APPENDIX A. General Explanation

TAKING THE CENSUS	1
DATA PROCESSING A	3
COMPARABILITY OF DATA A	4
MAJOR CHANGES IN DATA COLLECTED	4
FOLLOW-ON SURVEYS AND THE CENSUS OF HORTI-	
CULTURAL SPECIALTIES	5
DISCLOSURES A	5
1974 DATA CORRECTIONS A-	5
DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	5
FARM CLASSIFICATIONS A-1	1
UNPUBLISHED DATA A-1	2
NONSAMPLING ERRORS	3
CENSUS COVERAGE	3
STATISTICAL ADJUSTMENTS	3

TABLES

Α.	PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL REPRESENTED BY ADJUST-	
	MENT FOR WHOLE FARM NONRESPONSE: 1978	A-14
В.	ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
	STATE TOTALS FOR SELECTED ITEMS: 1978	A-16
С.	ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
	FARMS REPORTING AN ITEM IN THE COUNTY: 1978	A-16
D.	ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
	COUNTY TOTALS FOR SELECTED ITEMS: 1978	A-17

TAKING THE CENSUS

Method of Enumeration

The 1978 Census of Agriculture was the first census to include a mailout/mailback enumeration supplemented by a thorough direct interview of all households in a sample of area segments. This combination list-direct enumeration sample approach was instituted to improve completeness of coverage for State level agriculture census statistics.

In censuses prior to 1969, enumerators were assigned to a specific area and called on all farms within the area. Beginning with the 1950 census, copies of the report form were mailed prior to the enumeration date to each boxholder served by post offices in predominantly rural areas and farmers were asked to complete the forms and have them ready for the enumerator to pick up. Enumerators going door-to-door in rural areas collected and reviewed all forms that had been completed; they also completed forms for those places with agricultural operations which did not have forms ready.

For the 1969 and 1974 censuses, data were collected primarily by a self-enumeration, mailout/mailback procedure. This procedure was made possible by the availability of specialty lists and several administrative lists, including records from the prior agriculture census, from which a list of agriculture-related names and addresses was constructed.

The mailout/mailback enumeration procedure was not used in taking the agriculture census in Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands. A discussion of the direct enumeration methods used appears in the reports for these outlying areas.

Mail List

The mail list for the 1978 census was comprised of all individuals, businesses, and organizations that could be readily identified as being associated with agriculture. The list was assembled from the records of the 1974 census and administrative records of various government agencies, primarily the Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The administrative lists used for 1978 were more accurate and extensive than those used for the 1974 and 1969 censuses. For 1978, most administrative lists were used in their entirety; whereas, for 1974 and 1969 some lists were sampled so that only selected records were included in the census mailing list.

Lists of large or specialized operations, such as nurseries, broiler growers, fish farms, and livestock range and feedlot operations, which might not be identified in other administrative records, were obtained from State and Federal agencies, trade associations, and similar organizations. Lists of multiestablishment companies having one or more establishments (or locations) producing agricultural products or providing agricultural services were obtained from the 1974 census and updated using results of the 1976 and 1977 Company Organization Surveys conducted by the Economic Surveys Division of the Census Bureau.

A preliminary census mail list was assembled using names and addresses from the 1974 census and administrative source lists available early in 1978. Those records identified as most likely not to be farms, since the name appeared on only one source list or on selected combinations of list sources which had yielded a low percentage of farm operators for the 1974 census, were then selected for inclusion in the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey. Slightly over 4 million of the short identification forms were mailed in May of 1978 to identify persons who were not active farm operators, to identify tenant and successor operators who were not on administrative source lists, and to update the name, address, and size information for the identified active farm operators. Approximately 1.9 million survey returns were classified as out-of-scope (nonfarms), and an additional 400,000 reports were returned by the Postal Service as being undeliverable, resulting in over 2.3 million names and addresses being deleted from the census mail list as a result of the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey. The final census mail list containing 4,241,000 names and addresses was developed using results of the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey, names and addresses from the unduplicated preliminary list that were retained without precensus verification, and new or updated source lists acquired after the preliminary unduplication.

The preliminary and final census mail lists were both constructed by merging and unduplicating the names and

addresses from the various source lists on the basis of Employer Identification numbers, Social Security numbers, and names and addresses. To facilitate processing, each name on the administrative source lists was assigned a geographic code indicating the State and county location of the operation and a size code indicating an estimated value of sales. Most duplicates were identified and resolved during computer processing; an additional group of possible duplicates identified during computer processing was resolved clerically. Other duplicate names not identified prior to mailing were either reported by respondents or located during office processing.

Direct Enumeration Area Sample

When the evaluation survey conducted for the 1974 census confirmed the results of the evaluation survey for 1969 (i.e., an unacceptable number of midsize and a larger number of smaller farms were not included in the mail list), the decision was made to incorporate into the 1978 data collection procedures a direct enumeration area sample to provide State level estimates of the number and statistical characteristics of farms found not to be included in the mail list. The effect of this combination mail list-direct enumeration sample approach has been to drastically reduce the number and effect of missed farms in State totals.

The direct enumeration sample consisted of approximately 6,400 segments in "rural" areas (areas outside places with 2,500 or more population in 1970) in all States, except Alaska and Hawaii. The segments were created and selected using enumeration district maps and data from the 1970 Census of Population and Housing. In October and November 1978, enumerators visited each household in these segments to determine if anyone in the household was associated with an agricultural operation. A 78-A1(A) report form was completed for each person with agricultural operations. This form was similar to the 78-A1(S) sample form but collected additional information on alternate names and addresses and other individuals associated with the operation for use in matching these cases to the census mail file. The front page of the 78-A1(A) report form is reproduced in appendix D. During the enumeration, each operator received an orange-colored sticker and was instructed that if he/she received a census form in the mail, the form should not be filled but returned with the sticker attached indicating that a report had already been completed.

During office processing, a concentrated effort was made to match the direct enumeration sample returns to names and addresses on the census mail list using the sticker returns, microfilm search of the mail file for all associated names, telephone calls to all cases that could be reached by phone, and any other available information. Direct enumeration sample returns not matched to the census mail list after this extensive research comprise the sample representing farms in the State not on the census mail list. Data from these unmatched direct enumeration sample returns were used to provide estimates at the State level for farms not on the census mail list. For each State, these data have been tabulated and published as a "pseudo" county and are an integral part of the State totals. The direct enumeration sample estimates have not been included in individual county totals.

Report Forms

The Census Bureau recognizes the need to minimize both respondent burden and census cost by limiting the number of items for which data are requested to those most needed by users as the basis for current understanding and future planning. In addition to limiting data items, the most recent eight censuses of agriculture have also used sampling techniques to further minimize reporting burden and census cost. For 1945, additional items were included in the enumeration of designated geographic segments, but were omitted from the report forms used for all other areas. For the 1950, 1954, 1959, and 1964 censuses, similar goals were met by instructing the enumerators to determine whether to ask or omit the items in designated sections of the report forms. For the 1969, 1974, and 1978 mailout/mailback censuses, two report forms were used again with the result of minimizing the reporting burden, particularly for smaller farms.

For 1978, the two report forms were a 5-page report form (78-A1(S)) containing all the items asked in the census, and a 4-page form (78-A1 (N)) omitting the sample items (sections 22 through 27). Form 78-A1(N) and the 78-A1(S) sample items are reproduced in appendix D. In all States except Alaska and Hawaii, the sample form (A1(S)) was mailed to all certainty addresses (large farms) and to 20 percent of the noncertainty addresses; the nonsample form (A1(N)) was mailed to the remaining noncertainty addresses. The sample form was mailed to all Alaska addresses. For Hawaii, a modified version of the sample form was used for all farms. The modifications were made principally in the crops section in order to cover the specialized agriculture on the islands. The Statistical Adjustments section of this appendix defines certainty farms and includes a discussion of the criteria used to determine whether the sample or nonsample form was to be mailed to noncertainty addresses.

Initial Mailing

The report forms were distributed by the U.S. Postal Service during the last week of December 1978 to all individuals, businesses, and organizations on the mail list. Approximately 4,241,000 forms were included in the initial mailing (3,140,000 nonsample forms and 1,101,000 sample forms).

From February through August 1979, additional initial mailings were sent primarily to new tenants and successors identified during report form processing. Thus, the total mailing list for the 1978 Census of Agriculture was 4,430,000.

An information sheet containing detailed instructions for completing the form was included with each report form (see appendix D). Additional special instructions were included with report forms sent to identifiable producers of poultry under contract, bees and honey, laboratory animals, feedlot operations, and nursery and greenhouse products.

In an effort to provide additional help in completing reports, approximately 60,000 copies of a Farm Census Guide booklet were sent to county agricultural agencies and related institutions or businesses to whom farmers might turn for help. Included were rural banks, accountants, vocational agriculture instructors, and the following U.S. Department of Agriculture county offices: Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Farmers Home Administration, and Agricultural Extension Service. This guide contained descriptions and definitions for various items in more detail than the instructions included with each report form. Representatives of the above agencies graciously consented to assist farmers in completing their report forms if assistance was requested. In addition, the telephone number for the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office was provided in the original mailing in case the respondent requested assistance or had additional questions which were not covered in the instructions.

Followup Procedures

The data collection effort included seven followup letters to nonrespondents, three of which were accompanied by a report form. To evenly distribute the workloads in mailing, receipts, and processing, the mail list was divided into three groups for followup purposes. In late January, the first followup letter was sent to all nonrespondents reminding them of the February 15 due date. The other reminders followed on a flow basis at 3- to 4-week intervals starting in late February and continuing into July.

In low response areas, additional efforts were made. State Commissioners of Agriculture were asked to issue supporting statements urging farmers to complete and return their reports. In early April 1979, nonrespondents in about 300 counties with the lowest response rates were mailed an additional letter using simpler language in large print suggesting that if they needed help in completing their report, they could call collect to the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office.

From March to November 1979, telephone calls were made to nonrespondents who were large (for most States, those with expected sales of \$80,000 or more) or were located in about 60 counties with low response. Data for large farms were obtained by telephone from secondary sources if the addressee could not be contacted or refused to provide information. Most of the nonresponse calls were made by the staff of the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office. However, calls to the larger and more complex operations were made by the Agriculture Division staff. Upon completion of data collection, all counties had response rates exceeding 75 percent of the final mail list including additional addresses. The overall response rate for the 50 United States was 88 percent for all addresses and nearly 95 percent for addresses with a known history of farm operations. A significant proportion of the nonresponse cases was found not to have qualifying agricultural operations for 1978. For a description of the adjustment for nonresponse, see Statistical Adjustments.

DATA PROCESSING

All report forms were subjected to a clerical screening review, during which they were corrected to make them acceptable for keying onto magnetic tape. Farm operators completing a nonsample form who reported sales or acres above the certainty level were sent correspondence requesting the additional sample data. Reports for special list cases identified on the mail list as producing specific products such as broilers or cattle were checked to insure that the special item had been reported. Problem reports requiring special review or additional handling were referred to technical assistants, or if necessary, to statisticians for resolution. Report forms with sales or acreage above levels listed as State "must" were subjected to a more stringent precomputer review, including completeness checks for key basic data items. Reports from multiunit operations and institutional and government-operated farms were reviewed and edited by statisticans. All farms with expected or reported sales of \$1,000,000 or more or 30,000 acres or more, all Alaska and Hawaii reports, selected multiunit operations, and other selected problem reports were reviewed by statisticians in the Agriculture Division. Omissions, inconsistencies, and other problems that could not be resolved by reference to other information on the report were resolved by contacting the respondents by telephone or correspondence.

All successors and the more significant tenant names and addresses reported in the census were researched on microfilm of the census mail file. Report forms were mailed to addresses not located on the mail file. Research was also conducted on reports from selected respondents claiming that they had previously completed a census form to eliminate possible duplication.

Data for each report form were subjected to a detailed item-by-item computer edit. The edit included comprehensive checks for consistency and reasonableness, corrected data found to be in error or inconsistent, supplied missing data based on similar size farms within the same county, and assigned farm classification codes which were necessary for tabulating the data. Entries of large magnitude and significant computergenerated changes to the data were manually verified. Obvious errors such as reports of cotton or citrus in the Northern States were also identified and corrected.

Many of the acceptability limits on data were necessarily wide making it impossible to identify and correct all errors or to always supply precise estimates for all the incompleteness in the reports. These factors and others may affect the reliability of data for some minor items, but they should not have a significant effect on major data items in any county.

In the computer edit, those farms with sales or acreage exceeding State "must" levels were tested for historical consistency. Key items such as acreage, number of livestock sold, crops, and sales were compared for significant changes between 1974 and 1978. Problems in historical consistency were resolved usually by telephone.

In order to reduce duplicate reporting because of more than one completed report being received for the same operation under different names, computer listings of reports with similar operator names and size of operation were produced and duplicate reports were deleted prior to tabulations.

After each report was screened, computer edited, and had all identified problems corrected, each data item on the report was added into the total for that item for the county. The result was a computer tabulation matrix for each county containing the sum of individual entries for each data item. This matrix enabled the easy and precise construction of the published tables.

Prior to publication of preliminary reports and volume 1 reports, tabulated totals were reviewed by statisticians to

identify remaining inconsistencies and potential coverage problems. Comparisons were made with historical census trends as well as current year State level estimates published by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and other agencies as part of the coverage review. Selected report forms were reviewed and problem entries were either verified as being correct or the data were corrected.

COMPARABILITY OF DATA

Significant changes were made to the data collection procedures to improve the coverage of the 1978 census, including a more complete mail list and the use of a direct enumeration area sample to supplement the mail list. The effect of these improvements has been to increase the 1978 State farm counts above what they would have been using previous methods. The 1978 State farm counts, consisting of totals from the mail list and the direct enumeration area sample, include farms that would have been missed in the 1974 and 1969 censuses.

The 1978 county farm counts do not include any totals from the direct enumeration sample. However, for some counties, the counts may have increased substantially because of the improved coverage of small farms in the 1978 mail list. County level data on acreages and inventories are generally comparable.

Estimates of the net proportion of farms missed in the 1974 census varied widely by State, from a low of 0.7 percent in Nebraska to a high of 33.0 percent in West Virginia. Appendix C shows adjusted farm counts by State. Because missed farms were typically small, the percent missed was generally higher in States having a relatively large proportion of small farms and urbanized areas having a sizable number of part-time farms. The proportion of the total farms in each State represented by the direct enumeration sample in 1978 can be expected to follow a pattern similar to that for missed farms in 1974.

Since most of the farms identified in the direct enumeration sample were small, these farms have a much greater effect on the State counts of farms and farms reporting than on measures of agricultural production such as land in farms, sales of agricultural products, acres and production of crops, and inventory and sales of livestock and poultry.

Changes in the farm definition also affect the comparability of data. In general, data for 1978 and 1974 would be directly comparable with data for 1969 and earlier censuses only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more because the data for these farms are not affected by changes in the farm definition. A difference in timing and the change from personal interview to mail enumeration affect comparability with data from censuses prior to 1969. The effect of the 1974 definition change for selected State and county totals is shown in appendix B.

Dollar figures shown for expenses and agricultural product sales have not been adjusted for changes in price levels between census years. Crop and livestock production and sales and expense data are for the calendar year 1978, except for a few crops (such as citrus) for which the production year overlaps the calendar year. Inventories of livestock, poultry, and other specified items are as of December 31, 1978. The reporting period and inventory date are the same as for the 1974 and 1969 censuses. Censuses prior to 1969 were taken by enumerators, each assigned to a specific geographic area. Field work for the 1959 and 1964 censuses was completed mainly in October, November, and December of the reference year. Livestock and poultry inventories for these two censuses were as of the time of enumeration. Other variations in the time of enumeration occurred prior to 1959.

MAJOR CHANGES IN DATA COLLECTED

Unusually strong adverse reaction to the length and content of the 1974 census report form stimulated an intensive review and rejustification of all data inquiries accepted for collection on the 1978 census report form. Data users were requested to provide the Bureau with their data requirements, including the level of publication needed-county, State, or national. Each data item requested was reviewed to determine if it was needed at the county level and if so, whether on a complete or sample basis. Some data items needed only at the State or regional level were relegated to one or another of the follow-on surveys scheduled to be conducted in 1980 for calendar year 1979. By eliminating many items included on the 1974 report form, redesigning the form to accommodate two columns of items per page, and converting many more items to write-in status, especially in the crops sections, the report form was reduced from a 20-page booklet to a 4-page form for most farms and a 5-page form for certainty and sample farms. Data items on the 1974 report form which were eliminated on the 1978 report form were:

Type of rental arrangement Sales of forest products Commercial fertilizer used for specific crops Irrigation methods and quantity of water used Drainage Grain storage facilities Futures markets Contracts Injuries and illnesses Other production expenses Income and expenses from farm-related sources Farm credit Family income from off-farm sources

Much of the detailed data collected in 1974 for costs of materials for individual types of agricultural chemicals and for quantities and costs of several feed categories including roughages purchased were deleted from the report form. In addition, many of the redundant totals used on the 1974 report form for cross checking data, especially in the production expenses and value of agricultural products sold sections, were eliminated.

The following high priority new data items were added to the 1978 report form:

Set-aside acres in Federal Farm Program

- Government Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loans for grain and cotton
- Direct sales to consumers
- Foreign ownership of farmland
- Greater detail on expenses for energy

A-4

FOLLOW-ON SURVEYS AND THE CENSUS OF HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTIES

Integral to each census of agriculture program are the follow-on surveys conducted after the main census. The purpose of these specialized surveys is to collect additional, much needed agriculture-related information from highly specialized operations or from only a sample of farms without burdening all farm operators. Thus, allowing the use of a shorter report form for the general census. The follow-on surveys are limited to high priority data items that yield United States, regional, or State level estimates, which in and of themselves or in association with general census data, are used as the basis for current decisionmaking and future planning.

Data from the Farm Finance, the Farm and Ranch Irrigation, and the Farm Energy Surveys, conducted in 1980 covering calendar year 1979, are published in Volume 5, **Special Reports**, of the 1978 Census of Agriculture.

The Farm Finance Survey provides detailed farm financial data, including debts, expenses, taxes, credits, assets, and farm and off-farm incomes for farm operators. Many of these items as well as detailed data concerning land ownership and landlord characteristics are also being collected from the landlords of the farms involved in the survey.

The Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey provides high priority data on water use by irrigated farms. Included in the data are: acreage irrigated, yields for irrigated and nonirrigated crops, quantity of water used, methods of distribution, types of pumps, and number of wells.

The Farm Energy Survey provides detailed data on energy purchased by type of energy source, storage capacity, and selected kinds of energy-consuming machinery and equipment.

The 1979 Census of Horticultural Specialties covers the operations of producers of nursery and greenhouse products, mushrooms, and sod in much greater detail than the main census of agriculture. This census has been conducted in 1890, 1930, 1950, and every 10 years since, in association with the census of agriculture. Data for this census are published in Volume 5, Special Reports.

DISCLOSURES

In many tables, data are suppressed and a (D) is used instead of a number. To ensure the confidentiality of information on a characteristic of an individual farm, it is necessary to suppress data when the value of an item for one or two farms can be definitely or approximately determined by mathematical manipulations.

Suppressions of data made within frequency distributions are accomplished in a way that will maintain maximum integrity of the frequency groups as suppressions are made whenever possible in adjacent frequency classes. This allows the user, by subtraction from the total, to have a farm count and total quantity reported for the combined suppressed frequencies. Although the published frequency data are not complete, the truncated frequency distribution is available for analysis purposes.

1974 DATA CORRECTIONS

Some 1974 data have been revised since the 1974 volume I publications were released. The major revisions were for:

Madera County, California-number of heavy breed turkeys sold and related data.

Maricopa County, Arizona-acreage of improved pecans and related data.

DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Definitions and explanations of terms in this section provide more detailed descriptions for selected items and terms than are available on the report form or in the tables. Differences in definitions between censuses, data deficiencies, and special processing performed for individual or related data items are also explained. For an exact wording of the questions on the sample and nonsample forms used in 1978 for the conterminous United States, and the information sheet which accompanied these forms, see appendix D.

For all tables, historical data are shown whenever individual items are comparable, otherwise an (NA) is used. Some historical data may differ slightly from totals included in prior census publications due to rounding of fractions and dollar values.

Farms or farms reporting—The term "farms" or "farms reporting" in the presentation of data denotes the number of farms reporting the item. For example, if there are 3,710 farms in a State and 842 of them had 28,594 cattle and calves, the data for those farms reporting cattle and calves would appear as:

Cattle and calves	 •	•	•	 	 	•		.farms	•				842
								numb	er		 2	8,	594

Land in farms—The acreage designated in the tables as "land in farms" consists primarily of agricultural land used for crops, pasture, or grazing. It also includes woodland and wasteland not actually under cultivation or used for pasture or grazing, provided it was part of the farm operator's total operation. Large acreages of woodland or wasteland held for nonagricultural purposes were deleted from individual reports during the processing operations.

