent per farm	2. 2	5. 9	1.7	1.3	1.0	0.7	1, 1
Animal units per man- equivalent	122	168	77	51	41	38	19
Hired labor per farm_dollars Hired labor per animal unit dollars	4, 720	16, 732	2, 884	1, 381	800	395	283
	17. 39	16. 93	21.83	20. 74	19. 33	14, 32	13. 93
Investment in land and buildings per animal unit dollars Value of land and buildings.	493	256	1, 450	1, 629	1, 449	2, 488	1, 902
per farm	133, 565	252, 478	191, 430	109, 155	59, 397	69, 655	38, 031
Value of land and buildings and livestock per farm dollars	33, 638	122, 297	15, 951	8, 777	5, 115	3, 526	2, 504
	167, 203	374, 775	207.381	117, 932	64, 512	73, 181	40, 535
Value of all farm products sold per farm dollars Livestock and livestock products sales as a percent	53, 651	214, 540	14, 482	7, 459	3, 495	1, 750	712
of value of all farm prod- ucts sold	91. 2	91. 2	89.0	92.7	93. 1	93. 3	99.4

## Rocky Mountain Region

**Economic subregion 109.**—This is one of the largest subregions. It includes most of the Rocky Mountains, from the Canadian border to the southern end of the Rocky Mountain system. It is essentially a country of livestock ranching, though it contains important irrigated areas in the mountain valleys. For the most part, the stock ranches are of an economically sized operating unit. Though there are many large ranching operations, an appreciable proportion of the stock ranches fall in Economic Classes II to IV.

Labor requirements average rather high (see Table 17) notwithstanding favorable size of units. This results from the ranching operations having rather high winter-feeding requirements. As a rule, hay and other feed crops sufficient for 3 to 5 months of winter maintenance must be grown.

The investment in land and buildings per animal unit is moderate in subregion 109 and considerably below the average for western subregions. Use of considerable acreages of public land, especially by the larger ranches, probably accounts for this low investment.