APPENDIX A. General Explanation

TAKING THE CENSUS	A-1
DATA PROCESSING	A-3
COMPARABILITY OF DATA	A-4
MAJOR CHANGES IN DATA COLLECTED	A-4
FOLLOW-ON SURVEYS AND THE CENSUS OF HORTI-	
CULTURAL SPECIALTIES	A-5
DISCLOSURES	A-5
1974 DATA CORRECTIONS	A-5
DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	A-5
FARM CLASSIFICATIONS	A-11
UNPUBLISHED DATA	A-12
NONSAMPLING ERRORS	A-13
CENSUS COVERAGE	A-13
STATISTICAL ADJUSTMENTS	A-13

TABLES

А.	PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL REPRESENTED BY ADJUST-	
	MENT FOR WHOLE FARM NONRESPONSE: 1978	A-14
В.	ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
	STATE TOTALS FOR SELECTED ITEMS: 1978	A-16
С.	ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
	FARMS REPORTING AN ITEM IN THE COUNTY: 1978	A-16
D.	ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
	COUNTY TOTALS FOR SELECTED ITEMS: 1978	A-17

TAKING THE CENSUS

Method of Enumeration

The 1978 Census of Agriculture was the first census to include a mailout/mailback enumeration supplemented by a thorough direct interview of all households in a sample of area segments. This combination list-direct enumeration sample approach was instituted to improve completeness of coverage for State level agriculture census statistics.

In censuses prior to 1969, enumerators were assigned to a specific area and called on all farms within the area. Beginning with the 1950 census, copies of the report form were mailed prior to the enumeration date to each boxholder served by post offices in predominantly rural areas and farmers were asked to complete the forms and have them ready for the enumerator to pick up. Enumerators going door-to-door in rural areas collected and reviewed all forms that had been completed; they also completed forms for those places with agricultural operations which did not have forms ready.

For the 1969 and 1974 censuses, data were collected primarily by a self-enumeration, mailout/mailback procedure. This procedure was made possible by the availability of specialty lists and several administrative lists, including records from the prior agriculture census, from which a list of agriculture-related names and addresses was constructed.

The mailout/mailback enumeration procedure was not used in taking the agriculture census in Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands. A discussion of the direct enumeration methods used appears in the reports for these outlying areas.

Mail List

The mail list for the 1978 census was comprised of all individuals, businesses, and organizations that could be readily identified as being associated with agriculture. The list was assembled from the records of the 1974 census and administrative records of various government agencies, primarily the Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The administrative lists used for 1978 were more accurate and extensive than those used for the 1974 and 1969 censuses. For 1978, most administrative lists were used in their entirety; whereas, for 1974 and 1969 some lists were sampled so that only selected records were included in the census mailing list.

Lists of large or specialized operations, such as nurseries, broiler growers, fish farms, and livestock range and feedlot operations, which might not be identified in other administrative records, were obtained from State and Federal agencies, trade associations, and similar organizations. Lists of multiestablishment companies having one or more establishments (or locations) producing agricultural products or providing agricultural services were obtained from the 1974 census and updated using results of the 1976 and 1977 Company Organization Surveys conducted by the Economic Surveys Division of the Census Bureau.

A preliminary census mail list was assembled using names and addresses from the 1974 census and administrative source lists available early in 1978. Those records identified as most likely not to be farms, since the name appeared on only one source list or on selected combinations of list sources which had yielded a low percentage of farm operators for the 1974 census, were then selected for inclusion in the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey. Slightly over 4 million of the short identification forms were mailed in May of 1978 to identify persons who were not active farm operators, to identify tenant and successor operators who were not on administrative source lists, and to update the name, address, and size information for the identified active farm operators. Approximately 1.9 million survey returns were classified as out-of-scope (nonfarms), and an additional 400,000 reports were returned by the Postal Service as being undeliverable, resulting in over 2.3 million names and addresses being deleted from the census mail list as a result of the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey. The final census mail list containing 4,241,000 names and addresses was developed using results of the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey, names and addresses from the unduplicated preliminary list that were retained without precensus verification, and new or updated source lists acquired after the preliminary unduplication.

The preliminary and final census mail lists were both constructed by merging and unduplicating the names and

addresses from the various source lists on the basis of Employer Identification numbers, Social Security numbers, and names and addresses. To facilitate processing, each name on the administrative source lists was assigned a geographic code indicating the State and county location of the operation and a size code indicating an estimated value of sales. Most duplicates were identified and resolved during computer processing; an additional group of possible duplicates identified during computer processing was resolved clerically. Other duplicate names not identified prior to mailing were either reported by respondents or located during office processing.

Direct Enumeration Area Sample

When the evaluation survey conducted for the 1974 census confirmed the results of the evaluation survey for 1969 (i.e., an unacceptable number of midsize and a larger number of smaller farms were not included in the mail list), the decision was made to incorporate into the 1978 data collection procedures a direct enumeration area sample to provide State level estimates of the number and statistical characteristics of farms found not to be included in the mail list. The effect of this combination mail list-direct enumeration sample approach has been to drastically reduce the number and effect of missed farms in State totals.

The direct enumeration sample consisted of approximately 6,400 segments in "rural" areas (areas outside places with 2,500 or more population in 1970) in all States, except Alaska and Hawaii. The segments were created and selected using enumeration district maps and data from the 1970 Census of Population and Housing. In October and November 1978, enumerators visited each household in these segments to determine if anyone in the household was associated with an agricultural operation, A 78-A1(A) report form was completed for each person with agricultural operations. This form was similar to the 78-A1(S) sample form but collected additional information on alternate names and addresses and other individuals associated with the operation for use in matching these cases to the census mail file. The front page of the 78-A1(A) report form is reproduced in appendix D. During the enumeration, each operator received an orange-colored sticker and was instructed that if he/she received a census form in the mail, the form should not be filled but returned with the sticker attached indicating that a report had already been completed.

During office processing, a concentrated effort was made to match the direct enumeration sample returns to names and addresses on the census mail list using the sticker returns, microfilm search of the mail file for all associated names, telephone calls to all cases that could be reached by phone, and any other available information. Direct enumeration sample returns not matched to the census mail list after this extensive research comprise the sample representing farms in the State not on the census mail list. Data from these unmatched direct enumeration sample returns were used to provide estimates at the State level for farms not on the census mail list. For each State, these data have been tabulated and published as a "pseudo" county and are an integral part of the State totals. The direct enumeration sample estimates have not been included in individual county totals.

Report Forms

The Census Bureau recognizes the need to minimize both respondent burden and census cost by limiting the number of items for which data are requested to those most needed by users as the basis for current understanding and future planning. In addition to limiting data items, the most recent eight censuses of agriculture have also used sampling techniques to further minimize reporting burden and census cost. For 1945, additional items were included in the enumeration of designated geographic segments, but were omitted from the report forms used for all other areas. For the 1950, 1954, 1959, and 1964 censuses, similar goals were met by instructing the enumerators to determine whether to ask or omit the items in designated sections of the report forms. For the 1969, 1974, and 1978 mailout/mailback censuses, two report forms were used again with the result of minimizing the reporting burden, particularly for smaller farms.

For 1978, the two report forms were a 5-page report form (78-A1(S)) containing all the items asked in the census, and a 4-page form (78-A1 (N)) omitting the sample items (sections 22 through 27). Form 78-A1(N) and the 78-A1(S) sample items are reproduced in appendix D. In all States except Alaska and Hawaii, the sample form (A1(S)) was mailed to all certainty addresses (large farms) and to 20 percent of the noncertainty addresses; the nonsample form (A1(N)) was mailed to the remaining noncertainty addresses. The sample form was mailed to all Alaska addresses. For Hawaii, a modified version of the sample form was used for all farms. The modifications were made principally in the crops section in order to cover the specialized agriculture on the islands. The Statistical Adjustments section of this appendix defines certainty farms and includes a discussion of the criteria used to determine whether the sample or nonsample form was to be mailed to noncertainty addresses.

Initial Mailing

The report forms were distributed by the U.S. Postal Service during the last week of December 1978 to all individuals, businesses, and organizations on the mail list. Approximately 4,241,000 forms were included in the initial mailing (3,140,000 nonsample forms and 1,101,000 sample forms).

From February through August 1979, additional initial mailings were sent primarily to new tenants and successors identified during report form processing. Thus, the total mailing list for the 1978 Census of Agriculture was 4,430,000.

An information sheet containing detailed instructions for completing the form was included with each report form (see appendix D). Additional special instructions were included with report forms sent to identifiable producers of poultry under contract, bees and honey, laboratory animals, feedlot operations, and nursery and greenhouse products.

In an effort to provide additional help in completing reports, approximately 60,000 copies of a Farm Census Guide booklet were sent to county agricultural agencies and related institutions or businesses to whom farmers might turn for help. Included were rural banks, accountants, vocational agriculture instructors, and the following U.S. Department of Agriculture county offices: Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Farmers Home Administration, and Agricultural Extension Service. This guide contained descriptions and definitions for various items in more detail than the instructions included with each report form. Representatives of the above agencies graciously consented to assist farmers in completing their report forms if assistance was requested. In addition, the telephone number for the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office was provided in the original mailing in case the respondent requested assistance or had additional questions which were not covered in the instructions.

Followup Procedures

The data collection effort included seven followup letters to nonrespondents, three of which were accompanied by a report form. To evenly distribute the workloads in mailing, receipts, and processing, the mail list was divided into three groups for followup purposes. In late January, the first followup letter was sent to all nonrespondents reminding them of the February 15 due date. The other reminders followed on a flow basis at 3- to 4-week intervals starting in late February and continuing into July.

In low response areas, additional efforts were made. State Commissioners of Agriculture were asked to issue supporting statements urging farmers to complete and return their reports. In early April 1979, nonrespondents in about 300 counties with the lowest response rates were mailed an additional letter using simpler language in large print suggesting that if they needed help in completing their report, they could call collect to the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office.

From March to November 1979, telephone calls were made to nonrespondents who were large (for most States, those with expected sales of \$80,000 or more) or were located in about 60 counties with low response. Data for large farms were obtained by telephone from secondary sources if the addressee could not be contacted or refused to provide information. Most of the nonresponse calls were made by the staff of the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office. However, calls to the larger and more complex operations were made by the Agriculture Division staff. Upon completion of data collection, all counties had response rates exceeding 75 percent of the final mail list including additional addresses. The overall response rate for the 50 United States was 88 percent for all addresses and nearly 95 percent for addresses with a known history of farm operations. A significant proportion of the nonresponse cases was found not to have qualifying agricultural operations for 1978. For a description of the adjustment for nonresponse, see Statistical Adjustments.

DATA PROCESSING

All report forms were subjected to a clerical screening review, during which they were corrected to make them acceptable for keying onto magnetic tape. Farm operators completing a nonsample form who reported sales or acres above the certainty level were sent correspondence requesting the additional sample data. Reports for special list cases identified on the mail list as producing specific products such as broilers or cattle were checked to insure that the special item had been reported. Problem reports requiring special review or additional handling were referred to technical assistants, or if necessary, to statisticians for resolution. Report forms with sales or acreage above levels listed as State "must" were subjected to a more stringent precomputer review, including completeness checks for key basic data items. Reports from multiunit operations and institutional and government-operated farms were reviewed and edited by statisticans. All farms with expected or reported sales of \$1,000,000 or more or 30,000 acres or more, all Alaska and Hawaii reports, selected multiunit operations, and other selected problem reports were reviewed by statisticians in the Agriculture Division. Omissions, inconsistencies, and other problems that could not be resolved by reference to other information on the report were resolved by contacting the respondents by telephone or correspondence.

All successors and the more significant tenant names and addresses reported in the census were researched on microfilm of the census mail file. Report forms were mailed to addresses not located on the mail file. Research was also conducted on reports from selected respondents claiming that they had previously completed a census form to eliminate possible duplication.

Data for each report form were subjected to a detailed item-by-item computer edit. The edit included comprehensive checks for consistency and reasonableness, corrected data found to be in error or inconsistent, supplied missing data based on similar size farms within the same county, and assigned farm classification codes which were necessary for tabulating the data. Entries of large magnitude and significant computergenerated changes to the data were manually verified. Obvious errors such as reports of cotton or citrus in the Northern States were also identified and corrected.

Many of the acceptability limits on data were necessarily wide making it impossible to identify and correct all errors or to always supply precise estimates for all the incompleteness in the reports. These factors and others may affect the reliability of data for some minor items, but they should not have a significant effect on major data items in any county.

In the computer edit, those farms with sales or acreage exceeding State "must" levels were tested for historical consistency. Key items such as acreage, number of livestock sold, crops, and sales were compared for significant changes between 1974 and 1978. Problems in historical consistency were resolved usually by telephone.

In order to reduce duplicate reporting because of more than one completed report being received for the same operation under different names, computer listings of reports with similar operator names and size of operation were produced and duplicate reports were deleted prior to tabulations.

After each report was screened, computer edited, and had all identified problems corrected, each data item on the report was added into the total for that item for the county. The result was a computer tabulation matrix for each county containing the sum of individual entries for each data item. This matrix enabled the easy and precise construction of the published tables.

Prior to publication of preliminary reports and volume 1 reports, tabulated totals were reviewed by statisticians to

identify remaining inconsistencies and potential coverage problems. Comparisons were made with historical census trends as well as current year State level estimates published by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and other agencies as part of the coverage review. Selected report forms were reviewed and problem entries were either verified as being correct or the data were corrected.

COMPARABILITY OF DATA

Significant changes were made to the data collection procedures to improve the coverage of the 1978 census, including a more complete mail list and the use of a direct enumeration area sample to supplement the mail list. The effect of these improvements has been to increase the 1978 State farm counts above what they would have been using previous methods. The 1978 State farm counts, consisting of totals from the mail list and the direct enumeration area sample, include farms that would have been missed in the 1974 and 1969 censuses.

The 1978 county farm counts do not include any totals from the direct enumeration sample. However, for some counties, the counts may have increased substantially because of the improved coverage of small farms in the 1978 mail list. County level data on acreages and inventories are generally comparable.

Estimates of the net proportion of farms missed in the 1974 census varied widely by State, from a low of 0.7 percent in Nebraska to a high of 33.0 percent in West Virginia. Appendix C shows adjusted farm counts by State. Because missed farms were typically small, the percent missed was generally higher in States having a relatively large proportion of small farms and urbanized areas having a sizable number of part-time farms. The proportion of the total farms in each State represented by the direct enumeration sample in 1978 can be expected to follow a pattern similar to that for missed farms in 1974.

Since most of the farms identified in the direct enumeration sample were small, these farms have a much greater effect on the State counts of farms and farms reporting than on measures of agricultural production such as land in farms, sales of agricultural products, acres and production of crops, and inventory and sales of livestock and poultry.

Changes in the farm definition also affect the comparability of data. In general, data for 1978 and 1974 would be directly comparable with data for 1969 and earlier censuses only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more because the data for these farms are not affected by changes in the farm definition. A difference in timing and the change from personal interview to mail enumeration affect comparability with data from censuses prior to 1969. The effect of the 1974 definition change for selected State and county totals is shown in appendix B.

Dollar figures shown for expenses and agricultural product sales have not been adjusted for changes in price levels between census years. Crop and livestock production and sales and expense data are for the calendar year 1978, except for a few crops (such as citrus) for which the production year overlaps the calendar year. Inventories of livestock, poultry, and other specified items are as of December 31, 1978. The reporting period and inventory date are the same as for the 1974 and 1969 censuses. Censuses prior to 1969 were taken by enumerators, each assigned to a specific geographic area. Field work for the 1959 and 1964 censuses was completed mainly in October, November, and December of the reference year. Livestock and poultry inventories for these two censuses were as of the time of enumeration. Other variations in the time of enumeration occurred prior to 1959.

MAJOR CHANGES IN DATA COLLECTED

Unusually strong adverse reaction to the length and content of the 1974 census report form stimulated an intensive review and rejustification of all data inquiries accepted for collection on the 1978 census report form. Data users were requested to provide the Bureau with their data requirements, including the level of publication needed-county, State, or national. Each data item requested was reviewed to determine if it was needed at the county level and if so, whether on a complete or sample basis. Some data items needed only at the State or regional level were relegated to one or another of the follow-on surveys scheduled to be conducted in 1980 for calendar year 1979. By eliminating many items included on the 1974 report form, redesigning the form to accommodate two columns of items per page, and converting many more items to write-in status, especially in the crops sections, the report form was reduced from a 20-page booklet to a 4-page form for most farms and a 5-page form for certainty and sample farms. Data items on the 1974 report form which were eliminated on the 1978 report form were:

Type of rental arrangement Sales of forest products Commercial fertilizer used for specific crops Irrigation methods and quantity of water used Drainage Grain storage facilities Futures markets Contracts Injuries and illnesses Other production expenses Income and expenses from farm-related sources Farm credit Family income from off-farm sources

Much of the detailed data collected in 1974 for costs of materials for individual types of agricultural chemicals and for quantities and costs of several feed categories including roughages purchased were deleted from the report form. In addition, many of the redundant totals used on the 1974 report form for cross checking data, especially in the production expenses and value of agricultural products sold sections, were eliminated.

The following high priority new data items were added to the 1978 report form:

- Set-aside acres in Federal Farm Program
- Government Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loans for grain and cotton
- Direct sales to consumers
- Foreign ownership of farmland
- Greater detail on expenses for energy

FOLLOW-ON SURVEYS AND THE CENSUS OF HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTIES

Integral to each census of agriculture program are the follow-on surveys conducted after the main census. The purpose of these specialized surveys is to collect additional, much needed agriculture-related information from highly specialized operations or from only a sample of farms without burdening all farm operators. Thus, allowing the use of a shorter report form for the general census. The follow-on surveys are limited to high priority data items that yield United States, regional, or State level estimates, which in and of themselves or in association with general census data, are used as the basis for current decisionmaking and future planning.

Data from the Farm Finance, the Farm and Ranch Irrigation, and the Farm Energy Surveys, conducted in 1980 covering calendar year 1979, are published in Volume 5, **Special Reports**, of the 1978 Census of Agriculture.