Land in farms is an operating unit concept and includes land owned and operated as well as land rented from others. Land used rent free was to be reported as land rented from others. Except for open range and grazing land used under government permits, all grazing land was included as "land in farms" provided it was part of a farm or ranch. Grazing land operated by grazing associations was to be reported by the person chiefly responsible for conducting the business of the association. All land in Indian reservations used for growing crops or grazing livestock was to be included as land in farms. Land in Indian reservations not reported by individual Indians or non-Indians was to be reported in the name of the cooperative group that used the land. In some instances, an entire Indian reservation was reported as one farm. Land area—The approximate total land area of counties and States shown for 1978 represents the land area as determined by records and calculations updated as of January 1, 1979. These data are updated periodically; however, the acreage shown for 1978 are essentially the same as in 1974. Any differences between the land area in 1978 and 1974 are due to annexations, disincorporations, and other changes affecting county boundaries.

Land in two or more counties—With few exceptions, the land in each farm was tabulated as being in the operator's principal county. The principal county was defined as the one where the largest value of agricultural products were raised or produced. It was usually the county containing all or the largest proportion of the land in the farm. For a limited number of Western States, this procedure has resulted in the allocation of more land in farms to a county than the total land area of the county. To minimize this distortion, separate reports were required for large farms, identified from the 1974 census and prior special surveys as having more than one separately reportable farm unit. Other reports received showing land in more than one county were separated into two or more reports if the data would significantly affect the county totals. These reports were assigned to the appropriate counties during office processing.

Value of land and buildings-Farm operators receiving the sample form were asked to report their estimate of the current market value of land and buildings owned, rented or leased from others, and rented or leased to others. Market value refers to the respondent's estimate of what the land and buildings would sell for under current market conditions. For 1978, this information is estimated from the replies of a sample of farms; whereas for 1974, all farm operators were asked to provide these data. If the value of land and buildings was not reported, it was estimated during processing by using the average value of land and buildings from a similar farm in the same geographic area.

Other land—This category includes land in house lots, barn lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. The definition of "other land" is the same in 1978 and 1974 only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more. In 1974, for farms with sales of less than \$2,500, "other land" included pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pasture in addition to land in house lots, barn lots, ponds, roads, and wasteland.

Land set aside in the Federal Farm Program—The 1978 report form included a new inquiry on the number of acres set aside in 1978 under the provisions of the Federal Farm Program. These data are for acres of cropland taken out of production by growers of wheat, corn, grain sorghums, and barley, and instead planted in cover crops, soil-improvement grasses, etc. No information was obtained as to which grains would have been grown on the acres set aside.

Foreign ownership of agricultural land—An inquiry measuring the impact of foreign ownership of agricultural land was added to the 1978 report forms. All farm operators were asked to report the number of acres owned by individuals who are not U.S. citizens; or owned by foreign-held corporations, unincorporated associations, or foreign governments.

In some cases, operators using land owned by others may not know the beneficiary owner of the land or, if the owner is known, they may not know the citizenship of the landlord. Therefore, a "don't know" category was included on the report form. Corporations with 50 percent or more of the stock held by foreign investors were considered foreign owned. Any land owned and operated by such corporations was included as land held under foreign ownership.

County summary table 36 provides operators response to the foreign ownership inquiry. Counts are provided for those responding "yes", "no", "don't know", and "no response". No attempt was made to contact nonrespondents.

Operator-The term "operator" designates a person who operates a farm, either doing the work or making day-to-day decisions about such things as planting, harvesting, feeding, marketing, etc. The operator may be the owner, a member of the owner's household, a salaried manager, a tenant, a renter, or a sharecropper. If he/she rents land to others or has land worked on shares by others, he/she is considered the operator only of the land which he/she retains for his/her own operation. For partnerships, only one partner is counted as an operator. If there is no clear-cut partner in charge, then the senior or oldest active partner is considered to be the operator. For census purposes, the number of operators is the same as the number of farms. In some cases, the operator was not the individual named on the address label of the report form, but another family member, a partner, or a hired manager who was actually in charge of the farm operations.

Operator characteristics—Data on characteristics such as residence, race, Spanish origin, age, sex, principal occupation, and off-farm work were collected from all operators in 1978. In the 1974 census, operator characteristics were collected only for all farms with sales of less than \$2,500 and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more operated by individuals (sole proprietorships) and partnerships. If operator characteristics of race, age, sex, and principal occupation were not reported for 1978, they were derived based on reports with similar acreage size, tenure, and sales size. No adjustments were made for nonresponse to residence, Spanish origin, and off-farm work.

Extensive verification and recontacting were done for positive responses to Spanish origin, especially in Southern States. It was found that a number of these responses were in error, apparently the word American in "Mexican American" was mistaken as American origin.

Write-in entries of "other" race were clerically reviewed and recoded where necessary; entries without an accompanying write-in description were not verified by recontacting the respondent. Based on demographic classifications, "other" race is limited to native non-Spanish populations of Central and South American countries.

In the presentation of data on race of operator, the classification "Black and other races" includes Blacks, American Indians, Asian or Pacific Islanders, and any other separate racial group excluding White. For the 1978 census, operators of

Spanish origin are tabulated by reported race. Prior censuses included Spanish origin as "White" whenever separate data for the White race were shown.

The 1978 Census of Agriculture is the first census to collect data on the sex of the operator. For farm operations where both husband and wife participated, the response was determined by the parties involved.

Selected production expenses—Data on selected production expenses incurred in 1978 were requested from farm operators reporting on the sample form. Only selected production expenses were requested; thus, the expense data cannot be used in combination with gross sales to calculate net farm income. The 1974 census attempted to gather selected expenses plus a category "all other production expenses" to arrive at total expenses. This category was to include items such as depreciation, taxes, interest, rent, and insurance for the farm business. Because of the burden to respondents and inaccuracy in reporting, the "all other production expenses" inquiry was not included on the 1978 report form. The 1979 Farm Finance Survey provides estimates on net farm income.

For 1978, as with earlier censuses, expense data in some cases were not fully reported especially by operations producing crops, livestock, or poultry on a contract basis. Many such operators were unable or unwilling to estimate the value of production inputs furnished by the contractor. Estimates were made for individual expense items based on reported crop and livestock information, or on averages or ratios of expense items reported by similar farms in the same geographic area.

Commercial fertilizer—The expense for commercial fertilizer is the amount spent on fertilizer during 1978, excluding the cost of application. Some fertilizer purchased in 1978 may not have been applied during the year. If the fertilizer was applied by someone other than the operator, the cost of application is included as an expense for customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment. Some operators may have included the cost of application with the amount spent on fertilizer.

Other agricultural chemicals, including lime-Expenses include the cost of all insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, other pesticides, lime, etc., excluding costs of application. Data exclude commercial fertilizer purchased.

Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment—This expense represents costs incurred for having customwork done on the place and for renting machines to perform agricultural operations. The cost of cotton ginning is excluded. The cost of labor involved in the customwork service is included in the customwork expense. The cost of labor used on rented or hired machinery is included as a hired farm and ranch labor expense.

Energy and petroleum products—More extensive data on energy usage for the farm business were collected in the 1978 census than in prior censuses. Data were collected on expenses, gallons purchased, and storage capacity for gasoline; diesel fuel; LP gas, butane, and propane; and fuel oil. In addition, data on expenses for natural gas; kerosene, motor oil, and grease; electricity; and all other energy sources were collected. Data for 1974 include only storage capacity and expenses for gasoline; diesel; LP gas, butane, and propane; and a combined total expense for motor oil, grease, piped gas, kerosene, and fuel oil. The 1978 energy data were collected from a sample of farms. Additional data on energy usage and storage capacity are available from the 1979 Farm Energy Survey.

Caution should be used in evaluating storage capacity. Farms not reporting storage capacity were not identifiable from farms with no storage capacity; therefore, the totals understate the actual storage capacity available.

Value of agricultural products sold—This item represents the gross market value before taxes and production expenses of all agricultural products sold or removed from the place in 1978 regardless of who received the payment. It includes sales by the operator as well as the value of any shares received by partners, landlords, contractors, and others associated with the operation.

The value of agricultural products sold represents the sum of all crops including nursery products sold, and livestock and poultry and their products sold. It does not include income from farm-related sources such as customwork or agricultural services, or income from nonfarm sources. For 1974 and 1969, the value of agricultural products sold included sales of forest products from farms and ranches.

The value of crops sold in 1978 does not necessarily represent the sales from crops harvested in 1978. Data include sales from crops produced in earlier years and exclude some crops produced in 1978, but held in storage and not sold in 1978. For crops sold through a co-op which made payments in several installments, only the total value received in 1978 was to be reported.

The value of agricultural products sold was collected from all operators. Where the operator failed to report a value of sales, estimates were made based on the amount of crops harvested or the number of livestock or poultry sold. Extensive estimation was required for operators growing crops or livestock under contract.

Caution should be applied when comparing sales in 1978 with sales reported in earlier censuses due to the extreme fluctuations in per-unit prices between census years.

Income from machine work, customwork, and other agricultural services—This category consists of gross income received during 1978 by farm operators for providing machine work, customwork, and other agricultural services for others such as plowing, planting, harvesting, etc. Entries were deleted when duplicate information was reported in the 1978 Census of Agricultural Services, or when entries were large enough to consider the agricultural services activity separate from the farm or ranch. The agricultural services part of a farming operation was generally considered a separate operation when income from agricultural services was greater than \$500,000, or when it was \$10,000 or more and greater than the value of agricultural products sold. Data for establishments primarily engaged in agricultural services are published separately in volume 3. Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption—This item represents the value of agricultural products produced and sold directly to individuals for human consumption from roadside stands, farmers' markets, pick-your-own sites, etc. By definition, it excludes nonedible products such as nursery products, cut flowers, wool, grains, etc. Sales of agricultural products by vertically integrated operations through their own processing and marketing operations were excluded where identified.

Fertilizer and lime-Detailed inquiries on acreage fertilized and tonnage of fertilizer applied by crop, which were included on the 1974 census form, were not collected in 1978. Data collected for 1978 are limited to total acres of cropland fertilized excluding cropland used only for pasture, and total acres of pastureland and rangeland fertilized. Data on lime use were requested in both 1978 and 1974. Land fertilized and limed data were collected from a sample of farms in 1978. Total acres of individual crops fertilized and, therefore, may include duplication where two or more crops using the same acreage were each fertilized during the year.

Irrigation—The 1978 report forms included inquiries on acres irrigated for specific crops and total acres irrigated for harvested cropland, pastureland or rangeland, and any other land. Data on methods of irrigation and estimated quantity of irrigation water used by farms and ranches collected in the 1974 and 1969 censuses are available from the 1979 Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey and are included in Volume 5, Special Reports. Irrigation data collected on the 1978 report form are summarized in more detail in volume 2, Part 9, Irrigation on Farms and in Volume 4, Irrigation, which also includes data from off-farm water suppliers covered in the 1978 Census of Irrigation Organizations.

Value of livestock and poultry on farms-Data for the value of livestock and poultry on farms were obtained by multiplying the inventory of each major age and sex group by the State average price. The State average prices for cattle, hogs, sheep, angora goats, hens and pullets of laying age, and turkeys were obtained primarily from data published by the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Prices applied to other livestock and poultry were census-derived averages based primarily on reported value of sales in the 1978 Census of Agriculture. The 1974 and 1969 data were developed in a similar manner.

Poultry hatched—The 1978 data include all poultry hatched during the year that were placed or sold; whereas for 1974, data include only poultry sold. For example, chickens hatched and raised for layer replacement on the same farm are included in 1978 data for poultry hatched, but excluded from 1974 totals. The value of hatchery sales is included in the category "Poultry and Poultry products."

Fish and other aquaculture products-The raising of fish and other aquaculture products in captivity was included as agri-

cultural production by the 1972 Standard Industrial Classification, and data for such operations were included in the agriculture census for the first time in 1974. The value of fish and other aquaculture products sold is included in the category "Other livestock and livestock products." Production in salt water was considered not to be in captivity and was excluded.

Bees and honey—Bee and honey production was enumerated and tabulated in the county in which the home farm was located (even though hives are often moved from farm to farm over a wide geographic area for pollination of crops). The completeness and accuracy of these data are affected by the fact that some bee operations may not have been on the mail list and some operators on the mail list may not have considered beekeeping to be an agricultural operation, and therefore did not report.

Citrus enumeration-For the 1978 census, reports for selected citrus caretakers in Arizona, Florida, and Texas were obtained by direct enumeration. This special enumeration has been used in recent censuses because of the difficulty in identifying and enumerating absentee grove owners who often do not know the information that is needed to adequately complete the report form. A citrus caretaker is an organization or person caring for or managing citrus groves for others. Each citrus caretaker was enumerated as a farm operator and requested to complete one report form for all groves cared for and to furnish a list of grove owners' names, addresses, and number of acres of citrus. The names on the lists were matched to completed grove owners' reports to eliminate duplication. The caretaker was also reguested to inform the grove owner that he had already reported for the citrus under his care and that the grove owner was not to report again. In the 1978 census, 8 caretakers in Arizona reported 250 grove owners having 12,000 acres of citrus; the 100 caretakers in Florida reported 6,600 grove owners having 240,000 acres of citrus; and 27 caretakers in Texas reported 1,500 grove owners having 30,000 acres of citrus.

More detailed information by State and county are included in volume 2, part 6.

Crop year or season covered—Acres and quantity harvested are for the calendar year 1978 except for citrus fruits, avocados, and olives; vegetables in Florida; sugarcane in Florida and Texas; and pineapples and coffee in Hawaii.

Citrus fruits—The data relate to the crops harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the 1977-78 marketing season.

Avocados—The data for California relate to the quantity harvested from November 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978; the data for Florida relate to the quantity harvested or to be harvested from April 1978 through March 1979.

Olives—The data relate to the quantity harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the October 1977 to March 1978 harvest season.

Vegetables—The data for Florida relate to the crop harvested for the September 1, 1977 through August 31, 1978, harvest season.

Sugarcane-The data for Florida and Texas relate to the reported cuttings from November 1977 through April 1978.

Pineapples and coffee—The data for Hawaii for pineapples relate to quantity harvested for the year ending May 31, 1978, and coffee for the 1977-78 crop.

Acres and quantity harvested--Crops were reported in whole acres, except for the following crops which were reported in 10ths of acres: Irish potatoes, sweetpotatoes, tobacco, fruit and nut crops including land in orchards, berries, vegetables, and nursery and greenhouse products; in Hawaii, taro, ginger root, and lotus root. Totals for crops reported in tenths of acres were rounded to whole acres at the aggregate level during the tabulation process.

If two or more crops were harvested from the same land during the year, the acres would be counted for each crop. Therefore, the total acres of all crops harvested generally exceeds the acres of cropland harvested. The exception to this procedure is hay crops. When more than one cutting of hay was taken from the same acres, the acres are counted only once but the quantity harvested includes all cuttings. However, hay cut for both dry hay and green chop or silage would be reported for each applicable crop. For interplanted crops or "skip-row" crops, acres were to be reported according to the portion of the field occupied by each crop.

If a crop was planted but not harvested, the acres were not to be reported as harvested. These acres were to be reported in the "land use" section under the appropriate cropland items cropland used only for pasture or grazing, cropland used for cover crops, cropland on which all crops failed, cropland in cultivated summer fallow, or cropland idle.

For crops grown purposely for grazing, quantity harvested was not requested. Acres for these crops were to be reported as "cropland harvested" and not as "cropland used only for pasture or grazing." This procedure applies to the following crops:

Corn cut for dry fodder, hogged or grazed Cowpeas hogged or grazed or cut for silage Sorghums hogged or grazed Soybeans hogged or grazed or cut for silage

Quantity harvested was not obtained for crops such as vegetables, nursery and greenhouse products, and soybeans plowed under.

Acres of land in bearing and nonbearing fruit orchards, citrus or other groves, vineyards, and nut trees were to be reported as harvested cropland regardless of whether the crop was harvested or failed. However, abandoned orchards were to be reported as cropland idle, not as harvested cropland or for the individual crop acreages.

Data for hay represent all hay crops, including grass silage and hay crops cut and fed green. In production data, dry tons represent dry tonnage for the various hay categories and dry weight equivalents for grass silage and hay cut and fed green. The conversion used was 3 tons of green weight to 1 ton of dry weight. **Crop units of measure**—For some crops, the operator could report quantity harvested in a unit of measure currently in use in the area. These crops were: Corn for grain or seed, in bushels shelled or hundredweight shelled; sorghums for grain or seed, in bushels or pounds; rice in 100-lb. bags, bushels, or 162-lb. barrels. Corn for grain and sorghums for grain are published in bushels and rice in 100-lb. bags. Grapes could be reported in dry weight or fresh weight; plums and prunes in fresh weight or prunes in dry weight; and in Hawaii, coffee in pounds parchment or pounds cherry, and macadamia nuts in pounds husked, unshelled or pounds shelled. For other fruit and nut crops and citrus, the operator was given a choice of units of measure of pounds, tons, or boxes. The quantity harvested for these crops are published in pounds.

Write-in crops—To reduce the length of the report form, only the major crops for the United States were prelisted (see sections 2 and 3 of the report form). For the other crops, the respondent was requested to look at a list of crops in each section and write in the crop name and its code (see sections 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 of the report form). For crops that had no individual code listed on the report form, the respondent was to write in the crop name and code the crop into the appropriate "all other" category for that section. Crops not coded by the respondent were coded during processing before keying. Writein crops coded as "all other" were reviewed and assigned a specific code when possible. Crops not assigned a specific code were left in the appropriate "all other" category.

In some cases, the reviewers were unable to determine the specific crop reported by the respondent because of incomplete or generalized crop names. To ensure proper coding, most of these respondents were telephoned; reports for those not telephoned were changed on the basis of other reports for the area.

Misreported or miscoded crops—In a few instances, tabulated data may be inaccurate because respondents misunderstood or misinterpreted questions on the report form. Data may have been reported on the wrong line or in the wrong section of the report, or the wrong crop code may have been placed beside the name of a write-in crop. Some of these errors as well as some keying errors may not have been identified during processing and therefore were not corrected. Reports with unusual crops for the area were examined to minimize the possibility that they were in error.

Comparability of crop data—For the 1974 and 1969 censuses, data for all crops are available for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more while only data for the major crops—corn, sorghums, soybeans, peanuts, wheat, cotton, tobacco, potatoes, hay, vegetables, land in orchards, and berries are available for all farms. For 1978, data for all crops are available for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more and for all farms.

For a number of commodities, less detailed data were collected in 1978 than in 1974. In these instances, 1974 data have been combined to provide comparable figures wherever possible.

The "acres of vegetables harvested" in 1978 and 1969 was the summation of the acres of individual vegetables harvested.

but in 1974 it was the total land used for vegetable crops. For "land used for vegetable crops," the acres were to be reported only once even though two or more harvests of a vegetable or more than one vegetable were harvested from the same acres.

The "other crops" in the tables are not comparable from census to census as crops are added and deleted from the report form each census.

Value of crop production-This item represents the estimated value of all crops harvested during the 1978 crop year. Data for the value of crops harvested were obtained by multiplying the average estimated value per unit by the reported acres or guantity harvested. Generally, harvested units of production (pounds, bushels, bales, etc.) were multiplied by State estimates of prices per unit. If only acres harvested were reported. State estimates for value of production per acre were used. The State average production price and production value per acre used in these calculations were obtained in most part from publications of the Economic, Statistics, and Cooperative Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). When USDA estimates were not available. Bureau of the Census statisticians made estimates using available sources such as data from adjacent States, respondents, report forms, county extension agents, and other persons knowledgeable about specific crops.

"See Text" References

Items in the tables which carry the note "See text" are explained or defined in this section. Also, additional definitions and explanations for some items are provided.

Data for 1978 are based on a sample of farms-Sections 22 through 27 of the census report form 78-A1(S), which include inquiries on commercial fertilizer and lime, chemicals, machinery and equipment, expenses for energy and petroleum products, selected production expenses, and value of land and buildings, were requested of all certainty (large) farms and approximately 20 percent of all other farms. As these data are subject to sampling error, estimates of the sampling reliability of county totals for selected items are shown in table D.

Farms operated by Black and other races—For 1974 and 1978, "other races" includes American Indians, Asian and Pacific Islanders, and all other racial groups other than White. The 1969 data are limited to farms operated by Blacks. Additional information on race of operator is provided under "operator characteristics".

All other races—This category is limited to native non-Spanish populations of Central and South American countries.

1974 data apply only to individual or family operations (sole proprietorships) and partnerships—For 1974, farm operator characteristics were not collected from corporations, cooperatives, prison farms, grazing associations, and Indian reservations. For 1978, characteristics and occupation of the senior partner or person in charge were collected from all farms, regardless of the type of organization. Other type of organization—This category includes cooperatives, estates or trusts, prison farms, grazing associations, and Indian reservations.

Market value of agricultural products sold—This item represents the gross market value, before taxes and expenses, of all agricultural products sold in the census year including livestock and poultry and their products, and crops including nursery products and hay. The data include landlords' and contractors' shares. The 1974 and 1969 data include sales of forest products.

Farms with value of agricultural products sold less than \$2,500-In 1978, this category includes all farms, except abnormal farms, with sales less than \$2,500. In 1969 and 1974, farms reporting sales less than \$2,500 but having the production potential for sales of \$2,500 and over are included in the category farms with sales of \$2,500 and over. In frequency distributions, the 1969 and 1974 farms with potential sales of \$2,500 and over are included in the \$2,500 to \$4,999 sales group.

Other livestock and livestock products—Production of fish and laboratory animals is included in this category in 1974 and 1978; whereas, production of these items was excluded entirely from the 1969 census.

