

Total Value of Investment

Total values of farm investment are always of interest. When the investment in land and buildings, livestock, and machinery are combined, the total investment per commercial farm was nearly \$33,000 in 1954. Highest investment per commercial farm is shown for cash-grain, fruit-and-nut, and livestock farms,

TABLE 35.—PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL INVESTMENT BY SOURCE FOR EACH TYPE OF COMMERCIAL FARM, BY ECONOMIC CLASS, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1954

Source of investment	Total	Economic class of farm					
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
<b>All commercial farms</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	78	82	78	76	75	75	76
Value of livestock.....	9	9	9	10	10	10	11
Value of machinery.....	13	9	13	14	15	15	13
<b>Cash-grain</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	82	86	83	80	78	78	79
Value of livestock.....	5	3	5	5	6	5	4
Value of machinery.....	13	10	12	14	16	17	16
<b>Cotton</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	83	88	85	82	78	75	75
Value of livestock.....	5	2	3	5	7	9	11
Value of machinery.....	12	9	12	13	15	16	14
<b>Other field-crop</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	80	84	79	80	79	78	80
Value of livestock.....	6	3	5	6	6	7	8
Value of machinery.....	15	14	16	15	15	15	12
<b>Vegetable</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	85	87	84	83	81	81	81
Value of livestock.....	2	1	2	2	3	3	4
Value of machinery.....	13	12	15	15	16	16	16
<b>Fruit-and-nut</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	91	91	90	90	90	90	90
Value of livestock.....	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
Value of machinery.....	8	7	9	9	9	9	8
<b>Dairy</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	70	76	71	69	68	68	72
Value of livestock.....	13	12	12	13	14	14	13
Value of machinery.....	17	12	17	18	18	18	15
<b>Poultry</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	77	77	76	77	77	79	80
Value of livestock.....	9	9	9	9	8	7	8
Value of machinery.....	14	14	14	14	14	14	13
<b>Livestock other than dairy and poultry</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	74	76	75	72	73	73	74
Value of livestock.....	15	17	14	15	15	14	15
Value of machinery.....	11	7	11	13	13	13	12
<b>General, primarily crop</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	82	87	82	80	79	80	81
Value of livestock.....	5	3	5	6	6	6	5
Value of machinery.....	13	10	13	15	15	15	14
<b>General, primarily livestock</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	72	77	72	71	71	71	73
Value of livestock.....	12	11	12	13	13	13	12
Value of machinery.....	16	12	16	16	16	16	14
<b>General, crop and livestock</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	75	80	77	74	72	72	74
Value of livestock.....	10	9	9	10	11	11	11
Value of machinery.....	15	11	14	15	17	17	15
<b>Miscellaneous</b> .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Value of land and buildings.....	86	88	87	85	82	84	83
Value of livestock.....	3	2	3	4	5	5	5
Value of machinery.....	11	10	10	11	13	11	12

with about \$50,000 each. Lowest investment is shown for cotton and other field-crop and poultry farms.

The lower average investment for cotton and other field-crop farms results from the relatively large proportion of these types that is made up of the smaller economic classes of farms. Much greater similarity exists between types of farms in the same economic class. For example, Class I cotton farms with a total investment of nearly \$200,000 per farm are among the highest in capital requirements. Among each type of farm, except poultry, the total investment on Class I farms was \$100,000 or more.

Capital investment is fairly similar among types of farms if comparisons are made by economic class. The notable departures from this are the lower capital requirements shown for poultry farms and, among the smaller economic classes, the extremely low capital investment on cotton and other field-crop farms. It is to be remembered that data for these two types are influenced by the inclusion of croppers. In general, however, the lower capital investment is related to the small acreage in these farms and the relatively low land values per acre.

The total capital investment in commercial farming, as estimated here, was \$110 billion, in 1954. The bulk of this (78 percent) was represented in the value of land and buildings. Livestock and machinery comprised 9 percent and 13 percent, respectively, of the total. (See table 35.)

Land and buildings represented a slightly higher proportion of the total investment on farms having a major source of income from crops than on farms of the livestock types.

For each type of farm, land and buildings represented a greater proportion of the total investment on the larger economic classes. Although total investment was much less on the smaller economic classes, more of it was in livestock and machinery.

The distribution of total investment by economic class and by type of farm is shown in table 36. Slightly more than a fifth of the total investment is on Class I farms. Although these farms produced about one-third of all farm products sold in 1954, in terms of numbers, they accounted for only 4 percent of the commercial farms. On Class I farms, the proportion of the total investment for land and buildings was larger than for either livestock or machinery.

The intermediate economic classes (II, III, and IV) taken together accounted for about two-thirds of the total investment. They had approximately an equal value of land and buildings and livestock and more than 70 percent of the value of machinery.

Economic Classes V and VI, which comprised a third of the farm numbers, accounted for only 13 percent of the total investment. A slightly higher proportion of the livestock value and machinery value, than of land and buildings, was on these farms.

Two types of farms, cash-grain and livestock, accounted for more than half of the total investment. If the investment on dairy farms is added, two-thirds of the total investment was on these three types. They accounted for approximately two-thirds of the value of land and buildings and machinery and four-fifths of the value of livestock. Other livestock farms alone made up half of the total livestock investment.