STATISTICS FOR THE STATE

State Table 22.--CASH RENT PAID BY CASH TENANTS AND SHARE-CASH TENANTS BY ECONOMIC CLASS OF FARM: CENSUS OF 1959

| the second se | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------|-------------|--|-----------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Item (For definitions and explanations, see text) | Total | Commercial farms | Other farms | Item (For definitions and explanations, see text) | Total | Commercial farms | Other farms | | | | | |
| CASH TENANTS | | | | SHARE-CASH TENANTS | | | | | | | | |
| All cash tenantsnumber | 1.048 | 835 | 213 | All share-cash tenantsnumber | 8,832 | 8,672 | 160 | | | | | |
| Land owned operators reporting | 39 | 28 | 11 | Land ownedoperators reporting | 232 | 222 | 10 | | | | | |
| acres | 14,480 | 11,930 | 2,550 | ACT08 | 55,940 | 52,770 | 3,170 | | | | | |
| Land rented from others operators reporting | 1,048 | 835 | 213 | Land rented from othersoperators reporting | 8,832 | 8,672 | 160 | | | | | |
| acres | 1,140,766 | 1,099,995 | 40,771 | actes | 3,821,864 | 3,778,679 | 43,185 | | | | | |
| Land rented to others operators reporting | 58 | 42 | 16 | Land rented to others | 292 | 282 | 10 | | | | | |
| acres | 15,740 | 13,130 | 2,610 | acres | 59,240 | 56,070 | 3,170 | | | | | |
| Land in farms of cash tenants | 1,139,506 | 1,098,795 | 40,711 | Land in farms of share-cash tenants | 3,818,564 | 3,775,379 | 43,185 | | | | | |
| Average size of farm | 1,087.3 | | 191.1 | Average size of famacres | 432.4 | 435.4 | 269.9 | | | | | |
| Value of land and buildings: | ., | -, | | Value of land and buildings: | | | | | | | | |
| Average per farm | 37,991 | 43,574 | 10,496 | Average per farm | 37,413 | 37,798 | 15,996 | | | | | |
| Average per acre | 33.76 | 33.36 | 44.47 | Average per acre dollars | 86.22 | 86.43 | 65.13 | | | | | |
| Proportion of cash tenants | | | | Proportion of share cash tenants | | | | | | | | |
| reporting value | 82.5 | 86.1 | 68.5 | reporting value | 89.7 | 89.7 | 87.5 | | | | | |
| Cropland harvestedfamis reporting | 799 | 712 | 87 | Cropland harvested ferms reporting | 8,795 | 8,645 | 150 | | | | | |
| ncres | 157,327 | 151,404 | 5,923 | acres | 2,269,224 | 2,249,184 | 20,040 | | | | | |
| Cash tenants reporting both value of land and | | | | Share-cash tenants reporting both value of land and | | | | | | | | |
| buildings and amount of cash rent paidnumber | 837 | 706 | 131 | buildings and amount of cash rent paidnumber | 7,661 | 7,54' | 120 | | | | | |
| Proportion of ali cash tenants | 79.9 | 84.6 | 61.5 | Proportion of all share-cash tenants percent | 86.7 | 87.0 | 75.0 | | | | | |
| All land rented from others | 942,452 | 908,311 | 34,141 | All land rented from othersacres | 3,328,665 | 3,295,995 | 32,670 | | | | | |
| Average per operator | 1,126.0 | 1,286.6 | 260.6 | Average per operatoracres | 434.5 | 437.1 | 272.3 | | | | | |
| Value of land and buildings | 1 . | | 1 | Value of land and buildings: | | | | | | | | |
| Average per operator | 36,220 | 40,802 | 11,530 | | 16,451 | 16,429 | 17,829 | | | | | |
| Average per acredollars | 32.17 | 31.71 | 44.24 | | 37.86 | 37.59 | 65.49 | | | | | |
| Cash rent paid: | | | | Cash rent paid: | 373 | 376 | 209 | | | | | |
| Average per operator | 1,204 | 1,350 | 419 | Average per operator | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.77 | | | | | |
| Average per acro | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.61 | Average per acre | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.77 | | | | | |
| Average per S100 of value of land | 2.20 | | 2.41 | and buildings | 2.27 | 2.29 | 1.17 | | | | | |
| and buildingsdoltare | 3.32 | 3.31 | 3.64 | and outlongs dollars | 2.27 | 2.27 | | | | | | |

[Data are based on reports for only a sample of farms. See text]

State Table 23.-SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATED TOTALS FOR COUNTY AND STATE BY NUMBER OF FARMS REPORTING, BY LEVELS

| If the estimated number of farms reporting is- | Then the chances are about 2 in 3 that the estimated total would differ from the results of a complete tabulation of the items for all farms by less than- | | | | If the estimated number of farms reporting is- | Then the chances are about 2 in 3 that the estimated total would differ from the results of a complete tabulation of the items for all farms by less than— | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Level 1 ¹ | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | | Level l ¹ | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| 25 | Percent 40 28 20 1.3 8.9 6.3 4.0 | Percent 53 37 26 17 12 8.4 5.3 | Percent 71 50 35 22 16 11 7.1 | Percent 96 68 48 30 21 15 9.6 | 5,000. 10,000 25,000. 50,000. 100,000. 250,000. | Percent 2.8 2.0 1.3 0.9 0.6 0.4 | Parcent 3.7 2.6 1.7 1.2 0.8 0.5 | Percent 5.0 3.5 2.2 1.6 1.1 0.7 | Percent 6.8 4.8 3.0 2.1 1.5 1.0 |

¹Level 1 should be used in determining the sampling reliability of estimated number of farms and farms reporting. The level for all other items should be obtained from State Table 24. If the estimated number of farms or farms reporting constitutes more than 75 percent of all farms in the universe, a better approximation to the sampling reliability may be obtained by multiplying the percent given in the table as follows: 1. When the number of farms or farms reporting is 75 percent of all farms, multiply the percent error by 0.50. 2. When the number of farms or farms reporting is 90 percent of all farms, multiply the percent error by 0.30. 3. When the number of farms or farms reporting is 95 percent of all farms, multiply the percent error by 0.20.

,

.