Poultry hatched—For 1978, this category includes all poultry hatched during the year that were placed or sold; whereas for 1974, it only included poultry sold. Incubator egg capacity on December 31, 1978, is tabulated under the column heading Inventory and the number of poultry hatched is under the heading Sales.

Worms—For 1978, the production of worms was separately identified; whereas for 1974, it was not. Inventory consists of the number of standard worm beds in production. A standard worm bed was considered to be 24 cubic feet measuring 8 feet by 3 feet by 1 foot. Sales of worms are shown in pounds. Worm casting sales are included in livestock products sold.

Hay crops (tons, dry)—Data shown for hay represent all hay crops, including grass silage and hay crops cut and fed green. In production data, dry tons represent dry tonnage for the various hay categories and dry weight equivalents for grass silage and hay cut and fed green. The conversion used was 3 tons of green weight to 1 ton of dry weight.

Other tame dry hay—The 1974 categories clover-timothy hay, lespedeza hay, coastal Bermuda grass hay, and other hay have been combined into "other tame dry hay" in 1978.

All vegetables harvested for sale-In 1978 and 1969, the acres of vegetables harvested is the summation of the acres of individual vegetables harvested; while in 1974, it is the total land used for vegetable crops.

Land used for vegetables—Data are the total land used for vegetable crops. The acres are reported only once, even though two or more harvests of a vegetable or more than one vegetable were harvested from the same acres.

Grapes (pounds)—Farm operators were given the option of reporting the quantity of grapes harvested in dry weight or fresh weight. For publication purposes, all quantities of grapes harvested have been converted to pounds fresh weight.

All nursery and greenhouse products—Data are a summation of the individual items presented.

Excludes abnormal farms—Abnormal farms include institutional farms, experimental and research farms, and Indian reservations. Institutional farms include those operated by hospitals, penitentiaries, schools, grazing associations, government agencies, etc.

Farms by standard industrial classification—See explanation under Farm Classifications.

FARM CLASSIFICATIONS

State tables 29 through 35 present detailed 1978 data for all farms classified by specified characteristics—tenure of operator, type of organization, age and principal occupation of operator, size of farm (acres), value of agricultural products sold, and standard industrial classification. In 1974, comparable detailed data were tabulated only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more. Other tables include data classified by value of sales groups, or other characteristics of the farm or the operator.

Farms by value of agricultural products sold or value of sales – In a number of State and county tables, data are shown separately for all farms and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more, and for various value of agricultural products sold or value of sales size groups.

For 1978, all farms except abnormal farms have been tabulated by size based on reported sales. Thus, the category "farms with sales of \$2,500 or more" includes only farms with actual sales of \$2,500 or more. For 1969 and 1974, farms reporting sales of less than \$2,500 but having the production potential for sales of \$2,500 or more are included in most tables under the category farms with sales of \$2,500 or more based on potential sales.

In 1969, 1974, and 1978, abnormal farms were not tabulated based on actual or potential sales. Detailed data for abnormal farms are included in all farm data shown in State table 34, but are excluded from totals for all farms shown in other State tables 29 through 35. Otherwise, data for abnormal farms are included in totals for all farms, but are excluded from totals for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

In 1969 and earlier censuses, data were classified into nine groups referred to as "economic classes." For farms with sales of \$2,500 or more, the value-of-products-sold classifications used in 1974 and 1978 are the same as the value ranges used for establishing economic classes 1 through 5. Data for farms with sales of less than \$2,500, classified in 1969 and earlier censuses as class 6, part time and part retirement, are not directly comparable to the 1974 and 1978 value-of-product classes because of the change in farm definition and modifications in farm classification.

Farms by tenure of operator—The classifications of tenure used for both the 1978 and 1974 censuses are:

Full owners, who operate only land they own.

- Part owners, who operate land they own and also land they rent from others.
- *Tenants,* who operate only land they rent from others or work on shares for others.

Detailed 1978 data by tenure of operator are shown in State table 29 for all farms, excluding abnormal farms, and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

Farms by type of organization—The 1978 Census of Agriculture was the first census in which all farms were classified by type of organization. In the 1969 and 1974 censuses, these data were collected and tabulated only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

The classifications used were:

- Individual or family operation (sole proprietorship), excluding partnership and corporation.
- Partnership operation, including family partnership.

Corporation, including family corporation.

Other, such as cooperative, estate or trust, institutional farm, etc.

For 1978, corporations were subclassified by two additional characteristics into:

- a. Family held.
 - Other than family held.
- b. More than 10 stockholders.
 10 or less stockholders

These subclassifications were not used in the 1974 Census of Agriculture. However, somewhat similar classifications were obtained in a follow-on survey of all corporations identified in the census, which were published in volume IV, part 5 of the 1974 Census of Agriculture. This survey collected detailed information on family and nonfamily corporations, number of shareholders, and other classifications and characteristics of corporations at both the firm (company) and farm levels. In the 1969 census, corporations were classified only by number of shareholders; those having more than 10 or those having 10 or fewer shareholders.

Caution should be exercised when comparing 1978 corporation data to those of the 1974 survey and the 1969 census. For the 1974 survey, respondents were given a choice of four kinds of corporations from which to select the one that best described their operation: Family corporation, independent corporation, parent corporation (with one or more subsidiaries), and subsidiary of another corporation. Classification rules used prevented an independent, parent, or subsidiary corporation from being classified as a family corporation. The two-way choice of family held or other than family held used in 1978 has resulted in a decrease in the number and proportion of nonfamily held corporations reported.

A followup study of the 1969 data indicated that a sizable number of farms were incorrectly reported as corporations, especially in the Southern States. The 1974 survey was used to identify and correct the misreporting of corporations in that census. In the 1978 census, some reports incorrectly had both individual or family operation (sole proprietorship) and family held corporation indicated. These reports were reviewed during the processing phase and resolved based on whether or not the respondent operated under a corporate name, had reported as a corporation in the 1974 census, or was shown to be a corporation on one or more of the administrative records used in developing the census mail list.

Farms by age and principal occupation of operator—Data on age and principal occupation were obtained from all operators for 1978. In 1974, these data were collected only for all farms with sales of less than \$2,500 and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more operated by individuals (sole proprietorships) and partnerships. Detailed characteristics are shown by six age-of-operator groups in combination with occupation information for all farms in State table 31 and for farms with sales of less than \$20,000 in State table 32. The principal occupation classifications used for 1978 were:

- Farming-The operator spent 50 percent or more of his work time in 1978 in farming or ranching.
- Other-- The operator spent 50 percent or more of his worktime in 1978 in occupations other than farming. Some operators engaged in ranching marked "other" as their principal occupation. Most such obvious errors were corrected during processing.

Farms by size – All farms were classified by size according to the total land area in each operation. The land area of a farm is an operating unit concept and includes land owned and operated as well as land rented from others. Land rented to or assigned to a tenant was considered the tenant's farm and not the owner's. Detailed characteristics of all farms by 12 size-of-farm groups are presented in State table 33.

Farms by standard industrial classification—As in the 1974 census, agricultural production establishments (farms, ranches, nurseries, greenhouses, etc.) are classified by the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system in the 1978 census. These classifications, found in the 1972 SIC Manual, are used to promote uniformity and comparability in the presentation of statistical data collected by various agencies.

An establishment primarily engaged in crop production (major group 01) or livestock production (major group 02) is classified in the 3- or 4-digit industry group which accounts for 50 percent or more of the total value of sales of its agricultural products. If the total value of sales of agricultural products of an establishment was less than 50 percent from a single 4-digit industry, but 50 percent or more from the products of two or more 4-digit industries within the same 3-digit industry group, the establishment is classified in the miscellaneous industry of that industry group; otherwise, it is classified as a general crop farm in industry 0191 or a general livestock farm in industry 0291.

All farms in the 1978 census were classified by SIC. In the 1974 census, farms with sales of less than \$2,500 were not classified by SIC. In 1978, those agricultural producers having no sales reported were retained as farms and classified as general livestock farms in industry 0291 if livestock or pasture were reported or as general crop farms in industry 0191. Characteristics of all farms by selected SIC groupings are shown in State tables 11 and 35. Additional and more complete 1978 data for various SIC classifications are published in volume 2, part 8.

UNPUBLISHED DATA

Additional data have been assembled in a series of unpublished tables. The unpublished State table "Summary by Standard Industrial Classification of Farms by Value of Sales: 1978" has a format similar to State table 34. The largest size group in the boxhead is \$100,000 or more. Data are shown for the following SIC groupings:

Cash grain farms (011) Field crop farms except cash grain farms (013) Cotton farms (0131) Tobacco farms (0132) Sugar crop, Irish potato, hay, peanut, and other field crop farms (0133) Vegetable and melon farms (016) Fruit and tree nut farms (017) Horticultural specialty farms (018) General farms, primarily crop (019) Livestock farms except dairy, poultry, and animal specialty (021)Beef cattle farms, except feedlots (0212) Dairy farms (024) Poultry and egg farms (025) Animal specialty farms (027) General farms, primarily livestock (0291)

The following unpublished county summary tables include data tabulated by detailed size groupings:

Farms by Size of Farm: 1978 and 1974 Acreage and Value of Owned and Rented Land: 1978 Farms by Acres of Cropland Harvested: 1978 and 1974 Place of Residence of Operator by Occupation and Off-Farm Work: 1978 Hired Farm Labor by Number of Hired Workers: 1978 Farms by Expenses for Hired Farm or Contract Labor: 1978 Broilers Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Cows and Heifers That Had Calved-Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Beef Cows Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Milk Cows Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Cattle and Calves Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Cattle and Calves Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Fattened Cattle Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Hogs and Pigs Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Hogs and Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Feeder Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Other Hogs and Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Sheep and Lambs Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Sheep and Lambs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Data similar to that shown in State table 28 for the acreages of crops harvested and production by acreage size have been summarized at the county level, but have not been tabulated. These summaries can be tabulated at a minimal cost depending on the detail of data requested.

For information on the availability and cost of unpublished tabulations, please write to the Chief, Agriculture Division, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.

NONSAMPLING ERRORS

Every census or survey is subject to errors. In addition to sampling variability, errors may arise from such sources as incorrect or incomplete reporting, processing, and the inability to obtain a report from each eligible reporting unit. Sampling variability is discussed in Statistical Adjustments.

Reported data in the census may be incorrect as a result of the misunderstanding of questions or because of the use of estimates in reporting. During processing, adjustments were made to data items which appeared to be inconsistent with other items reported for the same farm.

Respondents may have failed to provide all of the information requested. In some cases, the respondent may have indicated the presence of an item but not the amount. Imputations were made for missing data on the quantities and sales of crops and livestock but no imputations were made for place of residence or foreign ownership of land.

Careful efforts were made to keep errors introduced during clerical and electronic processing to a controlled level through the use of quality control, verification, and check measures on specific operations.

Extreme values in the direct enumeration sample (those contributing a substantial proportion of the estimated total) were reviewed individually and sometimes reduced. This will result in a possible downward bias in estimated totals.

Inclusion of the direct enumeration sample in 1978 resulted in a matching operation to identify names and addresses included in both the direct enumeration sample and the mail list. This matching operation was subjected to critical review and verification, including telephone calls to most respondents in the direct enumeration sample who were not matched in normal processing. Duplicates which were not identified during the matching process will result in an upward bias in estimated totals.

An adjustment was made for nonrespondent operations on the mail list. This adjustment employed sampling of both nonrespondent and respondent cases and variation from the adjustment is measured in sampling error; however, any systematic bias is not measured.

An evaluation of coverage is being conducted to measure the extent of undercount or overcount of farms in the census. A description of this evaluation is included in Census Coverage.

CENSUS COVERAGE

Although a complete and fully accurate count of farms, farmland, and farm production is the aim of each nationwide census of agriculture, the complex structure of America's agriculture makes this aim difficult to achieve. Among the complexities are the many places to be included, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the continuing changes in the relationship of operators to the farm operated, the expiration of leases and the initiation or renewal of leases, the problem of obtaining a complete list of agricultural operations, the difficulty of locating and identifying some types of farms, the operator's absence from the farm during the data collection period, and the operator's opinion that part or all of the operation does not qualify and should not be included in the census

An evaluation of coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. Although the primary purpose of these evaluations is to identify problem areas and supply evidence as a basis for improvements, they also provide users of census data with estimates of the completeness of census counts. The results of the coverage evaluation study were published for the 1974 census in Volume IV, **Special Reports**, part 3. This report included estimates of the net percentage of all farms missed in the census. Farm counts for 1974, adjusted for this net undercount, are shown in appendix C.

The inclusion of a direct enumeration sample to supplement the mail list for the 1978 census partially eliminates the major source of undercoverage of farms, namely, incompleteness of the mail list. However, inaccurate counting may still occur because (1) by design, the sample omitted the selection of area segments in places that had 2,500 or more population in 1970; (2) direct enumeration sample interviewers may have under counted or over counted farms; and (3) respondents may have been misclassified as farms or nonfarms during processing.

The coverage evaluation for the 1978 census includes a re-enumeration of a subsample of the direct enumeration segments with matching and verification of differences, identification of operators not included on the mail list in places with 2,500 or more population in 1970 using supplemental questions on the Annual Housing Survey, and verification of the farm-nonfarm classification for a sample of respondents.

The changes in data collection procedures implemented for the 1978 census should substantially reduce undercoverage, and the proportion of farms not included in the census is expected to be between 2 and 3 percent for the United States. Results of the coverage evaluation and other evaluative material are included in volume 5.

STATISTICAL ADJUSTMENTS

Report forms were obtained and tabulated for all the identified larger farms (in general, those with expected sales of \$80,000 or more). In the few instances where the operator did not supply the required information, data were obtained from secondary sources. By means of detailed, programed edit specifications, adjustments or corrections were made during the computer edit operation for internally incomplete or inconsistent data. The review procedures provided for computer printouts of edit changes that exceeded specified limits for the item. These changes were then reviewed for reasonableness by members of the processing staff and necessary corrections made.

Nonresponse

The statistics for each State and county were adjusted to account for nonrespondent smaller operations. The number of

farms for which adjustment was made was estimated on the basis of the results of a canvass of a random sample of mail list addressees who did not respond to the census during the regular followup period. The canvass, using an abbreviated form, was accomplished with the use of the mail and telephone. The sample for each State was designed to provide estimates of the number of nonrespondent farms with a relative standard error of approximately 6 percent. However, approximately one third of the sample could not be contacted resulting in a potential bias in the estimates. The sample estimate of the number of nonrespondent farms at the State level was allocated to strata at the county level using the number of nonrespondents and the proportion of census farms among respondents. A sample of respondent data records was selected by stratum and weighted to represent the nonrespondent farms.

Table A shows for selected items for the State the percent of the published total that the whole farm nonresponse adjustment represents. Adjustment for individual item nonresponse on respondent forms is not included in the percentages. These percentages indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse, i.e., the extent to which the actual data for nonrespondents may not agree with the nonresponse adjustments. The probable range of difference is unknown. However, the degree of uncertainty regarding the accuracy of the data increases as the percentage of the total represented by the nonrespondent adjustment increases.

Direct Enumeration Sample

Because of undercoverage problems with the mail list for the 1969 and 1974 censuses, a direct enumeration area sample was included to supplement the mail list for the 1978 census. Enumeration district maps and data from the 1970 Census of Population and Housing were used to construct the sampling frame from which the direct enumeration sample was selected. The frame was stratified by State and by a farm density ratio (the ratio of farm households to total households). In this State, the selected sample consisted of 123 segments. The expected number of farms per segment varied by strata from none in residential areas to 12 in intensive farm areas and averaged about 10 farms. Farms enumerated in the direct enumeration sample were matched to the mail list and the data for the unmatched farms were weighted by the reciprocal of the probability of selection for the segment to make State estimates for farm operations not represented on the mail list.

Table A Percent of State Total Represented by Adjustment for Whole Farm Nonresponse: 1978

Item	Percent of total
Farms Land in farms Value of agricultural products sold Harvested cropland Corn for grain or seed acres Wheat for grain acres	3.8 4.9
Inventory: Cattle and calves Hens and pullets of laying age Hogs and pigs	4.8 .7 4.1

Mail List Sampling

To obtain county data for appropriate items without burdening all farm operators, data for Sections 22 through 27 of the report form 78-A1(S) were collected only from a sample of farms. The sample was selected from the mail list and consisted of all addresses in a certainty stratum and a random sample of addresses from the noncertainty stratum. The certainty stratum consisted of all addresses meeting specified size criteria in terms of indicated acreage and/or value of sales. Certainty size criteria varied by State from 1,000 to 5,000 indicated acres and from \$40,000 to \$200,000 indicated value of sales based on historic or mail list source data. All addresses in counties with less than 100 farms in 1974 were also selected as certainty. Noncertainty addresses in counties with 100 to 199 farms in 1974 were sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and noncertainty addresses in counties with 200 farms or more in 1974 were sampled at a rate of 1 in 5.

Several elements of the sampling from the mail list and associated data collection may introduce substantial variation and a potential bias into the resulting data. First, the mail list from which the sample was selected contained about 50 percent nonfarm names and addresses which were not identifiable at the time of selection. Both farm and nonfarm names and addresses were selected into the sample. As a result, the farm data actually tabulated came only from part of the sample, specifically the names and addresses which represented farms. Secondly, the stratification was based on size information from several sources and of varying quality. Size information was not available for a substantial proportion of the names and addresses. In addition, sample and nonsample forms may have been returned at a different rate by respondents.

In order to improve the precision and minimize the bias of estimates from the sample, post-stratification was used to produce estimates. Basically, this consisted of classifying all farms into relatively homogenous strata and weighting sample farms within each stratum by the ratio of total farms to sample farms.

Farms meeting certainty size criteria during sample selection and those identified during processing as meeting similar criteria were assigned to a certainty stratum. All other farms were assigned to 64 strata. Farms with sales of less than \$2,500 were classified into eight size-of-farm groups (less than 10 acres, 10 to 49 acres, 50 to 69 acres, 70 to 99 acres, 100 to 199 acres, 200 to 259 acres, 260 to 499 acres, and 500 acres or more); within each of two value-of-sales groups (less than \$1,500 and \$1,500 to \$2,499); within each of two type-of-farm groups (crop or general farms and livestock or poultry farms). Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more were classified into four size-of-farm groups (less than 50 acres, 50 to 99 acres, 100 to 259 acres, and 260 acres or more); within each of the four value-of-sales groups (\$2,500 to \$4,999, \$5,000 to \$9,999, \$10,000 to \$19,999, and \$20,000 or more); within each of two type-of-farm groups (crop or general farms and livestock or poultry farms).

Each stratum was examined and collapsed into another stratum if (1) the stratum contained less than 20 sample farms; or (2) the calculated weight for the stratum was greater than 10 in counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 5 and greater than 4 in counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 2.

The post-stratification provided weights to be assigned to farms in each of the final collapsed strata such that the total of the weights for sample farms in the stratum would be equal to the total number of farms in the stratum.

Estimates were prepared for items in Sections 22 through 27 of the report form by multiplying the data for each item for each farm in the sample by the weight assigned to the farm. The weight for a certainty farm was 1.

Reliability of Census Estimates

Sampling variability in census data may arise from several sources, some affecting all data and others affecting only certain items or geographic levels of tabulation.

The sampling involved in the imputation for nonrespondents affects all data items at all geographic levels of tabulation. However, farms above specified size limits were not eligible for selection to represent nonrespondents. The size limits varied by State from \$60,000 to \$150,000 and from 1,000 to 2,000 acres. As a result of these limits, the sampling variability arises primarily from smaller farms. For computing the estimates of variability due to weighting for nonresponse, the farms selected for weighting were considered to be a random sample of all farms in the stratum from which they were selected.

Estimates from the direct enumeration sample are not included in county totals but contribute to sampling variability of State totals for all data items.

The sample selected from the mail list provides estimated data only for Sections 22 through 27 of the report form. It contributes to sampling variability only for the items in these sections. Including the certainty farms and sample farms, data for Sections 22 through 27 were collected on approximately 26 percent of the farms nationwide.

Table B includes estimates of sampling reliability of State totals for a selected set of items. The estimates of sampling reliability for total number of farms, land in farms, harvested cropland, irrigated land, crops harvested, livestock, and value of agricultural products sold include components of variation for nonresponse imputation and for the direct enumeration sample. The component of variation for nonresponse imputation is relatively small and the direct enumeration sample is the major source of variation for these items. Estimates of sampling reliability for fertilizer use, chemical use, value of machinery and equipment, and value of land and buildings contain components of variation for the direct enumeration sample and the mail list sample.

Table C contains estimates of sampling reliability for the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item in table D. These estimates of sampling reliability contain com-

ponents of variation arising from the mail list sample. The approximate standard error in percent may be read directly from the table based on the number of farms reporting the item. For a number of farms not shown in the table, a linear interpolation will provide a reasonable approximation. These estimates should not be applied to counties indicated to be certainty in table D.

Table D shows estimates of the sampling variability of selected items for each county and for the portion of the State total pertaining to farms not on the mail list. Estimates for county totals contain variation from the mail list sample and estimates for the portion not on the mail list contain variation from the direct enumeration sample. Items for counties indicated to be certainty in the table are subject to some sampling error but this is expected to be small and is not included. Items in county publications with data collected from all farms contain a component of variation from nonrespondent imputation. This component is usually small and is not shown in the sampling variability tables.