The Farm Finance Survey provides detailed farm financial data, including debts, expenses, taxes, credits, assets, and farm and off-farm incomes for farm operators. Many of these items as well as detailed data concerning land ownership and landlord characteristics are also being collected from the landlords of the farms involved in the survey.

The Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey provides high priority data on water use by irrigated farms. Included in the data are: acreage irrigated, yields for irrigated and nonirrigated crops, quantity of water used, methods of distribution, types of pumps, and number of wells.

The Farm Energy Survey provides detailed data on energy purchased by type of energy source, storage capacity, and selected kinds of energy-consuming machinery and equipment.

The 1979 Census of Horticultural Specialties covers the operations of producers of nursery and greenhouse products, mushrooms, and sod in much greater detail than the main census of agriculture. This census has been conducted in 1890, 1930, 1950, and every 10 years since, in association with the census of agriculture. Data for this census are published in Volume 5, Special Reports.

DISCLOSURES

In many tables, data are suppressed and a (D) is used instead of a number. To ensure the confidentiality of information on a characteristic of an individual farm, it is necessary to suppress data when the value of an item for one or two farms can be definitely or approximately determined by mathematical manipulations.

Suppressions of data made within frequency distributions are accomplished in a way that will maintain maximum integrity of the frequency groups as suppressions are made whenever possible in adjacent frequency classes. This allows the user, by subtraction from the total, to have a farm count and total quantity reported for the combined suppressed frequencies. Although the published frequency data are not complete, the truncated frequency distribution is available for analysis purposes.

1974 DATA CORRECTIONS

Some 1974 data have been revised since the 1974 volume I publications were released. The major revisions were for:

Madera County, California-number of heavy breed turkeys sold and related data.

Maricopa County, Arizona-acreage of improved pecans and related data.

DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Definitions and explanations of terms in this section provide more detailed descriptions for selected items and terms than are available on the report form or in the tables. Differences in definitions between censuses, data deficiencies, and special processing performed for individual or related data items are also explained. For an exact wording of the questions on the sample and nonsample forms used in 1978 for the conterminous United States, and the information sheet which accompanied these forms, see appendix D.

For all tables, historical data are shown whenever individual items are comparable, otherwise an (NA) is used. Some historical data may differ slightly from totals included in prior census publications due to rounding of fractions and dollar values.

Farms or farms reporting—The term "farms" or "farms reporting" in the presentation of data denotes the number of farms reporting the item. For example, if there are 3,710 farms in a State and 842 of them had 28,594 cattle and calves, the data for those farms reporting cattle and calves would appear as:

Land in farms—The acreage designated in the tables as "land in farms" consists primarily of agricultural land used for crops, pasture, or grazing. It also includes woodland and wasteland not actually under cultivation or used for pasture or grazing, provided it was part of the farm operator's total operation. Large acreages of woodland or wasteland held for nonagricultural purposes were deleted from individual reports during the processing operations.

Land in farms is an operating unit concept and includes land owned and operated as well as land rented from others. Land used rent free was to be reported as land rented from others. Except for open range and grazing land used under government permits, all grazing land was included as "land in farms" provided it was part of a farm or ranch. Grazing land operated by grazing associations was to be reported by the person chiefly responsible for conducting the business of the association. All land in Indian reservations used for growing crops or grazing livestock was to be included as land in farms. Land in Indian reservations not reported by individual Indians or non-Indians was to be reported in the name of the cooperative group that used the land. In some instances, an entire Indian reservation was reported as one farm. Land area—The approximate total land area of-counties and States shown for 1978 represents the land area as determined by records and calculations updated as of January 1, 1979. These data are updated periodically; however, the acreage shown for 1978 are essentially the same as in 1974. Any differences between the land area in 1978 and 1974 are due to annexations, disincorporations, and other changes affecting county boundaries.

Land in two or more counties—With few exceptions, the land in each farm was tabulated as being in the operator's principal county. The principal county was defined as the one where the largest value of agricultural products were raised or produced. It was usually the county containing all or the largest proportion of the land in the farm. For a limited number of Western States, this procedure has resulted in the allocation of more land in farms to a county than the total land area of the county. To minimize this distortion, separate reports were required for large farms, identified from the 1974 census and prior special surveys as having more than one separately reportable farm unit. Other reports received showing land in more than one county were separated into two or more reports if the data would significantly affect the county totals. These reports were assigned to the appropriate counties during office processing.

Value of land and buildings-Farm operators receiving the sample form were asked to report their estimate of the current market value of land and buildings owned, rented or leased from others, and rented or leased to others. Market value refers to the respondent's estimate of what the land and buildings would sell for under current market conditions. For 1978, this information is estimated from the replies of a sample of farms; whereas for 1974, all farm operators were asked to provide these data. If the value of land and buildings was not reported, it was estimated during processing by using the average value of land and buildings from a similar farm in the same geographic area.

Other land—This category includes land in house lots, barn lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. The definition of "other land" is the same in 1978 and 1974 only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more. In 1974, for farms with sales of less than \$2,500, "other land" included pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pasture in addition to land in house lots, barn lots, ponds, roads, and wasteland.

Land set aside in the Federal Farm Program—The 1978 report form included a new inquiry on the number of acres set aside in 1978 under the provisions of the Federal Farm Program. These data are for acres of cropland taken out of production by growers of wheat, corn, grain sorghums, and barley, and instead planted in cover crops, soil-improvement grasses, etc. No information was obtained as to which grains would have been grown on the acres set aside.

Foreign ownership of agricultural land—An inquiry measuring the impact of foreign ownership of agricultural land was added to the 1978 report forms. All farm operators were asked to report the number of acres owned by individuals who are not U.S. citizens; or owned by foreign-held corporations, unincorporated associations, or foreign governments.

In some cases, operators using land owned by others may not know the beneficiary owner of the land or, if the owner is known, they may not know the citizenship of the landlord. Therefore, a "don't know" category was included on the report form. Corporations with 50 percent or more of the stock held by foreign investors were considered foreign owned. Any land owned and operated by such corporations was included as land held under foreign ownership.

County summary table 36 provides operators response to the foreign ownership inquiry. Counts are provided for those responding "yes," "no," "don't know," and "no response." No attempt was made to contact nonrespondents.

Operator-The term "operator" designates a person who operates a farm, either doing the work or making day-to-day decisions about such things as planting, harvesting, feeding, marketing, etc. The operator may be the owner, a member of the owner's household, a salaried manager, a tenant, a renter, or a sharecropper. If he/she rents land to others or has land worked on shares by others, he/she is considered the operator only of the land which he/she retains for his/her own operation. For partnerships, only one partner is counted as an operator. If there is no clear-cut partner in charge, then the senior or oldest active partner is considered to be the operator. For census purposes, the number of operators is the same as the number of farms. In some cases, the operator was not the individual named on the address label of the report form, but another family member, a partner, or a hired manager who was actually in charge of the farm operations.

Operator characteristics—Data on characteristics such as residence, race, Spanish origin, age, sex, principal occupation, and off-farm work were collected from all operators in 1978. In the 1974 census, operator characteristics were collected only for all farms with sales of less than \$2,500 and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more operated by individuals (sole proprietorships) and partnerships. If operator characteristics of race, age, sex, and principal occupation were not reported for 1978, they were derived based on reports with similar acreage size, tenure, and sales size. No adjustments were made for nonresponse to residence, Spanish origin, and off-farm work.

Extensive verification and recontacting were done for positive responses to Spanish origin, especially in Southern States. It was found that a number of these responses were in error, apparently the word American in "Mexican American" was mistaken as American origin.

Write-in entries of "other" race were clerically reviewed and recoded where necessary; entries without an accompanying write-in description were not verified by recontacting the respondent. Based on demographic classifications, "other" race is limited to native non-Spanish populations of Central and South American countries.

In the presentation of data on race of operator, the classification "Black and other races" includes Blacks, American Indians, Asian or Pacific Islanders, and any other separate racial group excluding White. For the 1978 census, operators of

Spanish origin are tabulated by reported race. Prior censuses included Spanish origin as 'White'' whenever separate data for the White race were shown.

The 1978 Census of Agriculture is the first census to collect data on the sex of the operator. For farm operations where both husband and wife participated, the response was determined by the parties involved.

Selected production expenses—Data on selected production expenses incurred in 1978 were requested from farm operators reporting on the sample form. Only selected production expenses were requested; thus, the expense data cannot be used in combination with gross sales to calculate net farm income. The 1974 census attempted to gather selected expenses plus a category "all other production expenses" to arrive at total expenses. This category was to include items such as depreciation, taxes, interest, rent, and insurance for the farm business. Because of the burden to respondents and inaccuracy in reporting, the "all other production expenses" inquiry was not included on the 1978 report form. The 1979 Farm Finance Survey provides estimates on net farm income.

For 1978, as with earlier censuses, expense data in some cases were not fully reported especially by operations producing crops, livestock, or poultry on a contract basis. Many such operators were unable or unwilling to estimate the value of production inputs furnished by the contractor. Estimates were made for individual expense items based on reported crop and livestock information, or on averages or ratios of expense items reported by similar farms in the same geographic area.

Commercial fertilizer—The expense for commercial fertilizer is the amount spent on fertilizer during 1978, excluding the cost of application. Some fertilizer purchased in 1978 may not have been applied during the year. If the fertilizer was applied by someone other than the operator, the cost of application is included as an expense for customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment. Some operators may have included the cost of application with the amount spent on fertilizer.

Other agricultural chemicals, including lime-Expenses include the cost of all insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, other pesticides, lime, etc., excluding costs of application. Data exclude commercial fertilizer purchased.

Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment—This expense represents costs incurred for having customwork done on the place and for renting machines to perform agricultural operations. The cost of cotton ginning is excluded. The cost of labor involved in the customwork service is included in the customwork expense. The cost of labor used on rented or hired machinery is included as a hired farm and ranch labor expense.

Energy and petroleum products—More extensive data on energy usage for the farm business were collected in the 1978 census than in prior censuses. Data were collected on expenses, gallons purchased, and storage capacity for gasoline; diesel fuel; LP gas, butane, and propane; and fuel oil. In addition, data on expenses for natural gas; kerosene, motor oil, and grease; electricity; and all other energy sources were collected. Data for 1974 include only storage capacity and expenses for gasoline; diesel; LP gas, butane, and propane; and a combined total expense for motor oil, grease, piped gas, kerosene, and fuel oil. The 1978 energy data were collected from a sample of farms. Additional data on energy usage and storage capacity are available from the 1979 Farm Energy Survey.

Caution should be used in evaluating storage capacity. Farms not reporting storage capacity were not identifiable from farms with no storage capacity; therefore, the totals understate the actual storage capacity available.

Value of agricultural products sold—This item represents the gross market value before taxes and production expenses of all agricultural products sold or removed from the place in 1978 regardless of who received the payment. It includes sales by the operator as well as the value of any shares received by partners, landlords, contractors, and others associated with the operation.

The value of agricultural products sold represents the sum of all crops including nursery products sold, and livestock and poultry and their products sold. It does not include income from farm-related sources such as customwork or agricultural services, or income from nonfarm sources. For 1974 and 1969, the value of agricultural products sold included sales of forest products from farms and ranches.

The value of crops sold in 1978 does not necessarily represent the sales from crops harvested in 1978. Data include sales from crops produced in earlier years and exclude some crops produced in 1978, but held in storage and not sold in 1978. For crops sold through a co-op which made payments in several installments, only the total value received in 1978 was to be reported.

The value of agricultural products sold was collected from all operators. Where the operator failed to report a value of sales, estimates were made based on the amount of crops harvested or the number of livestock or poultry sold. Extensive estimation was required for operators growing crops or livestock under contract.

Caution should be applied when comparing sales in 1978 with sales reported in earlier censuses due to the extreme fluctuations in per-unit prices between census years.

Income from machine work, customwork, and other agricultural services—This category consists of gross income received during 1978 by farm operators for providing machine work, customwork, and other agricultural services for others such as plowing, planting, harvesting, etc. Entries were deleted when duplicate information was reported in the 1978 Census of Agricultural Services, or when entries were large enough to consider the agricultural services activity separate from the farm or ranch. The agricultural services part of a farming operation was generally considered a separate operation when income from agricultural services was greater than \$500,000, or when it was \$10,000 or more and greater than the value of agricultural products sold. Data for establishments primarily engaged in agricultural services are published separately in volume 3. Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption—This item represents the value of agricultural products produced and sold directly to individuals for human consumption from roadside stands, farmers' markets, pick-your-own sites, etc. By definition, it excludes nonedible products such as nursery products, cut flowers, wool, grains, etc. Sales of agricultural products by vertically integrated operations through their own processing and marketing operations were excluded where identified.

Fertilizer and lime-Detailed inquiries on acreage fertilized and tonnage of fertilizer applied by crop, which were included on the 1974 census form, were not collected in 1978. Data collected for 1978 are limited to total acres of cropland fertilized excluding cropland used only for pasture, and total acres of pastureland and rangeland fertilized. Data on lime use were requested in both 1978 and 1974. Land fertilized and limed data were collected from a sample of farms in 1978. Total acres of individual crops fertilized and, therefore, may include duplication where two or more crops using the same acreage were each fertilized during the year.

Irrigation—The 1978 report forms included inquiries on acres irrigated for specific crops and total acres irrigated for harvested cropland, pastureland or rangeland, and any other land. Data on methods of irrigation and estimated quantity of irrigation water used by farms and ranches collected in the 1974 and 1969 censuses are available from the 1979 Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey and are included in Volume 5, Special Reports. Irrigation data collected on the 1978 report form are summarized in more detail in volume 2, Part 9, Irrigation on Farms and in Volume 4, Irrigation, which also includes data from off-farm water suppliers covered in the 1978 Census of Irrigation Organizations.

Value of livestock and poultry on farms—Data for the value of livestock and poultry on farms were obtained by multiplying the inventory of each major age and sex group by the State average price. The State average prices for cattle, hogs, sheep, angora goats, hens and pullets of laying age, and turkeys were obtained primarily from data published by the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Prices applied to other livestock and poultry were census-derived averages based primarily on reported value of sales in the 1978 Census of Agriculture. The 1974 and 1969 data were developed in a similar manner.

Poultry hatched—The 1978 data include all poultry hatched during the year that were placed or sold; whereas for 1974, data include only poultry sold. For example, chickens hatched and raised for layer replacement on the same farm are included in 1978 data for poultry hatched, but excluded from 1974 totals. The value of hatchery sales is included in the category "Poultry and Poultry products."

Fish and other aquaculture products-The raising of fish and other aquaculture products in captivity was included as agri-

cultural production by the 1972 Standard Industrial Classification, and data for such operations were included in the agriculture census for the first time in 1974. The value of fish and other aquaculture products sold is included in the category "Other livestock and livestock products." Production in salt water was considered not to be in captivity and was excluded.

Bees and honey—Bee and honey production was enumerated and tabulated in the county in which the home farm was located (even though hives are often moved from farm to farm over a wide geographic area for pollination of crops). The completeness and accuracy of these data are affected by the fact that some bee operations may not have been on the mail list and some operators on the mail list may not have considered beekeeping to be an agricultural operation, and therefore did not report.

Citrus enumeration-For the 1978 census, reports for selected citrus caretakers in Arizona, Florida, and Texas were obtained by direct enumeration. This special enumeration has been used in recent censuses because of the difficulty in identifying and enumerating absentee grove owners who often do not know the information that is needed to adequately complete the report form. A citrus caretaker is an organization or person caring for or managing citrus groves for others. Each citrus caretaker was enumerated as a farm operator and requested to complete one report form for all groves cared for and to furnish a list of grove owners' names, addresses, and number of acres of citrus. The names on the lists were matched to completed grove owners' reports to eliminate duplication. The caretaker was also requested to inform the grove owner that he had already reported for the citrus under his care and that the grove owner was not to report again. In the 1978 census, 8 caretakers in Arizona reported 250 grove owners having 12,000 acres of citrus; the 100 caretakers in Florida reported 6,600 grove owners having 240,000 acres of citrus; and 27 caretakers in Texas reported 1,500 grove owners having 30,000 acres of citrus.

More detailed information by State and county are included in volume 2, part 6.

Crop year or season covered—Acres and quantity harvested are for the calendar year 1978 except for citrus fruits, avocados, and olives; vegetables in Florida; sugarcane in Florida and Texas; and pineapples and coffee in Hawaii.

Citrus fruits—The data relate to the crops harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the 1977-78 marketing season.

Avocados—The data for California relate to the quantity harvested from November 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978; the data for Florida relate to the quantity harvested or to be harvested from April 1978 through March 1979.

Olives—The data relate to the quantity harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the October 1977 to March 1978 harvest season.

Vegetables—The data for Florida relate to the crop harvested for the September 1, 1977 through August 31, 1978, harvest season.

Sugarcane-The data for Florida and Texas relate to the reported cuttings from November 1977 through April 1978.

Pineapples and coffee—The data for Hawaii for pineapples relate to quantity harvested for the year ending May 31, 1978, and coffee for the 1977-78 crop.

Acres and quantity harvested—Crops were reported in whole acres, except for the following crops which were reported in 10ths of acres: Irish potatoes, sweetpotatoes, tobacco, fruit and nut crops including land in orchards, berries, vegetables, and nursery and greenhouse products; in Hawaii, taro, ginger root, and lotus root. Totals for crops reported in tenths of acres were rounded to whole acres at the aggregate level during the tabulation process.

If two or more crops were harvested from the same land during the year, the acres would be counted for each crop. Therefore, the total acres of all crops harvested generally exceeds the acres of cropland harvested. The exception to this procedure is hay crops. When more than one cutting of hay was taken from the same acres, the acres are counted only once but the quantity harvested includes all cuttings. However, hay cut for both dry hay and green chop or silage would be reported for each applicable crop. For interplanted crops or "skip-row" crops, acres were to be reported according to the portion of the field occupied by each crop.

If a crop was planted but not harvested, the acres were not to be reported as harvested. These acres were to be reported in the "land use" section under the appropriate cropland itemscropland used only for pasture or grazing, cropland used for cover crops, cropland on which all crops failed, cropland in cultivated summer fallow, or cropland idle.

