Table 28. Contract Provisions for Variation in Quantity and Quality for Slaughter Hogs

(Based on 56 contracts reported)

	Farms		Farms
Lesser quantity: Contractor would have accepted hogs delivered Producer would have purchased the deficit amount to fulfill contract Producer would have made a cash payment to fulfill contract Contract would have been invalidated. Contract included a natural disaster clause. No provision to cover lesser quantity. Other.	21 5 3 -14 10 3	Lower quality: Contractor would have accepted hogs delivered Producer would have made cash payment to fulfill contract No payment would have been received for inferior hogs Contract would have been invalidated. Contract included a natural disaster clause. Producer would have received payment based on quality actually delivered Hogs would have been sold on open market. Hogs would have been offered to contractor; then sold on open market.	12 - 2 2 4 8 4
Greater quantity: No payment would have been received for excess produced	5	No provision to cover lower quality	16 3
Excess would have been sold on open market. Producer would have received a reduced payment for excess. Excess would have been offered to contractor; then sold on open market. Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment. No provision to cover greater quantity. Other.	7 8 16	Higher quality: No additional payment would have been received. Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment Hogs would have been sold on open market. Hogs would have been offered to contractor; then sold on open market. No provision to cover higher quality. Other.	12 12 1 2 18 2

Table 29. Other Contract Characteristics for Slaughter Hogs

(Based on 56 contracts reported)

		Slaughter hogs produced under contract in 1977							
	Total	1 to 49 hogs	50 to 99 hogs	100 to 199 hogs	200 to 499 hogs	500 to 999 hogs	1,000 hogs and over		
Other contractors were available for negotiation	33	_	_	2	5	12	14		
Producer had knowledge of key provisions of other contracts	25	_	_	2	3	8	12		
Negotiations were undertaken with more than one contractor	8	_	_	_	3	1	4		
Contractor was specified in writing	43	_	1	4	12	9	17		
Contract covered more than one production period	32	_	_	2	9	6	15		
Contract specified a specific number of hogs Product was pooled with others prior to final payment	31	-	-	3	10	8	10		
determination	5	-	_	-	1	3	1		
Product was under a State or Federal market order	1	_	_	_	_	_	1		
Contractor was a cooperative	25	_	_	1	8	7	9		
Producer was a member of this cooperative	20	-	-	1	5	6	8		
more cooperatives or a cooperative and another firm	5	_	_	_	3	1	1 (
Producer's operation was a part of this joint venture	3				2	,	. 1		
A bargaining association was involved in negotiating the	3	-	-	-	2	1	-		
contract	9	_	_	1	2	4	2		
Producer was a member of this bargaining association	اه	_	_	î	2	4	2		
Contractor provided supply, demand and/or price outlook	1	-	_	•	-	-	-		
information	24	_	1	1	7	7	8 {		
Producer considered this as main source of market information	12		_	1	,	,	3		
Producer was generally satisfied with production terms of	12	_	_		3	,	٠,		
contract	48	-	1	5	13	10	19		
Producer was generally satisfied with marketing terms of	1								
contract	46	_	1	4	12	11	18		
Producer plans to continue utilization of contracts	44	_	_	3	11	12	18		
Hogs would have been produced without a contract	31	_	-	3	8	9	ii		
Total reporting size of operation	56	-	1	5	13	14	23		

Table 30. Extent of Contract Usage for Slaughter Hogs

(Based on 56 contracts reported. Producer's opinion of hogs produced under contract)

•	1977				1972				1967			
	Farms	Region I	Region II	Region III	Farms	Region I	Region II	Region III	Farms	Region I	Region II	Region III
Total reporting Percent of hogs in area contracted:	42	23	12	7	39	20	12	7	37	20	11	6
None Under 25 percent	24	14	9	1	26	13	10	3	13 19	13	5	1
25 to 49 percent 50 to 74 percent 75 percent and over	2 7	1 3	- -	1 4	3 2	2	-	1	2	i	-	1