The sample estimates and the estimates of standard errors presented in tables B, C, and D permit the construction of interval estimates with prescribed confidence that the interval includes the average result of all possible samples.

If all possible samples were selected, each of these surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- a. Approximately 67 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
- b. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

For example, suppose the estimated number of farms in a State is 50,000 with a relative standard error of 3.0 percent. Then the standard error is 1,500 (3.0 percent of 50,000) and the chances are 2 out of 3 (67 percent) that complete coverage using the same survey methods would yield between 48,500 and 51,500 farms.

As calculated, the standard error also partially measures the effect of nonsampling errors but does not measure the effect of any systematic biases in the data arising from incorrect reporting by respondents, adjustments for nonresponse, incomplete unduplication, or incomplete coverage of farms.

Table B. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of State Totals for Selected Items: 1978

	All far	m8	Farms with sales of	\$2,500 or more
Item	Quantity	Standard error (percent)	Quantity	Standard error (percent)
Farmsnumber.	88,427	1.1	71 692	
Land in farmsacres	17,037,075	.5	71,622 16,347,748	0.7
Harvested croplandfarms	77,830	.9	66,260	.6
acres.	11,910,343 1,039	.4 10.0	11,748,685 800	.4 .8
acres	75,352	1.2	74,500	1.2
Agricultural products soldfarms	88,427	1.1	71,622	.7
\$1,000 Cropsfarms.	3,381,257 70,295	.3 .7	3,354,156	.3
\$1,000	1,938,097	.5	62,185 1,926,400	.6 .5
Livestock, poultry, and their productsfarms	54,404	1.4	43,160	.8
\$1,000	1,443,159	.3	1,427,757	.3
Value of machinery and equipmentfarms	88,238	1.1	71,833	.7
\$1,000	3,168,947	.7	3,043,161	.7
Average value of land and buildings per farmdollars Corn for grain or seedfarms	307,189	.7	362,033	.7
acres.	58,295 6,111,779	.8	53,612	.6
bushels.	631,229,350	.5	6,058,259 627,244,619	.4
Wheat for grainfarms	20,259	.7	19,691	.5
acres	583,011	.3	577,649	.3
bushels	22,187,429	.2	22,026,445	.2
Soybean for beansfarms	47,201	.7	44,738	.7
bushels	4,108,425 135,534,437	.4 .5	4,077,505 134,826,662	.4
Cattle and calves inventoryfarms.	41,722	1.4	33,811	.9
number	1,570,735	.7	1,491,385	.6
Cattle and calves soldfarms	39,087	1.5	32,935	.7
number.	1,080,592	.8	1,047,525	.7
\$1,000 Hogs and pigs inventoryfarms.	404,215	.6	394,749	.6
number.	24,091 4,200,989	1.9	21,036 4,148,788	1.1
Hogs and pigs soldfarms.	24,316	1.5	21,944	1.1
number \$1,000	6,494,441 596,984	.4 .4	6,452,262 594,119	.4
Sheep and lambs inventoryfarms number	3,813 102,745	2.6	3,178 89,984	3.1 2.0
Sheep and lambs sold,,farms.	3,806	3.6	3,120	3.1
number	84,059	2.1	75,199	2.3
Hens and pullets of laying age inventoryfarms	7,916	5.8	5,330	4.3
number Hens and pullets of laying age soldfarms	15,723,654 1,618	.7 5.9	15,627,090 1,486	.7
number.	12,848,178	.8	12,780,424	6.4 .8
Commercial fertilizer usedfarms	68,156	.8	59,863	.7
acres	10,056,653	.6	9,943,131	.6
Commercial fertilizer purchasedfarms	70,056	.8	61,316	.6
\$1,000 Agricultural chemicals purchasedfarms.	354,611 66,459	.7 .8	351,217 59,082	.7 .7
Agricultural chemicals purchased	116,129	.7	114,973	.7
Chemicals used on crops for insect controlfarms	23,645	1.6	21,243	1.4
acres.	2,957,678	1.3	2,930,428	1.3
Chemicals used on crops for disease controlfarms acres	2,443 178,751	6.5 4.3	2,093 176,935	5.9 4.3
	82,667	1.2	-	
Gasoline purchased,farms,. 1,000 gallons	119,010	.8	67,816 (NA)	.8 (NA)
\$1,000	70,198	.8	67,766	.8
Diesel fuel purchasedfarms	41,709	1.1	39,406	1.0
1,000 gallons \$1,000	102,307 48,393	.9 .9	(NA) 48,103	(NA) .9
Livestock and poultry purchasedfarms.	30,431	1.9	25,523	1.5
\$1,000	287,496	1.2	282,877	1.2
Feed purchased	51,178	1.7	41,737	1.0
\$1,000 Hired farm laborfarms.	392,221 29,695	1.0	385,598 27,293	1.0 1.0
			L 27,12	1.0

Table C. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of Farms Reporting an Item in the County: 1978

Farms reporting item	Standard error (percent)	Farms reporting item	Standard error (percent)
25 farms	20.0 14.0 9.0 5.0	1,000 farms. 1,500 farms. 2,000 farms. 3,000 farms. 4,000 farms. 5,000 farms.	(NA)

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978

.

ſ	Average value of						Che	micals used (on crops for		
County	land and b per i	ouildings	Value of mac equip		Acres fer	ilized	Insect o	control	Disease	control	
		Standard		Standard	· · · · ·	Standard		Standard		Standard	
	Value dollars	error (percent)	Value (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Acres	error (percent)	Acres	error (percent)	Acres	error (percent)	
	210 571		50.0(5		100.050	4.2	21 120	11.0	734	32.0	
AdamsAllen	310,561 326,900	3.7 4.3	50,065 58,192	5.5 3.8	139,353 208,006	4.2	31,130 45,975	11.0	10,178	26.0	
Bartholomew	334,204	3.5	33,262	4.5	118,918	4.9	32,681	15.0	3,168	.5	
Benton	876,201	2.8	55,904	3.8	164,383	2.8	48,732	9.3	5,853	24.0	
Blackford	260,615	8.0	18,291	7.6	64,314	8.1	16,355	27.0	(D)	(D)	
Boone	479,154	2.8	45,373	4.2	165,310	3.2	49,917	8.1	(D)	(D)	
Brown	123,477	6.1	3,158	8.8	5,265	11.0	1,381	21.0	43 1,064	33.0 14.0	
Carroll	526,408 377,071	2.8 4.7	45,440 42,260	2.8	164,843 149,231	2.5	74,941 51,323	4.7	4,501	38.0	
Clark	151,811	9.2	20,664	7.2	46,176	9.4	18,997	16.0	499	23.0	
Clay	267,186	4.7	32,822	6.9	98,041	4.4	25,363	8.8	2,019	25.0	
Clinton Crawford	567,030 96,467	2.5	54,791 6,869	3.4 8.6	167,622 12,175	2.7	46,057 2,117	9.9 30.0	2,654 144	13.0 30.0	
Daviess	265,119	3.2	46,369	4.5	143,017	3.8	43,166	12.0	1,987	11.0	
Dearborn	124,674	5.8	13,468	7.6	25,616	13.0	5,326	24.0	345	32.0	
Decatur	386,644	2.3	45,206	6.4	141, 545	2.0	65,715	5.9	2,974	3.5	
De Kalb	214,101	3.7	29,648	4.6	108,268	4.4	21,620	9.9	3,590	34.0	
Delaware Dubois	395,800 197,928	4.3	40,258 39,350	4.4	134,759	3.7	25,479 29,371	11.0	1,661 836	37.0 50.0	
Elkhart	226,212	3.2	45,580	4.2 3.6	95,379 134,040	4.3	45,338	6.9	2,029	25.0	
Fayette	306,183	7.3	19,309	8.6	54,383	7.1	15,431	17.0	(D)	(D)	
Floyd	112,625	8.1	6,500	12.0	10,834	18.0	2,557	22.0	1,735	53.0	
Fountain	451,312	3.2	38,243	4.1	113,471	3.3	21,628	12.0	(D)	(D)	
Franklin	210,871	6.1	29,090	6.7	71,407	6.5	20,665	15.0	294	45.0	
Fulton	307,130 436,259	5.7 4.3	33,385 50,885	5.6 4.7	119,832 183,577	5.5	31,288 61,916	16.0 8.6	2,656 1,510	10.0 18.0	
Grant	426,786	3.8	42,432	4.5	127,656	4.4	32,915	12.0	987	1.0	
Greene	192,968	4.7	28,806	5.2	85,654	5.3	25,969	10.0	3,653	33.0	
Hamilton	460,716	2.9	38,615	4.6	131,326	4.0	28,647	11.0	40	1.0	
Hancock	430,709	4.5	34,465	4.8	108,387	4.9	32,009	12.0	3,003	49.0	
Harrison Hendricks	122,666 341,990	4.5 3.0	28,093 42,114	5.0 4.5	63,452 120,758	8.1 3.5	13,459 22,389	9.1	1,582	22.0	
Henry	323,575	2.7	41,924	3.6	140, 527	2.6	29,087	13.0 10.0	2,944 629	32.0 21.0	
Howard	512,111	3.9	38,714	6.4	117,879	4.5	41,087	10.0	1,386	3.8	
Huntington	304,864	5.2	40,438	5.5	139,886	6.1	40,626	14.0	1,081	24.0	
Jackson	228,265	5.2	43,033	5.1	142,276	5.5	34,583	12.0	1,292	17.0	
Jasper	602,447	2.7 5.2	52,923 38,458	3.0	223,385	2.6	82,585	6.2	3,205	.1	
Jay Jefferson	262,259 131,535	5.6	20,934	5.5 5.7	111,714 46,752	5.7	20,994 12,505	15.0	1,252 968	29.0 96.0	
Jennings	182,915	6.2	23,918	7.2	68,231	8.6	27,984	15.0	1,908	59.0	
Johnson	396,486	5.8	29,060	5.1	97,333	6.0	31,188	12.0	2,850	14.0	
Knox	489,144	4.0 11.0	61,325 57,222	4.5	188,818	3.8	57,799	5.7	7,045	26.0	
Kosciusko Lagrange	311,321 197,934	3.9	33,519	5.2	181,266 114,178	3.7	60,992 38,885	7.2	920 1,666	63.0 22.0	
Lake	499,995	6.7	27,641	6.0	102,727	7.1	52,038	14.0	4,395	22.0	
La Porte	376,901	5.7	44,900	4.3	183,739	3.4	74,038	7.7	4,824	18.0	
Lawrence	139,715	4.8	21,037	7.0	52,770	8.2	17,670	16.0	585	31.0	
Madison	406,246	5.3	47,066	6.6	155,059	5.5	33,354	13.0	1,725	14.0	
Marion Marshall	361,953 261,473	8.9 3.3	13,613 48,648	7.1	42,983 153,427	11.0 3.3	12,817 31,708	21.0	1,888 2,591	29.0 27.0	
		I			-		,				
Martin Miami	132,672 345,474	7.4	11,351 42,093	13.0	24,536 131,448	9.8 1.6	7,498	12.0	48	94.0	
Monroe	127,224	7.9	9,758	9.7	22,644	17.0	40,759 6,634	3.7	2,457 165	.1 91.0	
Montgomery	443,537	3.7	57,342	3.7	174,045	2.8	53,704	8.6	3,262	24.0	
Morgan	235,100	6.8	20,588	6.4	74,945	9.4	18,227	22.0	3,367	18.0	
Newton	803,255	4.7	41,971	4.9	164,418	4.5	53,594	10.0	3,109	5.7	
Noble	229,154	3.0	38,154	4.1	119,767	3.9	34,582	11.0	4,433	27.0	
Ohio Orange	125,269 151,169	6.4 5.6	5,498 14,905	13.0 6.2	7,779 48,849	14.0	2,578	43.0	18	57.0	
Owen	166,915	7.9	18,093	8.5	49,055	7.7	9,592 8,208	18.0 20.0	504 36	86.0 91.0	
Parke	290,484	3.9	30,186	6.6	89,376	4.1	33,111	11.0	1,751	30.0	
Perry	135,960	7.4	11,642	7.3	24,049	9.9	2,361	26.0	72	70.0	
Pike Porter	281,771 409,858	7.3 4.7	18,778	7.9 5.3	73,130 108,208	7.3	20,953	15.0	1,680	28.0	
Posey	475,754	4.1	45,153	4.3	138,588	5.5	41,879 45,866	8.3	3,487 1,356	34.0 22.0	
Pulaski	450,541	4.0	41,818	4.4	189,258	3.1	57,789	8.5	2,469	22.0 54.0	
Putnam	276,030	4.2	38,073	5.4	117,726	5.8	27,428	13.0	1,565	35.0	
Randolph	348,358	4.0	54,884	4.4	189,922	4.2	41,938	13.0	561	37.0	
Ripley Rush	131,564 484,044	5.2 2.8	29,323 51,295	7.0 3.3	71,379 166,105	6.3 2.6	17,659 59,071	13.0 6.8	908 1,175	13.0 23.0	
St. Joseph	255,080	3.7	34,665	3.9	128,086	3.7	33,719	7.7	2,814	28.0	
Scott	139,220	11.0	12,262	13.0	30,694	13.0	15,362	21.0	632	28.0	
Shelby	446,311	3.2	44,273	4.2	173,780	2.8	43,730	9.4	1,112	42.0	
Spencer	207,761 381,239	6.4 5.6	30,531	7.0 5.4	77,254 110,312	6.0	23,208	14.0	508	59.0	
	212,571	4.7	24,896	5.7	79,790	4.1 (5.0 (44,398 22,918	11.0	1,758	78.0 52.0	
Steuben											
Steuben Sullivan	371,123 104,483	4.7	36,175	4.8	120,003	4.9	25,966	16.0	3,726 1,905	46.0	

г	1		·		·····						
	Average v	alue of	Volue of me				Che	nicals used	on crops for		
County	land and b per f		Value of mac equip		Acres fer	tilized	Insect c	ontrol	Disease	control	
		Standard	·	Chandra and	<u> </u>						
	Value dollars	(percent)	Value (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	
Tinnecanoe	E17 015	2.0	50 445		170.070						
Tippecanoe Tipton	527,825 586,497	3.0 5.7	52,445 32,969	3.8 5.7	170,079	2.9	54,367	9.0	3,797	37.0	
Union	377,806	8.2	15,603	7.2	105,382	5.0	45,024	10.0	2,888	16.0	
Vanderburgh	467,666	7.6	22,144	7.2	56,036 53,106	8.7 6.8	22,727 10,138	20.0	(D)	(D)	
Vermillion	394,543	4.4	15,815	5.1	55,524	5.8	11,392	9.3 7.6	1,715	39.0 80.0	
Vigo	234,428	6.1	25,703	5.5	84,614	5.2	23,224	12.0	2,405	47.0	
Wabash	317,895	2.9	44,369	4.6	143,192	4.2	44,476	7.2	3,093	34.0	
Warren	606,861	3.4	33,966	4.1	99,764	2.8	23,724	10.0	729	0.0	
Warrick	385,001	11.0	29,049	6.8	82,182	7.3	23,200	15.0	157	39.0	
Washington Wayne	143,654 271,012	4.2 4.3	28,778 36,524	4.5 4.2	78,294	4.9	21,947	12.0	394	54.0	
Wells	364,616	2.9	52,502	4.8	122,093 150,967	4.3	26,237 38,444	16.0 10.0	955 1,705	25.0 9.9	
White	689,996	3.7	55,218	4.8	203,960	2.7	66,936	7.1	5,729	36.0	
Whitley	249,261	4.4	41,950	5.7	127,365	4.5	30,202	8.5	2,042	38.0	
Farms not on mail list	84,841	23.0	50,616	21.0	58,682	34.0	30,740	51.0	2,328	95.0	
					Farm producti	on expenses					
County	Gasol	ine	Diesel	fuel	Livestock an purcha		Fee	d	Hired far	n l a bor	
		Standard		Standard		Standard		Standard		Standard	
	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	
Adams	1,076	4.1	770	8.6	6,532	7.3	11,190	5.9	1,169	8.4	
Allen Bartholomew	1,371 766	3.8 6.6	801 443	7.3	7,494 2,802	9.2 8.4	5,515 2,367	8.5 11.0	1,828 1,049	5.9	
Benton	969	4.8	1,132	4.0	1,534	8.9	1,568	11.0	1,301	15.0	
Blackford	481	8.2	325	11.0	1,718	20.0	1,534	16.0	305	11.0	
Boone	1,106	3.3	904	4.9	2,176	4.9	3,723	5.2	2,160	4.7	
Brown	86	10.0	22	13.0	136	33.0	212	23.0 2.8	87 2,412	20.0	
Carroll	993 972	3.5 5.3	761 679	3.0 7.9	12,332 4,329	4.8 14.0	16,450 3,879	8.5	1,174	8.2	
Clark	463	7.8	199	15.0	694	24.0	2,188	19.0	879	16.0	
Clay	806	5.4	556	6.9	1,068	8.7	1,931	15.0	828	12.0	
Clinton	1,022	4.2	871	3.9	2,776	11.0	7,093	6.1	2,250	4.2	
Crawford	187	8.2 5.6	51 781	16.0 7.6	493 5,461	12.0 5.9	1,243 9,044	15.0 4.5	237 2,054	14.0 7.4	
Daviess Dearborn	1,067 363	7.3	92	26.0	518	19.0	1,291	16.0	482	25.0	
Decatur	980	7.6	659	4.4	8,390	9.1	4,783	6.0	1,345	5.0	
De Kalb	924	12.0	372	6.0	1,533	25.0	2,925	11.0	931	16.0	
Delaware	777	3.8	578	4.6	2,266	6.1	2,416	5.6	1,519	5.4	
Dubois	1.028	6.2	460	7.5	12,191	5.5	26,636	2.9	2,414	5.0	
Elkhart	1,099	4.1	574	4.5	9,544	6.5	15,456	7.4	1,961	5.9	
Fayette	402	7.7	251	18.0	1,499	23.0	2,504	8.7	403	15.0	
Floyd	, 143	8.5	43	23.0	484	18.0	969	31.0	665 916	5.3	
Fountain Franklín	728 609	5.5 5.9	707 277	4.7	1,311 2,053	6.7 14.0	1,145 3,305	8.3 5.5	559	7.1	
Fulton	785	4.9	518	8.6	2,353	9.6	4,224	5.4	974	9.8	
Gibson	1,157	5.0	1,040	5.3	4,991	3.6	3,074	7.0	1,672	7.3	
Grant	757	4.0	717	5.5	4,990	8.2	4,287	6.9	1,009	6.4	
Green	812 866	6.1 6.3	408 680	8.3 7.7	1,760 2,690	13.0 7.9	1,711 2,999	7.2 5.2	959 1,656	8.3 7.0	
Hamilton	772	8.3 7.2	574	7.5	3,000	5.3	2,732	9.7	1,030	13.0	
						1		í			
Harrison	793	6.6	269	9.1	2,554	9.9	8,412	7.4	1,172	7.4	
Hendricks	822	4.7	602 647	4.4 6.8	2,617 4,728	11.0 13.0	2,432 3,043	5.7 7.5	1,666 1,442	5.5 11.0	
Henry Howard	881 711	3.6 6.2	614	6.0	2,908	11.0	3,249	5.9	1,442	6.5	
Huntington	853	5.9	639	6.8	3,875	9.3	5,755	11.0	1,002	6.3	
Jackson	1,009	5.9	687 [.]	7.0	4,356	9.5	12,775	2.8	2,355	6.3	
Jasper	1,337	4.0	947	4.0	9,803	3.1	9,007	3.9	3,586	2.1	
Jay	905	6.5 5.4	475 209	8.3 8.7	3,597 702	15.0 12.0	6,687 1,470	11.0 17.0	1,182 804	11.0 9.7	
Jefferson Jennings	449 504	5.4 9.6	383	12.0	1,689	7.9	8,146	1.4	1,719	2.0	
Johnson	646	6.6	450	14.0	3,080	6.7	2,356	9.9	798	8.4	
Кпох	1,269	5.5	1,237	4.7	3,482	6.7	2,728	7.0	2,809 4,102	6.4	
Kosciusko	1,262 727	4.2 6.5	718 417	5.5 6.7	10,899 10,399	6.2 8.0	20,946 10,744	5.2	2,663	4.8	
Lagrange	581	6.4	417	7.6	1,924	11.0	1,318	38.0	1,410	13.0	
Lake La Porte	1,087	4.6	861	4.1	2,481	12.0	2,756	6.9	2,222	4.8	
Lawrence	513	8.9	249	21.0	1,478	16.0	1,879	14.0	587	14.0	
Madison	925	7.1	857	7.9	3,554	13.0 16.0	2,690 814	7.8	1,713 1,524	8.4	
Marion	329 1,182	8.8	298 542	44.0 8.5	399 2,752	8.4	4,968	7.4	1,650	15.0	
Marshall	1,102	5.4	242	0.5	2,752	•••• I	.,		-,	1	

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978-Con.

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978-Con.