For crops grown purposely for grazing, quantity harvested was not requested. Acres for these crops were to be reported as "cropland harvested" and not as "cropland used only for pasture or grazing." This procedure applies to the following crops:

Corn cut for dry fodder, hogged or grazed Cowpeas hogged or grazed or cut for silage Sorghums hogged or grazed Soybeans hogged or grazed or cut for silage

Quantity harvested was not obtained for crops such as vegetables, nursery and greenhouse products, and soybeans plowed under.

Acres of land in bearing and nonbearing fruit orchards, citrus or other groves, vineyards, and nut trees were to be reported as harvested cropland regardless of whether the crop was harvested or failed. However, abandoned orchards were to be reported as cropland idle, not as harvested cropland or for the individual crop acreages.

Data for hay represent all hay crops, including grass silage and hay crops cut and fed green. In production data, dry tons represent dry tonnage for the various hay categories and dry weight equivalents for grass silage and hay cut and fed green. The conversion used was 3 tons of green weight to 1 ton of dry weight. **Crop units of measure**—For some crops, the operator could report quantity harvested in a unit of measure currently in use in the area. These crops were: Corn for grain or seed, in bushels shelled or hundredweight shelled; sorghums for grain or seed, in bushels or pounds; rice in 100-lb. bags, bushels, or 162-lb. barrels. Corn for grain and sorghums for grain are published in bushels and rice in 100-lb. bags. Grapes could be reported in dry weight or fresh weight; plums and prunes in fresh weight or prunes in dry weight; and in Hawaii, coffee in pounds parchment or pounds cherry, and macadamia nuts in pounds husked, unshelled or pounds shelled. For other fruit and nut crops and citrus, the operator was given a choice of units of measure of pounds, tons, or boxes. The quantity harvested for these crops are published in pounds.

Write-in crops—To reduce the length of the report form, only the major crops for the United States were prelisted (see sections 2 and 3 of the report form). For the other crops, the respondent was requested to look at a list of crops in each section and write in the crop name and its code (see sections 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 of the report form). For crops that had no individual code listed on the report form, the respondent was to write in the crop name and code the crop into the appropriate "all other" category for that section. Crops not coded by the respondent were coded during processing before keying. Writein crops coded as "all other" were reviewed and assigned a specific code when possible. Crops not assigned a specific code were left in the appropriate "all other" category.

In some cases, the reviewers were unable to determine the specific crop reported by the respondent because of incomplete or generalized crop names. To ensure proper coding, most of these respondents were telephoned; reports for those not telephoned were changed on the basis of other reports for the area.

Misreported or miscoded crops—In a few instances, tabulated data may be inaccurate because respondents misunderstood or misinterpreted questions on the report form. Data may have been reported on the wrong line or in the wrong section of the report, or the wrong crop code may have been placed beside the name of a write-in crop. Some of these errors as well as some keying errors may not have been identified during processing and therefore were not corrected. Reports with unusual crops for the area were examined to minimize the possibility that they were in error.

Comparability of crop data—For the 1974 and 1969 censuses, data for all crops are available for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more while only data for the major crops—corn, sorghums, soybeans, peanuts, wheat, cotton, tobacco, potatoes, hay, vegetables, land in orchards, and berries are available for all farms. For 1978, data for all crops are available for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more and for all farms.

For a number of commodities, less detailed data were collected in 1978 than in 1974. In these instances, 1974 data have been combined to provide comparable figures wherever possible.

The "acres of vegetables harvested" in 1978 and 1969 was the summation of the acres of individual vegetables harvested, but in 1974 it was the total land used for vegetable crops. For "land used for vegetable crops," the acres were to be reported only once even though two or more harvests of a vegetable or more than one vegetable were harvested from the same acres.

The "other crops" in the tables are not comparable from census to census as crops are added and deleted from the report form each census.

Value of crop production-This item represents the estimated value of all crops harvested during the 1978 crop year. Data for the value of crops harvested were obtained by multiplying the average estimated value per unit by the reported acres or quantity harvested. Generally, harvested units of production (pounds, bushels, bales, etc.) were multiplied by State estimates of prices per unit. If only acres harvested were reported, State estimates for value of production per acre were used. The State average production price and production value per acre used in these calculations were obtained in most part from publications of the Economic, Statistics, and Cooperative Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). When USDA estimates were not available, Bureau of the Census statisticians made estimates using available sources such as data from adjacent States, respondents, report forms, county extension agents, and other persons knowledgeable about specific crops,

"See Text" References

Items in the tables which carry the note "See text" are explained or defined in this section. Also, additional definitions and explanations for some items are provided.

Data for 1978 are based on a sample of farms-Sections 22 through 27 of the census report form 78-A1(S), which include inquiries on commercial fertilizer and lime, chemicals, machinery and equipment, expenses for energy and petroleum products, selected production expenses, and value of land and buildings, were requested of all certainty (large) farms and approximately 20 percent of all other farms. As these data are subject to sampling error, estimates of the sampling reliability of county totals for selected items are shown in table D.

Farms operated by Black and other races—For 1974 and 1978, "other races" includes American Indians, Asian and Pacific Islanders, and all other racial groups other than White. The 1969 data are limited to farms operated by Blacks. Additional information on race of operator is provided under "operator characteristics".

All other races—This category is limited to native non-Spanish populations of Central and South American countries.

1974 data apply only to individual or family operations (sole proprietorships) and partnerships—For 1974, farm operator characteristics were not collected from corporations, co-operatives, prison farms, grazing associations, and Indian reservations. For 1978, characteristics and occupation of the senior partner or person in charge were collected from all farms, regardless of the type of organization.

Other type of organization—This category includes cooperatives, estates or trusts, prison farms, grazing associations, and Indian reservations.

Market value of agricultural products sold—This item represents the gross market value, before taxes and expenses, of all agricultural products sold in the census year including livestock and poultry and their products, and crops including nursery products and hay. The data include landlords' and contractors' shares. The 1974 and 1969 data include sales of forest products.

Farms with value of agricultural products sold less than \$2,500-In 1978, this category includes all farms, except abnormal farms, with sales less than \$2,500. In 1969 and 1974, farms reporting sales less than \$2,500 but having the production potential for sales of \$2,500 and over are included in the category farms with sales of \$2,500 and over. In frequency distributions, the 1969 and 1974 farms with potential sales of \$2,500 and over are included in the group.

Other livestock and livestock products—Production of fish and laboratory animals is included in this category in 1974 and 1978; whereas, production of these items was excluded entirely from the 1969 census.

Poultry hatched—For 1978, this category includes all poultry hatched during the year that were placed or sold; whereas for 1974, it only included poultry sold. Incubator egg capacity on December 31, 1978, is tabulated under the column heading Inventory and the number of poultry hatched is under the heading Sales.

Worms-For 1978, the production of worms was separately identified; whereas for 1974, it was not. Inventory consists of the number of standard worm beds in production. A standard worm bed was considered to be 24 cubic feet measuring 8 feet by 3 feet by 1 foot. Sales of worms are shown in pounds. Worm casting sales are included in livestock products sold.

Hay crops (tons, dry)—Data shown for hay represent all hay crops, including grass silage and hay crops cut and fed green. In production data, dry tons represent dry tonnage for the various hay categories and dry weight equivalents for grass silage and hay cut and fed green. The conversion used was 3 tons of green weight to 1 ton of dry weight.

Other tame dry hay-The 1974 categories clover-timothy hay, lespedeza hay, coastal Bermuda grass hay, and other hay have been combined into "other tame dry hay" in 1978.

All vegetables harvested for sale—In 1978 and 1969, the acres of vegetables harvested is the summation of the acres of individual vegetables harvested; while in 1974, it is the total land used for vegetable crops.

Land used for vegetables—Data are the total land used for vegetable crops. The acres are reported only once, even though two or more harvests of a vegetable or more than one vegetable were harvested from the same acres.

Grapes (pounds)—Farm operators were given the option of reporting the quantity of grapes harvested in dry weight or fresh weight. For publication purposes, all quantities of grapes harvested have been converted to pounds fresh weight.

All nursery and greenhouse products—Data are a summation of the individual items presented.

Excludes abnormal farms—Abnormal farms include institutional farms, experimental and research farms, and Indian reservations. Institutional farms include those operated by hospitals, penitentiaries, schools, grazing associations, government agencies, etc.

Farms by standard industrial classification—See explanation under Farm Classifications.

FARM CLASSIFICATIONS

State tables 29 through 35 present detailed 1978 data for all farms classified by specified characteristics—tenure of operator, type of organization, age and principal occupation of operator, size of farm (acres), value of agricultural products sold, and standard industrial classification. In 1974, comparable detailed data were tabulated only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more. Other tables include data classified by value of sales groups, or other characteristics of the farm or the operator.

Farms by value of agricultural products sold or value of sales—In a number of State and county tables, data are shown separately for all farms and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more, and for various value of agricultural products sold or value of sales size groups.

For 1978, all farms except abnormal farms have been tabulated by size based on reported sales. Thus, the category "farms with sales of \$2,500 or more" includes only farms with actual sales of \$2,500 or more. For 1969 and 1974, farms reporting sales of less than \$2,500 but having the production potential for sales of \$2,500 or more are included in most tables under the category farms with sales of \$2,500 or more based on potential sales.

In 1969, 1974, and 1978, abnormal farms were not tabulated based on actual or potential sales. Detailed data for abnormal farms are included in all farm data shown in State table 34, but are excluded from totals for all farms shown in other State tables 29 through 35. Otherwise, data for abnormal farms are included in totals for all farms, but are excluded from totals for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

In 1969 and earlier censuses, data were classified into nine groups referred to as "economic classes." For farms with sales of \$2,500 or more, the value-of-products-sold classifications used in 1974 and 1978 are the same as the value ranges used for establishing economic classes 1 through 5. Data for farms with sales of less than \$2,500, classified in 1969 and earlier censuses as class 6, part time and part retirement, are not directly comparable to the 1974 and 1978 value-of-product classes because of the change in farm definition and modifications in farm classification. Farms by tenure of operator—The classifications of tenure used for both the 1978 and 1974 censuses are:

Full owners, who operate only land they own.

Part owners, who operate land they own and also land they rent from others.

Tenants, who operate only land they rent from others or work on shares for others.

Detailed 1978 data by tenure of operator are shown in State table 29 for all farms, excluding abnormal farms, and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

Farms by type of organization—The 1978 Census of Agriculture was the first census in which all farms were classified by type of organization. In the 1969 and 1974 censuses, these data were collected and tabulated only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

The classifications used were:

Individual or family operation (sole proprietorship), excluding partnership and corporation.

Partnership operation, including family partnership.

Corporation, including family corporation.

Other, such as cooperative, estate or trust, institutional farm, etc.

For 1978, corporations were subclassified by two additional characteristics into:

a. Family held.

Other than family held.

b. More than 10 stockholders, 10 or less stockholders

These subclassifications were not used in the 1974 Census of Agriculture. However, somewhat similar classifications were obtained in a follow-on survey of all corporations identified in the census, which were published in volume IV, part 5 of the 1974 Census of Agriculture. This survey collected detailed information on family and nonfamily corporations, number of shareholders, and other classifications and characteristics of corporations at both the firm (company) and farm levels. In the 1969 census, corporations were classified only by number of shareholders; those having more than 10 or those having 10 or fewer shareholders.

Caution should be exercised when comparing 1978 corporation data to those of the 1974 survey and the 1969 census. For the 1974 survey, respondents were given a choice of four kinds of corporations from which to select the one that best described their operation: Family corporation, independent corporation, parent corporation (with one or more subsidiaries), and subsidiary of another corporation. Classification rules used prevented an independent, parent, or subsidiary corporation from being classified as a family corporation. The two-way choice of family held or other than family held used in 1978 has resulted in a decrease in the number and proportion of nonfamily held corporations reported.

A followup study of the 1969 data indicated that a sizable number of farms were incorrectly reported as corporations, especially in the Southern States. The 1974 survey was used to identify and correct the misreporting of corporations in that census. In the 1978 census, some reports incorrectly had both individual or family operation (sole proprietorship) and family held corporation indicated. These reports were reviewed during the processing phase and resolved based on whether or not the respondent operated under a corporate name, had reported as a corporation in the 1974 census, or was shown to be a corporation on one or more of the administrative records used in developing the census mail list.

Farms by age and principal occupation of operator—Data on age and principal occupation were obtained from all operators for 1978. In 1974, these data were collected only for all farms with sales of less than \$2,500 and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more operated by individuals (sole proprietorships) and partnerships. Detailed characteristics are shown by six age-of-operator groups in combination with occupation information for all farms in State table 31 and for farms with sales of less than \$20,000 in State table 32. The principal occupation classifications used for 1978 were:

- Farming-The operator spent 50 percent or more of his work time in 1978 in farming or ranching.
- Other- The operator spent 50 percent or more of his worktime in 1978 in occupations other than farming. Some operators engaged in ranching marked "other" as their principal occupation. Most such obvious errors were corrected during processing.

Farms by size—All farms were classified by size according to the total land area in each operation. The land area of a farm is an operating unit concept and includes land owned and operated as well as land rented from others. Land rented to or assigned to a tenant was considered the tenant's farm and not the owner's. Detailed characteristics of all farms by 12 size-of-farm groups are presented in State table 33.

Farms by standard industrial classification—As in the 1974 census, agricultural production establishments (farms, ranches, nurseries, greenhouses, etc.) are classified by the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system in the 1978 census. These classifications, found in the 1972 SIC Manual, are used to promote uniformity and comparability in the presentation of statistical data collected by various agencies.

An establishment primarily engaged in crop production (major group 01) or livestock production (major group 02) is classified in the 3- or 4-digit industry group which accounts for 50 percent or more of the total value of sales of its agricultural products. If the total value of sales of agricultural products of an establishment was less than 50 percent from a single 4-digit industry, but 50 percent or more from the products of two or more 4-digit industries within the same 3-digit industry group, the establishment is classified in the miscellaneous industry of that industry group; otherwise, it is classified as a general cropfarm in industry 0191 or a general livestock farm in industry 0291.

All farms in the 1978 census were classified by SIC. In the 1974 census, farms with sales of less than \$2,500 were not classified by SIC. In 1978, those agricultural producers having no sales reported were retained as farms and classified as general livestock farms in industry 0291 if livestock or pasture were reported or as general crop farms in industry 0191. Characteristics of all farms by selected SIC groupings are shown in State tables 11 and 35. Additional and more complete 1978 data for various SIC classifications are published in volume 2, part 8.

UNPUBLISHED DATA

Additional data have been assembled in a series of unpublished tables. The unpublished State table "Summary by Standard Industrial Classification of Farms by Value of Sales: 1978" has a format similar to State table 34. The largest size group in the boxhead is \$100,000 or more. Data are shown for the following SIC groupings:

Cash grain farms (011) Field crop farms except cash grain farms (013) Cotton farms (0131) Tobacco farms (0132) Sugar crop, Irish potato, hay, peanut, and other field crop farms (0133) Vegetable and melon farms (016) Fruit and tree nut farms (017) Horticultural specialty farms (018) General farms, primarily crop (019) Livestock farms except dairy, poultry, and animal specialty (021)Beef cattle farms, except feedlots (0212) Dairy farms (024) Poultry and egg farms (025) Animal specialty farms (027) General farms, primarily livestock (0291)

The following unpublished county summary tables include data tabulated by detailed size groupings:

Farms by Size of Farm: 1978 and 1974 Acreage and Value of Owned and Rented Land: 1978 Farms by Acres of Cropland Harvested: 1978 and 1974 Place of Residence of Operator by Occupation and Off-Farm Work: 1978 Hired Farm Labor by Number of Hired Workers: 1978 Farms by Expenses for Hired Farm or Contract Labor: 1978 Broilers Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Cows and Heifers That Had Calved-Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Beef Cows Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Milk Cows Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Cattle and Calves Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Cattle and Calves Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Fattened Cattle Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Hogs and Pigs Inventory by Númber Per Farm: 1978 Hogs and Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Feeder Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Other Hogs and Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Sheep and Lambs Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Sheep and Lambs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Data similar to that shown in State table 28 for the acreages of crops harvested and production by acreage size have been summarized at the county level, but have not been tabulated. These summaries can be tabulated at a minimal cost depending on the detail of data requested.

For information on the availability and cost of unpublished tabulations, please write to the Chief, Agriculture Division, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.

NONSAMPLING ERRORS

Every census or survey is subject to errors. In addition to sampling variability, errors may arise from such sources as incorrect or incomplete reporting, processing, and the inability to obtain a report from each eligible reporting unit. Sampling variability is discussed in Statistical Adjustments.

Reported data in the census may be incorrect as a result of the misunderstanding of questions or because of the use of estimates in reporting. During processing, adjustments were made to data items which appeared to be inconsistent with other items reported for the same farm.

Respondents may have failed to provide all of the information requested. In some cases, the respondent may have indicated the presence of an item but not the amount. Imputations were made for missing data on the quantities and sales of crops and livestock but no imputations were made for place of residence or foreign ownership of land.

Careful efforts were made to keep errors introduced during clerical and electronic processing to a controlled level through the use of quality control, verification, and check measures on specific operations.

Extreme values in the direct enumeration sample (those contributing a substantial proportion of the estimated total) were reviewed individually and sometimes reduced. This will result in a possible downward bias in estimated totals.

Inclusion of the direct enumeration sample in 1978 resulted in a matching operation to identify names and addresses included in both the direct enumeration sample and the mail list. This matching operation was subjected to critical review and verification, including telephone calls to most respondents in the direct enumeration sample who were not matched in normal processing. Duplicates which were not identified during the matching process will result in an upward bias in estimated totals.

An adjustment was made for nonrespondent operations on the mail list. This adjustment employed sampling of both nonrespondent and respondent cases and variation from the adjustment is measured in sampling error; however, any systematic bias is not measured.

An evaluation of coverage is being conducted to measure the extent of undercount or overcount of farms in the census. A description of this evaluation is included in Census Coverage.

