State Table 22.-CASH RENT PAID BY CASH TENANTS AND SHARE-CASH TENANTS BY ECONOMIC CLASS OF FARM: CENSUS OF 1959

| Item <br> (For definitions and explanations, see text) | Total | $\underset{\text { farms }}{\text { Commercial }}$ farms | Other farms | Item <br> (For definitions and explanations, see text) | Total | Comnercial farms | Other farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH TENANTS |  |  |  | SHARE-CASH TENANTS |  |  |  |
| All cash tenants ..............................number... | 2,241 | 1,726 | 515 | All share-cash tenants . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . number... | 16,555 | 16,235 | 320 |
| Land owned . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . operators reporting... | 131 | 91 | 40 | Land owned . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . operatiors reporting ... | 541 | . 536 | 5 |
| acres... | 67,436 | 54,801 | 12,635 | ncres... | 94,640 | 94,375 | 265 |
| Land rented from others ........... operators reporting... | 2,24] | 1,726 | 515 | Land rented from others . . . . . . . . . . operators reporting... | 16,555 | 16,235 | 320 |
| and acres... | 1,303,431 | 1,274,516 | 28,915 | acres... | 5,703,393 | 5,566,858 | 36,535 |
| Land rented to others . . . . . . . . . . operators reporting... | 178 | 123 | 155 | Land rented to others . . . . . . . . . . . operators reporting... | -650 | 635 | 15 |
| scres... | 77,015 | 61,880 | 15,135 | acres. | 107,348 | 106,568 | 780 |
| Land in farms of cash tenants ..................acres... | 1,293,852 | 1,267,437 | 26,415 | Land in farms of share-cash tenants...............acres... | 5,590,685 |  | 36,020 |
| Average size of fram ....................... вcres... | 577.4 | 1,734.3 | 51.3 | Average size of farm ...........................cres... | 343.7 | 348.3 | 212.6 |
| Value of land and buildings: |  |  |  | Value of land and buildinga: |  |  |  |
| Average per farm. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars... | 37,707 | 46,190 | 6,992 | Average per farm ........................ doliars... | 44,747 | 45,257 | 15,690 |
| Average per scre.......................... dollars... | 62.17 | 50.91 | 122.70 | Average per acre . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars... | 129.46 | 129.45 | 131.19 |
| Proportion of cash tenants <br> reporting value .............................. . percent... | 79.4 | 80.8 | 74.8 | Proportion of shere-cash tenants reporting value $\qquad$ . percent... | 83.9 | 84.1 | 75.0 |
| Cropland harvested .................. fintns reporting... | 1,779 | 1,589 | 190 | Coppland harvested ................... farms reporting.... | 16,519 | 1.6,224 | 295 |
| acres... | 345,221 | 339,091 | 6,130 | , | 3,401,307 | 3,380,452 | 20,855 |
| Cash tenants reporting both value of land and buildings and amount of cash rent paid $\qquad$ |  |  |  | Share-cash tenants reporting both value of land and buildings and amount of cash rent paid |  |  |  |
| Proportion of eli cash tenants ................ percent... | 1,692 75.5 | $\begin{array}{r}1,317 \\ \hline 76.3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}375 \\ 72.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | butldiass and amount of cash rent paid . . . . . . . . number... Proportion of oll share-cesh tenants . . . . . . percent. | 13,630 82.3 | 13,405 82.6 | 225 70.3 |
| Alt land rented from others ....................... acres... | 1,055,039 | 1,033,179 | 21, 860 | All land rented from ochers ..................... beres.... | 4,702,394 | 4,075,739 | 26,655 |
| Average per operator ....................... acres... | 1,055,03.5 | 1,0384.5 | 21, 58.3 | Average per operator ......................... .acres... | 345.0 | 348.8 | 118.5 |
| Velue of land and buildings: |  |  |  | Value of land and buildings: |  |  |  |
| Average per operator ..................... dollars... | 37,763 | 46,473 | 7,177 | Average per operator . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars... | 4,4,45 | 44,893 | 15,936 |
| Average per acte.......................... dotlars... | 60.56 | 59.24 | 123.12 | 4verage per acre ........................ dollars... | 128.74 | 128.71 | 134.52 |
| Cash rent paid: |  |  |  | Cesh rent paid: |  |  |  |
| Average per operator . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars... | 1,459 | 1,751 | 433 | Average per operator . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars... | 342 | 345 | 160 |
| Average per acre . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .dollars . . . | 2.34 | 2.23 | - 7.42 | Average per acre . ............................ dollars... | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.35 |
| Average per $\$ 100$ of value of land and buildings. dollars... | 3.86 | 3.77 | 6.03 | Average per $\$ 100$ of value of land <br> and buildings .................................. dallars... | 0.77 | 0.77 | 1.01 |

State Table 23.-SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATED TOTALS FOR COUNTY AND STATE BY NUMBER OF FARMS REPORTING, BY LEVELS

| If the estimated number of farms reporting is- | Then the chances are about 2 in 3 that the estinated total would differ from the results of a complete tabulation of the items for all feans by less than- |  |  |  | If the estimated number of farms reporting is- | Then the chances are about 2 in 3 that the estimated total would differ fram the results of a complete tabulation of the items for all farms by less than- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\mathrm{I}^{1}}{\text { Level }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ { }_{1} 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leve } 1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{4}{\text { Level }}$ |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percert | Percent |  | Percent | Percent | Percend | Percent |
| 25............................ | 40 | 53 | 71 | 96 | 5,000........................ | 2.8 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 6.8 |
| 50........................... | 28 | 37 | 50 | 68 | 10,000... | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.8 |
| 100.......................... | 20 | 26 | 35 | 48 | 25,000....................... | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| 250. | 13 | 17 | 22 | 30 | 50,000....................... | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 500.......................... | 8.9 6.3 | 12. | 16 | 21 | 100,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 1,500............................ | 6.3 4.0 | 8.4 5.3 | ${ }^{11} 7.1$ | 15 | 250,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Level 1 should be used in determining the sampling reliability of estimated number of farms and farms reporting. The level for all other items should be obtained from State Table 24 . If the estinated muber of farms or facma reporting constitutes more then 75 percent of all farme in the universe, a better approximation to the sampling rellability may be obtained by multiplying the percent given in the table as follows:

    When the number of farms or farms reporting is 75 percent of all farms, multiply the percent exror by 0.50 .
    When the mumber of farms or farms reporting is 90 percent of all farms, militiply the percent error by 0.30 .
    3. When the number of farme or farms reporting is 95 percent of all farms, multiply the percent error by 0.20 .