					Farm producti	on experses					
County	Gasol	ine	Diesel	fuel	Livestock an purch		Fee	ed	Hired farm labor		
	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	
Martin	271	9.3	88	17.0	1.435	13.0	2,698	12.0	253	15.0	
Miami	922	.8	638	1.8	5,782	2.7	5,957	2.0	1,143	.7	
Monroe	262	14.0	64	20.0	861	17.0	1,044	11.0	342	33.0	
Montgomery	1,095	4.8	992	6.1	4,193	7.2	4,670	5.5	1,325	6.5	
Morgan	553	7.3	325	8.9	1,050	13.0	1,647	12.0	1,249	11.0	
Newton	753	5.6	766	6.0	2,337	3.9	1,788	8.1	2,242	7.5	
Noble	945	4.2	483	6.6	3,619	13.0	5,341	8.3	955	9.8	
Ohio	104	7.2	35	20.0	164	20.0	415	11.0	136	14.0	
Orange	419	6.7	237	9.6	3,307	6.9	3,131	8.9	764	8.4	
Owen	457	6.4	202	9.6	547	17.0	1,034	11.0	458	26.0	
Parke	753	8.2	561	8.2	2,353	21.0	2,220	13.0	1,137	7.8	
Perry	299	9.1	89	16.0	966	24.0	1,515	10.0	140	13.0	
Pike	385	6.8	3 50	8.3	1,022	11.0	1,937	8.1	456	8.3	
Porter	702	4.8	400	9.6	1,857	24.0	1,564	13.0	829	7.3	
Posey	753	3.8	779	4.4	1,641	22.0	1,154	5.9	1,303	11.0	
Pulaski	1,003	4.3	830	3.7	3,092	11.0	4,132	6.2	1,912	7.3	
Putnam	989	5.2	510	8.7	2,542	7.7	3,841	5.6	1,365	6.0	
Randolph	1,097	5.8	752	6.4	2,887	12.0	3,473	6.4	1,491	12.0	
Ripley	647	5.8	198	11.0	1,563	15.0	3,556	9.6	518	11.0	
Rush	947	3.9	869	3.6	5,070	7.1	5,409	4.6	1,580	6.0	
St. Joseph	936	4.1	502	7.9	2,130	10.0	4,129	8.6	1,691	6.2	
Scott	233	11.0	146	22.0	354	29.0	952	28.0	492	14.0	
Shelby	940	4.3	786	5.7	2,592	8.0	3,011	5.4	1,258	5.8	
Spencer	884	18.0	458	15.0	2,586	7.9	3,628	5.9	746	6.7	
Starke	713	5.4	448	9.5	655	11.0	1,739	8.9	1,114	9.2	
Steuben	621	4.8	364	9.0	1,615	14.0	2,913	12.0	1,189	12.0	
Sullivan	767	7.1	760	7.5	1,238	39.0	2,000	30.0	953	11.0	
Switzerland	325	8.2	96	19.0	391	31.0	559	15.0	517	17.0	
Tippecanoe	1,085	4.2	861	4.3	5,086	10.0	5,019	7.1	2,649	4.4	
Tipton	, 667	7.2	492	6.2	2,988	5.0	2,780	5.0	1,353	5.1	
Union	345	9.0	218	9.6	1,214	15.0	1.784	11.0	363	12.0	
Vanderburgh	396	15.0	383	9.8	540	9.5	952	28.0	548	12.0	
Vermillion	383	5.8	293	5.6	1,613	25.0	659	25.0	509	4.8	
Vigo	651	6.1	339	7.3	692	12.0	883	9.4	1,153	15.0	
Wabash	978	4.4	815	5.1	9,960	7.2	10,634	10.0	1,743	12.0	
Warren	674	4.0	739	4.4	794	52.0	979	12.0	1,079	4.9	
Warrick	471	7.7	426	14.0	444	12.0	1,801	11.0	791	12.0	
Washington	717	5.2	275	8.3	3,340	7.5	7,246	8.6	799	6.4	
Wayne	882	4.3	535	5.8	3,682	11.0	5,079	7.8	3,354	4.2	
Wells	924	3.8	730	6.4	2,912	12.0	3,502	8.0	932	6.9	
White	1,079	4.3	1,020	3.5	4,273	10.0	3,863	10.0	1,774	10.0	
Whitley	792	5.2	506	5.5	3,789	11.0	5,862	12.0	865	7.5	
Farms not on mail list	1,032	24.0	256	41.0	1,930	26.0	5,152	34.0	109	47.0	

APPENDIX B. Farms by Current and Prior Definitions and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974

1	Defin	ition used fo	or 1974 and 19	78	Definitio	on used for 1	959, 1964, and	1969	Operations exc	luded by cu 1959 de	rrent definition but not by		
	Farm	5	Value of pro (\$1,0		Farm	15	Value of pro (\$1,0		Farms		Value of produ (\$1,000	icts sold)	
	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	
Indiano	88 427	87 915	3 381 257	2 612 631	102 895	92 961	3 385 119	2 614 029	14 468	5 046	3 862	1 398	
AdamsAllen	1 320 1 858	1 361 1 878	54 886 61 890	42 198 50 564	1 384 1 980	1 413 1 998	54 913 61 932	42 216 50 598	64 122	52 120	27 42	18 34 11	
Bartholomew Benton	851 711	886 707	33 237 56 429	27 786 49 105	920 721	942 718	33 259 56 434	27 797 49 110	69 10 40	56 11 33	21 5 13	5	
Blackford	486 996 229	516 1 108 188	16 756 54 153 1 966	11 696 36 701 1 232	526 1 075 318	549 1 182 225	16 770 54 182 1 984	11 704 36 724 1 243	40 79 89	74 37	29 18	23	
Brown Carroll Cass	935 1 005	1 015	77 721	60 935 39 714	981 1 070	1 055	77 740	60 949 39 730	46 65	40 61	20 21	14 16	
Clark	886	928	15 801	13 012	1 019	1 004	15 837 27 584	13 032 20 445	133 70	76 41	36 18	20 15	
Clay	771 902	835 990	27 566 63 330	20 430 41 144 5 001	841 938	876 1 025 608	63 348 5 583	20 445 41 154 5 008	36 104	35 39	18 21	10 7	
Crawford Daviess Dearborn	485 1 277 936	569 1 333 891	5 562 60 293 9 377	44 602 6 303	589 1 342 1 117	1 387	60 316 9 418	44 617 6 319	65	54 75	24 41	15	
Decatur De Kalb	922	1 043	51 138 30 010	42 720 21 353	968	1 079	51 157 30 039	42 729 21 369	46 84	36 40	19 29	9	
Delaware Dubois	992	1 059	41 850 76 191	31 628 48 844	1 083	1 158	41 884 76 206	31 663 48 852	19 16	99 24	34 15	35 8	
Elkhart	1 611	1 626	63 512	45 187	1 729	1 743 605	63 552 21 455	45 231	118 44	117 27	40 13	44	
Fayette Floyd Fountain	505 474 748	578 483 754	21 442 5 483 35 863	15 922 4 037 29 077	549 600 792	562 791	21 455 5 513 35 877	4 060	126 44	27 79 37	31 14	23	
Franklin	1 032 937	1 080	24 639 35 541	18 519 28 682	1 146 992	1 111	24 666	18 532 28 696	114 55	31 56	27 21	13 14 8	
Gibson Grant	1 011 966	957 1 102	49 745 49 522	41 580 39 091	1 060 1 036	988 1 149	49 757 49 547	41 588 39 105	49 70	31 47	11 25	14	
Greene Hamilton	1 114 938	1 100 997	28 317 41 736	19 105 31 089	1 258 } 046	1 184 1 065	28 351 41 772	19 127 31 107	144	84 68	34 36	22 18	
Hancock	837 1 500	914 1 523	37 089 30 268	27 088 20 478	907 1 727	978 1 673	37 113 30 319	27 101 20 517	70 227	64 150	25 52	13 39	
Hendricks Henry	1 063	1 148	39 621 43 925	27 306 34 792	1 198 1 247	1 240	39 666 43 958	27 327 34 832	135	92 106	45 32	21 40	
Howard Huntington	, 814 991	930 1 115	42 543 42 996	33 733 30 180	874 1 030	973 1 172	42 567 43 009	33 745 30 197	60 39	43 57	24 13	12 17	
JacksonJosper	1 099 934	1 196 1 002	50 872 74 524	35 401 67 943	1 200 982	1 257 1 030	50 899 74 542	35 409 67 950	101 48	61 28	27 18	8 7	
Jay Jefferson	1 161	1 304 1 159	38 784 16 441	25 197 12 917	1 227	1 383 1 246	38 806 16 483	25 212 12 946	66 128	79 87	22 41	15 29	
Jennings Johnson	733 812	855 876	24 943 32 365	20 508 25 754	821 899	943 (959)	24 965 32 393	20 528 25 776	88 87	88 83	22 28	20 22	
Knox Kosciusko	930 1 527	006 1 686 1	56 250 81 812	50 802 68 258	976 1 616	1 038 1 784	56 263 81 844	50 811 68 286	46 89	32 98	13 32	9 28	
Lagrange	1 378 654	1 443 685	58 799 30 402	41 761 25 422	1 444 742	1 486 734	58 817 30 436	41 775 25 439	66 88	43 49	18 34	14 17	
La Porte Lawrence	1 063 930	1 095 975 1 346	53 536	39 851 11 404	1 148	1 133 1 067 1 442	53 562 14 705 51 692	39 864 11 419 40 895	85 125	38 92	26 33	13	
Madison Marion Marshall	1 206 430 1 318	471	51 657 15 189 41 598	40 863 14 971 33 453	1 307 499 1 404	1 442 549 1 501	15 217	40 895 14 991 33 467	101 69 86	96 78 79	35 28 24	32 20 14	
Martin	422	447	11 313	6 817	471	461	11 323	6 820	49	14	10	3	
Miami Monroe	988 574	1 065	50 446 7 553	35 002 4 926	1 030	1 129 592 1 249	50 460 7 577	35 025	42 101	64 67	14	23 20 14	
Montgomery Morgan Newton	1 112 824 571	1 200 814 556	61 175 23 525 46 230	43 876 18 354 46 053	1 174 960 584	915 577	61 199 23 562 46 235	43 890 18 374 46 059	62 136 13	49 101 21	24 38 5	20	
Noble Ohio	1 189 318	1 356 288	37 126 3 592	27 998	1 287	1 418 305	37 154 3 606	28 015	98 48	62 17	29 14	6 17 7	
Orange Owen	725 784	743 760	19 403 12 483	12 407 8 448	815 867	780 800	19 419 12 502	12 417 8 464	90 83	37 40	16	10 16	
Parke Perry	699 583	756 625	29 584 8 854	21 788 6 006	749 666	785 649	29 596 8 876	21 798	50	29	12	10)	
Pike Porter	500 749	526 727	16 635 33 133	12 876 23 562	536 816	566 777	16 647 33 157	6 014 12 888 23 572	83 36 67	24 40 50	22 11 24	8 12 10	
Posey Pulaski	705 847	806 892	37 027 47 017	31 047 37 916	740 883	837 918	37 036 47 031	31 058 37 926	35 36	31 26	24 9 14	11	
Putnam Randolph	1 082	1 135 1 486	37 576 47 340	27 462 42 030	1 180 1 402	1 227	37 603 47 362	27 476 42 044	98 80	92 70	27 22	14	
Ripley Rush	1 268 948	1 359 1 038	25 869 57 233	21 123 48 738	1 405 973	1 424 1 076	25 902 57 240	21 145 48 744	137 25	65 38	33 7	22	
St JosephScott	1 093 477	1 112 522	38 720 8 635	27 573 7 985	1 179 542	1 173 566	38 751 8 652	27 589 7 991	86 65	61 44	31 17	16	
ShelbySpencer	1 048 930	1 161 1 040	47 525 30 071	39 074 25 390	1 108 985	1 212 1 056	47 545 30 085	39 085 25 394	60 55	51 16	20 15	11	
Storke	565 765	602 782	21 795	18 039 16 612	601 817	630 812	21 809 22 090	18 047 16 622	36 52	28 30	14	8 10	
Sullivan Switzerland	729 764	864 736	30 212 9 533	22 617 7 329	787 828	911 781	30 224 9 543	22 631 7 337	58 64	47 45	12 11	14	
Tippecanoe Tipton	1 018 665	998 711	53 428 42 401	39 566 31 798	1 094 689	1 080 754	53 458 42 412	39 584 31 817	76 24	82 43	31 11	18 19	
Union Vonderburgh	360 434	388 438	19 369 17 251	16 222 15 482	378 516	409 472	19 375 17 277	16 227 15 489	18 82	21 34	6 26	5	
Vermillion Vigo	408 752	442 777	18 835 23 924	13 925 17 379	434 830	478 855	18 846 23 948	13 936 17 403	26 78	36 78	12 24	11 24	
Wabash	1 067	1 207	63 388 36 969	50 594 32 986	1 117 590	1 249 641	63 413 36 976	50 607 32 996	50 25	42 26	25 7	13 10	
Warrick	568 1 282	616 1 389	18 661 33 952	14 961 25 357	623 1 402	658	18 676 33 979	14 975 25 375	55 120	42 58	15 27	14	
Wayne Wells White	1 037 1 107 902	1 107 1 241 920	46 552 44 360 61 981	37 799 35 687 54 709	1 123 1 147 950	1 172 1 301	46 579 44 379	37 818 35 704	86 40	65 60	27 19	19 17	
Whitley	1 110	1 219	34 735	27 014	1 186	947 1 269	62 001 34 765	54 720 27 027	48 76	27 50	19 30	11 13	
Farms not on mail list	5 944	(NA)	22 969	(NA)	13 532	(NA)	24 752	(NA)	7 588	(NA)	1 783	(NA)	

APPENDIX C. Comparison of 1974 Farm Counts With 1978 Farm Counts

The 1978 Census of Agriculture preliminary reports show that there are 2,475,171 farms in the continental United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii). In 1974 there were 2,310,702 farms reported. However, these figures are not directly comparable because improvements in methodology and coverage account significantly for the higher 1978 counts. If the improved 1978 procedures had been used in 1974, an estimated 2.6 million farms would have been included in the census. It should be noted that farms missed in the 1974 census were generally small and affected the farm count to a greater degree than other data. The missed farms accounted for about 5 percent of the land in farms and 3 percent of the total value of agricultural products sold. This appendix briefly describes census procedures and provides 1974 farm counts adjusted for net missed farms and compared with 1978 farm counts.

The State totals from the 1978 Census of Agriculture are based on two separate sources.

The primary source is the mail portion of the census. As in the 1974 and 1969 censuses, this part of the operation involved mailing out a report form to each name believed to be associated with agriculture. Mail and telephone followups were used to secure the highest possible rate of return of completed forms from the operators of the Nation's farms.

However, the evaluation studies conducted show that some farms were missed in the 1974 and 1969 censuses. Despite every effort to assemble a complete list, some operators did not get on the mail list.

To improve the coverage of the 1978 census, additional mail list sources were used. Also, recognizing the shortcomings of the mail method, especially in counting the number of small farms, it was decided to take another step to ensure a more complete count.

The second step involved conducting a complete enumeration of all households in approximately 6,400 sample segments in rural areas in all States, except Alaska and Hawaii. Farms enumerated in this sample were matched to the mail list. The sample farms not located on the mail list provided reliable estimates by State of the number and characteristics of the farms not represented in the mail portion of the census.

Estimates for such farms are an integral part of the State counts in 1978, but they are not a part of the county counts. The sample was not large enough to provide reliable estimates for each county.

Because of the procedures described above, the State counts for 1978 are not directly comparable with the counts for 1974 and 1969.

To bridge the gap between the 1974 and the 1978 farm counts, this appendix provides adjusted farm counts for 1974 which are approximately comparable with farm counts for 1978.

The adjustment factors are based on data from table 13 of 1974 Census of Agriculture volume IV, Part 3, Coverage Evaluation, which presented data showing the estimated percent of farms missed and overcounted by regions and States. The estimated net percent of farms missed was derived by subtracting overcounted farms from missed farms.

The 1974 coverage evaluation did not include Alaska and Hawaii; thus the 1978 and the 1974 data for these States are exclusively from farms on the mail list. Improvements in the 1978 mail list, especially for Hawaii, have contributed to the increased farm counts.

Table A presents adjusted 1974 farm counts by value of agricultural products sold categories. For each State, the adjusted farm counts for the two value-of-sales categories were derived using the 1974 published farm counts and the net percent missed. The adjusted counts for the two size categories were then added together to obtain the adjusted State count. The State counts were summed to obtain division, region, and U.S. level counts. As a result, adjusted counts derived by summation may not be exactly consistent with the indicated percent missed. The 1978 farm counts are from the preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts.

Table B shows 1974 reported and adjusted counts compared with 1978 counts for regions by farm size and tenure of operator categories. Adjusted counts in these tables were proportionally adjusted to regional counts from table A and summed to the U.S. level. As a result, the indicated percent missed will not always agree with adjusted data.

All data published in 1974 were based on reported numbers. The adjusted counts should be used only for comparison with 1978 counts and not for comparison with other 1974 data.

Both the 1974 and 1978 farm counts are subject to sampling variability. For 1974, the coefficient of variation for the adjusted farm counts is approximately 0.4 percent at the U.S. level and ranges from 1.0 percent to 6.0 percent for individual States. Measures of sampling variability for 1978 counts are presented in appendix A.

Table A. Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974

Γ			Farms, 1974		Percent change,
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
United States ²	2,475,171	2,310,702	10.7	2,622,416	-5.6
Farms with sales of				1	
\$100,000 or more \$40,000 to \$99,999	223,268	152,850	-2.0	149,471	+49.4
\$20,000 to \$39,999	364,010 306,483	324,417 321,733	1.1 2.0	327,834 327,438	+11.0
\$10,000 to \$19,999	309,250	309,876	5.8	326,852	-5.4
\$5,000 to \$9,999 \$2,500 to \$4,999	330,464	296,043	8.1	322,002	+2.6
Less than \$2,500	331,329 610,367	289,511 616,272	11.4 25.9	326,432 842,387	+1.5
Northeast	149,385	127,531	16.5	152,730	-2.2
Farms with sales of \$100,000 or more	12,699	8,003	1.9	8,282	+53.3
\$40,000 to \$99,999	25,835	22,358	4.7	23,812	+8.5
\$20,000 to \$39,999	15,820	20,543	9.8	21,019	-24.7
\$10,000 to \$19,999 \$5,000 to \$9,999	14,194 16,734	14,900 13,173	9.5 3.1	14,680 13,797	-3.3 +21.3
\$2,500 to \$4,999	19,609	13,148	16.7	16,019	+22.4
Less than \$2,500	44,494	35,406	35.5	55,121	-19.3
North Central Farms with sales of	1,027,319	1,017,367	6.3	1,096,353	-6.3
\$100,000 or more	106,649	69,440	-3.1	67,123	+58.9
\$40,000 to \$99,999 \$20,000 to \$39,999	215,707	192,962	.2	192,692	+11.9
\$10,000 to \$19,999	173,422 148,064	194,488 165,864	2.3	198,391 172,189	-12.6
\$5,000 to \$9,999	126,879	131,677	6.1	139,755	-9.2
\$2,500 to \$4,999	103,363	103,914	10.6	115,841	-10.8
Less than \$2,500	153,235	159,022	23.0	210,362	-27.2
South Farms with sales of	1,016,070	930,099	15.2	1,107,200	-8.2
\$100,000 or more	67,430	45,765	-2.7	44,568	+51.3
\$40,000 to \$99,999 \$20,000 to \$39,999	84,887 85,965	73,981 75,791	3.2 1.6	76,455 77,051	+11.0 +11.6
\$10,000 to \$19,999	116,407	99,629	8.2	108,567	+7.2
\$5,000 to \$9,999	155,115	124,106	10.4	138,561	+11.9
\$2,500 to \$4,999 Less than \$2,500	174,997	145,243	11.6 25.5	164,350	+6.5
	331,269	365,584	23.3	497,648	-33.4
West ² Farms with sales of	282,397	235,705	9.5	266,133	+6.1
\$100,000 or more \$40,000 to \$99,999	36,490 37,581	29,642 35,116	.2 .0	29,498 34,875	+23.7
\$20,000 to \$39,999	31,274	30,911	.9	30,977	+1.0
\$10,000 to \$19,999	30,587	29,483	6.8	31,416	-2.6
\$5,000 to \$9,999 \$2,500 to \$4,999	31,736 33,360	27,087 27,206	10.0 10.6	29,889 30,222	+6.2
Less than \$2,500	81,369	56,260	27.8	79,256	+2.7
New England ³	30,157	23,269	17.8	28,548	+5.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	18,844	16,667	5.2	17,517	+7.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	11,313	6,602	39.6	11,031	+2.6
Middle Atlantic	119,228	104,262	16.2	124,182	-4.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	86,047	75,458	5.7	80,092	+7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	33,181	28,804	34.6	44,090	-24.7
New York	49,323	43,682	14.2	50,847	-3.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	35,095	32,230	4.3 33.3	33,678	+4.2
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	14,228	11,452	72*2	17,169	-17.1
New Jersey	9,932	7,409	14.5	8,760	+13.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	6,742 3,190	5,530 1,879	4.5 36.7	5,791 2,969	+16.4 +7.4
rains with safes of fess than \$2,500					}
Pennsylvania	59,973	53,171	18.3	64,575	-7.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	44,210 15,763	37,698 15,473	7.2 35.4	40,623 23,952	+8.8
East North Central	452,213	444,695	7.1 2.9	486,098	-7.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	366,051 86,162	360,619 84,076	24.1	371,995 114,103	-1.6 -24.5
		-			
Ohio	95,821	92,158	4.9	96,899	-1.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	73,841 21,980	70,338 21,820	2.1 12.9	71,847	+2.8 -12.3
Indiana	88,458	87,915	7.2	95,341	-7.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	71,681 16,777	70,986 16,929	3.6 22.0	73,637 21,704	-2.7
rains with sales of less than ψ_{2} , south that the sales of the sa					
Illinois	109,770	111,049	9.1	126,295	-13.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	96,389 13,381	97,738 13,311	3.8 46.1	101,599 24,696	-5.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,000 ,				

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974 - Con.