CENSUS COVERAGE

Although a complete and fully accurate count of farms, farmland, and farm production is the aim of each nationwide census of agriculture, the complex structure of America's agriculture makes this aim difficult to achieve. Among the complexities are the many places to be included, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the continuing changes in the relationship of operators to the farm operated, the expiration of leases and the initiation or renewal of leases, the problem of obtaining a complete list of agricultural operations, the difficulty of locating and identifying some types of farms, the operator's absence from the farm during the data collection period, and the operator's opinion that part or all of the operation does not qualify and should not be included in the census.

An evaluation of coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. Although the primary purpose of these evaluations is to identify problem areas and supply evidence as a basis for improvements, they also provide users of census data with estimates of the completeness of census counts. The results of the coverage evaluation study were published for the 1974 census in Volume IV, **Special Reports**, part 3. This report included estimates of the net percentage of all farms missed in the census. Farm counts for 1974, adjusted for this net undercount, are shown in appendix C.

The inclusion of a direct enumeration sample to supplement the mail list for the 1978 census partially eliminates the major source of undercoverage of farms, namely, incompleteness of the mail list. However, inaccurate counting may still occur because (1) by design, the sample omitted the selection of area segments in places that had 2,500 or more population in 1970; (2) direct enumeration sample interviewers may have under counted or over counted farms; and (3) respondents may have been misclassified as farms or nonfarms during processing.

The coverage evaluation for the 1978 census includes a re-enumeration of a subsample of the direct enumeration segments with matching and verification of differences, identification of operators not included on the mail list in places with 2,500 or more population in 1970 using supplemental questions on the Annual Housing Survey, and verification of the farm-nonfarm classification for a sample of respondents.

The changes in data collection procedures implemented for the 1978 census should substantially reduce undercoverage, and the proportion of farms not included in the census is expected to be between 2 and 3 percent for the United States. Results of the coverage evaluation and other evaluative material are included in volume 5.

STATISTICAL ADJUSTMENTS

Report forms were obtained and tabulated for all the identified larger farms (in general, those with expected sales of \$80,000 or more). In the few instances where the operator did not supply the required information, data were obtained from secondary sources. By means of detailed, programed edit specifications, adjustments or corrections were made during the computer edit operation for internally incomplete or inconsistent data. The review procedures provided for computer printouts of edit changes that exceeded specified limits for the item. These changes were then reviewed for reasonableness by members of the processing staff and necessary corrections made.

Nonresponse

The statistics for each State and county were adjusted to account for nonrespondent smaller operations. The number of

farms for which adjustment was made was estimated on the basis of the results of a canvass of a random sample of mail list addressees who did not respond to the census during the regular followup period. The canvass, using an abbreviated form, was accomplished with the use of the mail and telephone. The sample for each State was designed to provide estimates of the number of nonrespondent farms with a relative standard error of approximately 6 percent. However, approximately one third of the sample could not be contacted resulting in a potential bias in the estimates. The sample estimate of the number of nonrespondent farms at the State level was allocated to strata at the county level using the number of nonrespondents. A sample of respondent data records was selected by stratum and weighted to represent the nonrespondent farms.

Table A shows for selected items for the State the percent of the published total that the whole farm nonresponse adjustment represents. Adjustment for individual item nonresponse on respondent forms is not included in the percentages. These percentages indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse, i.e., the extent to which the actual data for nonrespondents may not agree with the nonresponse adjustments. The probable range of difference is unknown. However, the degree of uncertainty regarding the accuracy of the data increases as the percentage of the total represented by the nonrespondent adjustment increases.

Direct Enumeration Sample

Because of undercoverage problems with the mail list for the 1969 and 1974 censuses, a direct enumeration area sample was included to supplement the mail list for the 1978 census. Enumeration district maps and data from the 1970 Census of Population and Housing were used to construct the sampling frame from which the direct enumeration sample was selected. The frame was stratified by State and by a farm density ratio (the ratio of farm households to total households). In this State, the selected sample consisted of 174 segments. The expected number of farms per segment varied by strata from none in residential areas to 12 in intensive farm areas and averaged about 10 farms. Farms enumerated in the direct enumeration sample were matched to the mail list and the data for the unmatched farms were weighted by the reciprocal of the probability of selection for the segment to make State estimates for farm operations not represented on the mail list.

Table A. Percent of State Total Represented by Adjustment for Whole Farm Nonresponse: 1978

Item	Percent of total
Farms	8.0
Land in farms	5.5
Value of agricultural products sold	2.9
Harvested cropland	4.3
Corn for grain or seed acres	7.2
Wheat for grain acres	2.2
Cattle and calves	6.9
Hens and pullets of laying age	.9
Hogs and pigs	5.1

Mail List Sampling

To obtain county data for appropriate items without burdening all farm operators, data for Sections 22 through 27 of the report form 78-A1(S) were collected only from a sample of farms. The sample was selected from the mail list and consisted of all addresses in a certainty stratum and a random sample of addresses from the noncertainty stratum. The certainty stratum consisted of all addresses meeting specified size criteria in terms of indicated acreage and/or value of sales. Certainty size criteria varied by State from 1,000 to 5,000 indicated acres and from \$40,000 to \$200,000 indicated value of sales based on historic or mail list source data. All addresses in counties with less than 100 farms in 1974 were also selected as certainty. Noncertainty addresses in counties with 100 to 199 farms in 1974 were sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and noncertainty addresses in counties with 200 farms or more in 1974 were sampled at a rate of 1 in 5

Several elements of the sampling from the mail list and associated data collection may introduce substantial variation and a potential bias into the resulting data. First, the mail list from which the sample was selected contained about 50 percent nonfarm names and addresses which were not identifiable at the time of selection. Both farm and nonfarm names and addresses were selected into the sample. As a result, the farm data actually tabulated came only from part of the sample, specifically the names and addresses which represented farms. Secondly, the stratification was based on size information from several sources and of varying quality. Size information was not available for a substantial proportion of the names and addresses. In addition, sample and nonsample forms may have been returned at a different rate by respondents.

In order to improve the precision and minimize the bias of estimates from the sample, post-stratification was used to produce estimates. Basically, this consisted of classifying all farms into relatively homogenous strata and weighting sample farms within each stratum by the ratio of total farms to sample farms.

Farms meeting certainty size criteria during sample selection and those identified during processing as meeting similar criteria were assigned to a certainty stratum. All other farms were assigned to 64 strata. Farms with sales of less than \$2,500 were classified into eight size-of-farm groups (less than 10 acres, 10 to 49 acres, 50 to 69 acres, 70 to 99 acres, 100 to 199 acres, 200 to 259 acres, 260 to 499 acres, and 500 acres or more); within each of two value-of-sales groups (less than \$1,500 and \$1,500 to \$2,499); within each of two type-of-farm groups (crop or general farms and livestock or poultry farms). Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more were classified into four size-of-farm groups (less than 50 acres, 50 to 99 acres, 100 to 259 acres, and 260 acres or more); within each of the four value-of-sales groups (\$2,500 to \$4,999, \$5,000 to \$9,999, \$10,000 to \$19,999, and \$20,000 or more); within each of two type-of-farm groups (crop or general farms and livestock or poultry farms).

Each stratum was examined and collapsed into another stratum if (1) the stratum contained less than 20 sample farms; or (2) the calculated weight for the stratum was greater than 10 in counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 5 and greater than 4 in counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 2.

The post-stratification provided weights to be assigned to farms in each of the final collapsed strata such that the total of the weights for sample farms in the stratum would be equal to the total number of farms in the stratum.

Estimates were prepared for items in Sections 22 through 27 of the report form by multiplying the data for each item for each farm in the sample by the weight assigned to the farm. The weight for a certainty farm was 1.

Reliability of Census Estimates

Sampling variability in census data may arise from several sources, some affecting all data and others affecting only certain items or geographic levels of tabulation.

The sampling involved in the imputation for nonrespondents affects all data items at all geographic levels of tabulation. However, farms above specified size limits were not eligible for selection to represent nonrespondents. The size limits varied by State from \$60,000 to \$150,000 and from 1,000 to 2,000 acres. As a result of these limits, the sampling variability arises primarily from smaller farms. For computing the estimates of variability due to weighting for nonresponse, the farms selected for weighting were considered to be a random sample of all farms in the stratum from which they were selected.

Estimates from the direct enumeration sample are not included in county totals but contribute to sampling variability of State totals for all data items.

The sample selected from the mail list provides estimated data only for Sections 22 through 27 of the report form. It contributes to sampling variability only for the items in these sections. Including the certainty farms and sample farms, data for Sections 22 through 27 were collected on approximately 26 percent of the farms nationwide.

Table B includes estimates of sampling reliability of State totals for a selected set of items. The estimates of sampling reliability for total number of farms, land in farms, harvested cropland, irrigated land, crops harvested, livestock, and value of agricultural products sold include components of variation for nonresponse imputation and for the direct enumeration sample. The component of variation for nonresponse imputation is relatively small and the direct enumeration sample is the major source of variation for these items. Estimates of sampling reliability for fertilizer use, chemical use, value of machinery and equipment, and value of land and buildings contain components of variation for the direct enumeration sample and the mail list sample.

Table C contains estimates of sampling reliability for the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item in table D. These estimates of sampling reliability contain com-

ponents of variation arising from the mail list sample. The approximate standard error in percent may be read directly from the table based on the number of farms reporting the item. For a number of farms not shown in the table, a linear interpolation will provide a reasonable approximation. These estimates should not be applied to counties indicated to be certainty in table D.

Table D shows estimates of the sampling variability of selected items for each county and for the portion of the State total pertaining to farms not on the mail list. Estimates for county totals contain variation from the mail list sample and estimates for the portion not on the mail list contain variation from the direct enumeration sample. Items for counties indicated to be certainty in the table are subject to some sampling error but this is expected to be small and is not included. Items in county publications with data collected from all farms contain a component of variation from nonrespondent imputation. This component is usually small and is not shown in the sampling variability tables.

The sample estimates and the estimates of standard errors presented in tables B, C, and D permit the construction of interval estimates with prescribed confidence that the interval includes the average result of all possible samples.

If all possible samples were selected, each of these surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- a. Approximately 67 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
- b. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

For example, suppose the estimated number of farms in a State is 50,000 with a relative standard error of 3.0 percent. Then the standard error is 1,500 (3.0 percent of 50,000) and the chances are 2 out of 3 (67 percent) that complete coverage using the same survey methods would yield between 48,500 and 51,500 farms.

As calculated, the standard error also partially measures the effect of nonsampling errors but does not measure the effect of any systematic biases in the data arising from incorrect reporting by respondents, adjustments for nonresponse, incomplete unduplication, or incomplete coverage of farms.

Table B. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of State Totals for Selected Items: 1978

	All far	ms	Farms with sales of	Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more		
Item	Quantity	Standard error (percent)	Quantity	Standard error (percent)		
Farmsnumber	54, 182	1				
Land in farmsacres.	13,864,787	1.4	30,291 11,851,523	1.1		
Harvested croplandfarms.	39,705	1.4	25,040	1.3		
Adved	5,962,184	.5	5,772,895	.5		
Irrigated landfarms.	1,238	4.6	1,050	4.4		
acres	309, 519	.9	306, 247	.9		
Agricultural products soldfarms.	54,182	1.5	30, 291	1.1		
\$1,000	1,698,131	.7	1,670,242	.7		
Cropsfarms.	21,597	1.5	16,542	1.6		
\$1,000 Livestock, poultry, and their productsfarms	957,185	.5	951,473	.5		
\$1,000	40,224 740,946	1.7 1.5	22,383 718,770	1.4 1.6		
Value of machinery and equipmentfarms	54,046	1.4	30,414	1.2		
\$1,000.	1, 386, 629	.8	1,224,488	.8		
Average value of land and buildings per farmdollars	173,475	.9	263,848	.9		
Corn for grain or seedfarms	10,489	2.9	4,699	2.2		
acres	121,068	2.5	86,659	2.7		
bushels.,	5,298,243	1.7	4,253,702	1.2		
Wheat for grainfarms	593	1.0	565	1.0		
acres	54,242	1.7	53,860	1.7		
bushels Soybeans for beansfarms	1,853,571	1.9	1,840,430	1.9		
acres.	13,818 3,686,908	1.9	11,999 3,653,466	2.0		
bushels.	74,761,214	.8	74, 327, 287	.7 .8		
Cattle and calves inventoryfarms.	36,616	1.5	19,808	1.5		
number.	1,643,175	.8	1,367,509	.7		
Cattle and calves soldfarms.	35,118	1.5	20, 349	1.5		
number.	916,275	1.7	821,889	1.8		
\$1,000	216, 345	1.4	198,427	1.4		
Hogs and pigs inventoryfarms	10,340	4.5	3,949	3.5		
number	328,826	2.4	261,344	1.5		
Hogs and pigs soldfarms	6,943 429,209	4.7	3,333	4.0		
number \$1,000	35,063	1.5	376,638 32,584	1.7 1.4		
Sheep and lambs inventoryfarms	224	15.0	149	22.0		
number Sheep and lambs soldfarms.	6,249 120	4.7	4,949	5.3		
number.	3,363	3.3	80 2,774	3.1 3.7		
Hens and pullets of laying age inventoryfarms.	9,667	4.4	3,057	4.1		
number.	7,712,043	7.8	7,575,266	7.9		
Hens and pullets of laying age sold farms	904	12.0	605	11.0		
number	6,952,449	8.6	6 , 934,664	8.6		
Commercial fertilizer usedfarms	35,255	1.6	22,734	1.4		
acres	4,527,658	.9	4, 314, 526	.9		
Commercial fertilizer purchasedfarms	36,860	1.5	23,572	1.4		
\$1,000	102,227 28,520	1.0 1.6	97,410 20,651	1.0		
Agricultural chemicals purchasedfarms \$1,000	113,969	.6	112,138	1.5		
Chemicals used on crops for insect controlfarms	11,168	2.5	8,703	.6 1.7		
acres.	2,029,991	1.0	2,005,960	1.0		
Chemicals used on crops for disease control	2,182	5.4	1,578	5.3		
acres	260,650	3.2	256,350	3.3		
Gasoline purchasedfarms	46,310	1.7	26,297	1,3		
1,000 gallons	54,609	.9	(NA)	(NA)		
\$1,000	32,969	.9	29,852	9		
Diesel fuel purchasedfarms	27,520	1.7	20,551	1.7		
1,000 gallons \$1,000	83,577 40,058	.8 .8	(NA) 39,139	(NA) .8		
Livestock and poultry purchasedfarms.	15,104	2.3	9,937	2.1		
\$1,000	116,653	1.7	111,810	1.7		
Feed purchased farms	38,576	2.2	20, 534	1.2		
\$1,000	313, 341	2.1	303, 529	2.1		
Hired farm laborfarms.	18,012	1.6	14,767	1.5		
\$1,000	129,778	.5	126,910	.5		

Table C. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of Farms Reporting an Item in the County: 1978

Farms reporting item	Standard error (percent)	Farms reporting item	Standard error (percent)
25 farms 50 farms 100 farms 250 farms 500 farms 750 farms	20.0 14.0 8.0 4.0	1,000 farms	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978

