

Table 36. Contract Provisions for Variation in Number of Broilers Produced and Performance Standards

	Farms		Farms
TOTAL (Based on 1,056 contracts reported)		REGION II--Con. (Based on 284 contracts reported)	
Higher death rate:		Lower efficiency--Con.	
Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	596	Producer would have received payment based on quality actually delivered.....	145
Contract would have been invalidated.....	22	No provision to cover lower efficiency.....	11
Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	264	Other.....	12
No provision to cover higher death rate.....	189		
Other.....	53	Higher efficiency:	
Lower efficiency:		No additional payment would have been received other than specified.....	74
Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	425	Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment....	166
No payment would have been received for inferior broilers.....	69	No provision to cover higher efficiency.....	9
Contract would have been invalidated.....	18	Other.....	8
Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	100		
Producer would have received payment based on quality actually delivered.....	520	REGION III (Based on 280 contracts reported)	
No provision to cover lower efficiency.....	75	Higher death rate:	
Other.....	42	Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	164
Higher efficiency:		Contract would have been invalidated.....	3
No additional payment would have been received other than specified.....	350	Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	49
Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment.....	531	No provision to cover higher death rate.....	60
No provision to cover higher efficiency.....	70	Other.....	19
Other.....	36	Lower efficiency:	
REGION I (Based on 122 contracts reported)		Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	110
Higher death rate:		No payment would have been received for inferior broilers.....	29
Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	64	Contract would have been invalidated.....	7
Contract would have been invalidated.....	7	Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	18
Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	36	Producer would have received payment based on quality actually delivered.....	135
No provision to cover higher death rate.....	25	No provision to cover lower efficiency.....	24
Other.....	12	Other.....	16
Lower efficiency:		Higher efficiency:	
Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	50	No additional payment would have been received other than specified.....	107
No payment would have been received for inferior broilers.....	7	Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment....	113
Contract would have been invalidated.....	2	No provision to cover higher efficiency.....	29
Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	15	Other.....	12
Producer would have received payment based on quality actually delivered.....	63	REGION IV (Based on 370 contracts reported)	
No provision to cover lower efficiency.....	10	Higher death rate:	
Other.....	7	Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	220
Higher efficiency:		Contract would have been invalidated.....	9
No additional payment would have been received other than specified.....	28	Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	51
Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment.....	78	No provision to cover higher death rate.....	81
No provision to cover higher efficiency.....	9	Other.....	10
Other.....	7	Lower efficiency:	
REGION II (Based on 284 contracts reported)		Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	151
Higher death rate:		No payment would have been received for inferior broilers.....	26
Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	148	Contract would have been invalidated.....	7
Contract would have been invalidated.....	3	Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	16
Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	128	Producer would have received payment based on quality actually delivered.....	177
No provision to cover higher death rate.....	23	No provision to cover lower efficiency.....	30
Other.....	12	Other.....	7
Lower efficiency:		Higher efficiency:	
Contractor would have accepted broilers delivered.....	114	No additional payment would have been received other than specified.....	141
No payment would have been received for inferior broilers.....	7	Producer would have received an incentive or premium payment....	174
Contract would have been invalidated.....	2	No provision to cover higher efficiency.....	23
Contract included a natural disaster clause.....	51	Other.....	9