Г		·····	Percent change,		
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
East North CentralCon.			<u> </u>		
Michigan	68,158	64,094	9.9	71,213	-4.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	47,767	46,670	6.0	49,649	-3.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,391	17,424	19.2	21,564	-5.4
Wisconsin	90,006	89,479	5.3	96,350	-6.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	76,373	74,887	.5	75,263	+1.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,633	14,592	30.8	21,087	-35.3
West North Central	575,106	572,672	5.6	610,255	-5.8
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	508,033	497,726	3.2	513,996	-1.2
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	67,073	74,946	21.8	96,259	-30.3
Minnesota	102,984	98,537	9.0	108,614	-5.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	87,753	85,926	5.5	90,927	-3.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	15,231	12,611	28.7	17,687	-13.9
Iowa	126,379	126,104	4.4	133,125	-5.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	117,704	117,142	3.2	121,014	-2.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	8,675	8,962	26.0	12,111	-28.4
Missouri	121,826	115,711	9.6	128,948	-5.5
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	97,848	82,421	4.7	86,486	+13.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	23,978	33,290	21.6	42,462	-43.5
North Dakota	41,172	42,710	4.4	45,649	-9.8
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	38,914	40,681	3.2	42,026	-7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	2,258	2,029	44.0	3,623	-37.7
South Dakota	39,667	42,825	1.5	43,420	-8.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	36,927	39,849	1.6	40,497	-8.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	2,740	2,976	-1.8	2,923	-6.3
Nebraska	65,991	67,597	.7	68,480	-3.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	61,507	62,594	.0	62,594	-1.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	4,484	5,003	15.0	5,886	-23.8
Kansas	77,087	79,188	3.7	82,019	-6.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	67,380	69,113	1.9	70,452	-4.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	9,707	10,075	12.9	11,567	-16.1
South Atlantic	325,678	296,103	16.6	362,451	-10.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	216,297	189,339	7.0	203,934	+6.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	109,381	106,764	30.3	158,517	-31.0
Delaware and Maryland ³	22,600	18,563	7.1	19,952	+13.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	17,287	14,592	3.0	15,043	+14.9
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	5,313	3,971	19.1	4,909	+8.2
Virginia	56,685	52,699	13.5	61,636	-8.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	38,416	31,738	4.5	33,234	+15.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	18,269	20,961	26.2	28,402	-35.7
West Virginia	20,619	16,909	33.0	26,195	-21.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	9,603	6,122	11.7	6,933	+38.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	11,016	10,787	44.0	19,262	-42.8
North Carolina	89,477	91,280	14.1	105,774	-15.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	64,897	62,518	9.2	68,852	-5.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	24,580	28,762	22.1	36,922	-33.4
South Carolina	33,450	29,275	22.8	43,034	-22.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	18,979	17,534	.8	17,675	+7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	14,471	11,741	53.7	25,359	-42.9
Georgia	58,682	54,911	13.2	63,133	-7.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	38,906	35,874	8.1	39,036	3
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	19,776	19,037	21.0	24,097	-17.9
Florida	44,165	32,466	22.6	42,727	+3.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	28,209	20,961	9.5	23,161	+21.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	15,956	11,505	41.2	19,566	-18.5
East South Central	318,640	306,010	14.8	360,164	-11.5
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	211,915	171,372	7.8	185,880	+14.0
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	106,725	134,638	22.3	174,284	-38.8
Kentucky	110,002	102,053	10.5	113,897	-3.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	83,433	67,662	6.3	72,211	+15.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	26,569	34,391	17.5	41,686	-36.3
Tennessee Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	96,792 63,407 33,385	93,659 48,320 45,339	18.8 11.2 26.0	115,683 54,414 61,269	-15.3 +16.3 -45.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974 - Con.

				Percent change,	
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
East South CentralCon.					
Alabama	57,540	56,678	13.3	64,821	-11.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	34,665	29,303	7.5	31,679	+9.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	22,875	27, 375	17.4	33, 142	-31.0
Mississippi	54,306	53,620	17.2	65,763	-17.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	30,410	26,087	5.4	27,576	+10.3
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	23,896	27,533	27.9	38,187	-37.4
West South Central	371,752	327,986	14.5	384,585	-3.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	256,589	203,804	7.3	219,738	+16.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	115,163	124,182	25.0	164,847	-30.1
Arkansas	58,788	50,959	16.7	61,489	-4.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	40,669	31, 299	10.0	34,777	+17.0
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	18,119	19,660	26.4	26,712	-32.2
Louisiana	38,973	33,240	23.7	43,264	-9.9
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	22,683	18,580	12.3	21,186	+7.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	16,290	14,660	33,6	22,078	-26.2
Oklahoma	79,530	69,719	11.7	79,243	+.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	58,781	47,833	5.6	50,671	+16.0
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,749	21,886	23.4	28,572	-27.4
Texas	194,461	174,068	12.6	200,589	-3.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	134,456	106,092	6.2	113,104	+18.9
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	60,005	67,976	22.3	87,485	-31.4
Mountain ³	127,881	111,868	6.7	122,588	+4.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	97,876	90,449	2.4	92,673	+5.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	30,005	21,419	28.4	29,915	+.3
Pacific ²	154,516	123,837	11.6	143,545	+7.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	103,152	88,996	5.6	94, 204	+9.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	51,364	34,841	27.5	49,341	+4.1
Washington	37,778	29,410	10.8	32,999	+14.5
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	24,737	21,079	6.4	22,520	+9.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,041	8,331	20.5	10,479	+24.5
0regon	34,875	26,753	15.5	31,788	+9.7
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	21,071	17,043	6.3	18,189	+15.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,804	9,710	28.6	13,599	+1.5
California	81,863	67,674	10,5	78,758	+3.9
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	57,344	50, 874	4.9	53,495	+7.2
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	24,519	16,800	33.5	25,263	-2.9

 $^1 \text{Data}$ are from preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts. $^2 \text{Alaska}$ and Hawaii not included. $^3 \text{Data}$ not compiled for individual States.

Table B. Farms by Size of Farm and Tenure of Operator: 1978 and 1974

Γ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Farms, 1974		
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	Percent change, adjusted 1974 to 1978
UNITED STATES ²					
Farms	2,475,171	2,310,702	10.7	2,622,416	-5.6
Farms by size:					
1 to 9 acres	213,110 474,535	126,604 378,631	26.1 22.9	174,773 494,024	+21.9 -3.9
50 to 179 acres	813,944	827,506	11.0	936, 797	-13.1
180 to 499 acres	596,268	615,913	3.9	646,957	-7.8
	377,314	362,048	1.5	369,865	+2.0
Tenure of operator: Full owner	1,450,414	1 (00 0/7			
Part owner	712,844	1,422,367 627,648	13.0 5.2	1,650,942	-12.1
Tenant	311, 913	260,687	12.6	304,072	+2.6
NORTHEAST					
Farms	149, 385	127,531	16.5	152, 730	-2.2
Farms by size:				152,750	2.2
1 to 9 acres	13,534	7,689	16.8	9,301	+45.5
10 to 49 acres	28,098	19,416	31.2	28,403	+45.5
50 to 179 acres	61,017	54,901	17.1	66,655	-8.5
500 acres or more	38,288 8,448	37,864 7,661	6.0 1.5	40,542 7,829	-5.6 +7.9
Toruno of anomatory		· • • • •		,,025	+1.1
Tenure of operator: Full owner	93,880	83, 389	19.5	102 110	
Part owner	43,680	36,112	4.9	103,110 37,797	-9.0 +15.6
Tenant	11,825	8,030	32.4	11,823	.0
NORTH CENTRAL ,	1				
Farms	1,027,319	1,017,367	6.3	1,096,353	-6.3
Farms by size:					
1 to 9 acres	58,168	36,065	30.8	52,227	+11.4
10 to 49 acres	138,016 324,583	108,756	18.5	133,716	+3.2
180 to 499 acres	321,482	350, 343 345, 610	6.8 2.5	376,663 355,187	-13.8
500 acres or more	185,070	176, 593	.9	178,560	+3.6
Tenure of operator:					
Full owner	542,504	568, 866	7.5	620,166	-12.5
Part owner Tenant	334,287 150,528	313, 364	4.0	329,173	+1.6
South	150,510	135, 137	7.3	147,014	+2.4
Farms	1,016,070	930,099	15.2	1,107,200	-8.2
Farms by size:					
1 to 9 acres 10 to 49 acres	95,711 232,908	55,659	31.1	81,428	+17.5
50 to 1.79 acres	370,928	194,050 369,648	24.2 4.0	258,033 433,227	-9.7
180 to 499 acres 500 acres or more	197,023	196, 395	7.8	214,701	-14.4 -8.2
	119,500	114,347	3.8	119,811	3
Tenure of operator:					
Full owner Part owner	633,455	623,219	17.4	757,206	-16.3
Tenant	264,029 118,586	214,061 92,819	7.5 20.9	232,239 117,755	+13.7
WEST ²		-,	2017	117,755	+.7
Farms	282, 397	235,705	9.5	266 200	
Farms by size:	·		2.5	266,133	+6.1
l to 9 acres	45,697	27, 191	10 7		ļ
10 to 49 acres	75,513	56,409	12.7 22.0	31,817 73,872	+43.6
50 to 179 acres 180 to 499 acres	57,416	52,614	10.8	60,252	+2.2
500 acres or more	39,475 64,296	36,044 63,447	8 -1.8	36,527	+8.1
Tenure of operator:	-		-1.0	63,665	+1.0
Full owner	180,575	146,893	1 0 1	120	
Part owner	70,848	64,111	12.1 4.1	170,460 68,193	+5.9
Tenant	30,974	24,701	8.3	27,480	+3.9 +12.7

·

¹Data are from the preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts. ²Alaska and Hawaii not included.

APPENDIX D. Report Forms

DUE BY FEBRUARY 15, 1979				Form	Approved: 0.M.B.	No. 41 - 578025	
NOTICE Response to this inquiry is required by law (title 13, U.S. Code). By the THE CENSUS BUREAU IS CONFIDENTIAL. It may be seen only by sworn Census and the terms of the second seco	e same law YOUR REPORT TO sus employees and may be use	FORM 78-A1(N)		Ų.S.	DEPARTMENT OF	COMMERCE	
only for statistical purposes. Your report GANNOT be used for purposes of taxati The law also provides that copies retained in your files are immune from legal proce	ion, investigation, or regulation	CENS		197	8 CENSU	S	
In correspondence portaining to this report, please refer to the 11-digit Consus File	Number (CFR)	2 170	Ý	OF			
		1 9 10	<u>F</u>				
			~	Bu	oau of the Census		
		COMPLETE AND	RETURN T		1 East Tenth Street fersonville, Indiana	47132	
철물 상품을 당첨한 것 같아. 여기가 있는 것이다.		Note 🄶 Ple	ase read the	Information S	Sheet before complet	ting the form.	
		If book figures a filing by Eab. 15	re not availa	ble, reasona	ble estimates may a time extension re	be used. If quest may be	
		sent to the abo	ve address.	include you	ir 11-digit Census Il correspondence to	File Number	
		If you received m	xtra Census F	ile			
		Number(s) here a copies with your o	ind return ex completed rep	tra prt.			
		CENSUS 035 USE 039	036		037 03 041 04		
(Please correct errors in name, address, and ZIP code.)) 	ONLY					
SECTION 1 ACREAGE IN 1978 If the acres you appreted in 1978 changed during the year, refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 1.	INSTRUCTIO	MC during 197	8. Please re	ipert your ci	e for reporting crop rops in the appropri	ate section.	
Report land owned, rented, or used by you, your spouse, or by the partnership,			iude crops gro NLY those ci	rops NOT lis	rented to others. U ted in sections 2 th	se section o Irough 7.	
corporation, or organization for which you are reporting. Include ALL LAND, REGARDLESS OF LOCATION OR USE - cropland, pastureland,	SECTION 2	fere any of the FOL	LOWING CRO	PS harveste	d from this place in	1978?	
rangeland, woodland, idle land, house lots, etc. None Numb	er of acres		Acres	Ouan	tity harvested	Actes	
1. All land owned.	. Field corn for	None grain or	harvaster 067	068		irrigated	
 All land rented or leased FROM OTHERS, including land worked by you on shares, used rent free, in exchange for services, payment 	Seed (Report of				OR		
of taxes, etc. Include leased Federal, State, and railroad land. (DO NOT include land used on a per-head basis under a grazing	2. Field com for	silage	070	071	Tons,	172	
pormit.) Also complete item 5 below		••••••	073	074	green	075	
shares by others and land subleased. Also complete item 6 below. 🗖	4. Dats for grain		076	077	Bu. Bu.	78	
4. ACRES IN THIS PLACE - ADD acres owned (item 1) and acres rented (item 2), then SUBTRACT acres rented TO		in	079	080		ie1	
OTHERS (item 3), and enter the result in this space.			082	083	Bu.	084	
For this consus report these are the "ACRES IN THIS PLACE."	6. Sorghums for p seed (include	yain or milo)		1	OR	•	
5. If you rented land FROM OTHERS (item 2) enter the following information for eacl	7. Sorghums for s h landlord, or green chop		085	086	Tons,	87	
Name of landford Mailing address (Include ZIP code) Number	er of acres sorghum-sudar	crosses) 🔲	088	089	green	90	
047		eans [_]	091	092	Bu. 0	93	
049	10. Tobacco - all		094	/10 095	Bales Lbs.	96 /10	
List additional landlords on a separate sheet of paper.	11. Irish potatoes		097	/10 098		199 /10	
6. If you rented land TO OTHERS (item 3), enter the following information for each r	enter, 12. Sweetpotatoes	and yams 📋	100	/10 101		02 /10	
	er of acres SECTION 3	fas any DRY HAY,	GRASS SILAG	E, HAYLAG	E, or GREEN CHO	P cut	
050		YES - Complex	tures.)		, soldanu-energi clo	4594	
052		NO - Go to si		u -			
List additional renters on a separate sheet of paper.	Il outlings we	e mede for both dry	hay and gras	s silage, hay	viage, or green chop ler DRY HAY and al	from the	
a. Of the land you rented or leased to others, None oss how many acres did you own?	GRASS SILAG	E, HAYLAGE, and	GREEN CHO	P.			
7. During 1978, did you have any Federal or	(If two or more	cuttings of dry hay same acres, report	were	Acres	Quantity harveste (Report either dr		
State grazing permits on a per-head basis; such as Forest Service, Taylor Grazing, 054		rt total tons from al		harvested	or green weight as indicated)		
Indian Reservations ate 7	No hay or dehydra	iting		103	104 Tons dry		
8. How many set aside acres did you have None oss in the Federal Farm Program in 19787	A come	y - oats, wheat, ba		106	107 Tons dry	111	
9. LOCATION OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY FOR THIS PLACE		hay, clover, lespen uda grass, Sudan gra	leza, ass, etc		Tons		
s. In what county was the County name State Numb		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		112	113 Tons ary	5, 114	
largest value of your agricultural products Principal		E, HAYLAGE, AND)			1	
v raised of produced? County>	(If two or more	a cuttings of greas s and chop were made	iilage, from the				
b. If you also have agricui- tural operations in any Other Other	same acres, re report total to	aport aores only onc ns from all outlings.	e, but .)	115	116 Ton:		
other county(ies), enter counties		Ind haylage		118	gree		
	a. may crops cut	and fed green (gree	и спор}	l	gree		

004 1 YE 2 NO 1. TOTAL ACRES in bear or other groves, vineya 2. From the list at the ris	S - Comp - Go fi ing and i rds, and ht. enter	olete this seally o section 5 nonbearing fruit nut trees on this name and code	orchards, ci s place for the fruit	trus traes, praney	Tota Whole ac 121	il acres res Tent //	Acrea ha Whole acr 122 10 this place in	irrigat es	ed fentha /10 Renn] I the	NONCITRI Apples Apricols .	IS CROP	5 Cod	CITRUS (Flepovi g) Cale
requested information f trees, estimate the area	or,each, c	rop even if not I by each kind o	harvested be if fruit, if ol	cause of low	prices, dama	ape from h	ail, frost, etc es, see inforr	For mation	interp Sheet	anted	Avocados Informeti Cherries - Grapes - (- See on Sheet	135	from bloc Grapetrul Lamona	m of 1977) 1	273
Crop name	Code	NUMBI TREES OR Nonbearing	VINES OF -	and v	in trees ines of ages	es of Diant		Unit of meat Mark one		Lbs. per	Grapes - (Olives - (Informati	rosh wei Ioo	ght 177	Tangelos Tangerin	es and	• • 303
·····	 	age	Bearing		as Tenths		<u> </u>	, and a second s			Peaches . Pears Plums and		225	Other cit	nus - Specity	
			 	2	/10	3		\$C	•□	12	fresh we Prunes Other nore	ight dry weig	243 11 . 243	(Report of the shall	unitity in	
	<u> </u>			2	/10	3		\$□	\$□	5	Specity.	****	281	T LEGETES	and hazelnuts	321 327 339
			1	2	/10	3		2□	3					Pecans, and set	improved wild dling	345
	-		1	2	/10	3		2	3					「製造料化化ない	English (an)	[기술 가수 같은 모
it more spece is needed,		rete sheet of as		`	/10	•		1× 🗆	I ⊁⊑							363
For Floridá, report for August 31, 1978 barve other States report for	Septemb st seaace calendar	n; for all year 1978.		Acres Cres Tenths	Acres in Whate acres	Think the	From the li unit specifi Crop n	st beid ød wil	nw, en h orog	let crop name.	o to section name and co Acres herv	xië, Aap		ity harvest	Acres Irri	
 Land from which veget hervested in 1978 			375	/10	376	/10					ipole acres	1	1		Whole acres	1
2. From the list below, e	ater the	ctop name and c	ode for each	crop hervest	ed in 1978.				+	+		/10	1		2	/10
each crop. Report cro	ps grown	under protectio	n in section	¢.						-+-	. <u>-</u> ,,	/10	1		2	/10
Crop nai	14	Cod	le Acres	harvested /10	Acres itr	igated /10	If more spa	oe ia i	taodec	l, use a	oparale shue	/10 t of pape	<u>к</u>			<u>i /10</u>
	· · · · ·			/10	1	/10 /10	Blueberrie	. tem	10010	sta)	Cod ounds) 501 512 513 523	C	Ra Str All	other berri	ounds) ounds) es (pounds)	516
				/10	1	/10	Cranberries SECTION 8									
				/10	1	/10		ian f	eki m	eds, si	gar crops, o	r other ci	ops not p	reviously n	ported?	
lf mora space la needad, Crep same		trate sheet of p Crop same		odu Crop A		Cade			_	- 2330	omplete this o to section					
Asparagus Beans, snap (bush	. 379	Cucumbers and Eggolant	pickles	411 Pepper 415 Pepper	s, aweet	443	From the li	st bel	w, en	ter crop	name and ci	yde, fioj	ort quan	lity hervest	d In	
and pole)	. 343	Garlic Honeydaw main Lettuce and ro	338	4Z3 Radish	MB 48 1	461	unit specif									
Cabbege	. 391	Lima beans, gr Mustard greens	***	429 Squash 431 Sweet	corn	459	Crop	name	ف بنديد	C0	de Acres	harveste	1	ntity harves	led Acres in 2	TIGATOO
Persian melons.	. 397	Onions, dry Onions, green Gige		435 Turnip 437 Turnip	es	. 465							1		2	
Celery	. 401 . 407	Peas, green, in English (excl	icluding ude	Waterm Other V	elons regetables	473							1	+	2	
Cowpeas (blackeyed and other green cowpeas).	. 409	green cowpea			ll y								1		2	
flower s	eeds. vei	RY and GREEN petable seeds a	nd plants, ve	gelables unde	or glass or o	ers. Cher							1		2	
006		SHROOMS grow piele this socii		on this plac	e in 1978?										2	
-		a section 7	None	An Square fee	a inigated	Tenths				_			<u> </u>		2	^
1. Nurserv and greenhous	e produc	ts irrigated in 1		477	478	/10							'			
2. From the list below, e		•	이 전 전 이	ach product g	rown,		lf more spe Crop name	oe ie i	readed	t, une e	eparate shea C	ado Croj				Cada
Product name	Code	Square feet un glass or othe protection in 1		s in the open in 1978 acres I Tenth		n 1978 Centa	Alfalfa ser Beans, dry (100-pour Beans, dry (100-pour	tield d bag	and si	Hed 	9	10 C	atoma a	and Incoments	Of	: 177
			1	/1	0 \$		Entransis	THUR!	. 1816		1.1.1.1.1.1	87 75 Rye Rye	for grain	(bushels) d (pounds)		
	ļ			/1			Com cut fi or grazed Cowpeas f	(repo or dry	rt acre gena (s only) busheld	I	81 Saff	iower (po huma cut	(for dry fora	29 29	492
If more space is needed,		rete sheat of m		/1	0 \$		Emmer and Feacue se Flaxseed	spelt ed (go	(puen unds)	015)		98 or 82 50rs	hay (tone hums hoc	, dry weigh wed or graz),	701
Product same Bedding plants (include vi Bulbs	getable	Code plants) 479	Product name Foliage and Mushrooms	flowering plan		494	Grains, mi Kentucky Lespedeze Mint for oi	ked (b Sluegr seed	usheis 195 30 (poun) ed (pou	nds)	28 510	rcane to	LORD LIGHT	18))	