I		ā					Chemicals used		ed on crops for		
County	Average land and b per i	buildings	Value of ma equip		Acres fer	tilized	Insect o	ontrol	Disease	control	
county	Value (dollars)	Standard error (percent)	Value (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	
Adams	300,928 120,725	6.4 11.0	8,439 10,739	5.4 9,1	19,545 45,741	4.6 11.0	25,307 8,208	0.8 19.0	(D) 148	(D) 14.0	
Amite	126,080	4,6	11,921	6.6	43,939	8.7	11,683	21.0	1,610	59.0	
Attala Benton	97,654 141,869	5.5 7.5	9,419 9,744	5.4 9.2	29,614 43,157	8.4 12.0	7,244 10,204	9.1 12.0	- 3,428	.0 61.0	
Bolivar	678,209	1.1	60,234	1.6	192,237	1.2	135,742	3.4	15,673	2.6	
Calhoun Carroll	150,144 177,626	5.7 5.4	21,093 13,559	7.0 7.0	81,386 58,162	8.4 5.7	13,657 22,837	12.0	5,823 675	20.0	
Chickasaw	154,147	4.5	18,496	5.7	87,403 17,949	7.3	13,513 3,384	21.0	2,569	21.0	
Choctaw	111,203	13.0	5,186	11.0	-		10,979	20.0	3,854	5.0	
Claiborne Clarke	250,879 102,021	6.3 8.2	7,076 5,994	9.7 6.1	42,157 20,970	8.4 12.0	4,375	30.0	433	39.0	
Clay	178,139	7.2	13,407	7.7	50,761	11.0	7,673	55.0	(D)	(D) 5.6	
Coahoma Copiah	730,578 156,539	2.3 5.2	34,072 13,003	3.2 8.9	131,574 36,310	2.6 11.0	110,008 7,815	2.4	17,197 2,010	3.6	
Covington	113,072	5.1	10,574	5.8	41,823	6.8	11,225	19.0	2,895	21.0	
De Soto Forrest	347,521	4.0 11.0	20,238 6,645	4.3	71,913 25,767	5.0 8.1	46,343 4,498	6.4	9,063 1,334	3.1 25.0	
Franklin	152,446 146,264	13.0	4,508	9.9	17,620	12.0	4,310	27.0	-	.0	
George	103, 392	9.4	7,134	7.2	24,176	8.3	8,257	15.0	2,167	24.0	
Greene	106,776	7.8	5,518	11.0	14,808	12.0	867	30.0	509	48.0	
Grenada Hancock	169,508 185,591	6.0 20.0	9,471 4,123	5.7 21.0	38,713 14,009	6.7 18.0	14,373 5,141	13.0 32.0	398 468	4.8	
Harrison	115,325	6.5	3,226	11.0	9,890	11.0	1,098	67.0	215	37.0	
Hinds	205,056	4.4	24,306	3.3	90,475	4.4	24,978	4.4	3,016	23.0 40.0	
Holmes Humphreys	318,590 581,592	5.2 3.1	27,359 29,492	3.7	107,526 93,639	3.1	73,791 87,073	5.2 3.8	3,330 6,329	15.0	
Issaquena	756,896	1.0	14,496	2.5	20, 298	1.6	30,514	2.3	4,471	12.0	
ItawambaJackson	112,184 150,851	7.5 11.0	11,596 3,791	8.3 16.0	38,815 14,260	17.0 21.0	11,001 7,677	42.0 47.0	285 (D)	61.0 (D)	
Jasper	105,302	5.6	9,996	9.3	23,045	10.0	2,483	22.0	454	22.0	
JeffersonJefferson Davis	216,613 71,825	4.8 8.3	6,586 6,735	9.2 9.5	36,215 25,897	5.2 11.0	3,766 3,098	11.0 9.1	3,702 264	.3 51.0	
Jones	99,535	3.4	19,630	3.2	38,120	5.3	4,660	10.0	592	41.0	
Kemper	85,212	7.5 17.0	9,124	8.2	33,384	14.0	5,229	16.0	241 389	50.0	
Lafayette Lamar	144,303 127,457	5.3	7,836 6,652	6.4 9.2	29,382 24,071	12.0 9.0	10,726 3,575	13.0 15.0	1,200	45.0 24.0	
Lauderdale	98,333	5.5	6,757	7.6	15,725	12.0	2,147	25.0	1,083	45.0	
Lawrence Leake	107,709 103,638	13.0 7.5	7,246 15,976	16.0 6.9	32,426 43,570	21.0 12.0	5,371 7,313	23.0 13.0	686 1,203	14.0 4.2	
Lee	147,731	5.7	18,912	5.3	73,421	8.1	8,728	21.0	206	2.6	
Leflore	929,118 109,447	1.3 8.0	44,382 10,129	1.8 7.0	163,050 31,954	1.7	152,123 5,239	2.0 28.0	11,848 5	19.0 92.0	
Lowndes	226,087	8.2	17,582	16.0	97,765	7.5	15,008	15.0	932	70.0	
Madison Marion	316,505 100,059	4.9 6.5	24,564 11,831	3.9	111,665 27,990	4.0 13.0	60,605 9,097	7.4	14,185 78	8.4 44.0	
Marshall	183,920	5.7	17,551	6.1	77,886	7.0	22,740	8.1	1,272	5.7	
Monroe	174,838 122,708	5.1 13.0	25,382 8,924	8.7 9.3	107,603 27,398	6.1 13.0	35,600	14.0 19.0	7,373	29.0	
Montgomery Neshoba	113,643	7.2	12,369	6.0	32,920	11.0	11,489 2,418	38.0	968 44	.5 50.0	
Newton	99,972	3.9	17,832	4.5	33,340	4.6	7,038	4.7	186	64.0	
Noxubee	315,671	3.9	23,758	8.1	122,027	4.6	24,450	18.0	13,820	64.0 8.3	
Oktibbeha Panola	185,357 232,062	7.8 3.0	10,734 27,751	5.1 4.4	30,460 115,836	11.0 4.1	7,609	28.0 9.9	374	11.0	
Pearl River	168,395	6.8	10,418	6.9	40,842	9.1	50,019 7,289	15.0	5,854 2,784	24.0 35.0	
Perry	94,057	7.6	4,793	9.1	13,348	16.0	4,047	23.0	216	86.0	
Pike Pontotoc	127,037 114,384	7.7 4.6	13,245 19,815	8.0 5.1	37,641 76,367	10.0	3,684 12,182	36.0 27.0	260 3,060	.0 18.0	
Prentiss	113,137	6.1	15,132	8.0	64,436	8.2	10,628	31.0		.0	
Quitman	489,864	2.6	29,507	2.8	74,314	2.4	66,937	2.7	4,406	37.0	
Rankin	198,328 109,710	17.0 3.7	15,123 19,745	6.2 4.0	39,488 31,157	8.2 12.0	10,076 7,398	7.4	3,088 3,530	15.0	
Sharkey	1,029,079	.7	25,116	1.4	59,119	1.0	54,256	2.1	8,087	52.0	
Simpson	91,086 82,387	3.4	14,819	3.4	35,036	7.2	4,140	20.0	1,314	28.0	
SmithStone	82,387 101,273	3.6 8.0	14,195 4,357	5.8 10.0	27,043 14,780	6.4 15.0	3,220 4,932	30.0 13.0	1,148 1,520	17.0	
Sunflower	734,555	1.2	57,813	1.6	204,126	2.0	154,110	1.7	17,294	.9	
Tallahatchie Tate	439,871 174,987	2.0 5.9	40,130	2.6 5.9	122,368 47,771	2.4 6.5	119,241 14,800	3.9	4,830	26.0	
Tippah	144,965	32.0	13,927	6.8	41,226	9.7	9,276	23.0	- 1,895	.0 92.0	
Tishomingo	105,249	8.8	7,128	9.4	24,173	12.0	4,469	28.0	910	6.8	
Tunica Union	962,066 103,835	2.3	26,086 16,982	2.6 7.6	61,769 60,871	3.0 5.9	44,980 15,575	.8 17.0	12,874 565	.0 45.0	
Walthall	103,430	3.7	14,687	4.5	51,438	4.8	10,486	8.9	548	19.0	
Warren Washington	258,471 736,577	3.7 1.9	9,026 54,401	8.2 2.4	19,901 141,349	3.7	16,243 132,430	3.8 2.9	(D)	(D)	
Wayne	87,235	6.3	8,048	8.0	15,470	13.0	1,567	15.0	18,437 91	18.0 36.0	
Webster	115,630	11.0	8,924	8.4	28,083	11.0	10,103	21.0	•	.0	
					•			•			

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978-Con.

]		1			<u></u>		Chen	nicals used of	on crops for.	
	Average va land and bu per fa	ildings	Value of mac equipm		Acres fert	ilized	Insect c		Disease o	
County										
	Value (dollars)	Standard error (percent)	Value (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)	Acres	Standard error (percent)
Wilkinson	225 5/2	10.0								
Winston	235,543 91,034	10.0 6.4	7,101	13.0 6.1	25,360 27,002	7.9	7,799	13.0	652	0.0
Yalobusha	132,621	5.8	9,229	6.8	23,015	4.4	4,154 9,597	13.0	743 154	38.0
Yazoo	385,655	1.7	38, 395	2.5	131,967	2.1	87,813	2.6	5,885	15.0
Farms not on mail list	54,576	10.0	67,682	9.5	108,411	19.0	14,253	36.0	5,214	82.0
					Farm producti		14,233		5,214	
					Livestock a	T				
County	Gasol	1ne	Diesel	fuel	purch		Fee	a i	Hired far	n labor
		Standard		Standard		Standard		Standard		Standard
	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	error (percent)
			<u>+</u>						· · · · · · · ·	
Adams	150	6.6	216	4.6	210	25.0	316	38.0	724	3.6
Alcorn	219	12.0	202	11.0	418	63.0	490	20.0	223	21.0
Amite	344	9.6	232	9.7	1,433	11.0	3,680	13.0	743	10.0
Attala	236	5.2	184	6.9	414	11.0	713	6.3	793	11.0
Benton Bolivar	225 1,367	11.0 3.0	285 (D)	11.0 (D)	189 729	39.0 1.4	432 814	27.0	419 10,495	10.0 1.3
Calhoun	393	5.0	489	(5)	290	20.0	500	13.0	10,495	8.7
Carroll	400	6.6	436	11.0	1,067	5.1	778	6.6	1,373	12.0
Chickasaw	448	7.6	478	7.2	1,442	5.8	2,446	6.5	891	9.9
Choctaw	90	9.4	100	17.0	140	33.0	310	15.0	102	6.4
Claiborne	185	5.6	238	8.8	3,122	.8	798	3.2	954	4.3
Clarke	165	8.4	71	12.0	471	44.0	628	18.0	283	19.0
Clay	232	11.0	324	10.0	981	13.0	859	8.5	586	10.0
Coahoma	913	5.6	1,525	3.2	36	10.0	95	11.0	6,126	2.3
Copiah	324	5.7 5.0	175	12.0	2,062	14.0 1.3	5,511 5,108	3.7	878 879	14.0
Covington De Soto	495	6.9	628	6.1 5.2	6,556 1,628	7.2	2,427	15.0	2,788	3.7
Forrest	241	9.6	132	5.2	883	4.7	1,334	2.5	674	11.0
Franklin	138	11.0	120	20.0	1,139	4.1	416	8.0	335	20.0
George	153	8.3	134	10.0	442	12.0	846	10.0	296	8.9
Greene	104	11.0	65	9.0	540	15.0	2,557	17.0	93	22.0
Grenada	270	6.5	301	6.2	1,688	5.5	1,754	2.6	728	5.2
Hancock	72	20.0	71	23.0	324	25.0	677	35.0	118 125	58.0
Harrison	78	16.0 5.3	58	17.0 6.0	541 3,646	1.2 3.6	269 11,202	8.3 1.6	3,401	15.0 2.5
Hinds	621	5.0	991	5.8	376	14.0	558	17.0	3,176	2.3
Humphreys	793	3.2	1,432	4.3	284	27.0	2,681	1.9	4,402	3.0
Issaquena	345	1.4	743	1.2	42	17.0	17	7.0	1,812	1.3
Itawamba	254	10.0	221	16.0	1,557	3.0	7,801	2.6	263	7.7
Jackson	66	17.0	69	17.0	154	17.0	199	7.0	113	14.0
Jasper	226	7.2	99		1,283	7.4	4,250	6.3	295	15.0
Jefferson	174	18.0	161	39.0	433	14.0	851	7.2	346	8.3
Jefferson Davis	134 651	7.3 2.7	99 365	16.0 4.8	1,005 7,185	12.0	2,279 30,169	.8 2.3	169 3,532	14.0 1.7
Jones Kemper	268	2.7	132	4.8	379	40.0	30,169	19.0	3, 332	23.0
Lafayette	235	6.6	208	7.5	203	17.0	542	7.8	383	13.0
Lamar	160	7.0	97	6.9	2,326	4.3	2,644	1.3	737	4.0
Lauderdale	171	8.9	(D)	(D)	576	14.0	962	7.7	434	5.6
Lawrence	119	15.0	118	12.0	869	9.1	1,103	15.0	210	20.0
Leake	494	7.1	265	11.0	4,890	2.5	19,283	2.6	1,151	4.9
Lee	386	6.1	501	9.8	1,896	4.9	5,487	4.9	1,175	6.1
Leflore	971	3.2	2,045	2.4	193	1.2	126	4.8	7,373	1.1
Lincoln	238	8.1	149	10.0	987	17.0	2,606	8.4	584	11.0
Lowndes	356	7.6	429	6.6 5.4	412	7.0	832 1,821	13.0 18.0	1,077 2,382	9.4 3.5
Madison	690 258	7.6 9.9	841	13.0	1,044	12.0	4,182	6.1	482	7.4
Marion Marshall	435	5.6	449	7.0	852	17.0	1,836	2.9	1,136	5.1
Monroe	531	5.2	648	7.9	766	27.0	1,801	17.0	1,825	4.7
Montgomery	215	8.5	191	10.0	493	28.0	638	21.0	428	12.0
Neshoba	270	8.3	158	15.0	1,542	14.0	5,735	5.3	354	16.0
Newton	311	5.3	150	5.8	2,963	3.9	12,125	1.7	784	5.2
Noxubee	404	6.8	673	5.5	597	9.6	2,039	4.4	1,534	6.3
Oktibbeha	232	7.6	252	9.5	1,101	17.0	2,305	6.4	846	6.4
Panola	746	4.6	789	5.3	911	18.0	1,403	11.0	2,589	5.4
Pearl River	234	7.4	171	11.0	1,380	12.0	1,369	12.0	577	12.0 16.0
Perry	110	11.0	85	10.0 8.6	701 831	8.2 14.0	3,626 3,428	6.2 9.2	238 977	9.5
Pike	270 451	9.5 5.3	178	8.0 6.6	635	11.0	1,925	11.0	668	6.6
Pontotoc	313	5.3 8.0	449	10.0	531	16.0	1,301	20.0	464	11.0
Prentiss Quitman	734	4.3	1,377	4.9	14	.0	226	1.0	4,025	2.2
Rankin	363	6.1	248	8.2	7,350	1.5	18,374	2.6	984	4.8
Scott	513	3.5	265	9.9	11,886	1.0	33,183	1.3	2,630	4.9
	· ·		•		•			,		

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978-Con.

.

.

(Farm production expenses										
County	Gasoline		Diesel	Diesel fuel		Livestock and poultry purchased		Feed		Hired farm labor	
	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	
Sharkey Simpson Smith Stone Sunflower Tallahatchie Tate Tippah Tishomingo Tunica	650 480 339 94 1,433 984 413 272 151 553	1.8 2.9 7.6 8.3 3.8 2.8 5.4 12.0 10.0 1.7	1,327 247 260 82 2,748 1,541 385 226 117 1,301	1.4 6.1 8.3 16.0 2.0 3.9 6.0 9.4 13.0 1.7	58 6,134 5,674 446 84 543 870 815 375 343	2.3 2.4 3.6 19.0 .0 16.0 24.0 12.0 23.0 51.0	511 18,706 27,237 966 1,325 1,088 2,116 2,098 626 366	1.0 1.9 2.9 8.5 .4 2.4 14.0 13.0 13.0 4.3	4,266 1,259 836 138 8,308 5,382 1,324 318 131 4,837	0.6 3.0 7.7 14.0 1.2 1.7 7.9 12.0 8.0 .8	
Union. Walthall. Warren. Washington. Wayne. Webster. Wilkinson. Winston. Yalobusha. Yazoo.	307 371 194 1,012 183 205 184 302 207 1,083	7.3 5.2 11.0 1.7 4.8 20.0 5.1 10.0 3.9 2.8	361 284 260 2,275 84 166 181 116 157 1,897	6.4 6.2 14.0 2.3 10.0 11.0 14.0 10.0 4.8 4.2	535 758 489 435 1,705 440 1,251 381 274 619	15.0 8.9 38.0 3.4 6.4 16.0 10.0 19.0 15.0 8.6	2,012 4,391 487 1,803 8,267 566 487 1,711 825 1,351	13.0 9.5 29.0 1.8 6.1 25.0 7.8 13.0 17.0 5.5	417 1,068 795 7,653 338 146 469 456 481 5,180	13.0 6.6 5.4 .8 11.0 10.0 6.1 11.0 3.6 1.6	
Farms not on mail list	1,514	11.0	637	27.0	4,021	35.0	10,925	40.0	514	51.0	

APPENDIX B. Farms by Current and Prior Definitions and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974