FORM 78-A1(N) (4-10-78)

_

D	-3

SECTION 9 GROSS VALUE of CROPS SOLD from this place in 1978, BEFORE taxes and expenses (Refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 9.)	SECTION 12 Did you or anyone else have any CATTLE or CALVES on this place in 1978?
Report your best estimate of the value for each of the following groups of crops	⁹¹² ¹ ¹ ¹ ² ² ¹ ² ² ¹ ² ² ¹ ²
sold from this place in 1978. Include the value of the landlord's and/or contractor's	Number on this
share, estimating if necessary. Include value of Government CCC loans.	BO3 Total
1. Greins sold in 1978, including Government CCC loans - None Dollars Cent corn for grain, grain sorghums, rice, wheat and other small grains, soybeens, dry beens, dry peas and cowpeas,	(Total of a, b, c, and d below)
flaxseed, sunflower seed, popcorn, and saiflower	a. BEEF COWS - Include beef helfers that Beef cows
a. Of the total, how much was received in 1978 from Government CCC loans?	b. MILK COWS kept for production of milk or cream for sale or home use - include dry milk cows and milk helfers that had calved
2. Cotton and cottonseed, including Government CCC loans	c, HEIFERS AND HEIFER CALVES - Exclude heifer heifers that hed calved
a. Of the lotal, how much was None Dollars Cents received in 1978 from Government CCC loans?	d. STEERS, STEER CALVES, BULLS AND BULL CALVES
Government CCC roans/	CATTLE AND CALVES SOLD FROM THIS PLACE IN 1978 Include those fod on this place on a None Include those fod on this place on a
4. Field seeds, grass seeds, hay, lorage, and silage	contract or custom basis. Also report es sold, cattle moved from this place
5. Vegetables, sweet corn, and metons - DO NOT include Irish polatope or sweetpolatoes, report them in Item 8 below	to a feediot for further feeding. 808
6. Fruits, buts, berries – apples, peaches, grapes, clifus, peaches, travburies, etc	2. Calves weighing less than 500 pounds
7. Nursery and greenhouse products	3. Cattle, including calves weighing 500 pounds or more
Contracts on ground products protocols (Source of the supervised of the su	a. Of the total cattle sold, how many were FATTENED on this place on GRAIN or CONCENTRATES for 30 days or more
SECTION 10 LAND USE IN 1978	and SOLD for SLAUGHTER?
Copy ACRES IN THIS PLACE from section 1, item 4, page 1 Acres NOTE: If the same land had more than one use in 1978, REPORT THAT LAND ONLY ONCE — in the use first listed below that applies. For example, cropland harvested and also pastured is to be reported only as "Croplend harvested."	DAIRY PRODUCTS SOLD FROM THIS PLACE IN 1978 Sold FROM Gross value of sales Sold FROM
2. CROPLAND None Number of acres	
a. Cropland harvested — include all land from which crops were 797 harvested of hav was put, and all land in orchards, citrus groves, vinayets, and nursery and greenhouse products.	SECTION 13 Did you or anyone else have any HOGS or PIGS on this place in 1978?
 Cropland used only for pasture or grazing - Include rotation pasture and prazing land that could there been used for crops 	2 NO ~ Go to section 74 INVENTORY Number on this
without additional improvementa.	DECEMBER 31, 1378 INVENTORY HOGS and PIGS of all ages (Total of a and b below)
gresses, but NOT harvested and NOT pastured	*. HOGS and PIGS used or to be used for BREEDING
d. Cropland on which all crops failed (Exception: Do not report here land in unchards and vineyards on which the crop failed. Such aurisage is to be reported in item 2a.)	b. OTHER HOGS and PIGS
•. Cropland in cultivated summer fallow	• LITTERS FARROWED
1. Cropland idle	2. LITTERS FARROWED on this place between - None Number of litters
3. Woodland - Include all woodlote and timber tracts and cutover and deforeated land with young	a. December 1, 1977 and May 31, 1978 b. June 1, 1978 and November 30, 1978
Imber provin. 4. Other postareland and rangeland - include any particulard other 756 756	Number spid Gross value of sales
then croptend and wood/end pasture	HOGS AND PIGS SOLD None No
Include any land not reported in items 2 through 4 above	3. HOGS and PIGS SOLD from this place in 1978 🔲 🛛 s
6. TOTAL ACRES - And the source reported in James 2 strongh 5 (Strongh S Strongh S Str	4. Of the hogs and pigs sold, how many were sold as FEEDER PIGS for luther feeding?
SECTION 11 Was my LAND in this place IRRIGATED at my time in 1978?	SECTION 14 Did you or anyone elue have any SHEEP or LAMBS on this place in 1978?
Irigated and is all and watered by any artificial or controlled means aprinkiers, turness of altohes, apreider dikas, etc. Include supplemental, partiel, and program irrigation. 9 ¹¹ , YES Complete this section	014 1 YES - Complete this section 2 NO - Go to section 75 INVENTORY SALES
2 NO = 8to to section 12	Number on this Number sold Number on this Number sold None place Dec. 31, 1978 in 1978
1. How many acres of each of the following classifications None Number of acres of land were irrigated in 19787	1. SHEEP and LAMBS of all ages
A. HARVESTED LAND reported in section 10, 10m Za - Include land from which hay was out end land in beening and randwaring full and nut propa	EWES 1 year old or older
PASTURELAND or RANGELAND reported in section 10, items 2b and 4 - include spring flooding If water diverted or sprang drame, spreader dives,	None Number shorn Poweds of wool shorn
centers, ditches, pipes, of other works, c, ANY DTHER LANDS - Such as lead not harvested due to complete failure, idle lead, land in cultivated summer failow, of land in cover crops and soil-improvement grasses.	2. SHEEP and LAMBS SHORN
d. TOTAL LAND IRRIGATED (Teach of a, a, and a above.)	3. What was the gross value of sales of sheep, 629 629
	age 3

SECTION 15 Did you or anyone else have LIVESTOCK, or ANIMAL SP	any HORSES, BEE ECIALTIES in 1976	S, FISH, OTH	SECTION 17 Income from MACHINE WORK, CUSTOMWORK, and OTHER AGRICULTURAL SERVICES PROVIDED for others in 1978								
15 YES - Complete this a					Total amount received from machine work, customwork, None Dollars (Cents						
2 NO - Go to section 1	6 VENTORY				and other agricultural services PROVIDED FOR OTHERS 919						
Ni th	umberon Tot his place sol	al number din 1978	Gross value of	,							
1. Horses and ponies of 830	2. 31, 1978 831		Doilars 832	Cents	Specify kind of work done						
all ages	834		\$ 835	i 	DIRECTLY to individuals FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION - roadside stands, farmers markets, pick your own, etc.?						
donkeys	034		\$	1							
3. Mink and their pelts	837		838 S	1	2 NO - Go to section 19 920						
a. Mink females used for 863					1. What was the gross value of these direct sales?						
4. Other livestock or animal				<u></u> !	Specify products sold - vegetables, eggs, etc.						
specialties in captivity (Enter name and code from				1	SECTION 19 TYPE OF ORGANIZATION						
"List A" below.)	1		2	1 1 1	Mark (X) the one item which best describes the type of organization for this place in 1978. Refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 19.						
Name Code			State walkes of	1	FAMILY or INDIVIDUAL operation (sole proprie- torship), excluding partnership and corporation1						
products (Enter name and for aqua	der water Total qu iculture in	antity sold 1978	Gross value of Dollars	Cents	PARTNERSHIP operation. Include family partnerships 2 Total number						
code from ''List B'' below.) Name Code	0	Pounds) ·	1 1	INCORPORATED UNDER STATE LAW of partners Answer BOTH • and •						
	2 0	Gallons	}s ∫	1	a. Is this a family held corporation? $\ldots \qquad \begin{cases} 3 \square Yes \\ 4 \square No \end{cases}$						
6. Fish and other aquaculture products (Enter name and			2	,	b. Are there more than 10 stockholders? 🕻 s 🗔 Yes						
code from "List C" befow.) Name Code	30	R	}s	 	•OTHER, such as cooperative, estate or trust, prison						
	/10	Number	J	1	farm, grazing association, Indian reservation, etc 7 _ Specify SECTION 20 CHARACTERISTICS AND OCCUPATION OF OPERATOR (Senior partner						
	List A			-	or person in charge) Refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 20.						
Name Code Name Colonies of bees 839 Other goats		ode Name 848 Worms		Code 857	1. RESIDENCE – Does the operator (senior partner or 923 person in charge) live on this place?						
Milk goats 645 Chinchillas	their pelts I and their pelts	54 Speci	er livestock – 1y	860	924						
Name Code	Name	Code	Name	Code	1 ☐ White 2 _ Negro or Black						
Mohair sold	Catfish		Other fish -	884	2. RACE of operator (senior partner or person in charge)						
Honey sold	Tropical and baitfish	880	Other aquacultu products -	ле	9 Other - Specity						
Specity	et of paper		Specify	668							
SECTION 16 Did you or anyone else have DUCKS, etc., on this place i				,	3. AGE of operator (senior partner or person in charge) Years old						
contract basis.)		INVEN	TORY		4. SEX of operator (senior partner or person in charge)1 Male 2 Female						
1 ☐ YES - Complete this a 2 ☐ NO - Go to section 1	-	Numbe this p one Dec. 31	lace sold in	number 1978	5. SPANISH ORIGIN - Is the operator (senior partner						
_		892	893		or person in charge) of Spanish origin or descent 927 (Mexican, Mexican American, Cuban, or other Spanish)?1 (Yes 2 (No						
1. HENS and PULLETS of laying age	_	894	895		6. PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION - At which occupation						
2. PULLETS 3 months old or older not yet of 2. PULLETS 3 months old or older not yet of 2. PULLETS 3 months old or older not yet of		896	897		did the operator spend the majority (50 percent or more) of his work time in 1978? For pertnerships						
3. PULLET CHICKS and PULLETS under 3 (Exclude commercial broilers.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · [3 896	899		consider all members of the partnership together 1 — Farming 2 — Other 7. OFF FARM WORK - How many days did the operator 929 —						
4. BROILERS, fryers, and other meat type ch including capons and roasters	nickens, •••••				(senior partner or person in charge) work at least 4 hours per day off this place in 1978? <i>Include work</i>						
S. TURKEYS	-	900	901		at a nonfarm job, business, or on someone else's farm. 3 🗍 50-99 days						
a. Turkeys for slaughter (Exclude breeders	s.)[902	903		12x11000 0x211111 work.)						
 b. Turkey HENS kept for breeding 6. OTHER POULTRY raised in captivity - c 	-]			e 🗌 200 days or more						
pigeons or squab, pheasants, quail, etc. (name and code from the fist below.)					SECTION 21 Was any of the land in this place held under FOREIGN OWNERSHIP in 1978?						
	_ Code		1		1 🛄 YES - Complete this section 2 🔲 NO						
		-	1		3 Don't > Go to section 28						
Poultry name Code Name		i ode Name	I	Code	know None Acres Of the total acres in this place, how many were owned by - 930 930						
Ducks 904 Pigeons of Geese 906 Pheasants	orsquab9 s9	10 Allót	ther poultry -		1. Individuals who are NOT citizens of the United States?						
7. POULTRY HATCHED on this place in			alfy	. 514	2. A foreign held corporation or unincorporated association, or a foreign government?						
1978 and placed or sold - chickens,	itor	None 916	Number		SECTIONS 22 - 27 Not applicable to this form						
turkeys, ducks, etc Specity kind of pour 8. Incubator egg capacity on December 31, 1		917			SECTION 28 PERSON COMPLETING THIS REPORT - Please print Name 999 Date						
9. What was the gross value of sales of poul	try	Gross value	of sales		Area code Number						
and poultry products (eggs, etc.) from this place in 1978? Include estimated value o	None	Dollars	Cents		Telephone						
poultry and poultry products moved from the place by contractors and others.	his 918	_			When the data have been tabulated, do you want a summary or sheet showing major census results for your county? 1 YES 2 NO						
FORM 78-A1-NI (4-10-78)				Pa	ge 4						

(Sections 22 through 27 were collected from only 20 percent of the farms; see text)

SECTION 22 Was any COMMERCIAL FERTILIZ LINE used on this place during 15		PHOSPWATE, or		IDITURES FOI siness during		petroleu	a products for the	
022 1	e Na	Acres fartilized	Include expenditures and others for produc livestuck, and other products from this pl	apricultural	Expendito	T	Gellons purchased in 1978	Storage capacity on this place
I. Acres of croniant factilized in 1978, other than	cropland	932	in 1978. 1. Gasoline for farm but	17.97.88	Dollars 966 S	Cents	967	(in gallons) 968
used only for pastures reported in section 10, it	m2ð ∟	933	2. Diesel fuel for the fa business	m –	969		970	971
 Acres of pastureland and rangeland tertilized in 1978 reported in section 10, items 2b and 4. 	******	j L I	3, LP gas, butane, prop	ane for	\$ 972		973	974
which applied (Do not include land plaater	tons Tons of lims	Acres limed	the farm business (4, 1 gailon)	····· 🗆	\$ 975	1	976	977
or gyperen of time for earitetion.) SECTION 23 Were my INSECTICIDES, HERBICI	DES, FUNGICIDES. I	IEMATICIDES.	4. Fuel oil for the farm 5. Natural gas for the fa	¥#	\$ 978			
OTHER PESTICIDES, & OTHER (HENICALS used on t	his place in 1978?	business 6. Kerosene, motor cil,	grease,	\$ 979			
1 YES - Complete this section 2 NO + Go to section 24			for the farm business 7. Electricity for the lat	m	\$ 980	 		
Include any materials provided by you, your land item listed, report acres only once. If multipurpo	lords, or contractors. Ise chemicals were us	For each ød, report	business	•••• 🗖	\$ 981 \$	1		
acreage treated for each purpose.		н. 	SECTION 26 SELECT	TED PRODUC place in 1978	TION EXPENSE	S paid by	you and others	
 Sprays, dusts, granules, fumigants, etc., (fungici herbicide, insecticide, nematicide) to control ~ 	de, None	Number of acres on which used	Include your best est buyers, and others for	r production of	crops, livestoc	k, and of	her agricultural	
a. Insects on hey and other crops	······ 🛛	936	products in 1978. DC customwork for others) NOT INCLUE ; operation of	DE expenses con nonfarm activiti	mected w	rith performing	2
b. Nematodes in crops	······ 🗖	937	or household expense				Rataria	nd expanditures
c. Diseases in crops and orchards (blights, smult rusts, etc.)) 	9.38	1. Livestock and poultry hogs, pigs, sheep, la poults, started pullet	purchased - mos, gosts, ho s, etc	cattle, calves, rses, chicks,		None Doll 982	
d. Woods, grass, or brush in crops and pasture		940	2. Total feed purchased hay, silage, mixed fe	for livestock a	and mouthry - or	ain	983	1
2. Chemicals for defoliation or for growth control of crops or thinning of fruit	····· 🛛		 Commercially mixe complete, supplemini 	d formula feed	s purchased -	•••••		
3. Were any chemicals used for -			(Do not include ingrediente purcha separately, such a		s Tenths 985	Dollars	Cents	
Insect control on livestock and poultry, including treatment of buildings?	JAI		cottonseed meal, and urea.)	None	/10 \$,		
A Bush the water and bud sector as a	아이는 아이는 것이 같아.	2□N0	3. Animal health costs f veterinerien services,	or livestock ar	od pouliny. Jack	He elc	986	
(Include lime for earlietion)		2 🗌 No	4. Seeds, buibs, plants,	and trees purc	hased		···· □ \$	
SECTION 24 MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT on (Include only equipment used for ap			5. Commercial fertilizer phosphate and gypsur	. Report cust	om application o	tosts in	988	1
«Value of ALL machinery and equipment on this	place, December 31, 1	978	/tem 9 be/ow. 6. Agricultural chemical	s perchased -	insecticides, h	erbicides		
1. What is the estimated market value of ALL machi equipment, and implements usually kept on this p	lace Est	imated market value	fungicides, other pest application costs in i 7 Hired form or range is	tem 9 below.				
and used for the farm or ranch business? include	cars,	Dollars Cents	 Hired farm or ranch la a. Of the hired worker family workers, how 	rs, including p	aid None	Number	····	
pumps, motors, inrigition soulprient, dairy equipm including milkers and bulk tanks, livestock needs grinding and mixing equipment, etc.	K\$,		(1) Worked 150 day		🗆 🗖	91		
		T	(2) Worked less the		9	92		
 SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1976, (August only (Fused in 1977 or 1978.) 	Total number on this place on December 31, 1978	Of the total, HOW MANY were manufac- tured in the last 5 years (1974–1978)?	as harvesting of truit,	vegetables, b	erries, etc., per	formed o	n'a i	\$ 1
None	944	(Number) 945	9. Customwork, machine equipment - include					
2. Automobiles	946	947	for customwork such a combining, com picki	es grinding and no. drvino. sil	d mixing feed, p a filling, somvin	lowing,		
4. Wheel tractors other than garden	948	949	dusting, fertilizing, e SECTION 27 ESTIMA					i
tractors and motor tillers	950	951	Please give your bes MARKET VALUE of	ESTIMATE D	f the CURRENT		Estimated current of land and b	market value
5. Grain and bean combines, self-propelled only	952	953	reported in section 1,	, items 1, 2, an	nd 3, page 1.	None		Cents
6. Corn heads for combines	954	955	1. All land owned				\$ 997	1
7. Other corn pickers and picker-shellers	956	957	2. All land rented or lea 3. All land rented or lea				\$ 998	1 t 1
8. Colton pickets (Exclude cotton strippers)	958	959	TALL OF COMPANY OF THE			<u>· </u>	\$	<u> </u>
8. Mower conditioners	960	961						
10. Pickup balers	962	963						
11. Field forage harvesters, shear bar or flywheel type								

FORM 78-A1(5) (4-10-78)

FORM 78-A1(1)

INFORMATION SHEET

1978 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

GENERAL INFORMATION

WE NEED A COMPLETED REPORT FORM FROM EVERYONE receiving this request. Please follow the instructions given below for completing section 1. You will then be able to determine what additional information is to be provided.

- The enclosed census report form is being used by farms and ranches throughout the entire United States. Because it is meant for use all parts of the country, it may contain sections and inquiries which do not apply to you. In this case, mark the "None" or "No" box and go on to the next item or section.
- 2. If You Receive More Than One Report Form If you have multiple farming operations, you should complete a report for EACH SEPARATE and DISTINCT production unit, that is, each individual farm, ranch, feedlot, greenhouse, etc.; or combination of farms, etc., for which you maintain SEPARATE records of operating expenses and sales, livestock and other inventories, crop acreages, and production. Return any duplicate or extra report forms in the same envelope with the report(s) you complete so we can remove the extra addresses from our mail register. In the space provided to the right of the address label of the report form you complete, write the 11 digit number from the label of the extra form or forms.

3. Partnership Operations

A partnership is an association of two or more persons who have agreed (informally or under legal contract) on the amount of their contributions and profit distributions.

Complete only ONE report for the entire partnership farm and ranch operation, including all partners' shares on the same report. If two or more report forms were received for the partnership see 2 above.

Partners who also have separate operations of their own should complete reports for those separate operations. For additional information on Partnerships see section 19 below.

4. How To Enter Your Responses On The Report Form

Please enter your answers in the proper spaces, on the correct lines, and in the units requested, i.e., dollars, bushels, tons, etc. Write any explanation outside the answer spaces or on a separate sheet of paper.

Enter whole numbers unless the "Tenths" column is provided, such as reporting acres of Irish potatoes in section 2. If you have 1/2, 1/4, or 1/3 of an acre, convert to tenths. For example convert 1/2 to 5/10, 1/3 to 3/10, 1/4 to 2/10, etc.

If you do not recall exact figures, please give your best estimate. You may indicate "Est." (for estimated) beside the answer, if you like. For all dollar items, we need only whole dollars, although a "Cents" column is provided for those who wish to report cents.

COMPLETING THE REPORT FORM

Section 1 - ACREAGE IN 1978

This section determines the land for which you should report agricultural activities. It also provides space for reporting landlords, renters, and location(s) of agricultural activities.

Changes in the Acres Operated in 1978 – Report all land that YOU USED during 1978, and the crops and livestock production on that land, even if some or all of it was no longer a part of your operation on December 31, 1978.