	Defin	ition used fe	or 1974 and 19	78	Definitio	n used for 1	959, 1964, and	1969	Operations exc	luded by cu 1959 di	rrent definition be	it not by
	forms		Value of pro (\$),0		Forms		Value of praducts sold (\$1,000)		Farms		Value of products sold (\$1,000)	
	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974
Mississippi	54 182	53 620	1 698 131	1 229 033	66 954	58 605	1 700 205	1 229 798	12 772	4 985	2 074	765
Adams	208 554 689 606 343 593 573 539 607 317	204 787 835 899 443 720 786 630 724 466	8 472 5 464 12 510 8 927 6 627 78 188 13 930 12 083 15 612 2 885	4 926 6 140 8 311 6 585 4 940 66 223 12 573 9 258 10 098 2 536	250 660 786 725 386 612 638 590 672 381	232 849 931 987 480 754 829 709 776 520	8 479 5 483 12 523 8 945 6 633 78 196 13 940 12 093 15 626 2 896	4 931 6 155 8 326 6 600 4 946 66 230 12 577 9 276 10 105 2 538	42 106 97 119 43 19 65 51 65 64	28 62 96 88 37 34 43 79 52 54	7 19 13 18 5 9 10 10 15 12	5 15 15 15 6 7 6 18 7 2
Claiborne Clarke Clarke Coahoma Copiah Covington De Soto Forrest Franklin George	285 481 456 690 654 610 392 217 419	292 563 599 366 840 743 743 417 284 447	13 079 3 597 10 874 51 417 16 593 20 878 27 866 7 606 4 451 5 879	6 829 2 207 7 481 35 080 9 840 14 932 16 893 6 941 2 271 5 765	309 552 527 335 766 754 720 459 262 477	309 615 676 378 907 836 859 462 312 489	13 085 3 611 10 885 51 420 16 611 20 890 27 891 7 619 4 458 5 889	6 831 2 215 7 492 35 083 9 846 14 937 16 910 6 945 2 273 5 769	24 71 7 76 100 110 67 45 58	17 52 77 12 67 93 116 45 28 42	5 14 11 3 18 12 25 13 7 9	2 8 11 3 6 5 17 4 2 4
Greene Grenada Harrison Hinds Holmes Humphreys Issaquena Itawamba Jackson	387 293 225 279 1 014 641 331 143 666 233	421 362 216 249 1 136 789 383 134 775 220	6 133 10 467 2 993 2 836 40 135 30 573 39 930 19 512 17 352 2 166	5 262 6 608 2 047 1 785 33 865 23 460 33 061 13 211 11 632 2 218	428 322 254 139 704 341 148 772 290	466 401 235 272 1 239 878 414 147 861 232	6 139 10 474 2 997 2 852 40 163 30 582 39 934 19 514 17 369 2 174	5 270 6 614 2 051 1 790 33 884 23 469 33 070 13 212 11 643 2 222	41 29 29 83 125 63 10 5 106 57	45 39 19 23 103 89 31 13 86 12	5 7 4 16 28 9 5 1 1 7	8 6 4 5 19 9 9 1 11 4
Jasper	627 272 565 1 043 604 518 425 533 424 891	780 375 744 1 224 784 642 563 658 506 1 162	10 259 8 195 8 259 60 337 5 091 7 292 9 871 4 256 5 676 41 601	7 465 5 552 7 268 39 968 3 112 4 674 4 965 2 788 4 369 22 112	731 320 660 1 175 680 589 487 665 506 1 000	910 411 795 1 334 848 716 606 747 573 1 279	10 275 8 204 8 272 60 363 5 103 7 303 9 877 4 285 5 692 41 625	7 481 5 557 7 273 39 980 3 123 4 689 4 970 2 807 4 375 22 126	104 48 95 132 76 71 62 132 82 109	130 36 51 110 64 74 43 89 67 117	16 9 13 26 11 12 6 29 16 24	16 5 12 11 15 5 19 6 14
Lee Leflore Lincoln Madison Marison Marshall Monroe Montgomery Neshoba	737 343 614 497 674 671 755 812 414 864	941 348 800 671 904 679 966 1 004 530 1 066	19 771 57 546 9 033 13 669 24 846 10 971 16 601 19 162 6 333 12 392	19 671 46 701 7 536 10 167 18 647 8 452 14 541 15 748 5 288 6 432	843 350 717 597 783 775 849 941 465 979	1 030 356 886 753 991 725 1 106 1 155 567 1 151	19 792 57 547 9 052 13 689 10 991 16 618 19 180 6 340 12 412	19 686 46 705 7 543 10 178 18 661 8 456 14 566 15 771 5 296 6 444	106 7 103 100 109 104 94 129 51 115	89 8 86 82 87 46 140 151 37 85	20 1 19 20 23 19 17 18 8 20	15 4 7 11 14 4 25 23 8 12
Newton Noxubee Oktibbeha Panolo Pearl River Perry Pike Pontotoc Prentiss Quitman	780 566 419 844 669 304 560 867 580 369	991 698 545 962 709 384 739 1 076 804 433	28 028 22 247 9 601 28 075 10 196 8 292 12 133 14 857 9 579 34 937	14 024 17 439 6 788 17 496 9 253 4 820 8 012 11 618 10 908 26 007	878 627 506 912 770 356 670 970 677 375	1 068 764 627 1 050 773 405 825 1 170 884 449	28 041 22 266 9 612 28 095 10 213 8 300 12 150 14 876 9 596 34 939	14 030 17 449 6 805 17 514 9 255 4 824 8 022 11 639 10 924 26 009	98 61 87 68 101 52 110 103 97 6	77 66 82 88 64 21 86 94 80 16	13 18 11 20 16 8 17 19 18 2	6 10 17 18 2 4 10 21 16 2
Rankin Scott	747 853 178 765 888 279 520 533 652 740	888 983 170 921 948 269 580 613 813 925	44 650 68 137 40 631 40 370 45 730 4 237 73 862 50 048 18 573 9 881	30 839 37 569 27 641 49 464 27 820 2 778 55 812 33 578 13 779 7 530	848 951 182 845 963 318 528 558 720 864	943 1 044 171 1 013 1 008 288 605 653 894 1 036	 44 677 68 155 40 632 40 384 45 740 4 245 73 867 50 051 18 591 9 907 	30 846 37 574 27 641 49 477 2 7827 2 778 55 818 33 586 13 795 7 546	101 98 4 80 75 39 8 25 68 124	55 61 1 92 40 19 25 40 81 111	27 18 14 10 7 5 3 18 26	7 5 (Z) 13 7 (Z) 6 8 16 16
Tishomingo Tunica Union	407 204 760 772 269 487 502 425 291 732 397 691	610 225 945 974 302 509 551 609 355 899 506 844	3 982 41 221 11 064 16 137 10 316 63 977 13 267 6 826 5 838 6 599 7 396 52 941	5 000 28 322 8 935 10 527 6 883 60 002 9 350 5 974 5 138 4 147 5 399 37 750	490 212 855 885 305 502 580 489 334 837 468 749	676 226 1 030 1 051 346 535 600 667 375 994 566 875	3 995 41 223 11 086 16 157 10 322 63 980 13 284 6 837 5 844 6 615 7 410 52 947	5 012 28 322 8 950 10 541 6 890 60 010 9 360 5 983 5 142 4 162 5 407 37 760	83 8 95 113 36 15 78 64 43 105 71 58	66 1 85 77 44 26 49 58 20 95 60	13 2 22 20 6 4 16 11 6 16 14	12 15 14 7 8 10 9 4 15 8
Farms not on mail list	10 078	(NA)	34 302	(NA)	16 997	(NA)	35 310	(NA)	56 6 919	31 (NA)	6 1 009	10 (NA)

.

•

.

APPENDIX C. Comparison of 1974 Farm Counts With 1978 Farm Counts

The 1978 Census of Agriculture preliminary reports show that there are 2,475,171 farms in the continental United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii). In 1974 there were 2,310,702 farms reported. However, these figures are not directly comparable because improvements in methodology and coverage account significantly for the higher 1978 counts. If the improved 1978 procedures had been used in 1974, an estimated 2.6 million farms would have been included in the census. It should be noted that farms missed in the 1974 census were generally small and affected the farm count to a greater degree than other data. The missed farms accounted for about 5 percent of the land in farms and 3 percent of the total value of agricultural products sold. This appendix briefly describes census procedures and provides 1974 farm counts adjusted for net missed farms and compared with 1978 farm counts.

The State totals from the 1978 Census of Agriculture are based on two separate sources.

The primary source is the mail portion of the census. As in the 1974 and 1969 censuses, this part of the operation involved mailing out a report form to each name believed to be associated with agriculture. Mail and telephone followups were used to secure the highest possible rate of return of completed forms from the operators of the Nation's farms.

However, the evaluation studies conducted show that some farms were missed in the 1974 and 1969 censuses. Despite every effort to assemble a complete list, some operators did not get on the mail list.

To improve the coverage of the 1978 census, additional mail list sources were used. Also, recognizing the short-comings of the mail method, especially in counting the number of small farms, it was decided to take another step to ensure a more complete count.

The second step involved conducting a complete enumeration of all households in approximately 6,400 sample segments in rural areas in all States, except Alaska and Hawaii. Farms enumerated in this sample were matched to the mail list. The sample farms not located on the mail list provided reliable estimates by State of the number and characteristics of the farms not represented in the mail portion of the census.

Estimates for such farms are an integral part of the State counts in 1978, but they are not a part of the county counts. The sample was not large enough to provide reliable estimates for each county.

Because of the procedures described above, the State counts for 1978 are not directly comparable with the counts for 1974 and 1969.

To bridge the gap between the 1974 and the 1978 farm counts, this appendix provides adjusted farm counts for 1974 which are approximately comparable with farm counts for 1978.

The adjustment factors are based on data from table 13 of 1974 Census of Agriculture volume IV, Part 3, Coverage Evaluation, which presented data showing the estimated percent of farms missed and overcounted by regions and States. The estimated net percent of farms missed was derived by subtracting overcounted farms from missed farms.

The 1974 coverage evaluation did not include Alaska and Hawaii; thus the 1978 and the 1974 data for these States are exclusively from farms on the mail list. Improvements in the 1978 mail list, especially for Hawaii, have contributed to the increased farm counts.

Table A presents adjusted 1974 farm counts by value of agricultural products sold categories. For each State, the adjusted farm counts for the two value-of-sales categories were derived using the 1974 published farm counts and the net percent missed. The adjusted counts for the two size categories were then added together to obtain the adjusted State count. The State counts were summed to obtain division, region, and U.S. level counts. As a result, adjusted counts derived by summation may not be exactly consistent with the indicated percent missed. The 1978 farm counts are from the preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts.

Table B shows 1974 reported and adjusted counts compared with 1978 counts for regions by farm size and tenure of operator categories. Adjusted counts in these tables were proportionally adjusted to regional counts from table A and summed to the U.S. level. As a result, the indicated percent missed will not always agree with adjusted data.

All data published in 1974 were based on reported numbers. The adjusted counts should be used only for comparison with 1978 counts and not for comparison with other 1974 data.

Both the 1974 and 1978 farm counts are subject to sampling variability. For 1974, the coefficient of variation for the adjusted farm counts is approximately 0.4 percent at the U.S. level and ranges from 1.0 percent to 6.0 percent for individual States. Measures of sampling variability for 1978 counts are presented in appendix A.

Table A. Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974

Ī			Farms, 1974		Percent change,
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
United States ²	2,475,171	2,310,702	10.7	2,622,416	-5.6
Farms with sales of \$100,000 or more \$40,000 to \$99,999 \$20,000 to \$39,999 \$10,000 to \$19,999 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$2,500 to \$4,999	223,268 364,010 306,483 309,250 330,464 331,329	152,850 324,417 321,733 309,876 296,043 289,511	-2.0 1.1 2.0 5.8 8.1 11.4	149,471 327,834 327,438 326,852 322,002 326,432	+49.4 +11.0 -6.4 -5.4 +2.6 +1.5
Less than \$2,500	610,367	616,272	25.9	842,387	- 27.5
Farms with sales of	149,385	127,531	16.5	152,730	-2.2
\$100,000 or more	12,699	8,003	1.9	8,282	+53.3
\$40,000 to \$99,999	25,835	22,358	4.7	23,812	+8.5
\$20,000 to \$39,999	15,820	20,543	9.8	21,019	-24.7
\$10,000 to \$19,999	14,194	14,900	9.5	14,680	-3.3
\$5,000 to \$9,999	16,734	13,173	3.1	13,797	+21.3
\$2,500 to \$4,999	19,609	13,148	16.7	16,019	+22.4
Less than \$2,500	44,494	35,406	35.5	55,121	-19.3
North Central Farms with sales of	1,027,319	1,017,367	6.3	1,096,353	-6.3
\$100,000 or more.	106,649	69,440	-3.1	67,123	+58.9
\$40,000 to \$99,999.	215,707	192,962	.2	192,692	+11.9
\$20,000 to \$39,999.	173,422	194,488	2.3	198,391	-12.6
\$10,000 to \$19,999.	148,064	165,864	4.0	172,189	-14.0
\$5,000 to \$9,999.	126,879	131,677	6.1	139,755	-9.2
\$2,500 to \$4,999.	103,363	103,914	10.6	115,841	-10.8
Less than \$2,500.	153,235	159,022	23.0	210,362	-27.2
South Farms with sales of	1,016,070	930,099	15.2	1,107,200	-8.2
\$100,000 or more.	67,430	45,765	-2.7	44,568	+51.3
\$40,000 to \$99,999.	84,887	73,981	3.2	76,455	+11.0
\$20,000 to \$33,999.	85,965	75,791	1.6	77,051	+11.6
\$10,000 to \$19,999.	116,407	99,629	8.2	108,567	+7.2
\$5,000 to \$9,999.	155,115	124,106	10.4	138,561	+11.9
\$2,500 to \$4,999.	174,997	145,243	11.6	164,350	+6.5
Less than \$2,500.	331,269	365,584	25.5	497,648	-33.4
West ² Farms with sales of	282,397	235,705	9.5	266, 133	+6.1
\$100,000 or more.	36,490	29,642	.2	29,498	+23.7
\$40,000 to \$99,999.	37,581	35,116	.0	34,875	+7.8
\$20,000 to \$39,999.	31,274	30,911	.9	30,977	+1.0
\$10,000 to \$19,999.	30,587	29,483	6.8	31,416	-2.6
\$5,000 to \$9,999.	31,736	27,087	10.0	29,889	+6.2
\$2,500 to \$4,999.	33,360	27,206	10.6	30,222	+10.4
Less than \$2,500.	81,369	56,260	27.8	79,256	+2.7
New England ³	30,157	23,269	17.8	28,548	+5.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	18,844	16,667	5.2	17,517	+7.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	11,313	6,602	39.6	11,031	+2.6
Middle Atlantic	119,228	104,262	16.2	124,182	-4.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	86,047	75,458	5.7	80,092	+7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	33,181	28,804	34.6	44,090	-24.7
New York	49,323	43,682	14.2	50,847	-3.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	35,095	32,230	4.3	33,678	+4.2
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	14,228	11,452	33.3	17,169	-17.1
New Jersey	9,932	7,409	14.5	8,760	+13.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	6,742	5,530	4.5	5,791	+16.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	3,190	1,879	36.7	2,969	+7.4
Pennsylvania	59,973	53,171	18.3	64,575	-7.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	44,210	37,698	7.2	40,623	+8.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	15,763	15,473	35.4	23,952	-34.2
East North Central	452,213	444,695	7.1	486,098	-7.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	366,051	360,619	2.9	371,995	-1.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	86,162	84,076	24.1	114,103	-24.5
Ohio	95,821	92,158	4.9	96,899	-1.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	73,841	70,338	2.1	71,847	+2.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	21,980	21,820	12.9	25,052	-12.3
Indiana	88,458 [.]	87,915	7.2	95,341	-7.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	71,681	70,986	3.6	73,637	-2.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	16,777	16,929	22.0	21,704	-22.7
Illinois	109,770	111,049	9.1	126, 295	-13.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	96,389	97,738	3.8	101, 599	-5.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,381	13,311	46.1	24, 696	-45.8

...

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974 - Con.

,

Г				Percent change,	
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
East North CentralCon.					
Michigan	68,158	64,094	9.9	71,213	-4.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	47,767	46,670	6.0	49,649	-3.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,391	17,424	19.2	21,564	-5.4
Wisconsin	90,006	89,479	5.3	96,350	-6.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	76,373	74,887	.5	75,263	+1.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,633	14,592	30.8	21,087	-35.3
West North Central	575,106	572,672	5.6	610,255	-5.8
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	508,033	497,726	3.2	513,996	-1.2
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	67,073	74,946	21.8	96,259	-30.3
Minnesota	102,984	98,537	9.0	108,614	-5.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	87,753	85,926	5.5	90,927	-3.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	15,231	12,611	28.7	17,687	-13.9
Iowa	126,379	126,104	4.4	133,125	-5.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	117,704	117,142	3.2	121,014	-2.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	8,675	8,962	26.0	12,111	-28.4
Missouri	121,826	115,711	9.6	128,948	-5.5
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	97,848	82,421	4.7	86,486	+13.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	23,978	33,290	21.6	42,462	-43.5
North Dakota	41,172	42,710	4.4	45,649	-9.8
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	38,914	40,681	3.2	42,026	-7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	2,258	2,029	44.0	3,623	-37.7
South Dakota	39,667	42,825	1.5	43,420	-8.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	36,927	39,849	1.6	40,497	-8.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	2,740	2,976	-1.8	2,923	-6.3
Nebraska	65,991	67,597	.7	68,480	-3.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	61,507	62,594	.0	62,594	-1.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	4,484	5,003	15.0	5,886	-23.8
Kansas	77,087	79,188	3.7	82,019	-6.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	67,380	69,113	1.9	70,452	-4.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	9,707	10,075	12.9	11,567	-16.1
South Atlantic	325,678	296,103	16.6	362,451	-10.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	216,297	189,339	7.0	203,934	+6.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	109,381	106,764	30.3	158,517	-31.0
Delaware and Maryland ³	22,600	18,563	7.1	19,952	+13.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	17,287	14,592	3.0	15,043	+14.9
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	5,313	3,971	19.1	4,909	+8.2
Virginia	56,685	52,699	13.5	61,636	-8.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	38,416	31,738	4.5	33,234	+15.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	18,269	20,961	26.2	28,402	-35.7
West Virginia	20,619	16,909	33.0	26,195	-21.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	9,603	6,122	11.7	6,933	+38.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	11,016	10,787	44.0	19,262	-42.8
North Carolina	89,477	91,280	14.1	105,774	-15.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	64,897	62,518	9.2	68,852	-5.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	24,580	28,762	22.1	36,922	-33.4
South Carolina	33,450	29,275	22.8	43,034	-22.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	18,979	17,534	.8	17,675	+7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	14,471	11,741	53.7	25,359	-42.9
Georgia	58,682	54,911	13.2	63,133	-7.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	38,906	35,874	8.1	39,036	3
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	19,776	19,037	21.0	24,097	-17.9
Florida	44,165	32,466	22.6	42,727	+3.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	28,209	20,961	9.5	23,161	+21.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	15,956	11,505	41.2	19,566	-18.5
East South Central	318,640	306,010	14.8	360,164	-11.5
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	211,915	171,372	7.8	185,880	+14.0
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	106,725	134,638	22.3	174,284	-38.8
Kentucky	110,002	102,053	10.5	113,897	-3.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	83,433	67,662	6.3	72,211	+15.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	26,569	34,391	17.5	41,686	-36.3
Tennessee	96,792	93,659	18.8	115,683	-15.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	63,407	48,320	11.2	54,414	+16.3
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	33,385	45,339	26.0	61,269	-45.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974 - Con.

			Farms, 1974		Percent change,
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
East South CentralCon.					
Alabama	57,540	56,678	13.3	64,821	-11.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	34,665	29,303	7.5	31,679	+9.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	22,875	27, 375	17.4	33, 142	-31.0
Mississippi	54,306	53,620	17.2	65,763	-17.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	30,410	26,087	5.4	27,576	+10.3
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	23,896	27,533	27.9	38,187	-37.4
West South Central	371,752	327,986	14.5	384,585	-3.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	256,589	203,804	7.3	219,738	+16.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	115,163	124,182	25.0	164,847	-30.1
Arkansas	58,788	50,959	16.7	61,489	-4.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	40,669	31,299	10.0	34,777	+17.0
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	18,119	19,660	26.4	26,712	-32.2
Louisiana	38,973	33, 240	23.7	43, 264	-9.9
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	22,683	18,580	12.3	21,186	+7.1
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	16,290	14,660	33.6	22,078	-26.2
Oklahoma	79,530	69,719	11.7	79,243	+.4
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	58,781	47,833	5.6	50,671	+16.0
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,749	21,886	23.4	28,572	-27.4
Texas	194,461	174,068	12.6	200,589	-3.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	134,456	106,092	6.2	113,104	+18.9
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	60,005	67,976	22.3	87,485	-31.4
Mountain ³	127,881	111,868	6.7	122,588	+4.3
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	97,876	90,449	2.4	92,673	+5.6
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	30,005	21,419	28.4	29,915	+.3
Pacific ²	154,516	123,837	11.6	143,545	+7.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	103,152	88,996	5.6	94,204	+9.5
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	51,364	34,841	27.5	49,341	+4.1
Washington	37,778	29,410	10.8	32,999	+14.5
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	24,737	21,079	6.4	22,520	+9.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,041	8,331	20,5	10,479	+24.5
Oregon	34,875	26,753	15.5	31,788	+9.7
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	21,071	17,043	6.3	18,189	+15.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,804	9,710	28,6	13,599	+1.5
California	81,863	67,674	10.5	78,758	+3.9
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	57,344	50,874	4.9	53,495	+7.2
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	24,519	16,800	33.5	25, 263	-2.9

¹Data are from preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts. ²Alaska and Hawaii not included. ³Data not compiled for individual States.

Table B. Farms by Size of Farm and Tenure of Operator: 1978 and 1974

Г				Percent change,	
		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Net percent		adjusted 1974
	Farms, 1978 ¹	Published	missed	Adjusted	to 1978
UNITED STATES ²					
Farms	2,475,171	2,310,702	10.7	2,622,416	-5.6
Farms by size:					
1 to 9 acres	213,110 474,535	126,604 378,631	26.1 22.9	174,773 494,024	+21.9 -3.9
50 to 179 acres	813,944	827,506	11.0	936,797	-13.1
180 to 499 acres	596,268	615,913	3.9	646,957	-7.8
500 acres or more	377,314	362,048	1.5	369,865	+2.0
Tenure of operator:			10.0	1 (50 0(2)	-12.1
Full owner	1,450,414 712,844	1,422,367 627,648	13.0 5.2	1,650,942 667,402	+6.8
Tenant	311,913	260,687	12.6	304,072	+2.6
NORTHEAST					
Farms	149,385	127,531	16.5	152,730	-2.2
Farms by size:					
1 to 9 acres	13,534	7,689	16.8	9,301	+45.5
10 to 49 acres	28,098	19,416	31.2	28,403	-1.1 -8.5
50 to 179 acres	61,017 38,288	54,901 37,864	17.1 6.0	66,655 40,542	-8.5
500 acres or more	8,448	7,661	1.5	7,829	+7.9
Tenure of operator:					
Full owner	93,880	83,389	19.5	103,110	-9.0
Part owner Tenant	43,680 11,825	36,112 8,030	4.9 32.4	37,797 11,823	+15.6
NORTH CENTRAL	,	-,			
Farms	1,027,319	1,017,367	6.3	1,096,353	-6.3
	1,027,515	1,017,007	0.0	1,000,000	0.5
Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres	58,168	36,065	30.8	52,227	+11.4
10 to 49 acres	138,016	108,756	18.5	133,716	+3.2
50 to 179 acres	324,583 321,482	350,343 345,610	6.8 2.5	376,663 355,187	-13.8 -9.5
500 acres or more	185,070	176,593	.9	178,560	+3.6
Tenure of operator:					
Full owner	542,504	568,866	7.5	620,166	-12.5
Part owner Tenant	334,287 150,528	313, 364 135, 137	4.0 7.3	329,173 147,014	+1.6 +2.4
SOUTH		,			
Farms	1,016,070	930,099	15.2	1 107 200	- 8- 2
	1,010,070	530,039	13.2	1,107,200	-8.2
Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres	95,711	55,659	31.1	81,428	.17 5
10 to 49 acres.	232,908	194,050	24.2	258,033	+17.5
50 to 179 acres	370,928	369,648	4.0	433, 227	-14.4
180 to 499 acres	197,023 119,500	196,395 114,347	7.8 3.8	214,701 119,811	-8.2
Tenure of operator:					••
Full owner	633,455	623,219	17.4	757,206	-16.3
Part owner	264,029	214,061	7.5	232, 239	+13.7
Tenant	118,586	92,819	20.9	117,755	+.7
WEST ²					
Farms	282,397	235,705	9.5	266,133	+6.1
Farms by size:			_		
1 to 9 acres	45,697 75,513	27,191 56,409	12.7 22.0	31,817	+43.6
50 to 179 acres	57,416	52,614	10.8	73,872	+2.2
180 to 499 acres	39,475	36,044	8	36,527	-4./ +8.1
500 acres or more	64,296	63,447	-1.8	63,665	+1.0
Tenure of operator:	100 535				
Full owner Part owner	180,575 70,848	146,893 64,111	12.1 4.1	170,460	+5.9
Tenant	30,974	24,701	8.3	68,193 27,480	+3.9 +12.7

ç

 $^1 \text{Data}$ are from the preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts. $^2 \text{Alaska}$ and Hawaii not included.

APPENDIX D. Report Forms

DUE BY FEBRUARY 15, 1979							Form	Approved: O.M	.B. No	. 41 - \$7802
NOTICE Response to this I THE CENSUS BUREAU IS C		uired by law (title 13, AL. It may be seen	U.S. Code). By the same fam	YOUR REPORT TO	FORM 78-A1(N)	······· ¹⁷ 7	8, U	DEPARTMENT	OF C	OMMERCE
only for statistical purposes. The law also provides that co	Your report pies retained	CANNOT be used for in your files are immu	purposes of taxation, investi me from legal process.	igation, or regulation.	EN	S.	197	78 CENS	US	;
in correspondence pertaining	to this report	, please refer to the 1	I-digit Census File Number (C	FR)	2 170	YC.	2.57	OF	•••	
					G /0	E.	AGI	RICULTI	JRE	-
						~	Bu	reau of the Cens	us	
	-				COMPLETE AN	D RETURN 1		01 East Tenth St Nersonville, Indi	reet ana 47	7132
					Note 🄶 Pl	ease read the	Information	Sheet before com	pleting	g the form.
Valent de la composition de la					If book figures a filing by Feb 1	are not avail	able, reasona	uble estimates m a time extension	ay be reque	used, if
					sent to the abo	ove address.	include you	ar 11-digit Cens Il correspondenc	us Fil	le Number
					If you received a part form, enter e	extra Census	File			
					Number(s) here copies with your	and return e	xtra	_		
					CENSUS 035 USE 029	036		037	038	
		t errors in name, addre	ess, and ZIP code.)	T	ONLY					
SECTION 1 ACREAGE IN If the acres you operated in INFORMATION SHEET, Sec.		id during the year, refi	ir to the	INSTRUCTIO	Auc during 197	8. Please r	eport your cr	e for reporting o rops in the appro	poriate	section.
Report land owned, rented,						clude crops g ONLY those o	rown on land crops NOT lis	rented to others, ted in sections :	Use s 2 throu	section 8 gh 7,
corporation, or organization REGARDLESS OF LOCAT	n for which yo	ou are reporting. Inclu	de ALL LAND,	SECTION 2	ere any of the FOL	LOWING CR	OPS harveste	d from this place	in 197	78?
rangeland, woodland, idle l			None Number of acres	1		Acres	0.180	tity harvested		Acres
1. All land owned				1. Field corn for s	None Main or	harveste 067	068		069	rrigated
2. All land rented or leased F you on shares, used rent fro	ee, in exchan	ge for services, payme	ent	seed (Report qu			∤	OR Cwt.	┦}	
of taxes, etc. Include leas (DO.NOT include land used	t on a per-hea	d basis under a grazin	0	2. Field corn for s	ilage	070	071	Tons.	072	
permit.) Also complete iter 3. All land rented or leased T					·····□	073	074	green	075	
shares by others and land s	subleased. A	iso complete item 6 be	low.	 Wheat for grain Oats for grain 		076	077	Bu. Bu.	078	
4. ACRES IN THIS PLACE - acres rented (item 2), then			046	5. Barley for grain	.—	079	080	Bu.	081	
OTHERS (item 3), and enter	r the result in	this space.				082	083		084	
For this census report these If the entry is zero please r				 Sorghums for gr seed (include m 	ain or iilo) 📋		1	OR Lbs.	1	
5. If you rented land FROM 01				7. Sorghums for si or green chop (e		085	086	T	087	
Name of landlord		iling address (include	ZIP code) Number of acres	sorghum-sudan	crosses) 🖂	088	089	Tons, green	090	
			047	 Soybeans for be Cotton 		091	092	Bu.	093	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			048	10. Tobacco - all t	_	094	/10 095	Bales Lbs.	096	1 20
List additional landlords on	n a separata s	heat of paper.		11. Irish potatoes.		097 1	/10 098	Cwt.	099	
6. If you rented land TO OTHE			ormation for each renter.	12. Sweetpotatoes a		100	/10 101	Bu.	102	/10
Name of renter		ling address (include .		SECTION 3	IS ANY DRY HAY,	GRASS SILA	GE, HAYLAG	E, or GREEN C	HOP c	ut
			050		harvested from the	Rures.)		e sorghum-audan	Crozsø	
			051	$\{f_{i,j}\}_{i \in I} \in \{i,j\}, j \in \{i,j\}\}$	☐ YES - Compte ☐ NO Gotos		art -			
List additional renters on a	Seperate she	et of paper.		If outtings were	made for both dry	hay and gra	ss sflage, hay	viage, or green c	hop tro	m the
a. Of the land you rented or	leased to oth	iers, None	053	GRASS SILAGE	oort the acreage in , HAYLAGE, and	GREEN CHO	ata itams und XP.	ler DRY HAY an	i also	under
how many acres did you o 7. During 1978, did you have a			Acres	DRY HAY (If two or mare	cuttings of dry he	y were	Acres	Quantity harve		•
State grazing permits on a p such as Forest Service, Tay	her-head hasis	¢		once, but report	ame actas, report total tons from a	acros only Il cuttings.)	harvested	(Report eithe or green we as indicate	ight	Acres irrigated
Indian Reservations, etc.?	····	*****	054 1 🗋 Yes 2 🗌 No	 Alfalfa and alfa hay or dehydrat 	illa mixtures for ing		103	104 1		105
8. How many set aside acres d in the Federal Farm Program	lid you have	None	055	2. Small grain hay	- oats, wheat, ba	riey, etc.	106	107 T		108
9. LOCATION OF AGRICULTI		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Acres	3. Other tame dry timothy Bermu	hay, clover, lespe da grass, Sudan gr		109	110	ons,	111
 LOCATION OF AGRICUL II a. In what county was the 	UNAL AUTIV	.		A. Wild hav	이 문제 가지 않는 것 같아.	••••••	112	113 7		114
a in what county was the largest value of your agricultural products	Principal	County name	State Number of acres	GRASS SILAGE		D		c	iry	
raised or produced?	county			GREEN CHOP (If two or more	cuttings of grass	silaga,			l	
b. If you also have agricul-			057	haylage, or gre same acres, ren	en chop were made port acres only one s from all outtings	9 from the		-		
tural operations in any other county(res), enter	Other counties		058	5. Grass silage an	rd haylage"	~~ • • • • • • • • • •	115	1	green	117
the county name(s), etc	u l		059	6. Hay crops cut a	and fed green (gree	en chop)	118		fons, Teen	120

•

I. TOTAL ACRES in bea or other groves, vineya	ring and r rds, and r	nut trees on	this place	• • • • •	•••••	Whole ac		ths Who 122 /10	cres le acre	<u>us 1</u>	enths /10	1	NONCITR Apples			CITRUS	and have a second second	Cad
2. From the list at the right requested information trees, estimate the are	of each c	rop even if n by each kin	d of fruit.	en here	use of low	inrices dams	ano from l	nail front	, etc. Inform	For i ation	nterp Sheet	hatad	Apricots . Avocados informat Cherries . Grapes -	- See	129	harvester from bloc Grapefru Lemons	i in 1977-78 m of 1977) 1	27
Creat states	0.00		BER OF	0F	and v	in trees	Quar	tite		of me ark or		L.	Grapes - Grapes - Olives -	Liesli Mai	k 171 ght 177	Oranges Tangelou Tengerin	daren en en en en Frankrigen en en	29
Crop name	Code	Nonbearin age		ring ge		ages res Tenths	harve		.ed.	Tons	Boxes	Lbs. per box	Informat Peaches Pears	ion Shaat	225	mandar Other cit	ns - Speaity	. 3 . 3
			1		2	/10	3		10	*□		1 ⁵	Plums and fresh we Prunes	Drunds -	: 승규의 문	NUT CR (Report of	wantity in	Cı
······································			1		2	/10	3		•	2	3	5	Other non	ary werge citrus	1.11	Almonds		3
	1		1		2	/10	3			2 🗌	s 🗌	15				LUNBUR	and hazelnuts improved	
	1		1		2	/10	3		4	2□		5				and see Walnuts	English	. 1
·····		<u> </u>	1		2	/10	3		4	•∟ 2□	3	5				or Pers Other nu	ian) I trees –	
t more space is needed.	use sepa	l rate sheet of	paper.			/10			۲	<u></u>	L [*] L		9 - 2 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 -			Specify	*******	
	- Go ti Septemb	; for all		Acr	 es	Acres in	galed	From t	he lis xocitie	2 L belo	□N ×, eni	0 — G ler crop	noiete this to section name and c Acres harv	8 ode. <i>Prap</i>	ort quen	lity harvosti	at in Acres irri	
1. Land from which vege			276		s Tenths	Whole acres	Tenths	Cı	op na	ne	C	ode y	pole acres		Quantit	y harvested	Whole acres	
harvested in 1978			···· [/10	<u> </u>	/10							/10	1		2	
 From the list below, e It more than one veget each crop. Report crop. 	able crop	was harvest	ed from th	e some	op narves acros, rep	ort acres for								/10	1		2	
Crop nar					rvested	Reres in	igated							/10	1		2	
					/10	1	/10	Same	ಾಗಿತ	e is n	aeded	, u39 3	parate she		이번 다음을 통			
					/10	<u> '</u>	/10	134466	berrie	and	lewoe	irries (f	Cod ounds) 501		Ra	op name spherries (p	ounds)	Code 533
					/10	1	/10	Blueb	erries,	wild	{ooun	ds)			SU Al	awberries (p other berri	ounds) es (pounds) —	X 1944
					/10	1	/10	OF OTI		wiew.	e de la compañía de l							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					/10	1	/10			28 III	id se	eda, su	ear crops, o	r other ci	ops not (reviously re	iported?	
f more spece is needed, Crep name Asparagus Beans, snap (bush and pole) Seets	Code 379 381 363 385	Crop name Cucumbers a Eggplant, . Garlic Honeydew m Lettuce and	nd pickles elons	41 41 42 42 42 42	5 Peppe 1 Pumpk 3 Radisl 7 Spinac	ama rs, sweet ra, hot ins h	445	From t unit sp	oocifie	2 t belo ior with	□ N ₩, en	0 – 0 ter crop name.	mplete Mia > to section name and c	g ade. Aor		lliy harvesti nliy harves		
Cabbage	391	Lima beans, Mustard gree	green	42	9 Squasi 1 Sweet	corn	459		Crop	ENA TIME	<u> </u>	Co	ee Acres	harveste	1	IIIA INIARS	2	igata
Persian melone Carrota Cauliflower	397	Onions, dry Onions, gree Okra	a	43	5 Turnic	greens	463 465 467						·				2	
Celery	401	Peas, green English (ei	including clude		Other	vegetables		 				+					2	
Cowpeas (blackeyed and other green cowpeas).	409	Breeu comb	eas)	44	1 Spec	Μ¥	475										2	
						bulbs, flowe or glass or of		<u> </u>				\perp			'			
protectio	n, ar MUS	shrooms gr	own FOR S	SALE of	n this plac	e in 1978?									1		2	
, 📋 YE		viele this so	tion.	Г	Ar	ea irrigated		ſ							1		2	
2 🛄 NU	- Go te	section 7	N	one 47	Square fe		Tenths					1			1		2	
. Nursery and greenhous	•		· · · · 7	μL	·····		/10	If more	spac	e is n	paded	, use e	iparata sheu	t of pape	•			<u>.</u> 1949
. From the list below, e	nter the p							Crop r	Wind.				¢	ade Crop	64.000	(bijshe)s)		C (4
Product name	Code	Square feet glass or o protection i	1000		n the oper 1978			Beans	, dry l	ield a	nd se	ed	의 영향 영향	Red 54	clover se	ed (pounds) cound bags	OF	: 67 67
<u></u>	┟───┤	Production (1 A 370	hole acr	res Tentl	2	Cents	Beans	dry	ima	- T. T.	1.334		Rice \$7	{ (busi (bern	els) or els)		: 58
	├				/1	2		E Com o	cut tor	ary re	xider.	.102940		Rya	7838 300	d (pounds) .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 64
	<u>├</u>		1		/1	12	<u>.</u>	E COWDE	83 10	dry o	885 (DUSIDEIS		Sorg or I	iums cut lav (tone	for dry fora , dry weight	şê }	. 69
I more spece is needed,		nte sheet of	paper.			- [ə	<u> </u>	Fascu Flaxs	e see ted (b	l (pou ushels	nds) 1)			02 Sorgi 05 Suga	nums hog r beets f	ged or graze or sugar (to:	id is)	. 74
Toduct name Sedding plants (include ve Buibs	getable p	Cede lants) 479	Product Foliage Mushcoor	and flow	vering pla	n is .	494	Grains Kentur Lespe	, mixi oky Bi deza :	nd (bu uegra veed (sheis ss sei pound	ad (pour s)	ds)	14 Suga 29 <i>See</i> 28 Suga	rcane for Informaticane for	sugar (tona tion Sheet . seed (tons)	} 	· 72 · 72 · 72

.

FORM 78-A11N) 14-10-781

.

.