If you had agriculture operations at any time during 1978, but quit farmingbefore December 31, 1978, report your 1978 crop and livestock production and sales from that land for the portion of the year that you operated the land. Please explain in the margin of the report form (or on a separate sheet of paper) that you quit farming or ranching during 1978 and give the approximate date and the name and address of the present operator, if known. If you acquired land for agricultural operations, but did not use it for livestock or crop production in 1978, include the land in section 1. Please explain in the margin or on a separate sheet of paper.

Completing Items 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 1 – Use items 1, 2, and 3 to determine the acres of land that are your responsibility for the purpose of making the agriculture census report. Include all land that you were associated with in 1978, regardless of location or use, but do not include holdings of residential property not associated with agricultural operations. Report all land in whole acres in this section.

Item 1 – Land Owned – Report all land owned in 1978 whether held under title, purchase contract or mortgage, homestead law, or as heir or trustee of an undivided estate, by you and/or your spouse, or by the partnership, corporation, or organization for which you are reporting.

Item 2 - Land Rented or Leased FROM Others -Report all land rented by you, even though the landlord may have supplied equipment, fertilizer, or other materials and/or some supervision of the work.

INCLUDE in item 2 -

- a. Land (for agricultural uses) that you rented FROM others for cash payments
- b. Land worked on a share basis
- c. Land (owned by someone else) which you used rent-free
- d. Federal, State, Indian, or railroad land rented or leased by the acre

DO NOT INCLUDE in item 2 – Land used on a per-head or animal unit license or permit basis, such as land used under section 3 of the Taylor Grazing Act, National Forest Land, or Indian Reservation Permit Land.

Item 3 – Land Rented TO OTHERS – Cash tenants, share tenants, and share croppers are farm operators for census purposes and are responsible for making the agriculture census report for the land they operate.

INCLUDE in item 3 -

- Owned land rented TO others for cash or a share of the crops or livestock
- b. Land which you rented from others and then subleased to others in 1978
- c. Land rented out for residential or other nonfarm purposes, if it was part of the land reported in item 1 or 2
- Land worked for you by someone else on a share-of-crop basis
- e. Land which you allowed others to use rentfree

NOTE: Please enter the names and addresses of renters, tenants, and sharecroppers in item 6.

Item 4 – Acres in this Place – This is the sum of the land that you owned in 1978 (item 1), PLUS the iand that you rented FROM others (item 2), MINUS the land that you rented TO others (item 3). It is very important that you report this figure correctly (even if "0" acres), since the remainder of your report should cover only those operations on "the Acres in This Place" reported in item 4.

"Zero Acres in Place" - Should you complete the rest of this form?

If you yourself had crop or livestock activities on the land for any portion of the year, you should complete the rest of the form. See "Changes in Acres Operated in 1978," above.

If all your land was operated by a renter or sharecropper for all of 1978, you do not have to complete the entire form. In this case, you should:

- a. Complete item 6 for renter.
- b. Skip to section 28 and complete Name, Address, Telephone number, etc.
- c. Explain briefly in the margin or on separate paper that you did not operate a farm or ranch in 1978. For example, "All land rented out," "Sold Farm," "Retired," etc., and give the approximate date that

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

you quit operating. If you sold your farm, please give the name and address of current owner or operator, if known.

d. Mail the form in the return envelope provided.

Item 7 - Grazing Permits - In some States, government lands and Indian lands are used for grazing livestock under permit or special license, with payments on a per-head or animal unit basis. This land should NOT be included as part of item 2, "Land rented or leased FROM others," or item 4, "Total Acres in This Place." But you should include in your report any livestock located on permit land in 1978.

Sections 2-8 - CROPS

Sections 2 through 8 provide space for reporting crops harvested during the 1978 crop year from the land shown in section 1, item 4, of your report. Please report your crops in the appropriate section. Do not include any crops grown on land rented, leased, or worked on shares by others during 1978.

Acres Harvested – Enter the acres harvested in 1978. Round fractions to whole acres except where tenths are requested, as for Irish potatoes.

Quantity Harvested – The units of measure on the report form are those most commonly used throughout the country. If you use a different unit of measure, please convert your figure for quantity harvested to the unit requested. If harvest is incomplete by December 31, 1978, please estimate the total quantity harvested.

Crop Irrigation – For each crop irrigated, report number of acres irrigated. Irrigation is defined as land watered by artificial or controlled means – sprinklers, furrows or ditches, spreader dikes, purposeful flooding, etc. Include acres that received supplemental, partial, and preplant irrigation. For any crop not irrigated, leave "Acres irrigated" blank.

How to Report Crops Harvested

- a. Sections 2 and 3 In these two sections, separate lines are provided for reporting each of several crops widely grown in the United States. Do not write in data for any other crops.
- b. Sections 4-8 In each of these sections, report any of the crops listed in the same section. To report a crop in any of these sections, (1) find the crop name and the code number in the list in the section; (2) enter crop name and code in the first two columns of the first available answer line in the section; (3) enter the information requested in the headings of the remaining columns.

Example: A farmer harvested 20 acres of alfalfa seed, yielding 6,000 pounds, and 30 acres of red clover seed, yielding 8,400 pounds. (He did not irrigate either crop.) He would enter the following in section 8:

SECTION & Ware an	Y OTHER C	ROPS harvesled in 1995, or other crops	m this place is 1978 I had previously repor	- rice, praivits, ted?
- 19 Y	[] - comp+	to this section		
	0 - 00 10 1	action 9		
From the list below ent		Acres harvested		
Crop Name				Acres wrighted
	1542		6,000	Acres eregated
	542	20		Acres origited
alfat par	542	20	6,000	Acres ====gated 1 1 2

If more space is needed, use separate sheet of paper.

Two or More Crops Harvested From The Same Land (Double-Cropping) - Report the total acres and production of each harvested crop in the appropriate section of the report form.

Example: A farmer harvested 1,230 bushels of wheat from 40 acres in 1978, then planted and harvested 1,550 bushels of soybeans from the same 40 acres before the end of the year. He irrigated the soybeans but not the wheat.

Corre	ect entries	Acres	Bushels	Acres irrigated
item 3	Wheat	40	1,230	—
ltern 8	Soybeens	40	1.550	40

Sections 2-8 - CROPS - Continued

Interplanted Crops and "Skip Row" Planting – If two crops were grown at the same time in alternating strips in the same field, report the portion of the field used for each crop.

Example: A 60 acre field was planted in cotton and soybeans, with two rows of cotton followed by an area of the same width planted in soybeans. Thirty acres of soybeans would be reported in section 2, item 8, and 30 acres of cotton in section 2, item 9.

Vegetables - Report acres of vegetables harvested FOR SALE. Do not report acres of vegetables for Report the total acreage of each home use. vegetable crop harvested.

Example: You harvested 10 acres of lettuce from a field in 1978, then replanted the field to lettuce and harvested the 10 acres again. Enter only 10 acres of land from which vegetables were harvested in item 1 of section 5, but write in 20 acres of lettuce harvested in item 2 of section 5.

Fruit and Nuts ~ In counting the combined total of 20 or more trees and vines, include those for home use as well as those maintained for sale of the production (exclude abandoned trees).

If crops other than fruit and nut trees and vines were interplanted with trees or vines, report the total acres for BOTH the orchard and the interplanted crops harvested.

Example: If 20 acres of cotton were grown in a 30 acre pecan orchard, you would report 20 acres of cotton in section 2, item 9, and 30 acres of pecans in section 4.

Avocados, Olives, Sugarcane

Avocados – For California report the quantity harvested from November 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978. For Fiorida report the quantity harvested from April 1978 through March 1979, estimating if necessary.

Olives - Report the quantity harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the October 1977 to March 1978 harvest season.

Sugarcane – For Florida and Téxas report cuttings from November 1977 through April 1978. For Louisiana and Hawaii report for the 1978 crop vear.

Section 9 - GROSS VALUE OF CROPS SOLD

Report the values received during 1978, regardless of the year in which the crops were harvested. Give gross values (before deducting taxes and expenses). If full payment was not received for a crop or crops sold in 1978, report only the amount actually received in 1978.

For value of grains and cotton, include as sold the value of government CCC loans received in 1978 but not loans obtained from any other government or private source.

Section 10 -- LAND USE

The purpose of this section is to classify the acres you reported in section 1, item 4, by principal use in 1978. Do not include any acres you rented TO others (reported in section 1, item 3).

Land Used for Multiple Purposes - if part of your land was used for more than one purpose in 1978, report that land on the line for the use first listed, and NOT on the line for the second use. For example, if you plowed under a cover crop, and planted and harvested a grain crop, report the land in item 2a, "Cropland harvested," but NOT as "Cropland used for cover crop, legumes, ... etc." (item 2c).

Double Cropping – When more than one crop was harvested from the same land in 1978, report that land only ONCE as "Cropland Harvested," item 2a of this section

Interplanted Crops - If you interplanted crops, such as cotton in an orchard, report the total land used for both crops only ONCE, as "Cropland Harvested," in item 2a.

Section 12-16 - LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY Animals and Poultry to be Included in the Report -Animals and poultry to be included in the resport Report all animals and poultry on the place on December 31, 1978. Include all owned by you and any kept by you for others. Include animals on unfenced land, on National Forest land, on district land or cooperative grazing association land or on rangeland administered by the Bureau of Land Management on a per-head or lease basis Include poultry (such as on wheat pasture). (broilers, layers, turkeys, etc.) fed under contract on "This Place." Include livestock and poultry kept on this place for home use, 4H projects, etc.

Number sold - Report all animals and poultry sold or removed from this place including those sold for a landlord or given to a landlord as his share or to others in trade or in payment for goods and services. Include animals of all ages without regard to ownership or who shared in the receipts if the animals were located on the place 30 days or more and were sold or removed from the place in 1978.

Sales from Contract and Custom Feeding Operations – Include animals and poultry (including poultry under contract) fed on this place on a contract or custom basis if they were removed from the place in 1978.

Value of Sales – Be sure to report the total gross value of sales of animals and poultry without deducting cost of feed, cost of livestock purchased, cost of hauling and selling, etc. If the sale price or value is not known, give your best estimate of their value when they left this place.

Animals Moved to Another Place - For animals removed from this place to another place, such as for further feeding, report the number as sold and give your best estimate of their market value when they left this place.

Animals to be Excluded from the Report - Do not report any animals or poultry kept under a share arrangement on land rented TO others in 1978

Animals Sold from Another Place - Animals owned by you, but held and sold from someone else's place should NOT be included. For example, do not report cattle purchased elsewhere, fed in, and sold from a feedlot not part of this place.

Animals Bought and Sold - Do not include animals bought and resold within 30 days. Such purchases and sales are considered "dealer" transactions.

Fat Cattle Sales - Do not include with fattened cattle in item 3a of section 12:

- a. Veal calves which were fattened primarily on milk, or
- b. Dairy cows fed only the usual dairy ration before being sold, or
- Cattle and calves that were sold for further feeding.

All Other Livestock - Specify the name of any other livestock, animal specialty, or livestock products on or sold from this place that have not been accounted for elsewhere on this form,

Example: Bees sold (colonies, packages, pounds); semen sales, animals bred and sold for laboratory use; birds, frogs, etc.

Section 19 - TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

Use the following definitions to assist you in determining the type of organization for your operation:

Individual or Family Operation (Sole Proprietorship) - Defined as a farm or business organization controlled and operated by an individual. Includes family operations that are not incorporated and not operated under a partnership agreement.

Partnership Operation - Defined as two or more Partnership Operation – Defined as two or more persons who have agreed on the amount of their contribution (capital and effort) and the distribu-tion of profits. Co-ownership of land by husband and wife or joint filing of income tax forms by husband and wife does not constitute a partnerhusband and wire does not constitute a partue-ship, unless the agreement to share contributions, decisionmaking, profits, and liabilities exists. Producing products under contract or under a share rental agreement does not constitute a partnership.

Corporation - Defined as a legal entity or artificial person resated under the laws of a State to carry on a business. This definition does not include cooperatives.

Other - Such as cooperative (defined as an incorporated or unincorporated enterprise or association created and formed jointly by the members), estate or trust (defined as fund of money or property administered for the benefit of another individual or organization), prison farm, grazing association, Indian reservation, etc.

Section 20 - OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS AND OCCUPATION

This section applies to the characteristics and occupation of the individual owner, operator, senior partner, or person in charge for the type of organization reported in section 19 of the form.

For Individual or Family Operation (Sole Proprietorship) - Complete this section for the operator.

For Partnership Operations – Complete items 1 through 5 and 7 (of this section) for the "Senior Partner." The "Senior Partner" is the individual who is mainly responsible for the agricultural operations on this place. Consider the oldest partner as the "Senior Partner" if each shares equally in the day-to-day management decisions. For item 6 (Principal Occupation) consider all For item 6 (Principal Occupation) consider all members of the partnership together. Please include as "Farming" worktime at all types of agricultural enterprises, including specialties, such as greenhouses, nurseries, mushrooms, ranching, feed lots, broiler feeding, etc.

For Incorporated and Other Operations (Cooperatives, Estates, etc.) - Complete section 20 for the person in charge, such as hired manager, business manager, or other person primarily responsible for the on-site, day-to-day operation of the farm or ranch business.

Section 22 - COMMERCIAL FERTILIZER

Report acres on which commercial fertilizer was applied during 1978. If some acres where fertilized more than once, report acres ONLY ONCE.

Section 24 - MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT The estimated market value in item 1 refers to ALL machinery and equipment kept on this place and used for the farm business. The value should be an estimate of what the machinery and equip-ment would sell for in its present condition, not the replacement or depreciated value.

Section 26 - SELECTED PRODUCTION EXPENSES

Include expenses paid by you and by anyone else for the production of crops, poultry, livestock, and other agricultural products on this place. Also include expenses incurred even if payment was not made in 1978. Please give estimates if you do not know the exact figures. For example, if you grew broilers or fed cattle for others, include in item 1, as purchases, your best estimate of the value of the baby chicks or cattle at the time they were brought to this place. Also include in item 2, the value and amount of all feed purchased by you or someone else for use on this place.

Do not include expenses related to nonfarm activities (trading and speculation, or livestock dealer activities).

Hired Labor - Expenditures for hired labor should include gross wages or salaries, commissions, paid bonuses, and leave pay before deductions, plus the value of benefits paid by you - Social Security taxes, unemployment insurance, etc. Do not include room and board, house rent, or other items paid in kind.

									Form A	pproved: O.M.B	No. 41-578038
FORM 78-A1(A)	U-S. DEPA B	RTMENT OF CO	MMERCE CENSUS	THE	CENSUS BI	JREAU IS al purpos	is inquiry is required by la CONFIDENTIAL. It may es. Your report CANNOT t copies retained in your fi	be seen only b	. Code). By the s y sworn Census er poses of taxation,	ame law YOUR F nployees and m investigation, o	EPORT TO
FNS					an eree pit	1444 410	CENSI	IS USE ONLY			
S 107	8 CENSUS OF		TURE	035			038	037		038	
肾 78 厚 ""		SAMPLE	- Orthi	039	<u></u>	a contraction of the second	040	041		042	÷
A. S	AREA :	>mmr L-E		Key id	entification c	ata	1	1			
Part I - IDENTIFICA	TION					Part	II - TYPE OF ORG	ANIZATIO	N - Continue	1	
	ns 1 through 6 - Ca						ype C - INCORPORATED	UNDER	Name		<u></u>
1. State 2. County		3. Seg	ment numb	er 4. A3	Line number	3	STATE LAW		Mailing address	- Roule/Box/Str	00t
5. Name – First, Middle initia		······································] :	What is the current name and mailing address of	같이다. 같아요. 같아요.	Post Office	State	ZIP code
6. Mailing a. Route/Box/Str address	reet						this corporation?				1
b. Post Office				Staté	ZIP code		. Is this a family-held corp				
person listed in	a code Number		8. In what you be	gin to	060		Are there more than 10 st Does this corporation hav []] YES - Enter location	e other separat	and distinct farm	· · · ·	
item 5) telephone number?			of this	any part place?	<u> </u>				¥	1997 	
	YES - Enter th	e El number					(1) Location (State and cou	inty)	Name		
the state of the shift of the same the	DND ND] [(2) Location (State and cou	nty)	Name		
Part II - TYPE OF C];	. During the past two years	•	Name		
Mark (X) type of arganization for that type. Complete only is of quantiformatics relate only is	one part of this pag	e. Date reported	for subs				has this farm or ranch used any name or address other than the current			- Dauto/Daul Ct	
of questionnaire relate only to	OR FAMILY	Name	.		<u>, 19</u> 0	4	corporation name and mai address?		Mailing address	- HOUTE/BOX/Str	881
OPERATION proprietorship	(Sole	Mailing address	- Deute !	PoviSter		4	· 1997년 1983년 1993년 1997년 - 1997년 1997년 1997년 1997년 - 1997년	المتحدة «المتحدة». المتحدة المتحدة	Post Office	State	ZIP code
is this farm or ranch	•• () ••••		- Houle/				. Does this farm or ranch a	mploy a hired		940 N 4019	200,000
operated under sny na other than your own?	(AUM) 1.444	Post Office		State	ZIP code		manager who is primarily the farm or ranch operatio	in charge of	. 1 [] YES - Go		IO - SKIP to
7 Burlas the said two	("iver i	Name				す ,	. Who is the hired manager	아이에 있는 것 같아.	itan		(tem 8
2. During the past two years, have you recei- mail at any address							[_] Person named in part item 5 - SKIP to page		Name	<u> </u>	
other than the one I have listed?		Mailing address	- Route/	Box/Stre	91		C Other - Specify and and interview				
3. Boes this farm or rand	th simplify a hired	Post Office		State	ZIP code	1	. Who is primarily in charge	1 01	Mailing address	- Route/Box/St	reet
manager who is prime the farm or ranch oper	rily in charge of L	YES - Go I	2	_) NO :	SKIP to		the farm or ranch operation	1, 34 (1998)	Post Office	State	ZIP code
		Iten			oage 2		item 5 - SKIP to page Other - Specify and	", ,	1 <u></u>		1
4. Who is the bired mana	gert	Name					and interview	and the second second	27.3038 B.B.		
[] Person named in p Item 5 - SKIP to p	wart 1, bage 2	Mailing address	- Route/	Box/Stre	ət	- H - E -	ype D - OTHEN ORGANIZ . What type of organization	えんぶんかぶし てんてんかいし	ntrots the operatio	ns of this farm o	r ranch unit?
[]) Other - Specify an to page 2	NO SKIP	Post Office		State	ZIP code	-1* *	9 9 8				
TYON B - PARTNERSH	POPERATIONS	Name			<u> </u>	-	1 [] Estate 2 [] Trust	4 [] State Gove	or Local 4 roment	[_] Other - Spe	www.
2 1. For business purpose	y pertnerships)			0		4	3 Cooperative	5 () Fede	ral Government	83. <u></u>	
" what name and mallin address is used for th		Mailing address	– Route/	Box/Stree			. What is the name and		Name		
partmrship?		Post Office		State	ZIP code		mailing address of this organization?		Mailing address	- Route i Box / SI	reet
111月1日(111日) - 建筑市村 111日(111日) - 建筑市村			<u>.</u>		1991-141				Post Office	Stat	e ZIP code
2. How many partners ar 3. What is the name and		Partne each partner?	113						L		
Person named in p	art 1, item 5 - Do	not list	문화되었다. 한국의 사람이				. What is the name and mai	ling	Name	<u></u>	
[] Other - Specify (U	ise "Romarks" if a	b.	utred.)				address used for this farm or ranch operation?	h	Mailing address	- Route/Box/SI	reet
Name	and an	Name	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>				Post Office	State	ZIP code
Mailing address - Ro	ute/Box/Street	Mailing ad	dress – R	oute/Box	Street				L	1	1
Post Office	State ZIP code	Post Office	e	State	ZIP code	7.	. During the past two years has this farm or ranch	4 [] YES -)	š		
4. Does this partnership	employ a hired man	ager who is	I TYE	5 Go te	item 5		used any other name or mailing address?	L Luiw	Mailing address	- Route/Box/SI	reel
primarily in charge of 5. Who is the bired mana		p=1=176712+1			to item e	1.	. Does this organization as	aniov a hived	Post Office	State	e ZIP code
Person named in p	ert 1,	Name					manager who is primarily the farm or ranch operation	in charge of	. ' [] YES Go	10 2 1 1	10 - SKIP to
Item 5 - 3KIP to p		Mailing address	- Route/	Box/Stree	et	1	. Who is the hired manager		ter		Rem 7
6. Which partner is prima of the farm or ranch o	urily in charge	Post Office		State	ZIP code	-33	Person named in part item 5 - SKIP to pag	1,			
Person named in p					1	-188	Other - Specify and end interview				
	ecify and end inters	New _				1,	. Who is primarily in charg	e of the	Name		
None - Go to Hem	17	X	i in the second			4	farm or ranch operations f		Mailing address	- Route/Box/St	reet
7. Who is the eldest part Person named in p	art 1,	Name					item 5 - Go to page 2	/	Post Office	Stat	e ZIP code
item 5 - SKIP to p	age 2 d end interview	r					end interview	1	Post Office	Stat	211 0000
		<u>شىيە ئىستىنى سەت.</u>		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Page 1	······				

Note: Remainder of form same as A1(S).