SECTION 9 GROSS VALUE of CROPS SOLD from this place in 1978, BEFORE taxes and expenses (never to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 9.)	SECTION 12 Did you or anyone else have any CATTLE or CALVES on this place in 19787
Report your best estimate of the value for each of the following groups of crops sold from this place in 1978, include the value of the landlord's and/or contractor's share, estimating if necessary. Include value of Government CCC loans.	12 1 YES - Complete this section 2 NO - Go to section 13 Number on this DECEMBER 31, 1978 INVENTORY None
1. Grains sold in 1978, including Government CCC loans - None Dollars Cents com for grain, grain sorghums, rice, wheat and other small grains, soybeans, dry beans, dry peas and cowpeas,	1. CATTLE AND CALVES of all ages (Totel of a, b, c, and d below)
flaxseed, sunflower seed, popcorn, and salflower s	a. BEEF COWS - include best heifers that Beef cows b. MILK COWS kept for production of milk or cream Bos
received in 1976 from Government CCC loans? , , ,	for sale of home use - include dry milk cows and Milk cows milk helfers that had ceived
Cotton and cottonseed, including Government CCC loans None Dollars Cents	c. HEIFERS AND HEIFER CALVES - Exclude heifer heifers that had calved
a. Of the total, how much was received in 1978 from Government CCC loans?	AND BULL CALVES all ages CATTLE AND CALVES SOLD Gross value of sales
3, Tobacco	FROM THIS PLACE IN 1978 Promote source source source in 1978 Dollars Conts include those fed on this place on a None in 1978 Dollars Conts contract or custom basis. Also report
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons – DO NOT include trish potetoes or sweetpotetoes, report them in Hem 8 below	co a faediot for further feeding. 808 809 2. Calves weighing less than 500 pounds \$
citrus, pecans, strawberries, etc	3. Cattle, including calves weighing 810 811 500 pounds or more \$
Other crops - peanuts, irish potatoes, sweetpotatoes, 765 sugar beets, sugarcane, mint tor oil, hops, etc Specity \$	a. Of the total cattle sold, how many were FATTENED on this place on GRAIN or CONCENTRATES for 30 days or more and SOLD for SLAUGHTER?
SECTION 10 LAND USE IN 1978 1. Copy ACRES IN THIS PLACE from section 1, item 4, page 1 Acres NOTE: If the same land had more than one use in 1978, REPORT THAT LAND	DAIRY PRODUCTS SOLD FROM THIS PLACE IN 1978 None Dollars Cents
ONLY ONCE - in the use first listed helow that applies. For example, cropland harvested and also pastured is to be reported only as "Cropland harvested." 2. CROPLAND None Number of acres	4. Gross value of sales of DAIRY PRODUCTS and from this place in 1978, Report goet deiry products in section 15
Copiand harvested - include all land from which crops were hervested or hay was out, and all land in orchards, citrus groves, vineyards, and nursery and greenhouse products	SECTION 13 Did you or anyone else have any HOGS or PIGS on this place in 1978?
 Cropland used only for pasture or grazing - include rotation pasture and grazing land that could have been used for crops without additional improvements. 	2 N0 - Go to section 74 • DECEMBER 31, 1978 INVENTORY None piece Dec. 31, 1978 815
c. Cropland used for cover crops, legumes, and soil-improvement 789 grasses, but NOT harvested and NOT pastured	1. HOGS and PIGS of all ages (<i>Totel of a and b below</i>)
S. Utopizito on which but clops faired (Exception: Do not report here land in prohesids and vinesvards on which the crop failed. Such acreage is to be reported in item 2s.)	b. OTHER HOGS and PIGS
e. Gropland in cultivated summer failow	LITTERS FARROWED 2. LITTERS FARROWED on this place between - None Number of litters a. December 1, 1977 and May 31, 1978
3. Woodland - include all woodlots and kimber tracts and cutover and deforeated land with young timber growth.	b. June 1, 1978 and November 30, 1978
4. Other postureland and rangeland - include any pastureland other then cruptend and woodland pesture	HOGS AND PIGS SOLD Nore Nore Nore Sold Gross value of sales Dollars Cents 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5. All other land - Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. 797	3. HOGS and PIGS SOLD from this place in 1978
6, TOTAL ACRES - Age the acres reported in Items 2 through 5 (should be the same as Item T above.) SECTION 11 Was any LAND in this place IRRIGATED at any time in 1978?	4. Of the hogs and pigs sold, how many were sold as FEEDER PIGS for further feeding?, S
Irrigated land is all land watered by any artificial or controlled means - sprinklers, turkows or ditches, spreader dikes, sic. Include supplemental, partial, and preprent irrigation. ^{Q11} YES - Complete this section	SECTION 14 Did you at anyone else have any SHEEP or LAMBS on this place in 1978? 914 1 YES Gomplete this section 2 NO Go to section 75 INVENTORY SALES
2 □ N0 - do to section 12 I. How many acres of each of the following classifications of land were irrigated in 1978? a. HARVESTED LAND reported in section 10, Them 2a - include land from which hay was cut	None None Number on this place Dec. 31, 1378 Number sold in 1378 1. SHEEP and LAMBS of all ages 024 025 a. EWES 1 year old or older 026
end land in bestring and nonbearing truit and nut crops, b. PASTURELAND or RANGELAND reported in section 10, items 2b and 4 - Include spring flooding	None Number shorn Pounds of wool shorn
If water diverted or spread by dame, spreader dives. cwrais, differes, pipes, or other works. c. ANY OTHER LANDS - Such as land not harvested due to complete failure, idle land, tand in cultivated summer failow,	2. SHEEP and LAMBS SHORN
or land in cover crops and soll-improvement grasses	None Dotters Cents 3. What was the gross value of sales of sheep, lambs, and wool from this place in 1978? a29 s

FORM 78-A1(N) (4-10-78)

SECTION 15 Did you or anyone LIVESTOCK, or	e i se Animi	have any HORSE AL SPECIALTIES	S, BEES, F in 1978?	ISH, OT	HER		SECTION 17 Income from MACHINE WORK, CUSTOMWORK, and OTHER AGRICULTURAL SERVICES PROVIDED for others in 1978
2 ☐ NO - Go to							Total amount received from machine work, customwork, None Dollars Cents
	300	INVENTORY Number on	Total r		Gross value	of sales	in 1978 - planting, plowing, spraving, harvesting, etc.
	Vone	this place Dec. 31, 1978	sold in		Dollars	Cents	-
1. Horses and ponies of		830	831		832	l	SECTION 18 During 1978 did you SELL any crops, livestock, or livestock products
all ages			L		\$		DIRECTLY to individuals FOR NUMAN CONSUMPTION - readside
2. Mules, burros, and donkeys	-	833	834		835 \$		stands, farmers markets, pick your own, etc.?
uning 5	U	836	837	·	3 838		1 YES - Complete this section Dollars Cents
3. Mink and their pelts					\$		2 NO - Go to section 19
 Mink females used for breeding		863					1. What was the gross value of these direct sales?
4. Other livestock or animal						1	Specily products sold - vegetables, eggs, etc.
Specialties in captivity (Enter name and code from "List A" below.)							SECTION 19 TYPE OF ORGANIZATION Mark (X) the one item which best describes the type of organization for this place in 1978.
Name Code			1		2 \$	1 1 1	Refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 19.
	Acr	es under water T	otal quant	ity cold	Gross value	of sales	torship) excluding partnership and corporation
5. Livestock or animal products (Enter name and		aquaculture	in 197	8	Doltars	Cents	•PARTNERSHIP operation. Include family partnerships 2 Total number
code from "List B" below.)				Bounda	},	1	INCORPORATED UNDER STATE LAW of partners
Name Code		2	OR _	Pounds Gallons	}s		Answer BOTH a and b a. Is this a family held corporation?
6. Fish and other aquaculture	<u></u>			Ganona	<u>۲</u>		b. Are there more than 10 stockholders? $\left\{ s \sqsubseteq Yes \\ \vdots \end{cases} \right\}$
products (Enter name and code from "List C" below.)			OR -	Pounds	2	1	l NO
Name Code		/10	UK -		75		•OTHER, such as cooperative, estate or trust, prison farm, grazing association, Indian reservation, etc7 [] specify
		U "'		Number	J		- SECTION 20 CHARACTERISTICS AND OCCUPATION OF OPERATOR (Senior partner
		List A					or person in charge) Refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 20.
Name Code M Colonies of bees 839		goats ts and their pelts		Name Worms		Code 857	1. RESIDENCE — Does the operator (senior partner or 923 person in charge) live on this place?
Angora goats 842 Milk goats 845	Rabbi Chinc	ts and their pelts hitlas and their pe	851 (ts., 854	Alioti Spec.	ner livestock – ify		924
List B		\sim	····	List	Ċ		
Name	Cod			Code	Name Other fish	Code	2 Negro or Black ∋ American Indian
Mohair sold	866	6 Trout	 	872	Other fish - Specify	. 884	2. RACE of operator (senior partner or person in charge) 🖌 👝 Asian or Pacific Islander
Honey sold Other livestock products -		baitfish.	nd 	880	Other aquacul products -		9 🖸 Other - specity
Specify					Specify	. 885	F .
SECTION 16 Did you or anyone			RY such a	S CHICK	ENS, TURKEY	'S,	925
DUCKS, etc., on contract basis.)	this p	place in 1978? (In	clude poull	ry grown	for others on a	•	3. AGE of operator (senior partner or person in charge) Years old
016 1 YES - Com	niete	this section		INVEN Numb		Inumber	4. SEX of operator (senior partner or person in charge) 1 🛄 Male 🛛 2 🔲 Female
2 🗌 NO - Go I			None	this p	place sold	in 1978	5. SPANISH ORIGIN - Is the operator (senior partner
-				892	893		
1. HENS and PULLETS of laying	•			894	895		6. PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION - At which occupation
2. PULLETS 3 months old or olde			🗆	896	897		did the operator spend the majority (50 percent or more) of his work time in 1978? For partnerships
3. PULLET CHICKS and PULLE (Exclude commercial brollers.)	TS un	ider 3 months old	🗆		_		consider all members of the partnership together 1 🗌 Farming 2 🗌 Other
4. BROILERS, fryers, and other π including capons and roasters				898	899		7. OFF FARM WORK - How many days did the operator (senior partner or person in charge) work at least
5. TURKEYS			رے ۱۰	900	901		A hours per day off this place in 1978? Include work at a nonfarm job, business, or on someone else's farm. 3 50-99 days
a. Turkeys for slaughter (Exclu	ide bi	reeders.)					(Exclude exchange farm work.)
b. Turkey HENS kept for breedi	ng.		🗆	902	903		s <u> </u>
6. OTHER POULTRY raised in ca	-		-	[
pigeons or squab, pheasants, q name and code from the list bel	uail,	etc. (Enter poultr	y				SECTION 21 Was any of the land in this place held under FOREIGN OWNERSHIP in 1978?
		- .			1		1 YES - Complete this section
Poultry name		Code			1		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \square N0 \\ 3 \square Don't \end{array} \right\} Go to section 28 \qquad $
Poultry name		Code		L			know None Acres
Name Code		*	Code	Name		Code 912	of the total acres in this place, now many were owned by -
Ducks		eons or squab asants		Állő	ther poultry -		1. Individuals who are NOT citizens of the United States?
				Spec	sity	914	2. A foreign held corporation or unincorporated association, or a foreign government?
 POULTRY HATCHED on this p 1978 and placed or sold – chick 	lace	in	N	one	Number		SECTIONS 22 - 27 Not applicable to this form
turkeys, ducks, etc Specity i	kind c	of poultry		916			SECTION 28 PERSON COMPLETING THIS REPORT - Please print
8. Incubator egg capacity on Dece				- 1917			Name 999 Date
9. What was the gross value of sa	les o	f poultry	F		al actor		Area code Number
and poultry products (eggs, etc	.) fro	m this		<u>oss value</u> Dollars	of sales Cents	1	Telephone
place in 1978? Include estimat	ted vi		918	-011013	Uenta	1	When the data have been tabulated, do you want a summary 029
poultry and poultry products mo	ved f	from this	910				sheet showing major census results for your county? 1 YES 2 NO

FORM 78-A1 (N) (4-10-78)

(Sections 22 through 27 were collected from only 20 percent of the farms; see text)

SECTION 22 Was any COMMERCIAL FERTILIZE LIME used on this place during 197	R, including ROCK P	HOSPHATE, or	SECTION 25	EXPENDITURES I farm business durin		and petroleu	n products for t	he
022 1 🔲 YES - Complete this section			and others I	enditures paid by you for production of crop	s, Expe	ditures	Gallons	
2 🔲 NO 🛩 Go to section 23	Non	Acres fertilized		and other agricultural om this place No	ne Dollar	Cents	purchase in 1978	this place
1. Acres of cropland fertilized in 1978, other than cr	opiand	932		r farm business 🛛			967	968
used only for pastures reported in section 10, ite	₿ 49 • • • • • • • • • • □	933	2. Diesel fuel husiness	for the farm	969		970	971
 Acres of pasturelant and rangeland fertilized in 1978 reported in section 10, items 2b and 4 		L	3. LP gas, but	ane, propane for	972		973	974
	ne Tons of lime	Acres limed	the farm bus 1 gailon)	siness (4.5 lbs.=				977
which applied (Oo not include land plaster or gypeum or time for senitation.)		935		the farm business			976	977
SECTION 23 Were my INSECTICIDES, HERBICIT OTHER PESTICIDES, or OTHER C	IES, FUNGICIDES, NE	EMATICIDES, a place in 19787	5. Natural gas business	for the farm	978] \$	 		
aza 1 🔲 YES - Complete this section			 Kerosene, n for the farm 	notor oil, grease, business [979			
2 🔲 NO 😁 Bo to soution 24			7. Electricity	for the farm	980 7 5			
Include any materials provided by you, your landi- item listed, report acras only once. If multipurpor	nds, or contractors. F	or each		, wood, coke, etc. 🗆	981			
acreage treated for each purpose.	IC CHEMICAIS MOIE USE	u, ispoir	SECTION 26	SELECTED PROD		NSES paid b	y you and other	\$
 Sprays, dusts, granules, lumigants, etc., (lungicid herbicide, insecticide, nematicide) to control – 	e, None	Number of acres on which used	include you	for this place in 19 r best estimates of e	openses paid b	you, your l	and lord, contra	ctors,
a. Insects on hay and other crops	Г. С. С. Г.	936	products in	others for production 1978. DO NOT INCL	UDE expenses	connected	with performing	
		937		fo: others, operation d expenses not relate			nesses, of serv	içes
b. Nematodes in crops	2011년 - 1914년 - 1 914년 - 1914년 - 1914년 - 1914년 - 1914년 - 1914년 - 1914년	9 38	1. Livestock a	ind poultry purchased	- cattle, calv	es,	Se None	ected expenditures Dollars Cents
c. Diseases in crops and orchards (blights, smuts rusts, etc.)	····· □ L	939	hogs, pigs, poults, star	nd poultry purchased sheep, lambs, goats, ted pullets, etc	horses, chick	le • • • • • • • •	98 •••••	the second s
d. Weeds, grass, or brush in crops and pasture		939	2. Total feed p	purchased for livesto mixed feeds, concer	ck and poultry	- grain,	🗂 s	13
2. Chemicals for defoliation or for growth control of crops or thinning of fruit			a. Commerc	ially mixed formula f	eds purchased	ほうち みん しゅうし		
of crops or thinning of fruit	••••• 🗆 L		(Do not i		liales. Ions Tenths	Dollars	¹ Cents	
3. Were any chemicals used for -			separatel scybean	ly, such as meal, None 98		985	- Cours	
 Insect control on livestock and poultry, including treatment of buildings? 		20 No	- 전 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	"□∟	/10	100 C 100 C 100 C		16
b. Sanitation, rodent and bird control, etc.? (Incluse time for sanitation)		° — ≥[_]Na	3. Animal heal veterinariar	Ith costs for livestoc i services, serums, v	k and poultry. accines, medic	include ines, etc		1
SECTION 24 MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT on 1				s, plants, and trees (fertilizer purchased			····· 🗆 🔓	1
(instacts only equipment used for ear	louibirel operations in	1977 or 1978.)	phosphate a	and gypsum. Report o	ustom applica	ion costs in	nls	
•Value of ALL machinery and equipment on this	place, December 31, 1	978	6. Agricultura	i chemicals purchase other pesticides, liπ	d - insecticide	s, herbicide	S, 90	19 I
 What is the estimated market value of ALL machinequipment, and implements usually kept on this p 		mated market value	application	costs in Item 9 belo of ranch labor (See I	**			0 I
and used for the farm or ranch business? Include	cars, C	ollars Cents	a. Of the hi	ired workers, includi orkers, how many	n mid	ne Number		-
pumps, motors, irrigation equipment, dairy equipm including milkers and bulk tanks, livestock reader grinding and mixing equipment, atc	3,	1		ed 150 days or more?		991		
	r	I	(2) Worki	ed less than 150 day:	° ∟	992		
 SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978. (Report 	Total number on this place on	Of the total, HOW MANY were manufac- tured in the last 5		bol – Include expending of truit, vegetable	ltures primaril s. berrias, etc	, tor labor, a	uch	93
anity if used in 1977 at 1978.) None	December 31, 1978	years (1974–1978)? (Number)	contract ba	usis by a contractor, a	new leader, a	ooperative,	•IC 🗋 🔤	
2. Automobiles	944	945	equipment	k, machine hite and t - include expenditury work such as grinding	s for use of e	ulpment and	9	94 I
3. Moloritucks (include pickups)	946	947	combining,	carn picking, drying rtillzing, etc. (Exclu	sila filling, s	praying,	🗆 🕏	1
4. Wheel tractors other than garden tractors and motor tillers	3-0	3-3	and the second second	ESTIMATED MAR	1991 - 1994 <u>(</u> 1997)	and the second	BUILDINGS	
tractors and motor tillers S. Grain and bean combines,	950	951	MARKET	e your best ESTIMAT VALUE of land and b	uildings for the	acres	of land	wrent market value and buildings
self-propelled only	952	953		i section 1, items 1,			996	rs Cents
6. Corn heads for combines	954	955		wned	a second second) \$ 997 1 \$	
7. Other core pickers and picker-shellers	956	957		ented or leased 7 00 ented or leased TO 0			998	
8. Cotton pickers (Exclude cotton strippers)	958	959	-		<u></u>		····	
9. Mower conditioners	960	961	i · ·					
10. Pickup balers	962	963	-					
11. Field forage hervesters, sheet ber or flywheel type								
FORM 78-A1(5) (4-10-76)	1	_L	Page 6					

FORM 78-A1(1)

GENERAL INFORMATION

WE NEED A COMPLETED REPORT FORM FROM EVERYONE receiving this request. Please follow the instructions given below for completing section 1. You will then be able to determine what additional information is to be provided.

- The enclosed cansus report form is being used by farms and ranches throughout the entire United States. Because it is meant for use in all parts of the country, it may contain sections and inquiries which do not apply to you. In this case, mark the "None" or "No" box and go on to the next item or section.
- 2. If You Receive More Than One Report Form If you have multiple farming operations, you should complete a report for EACH SEPARATE and DISTINCT production unit, that is, each individual farm, ranch, feedlot, greenhouse, etc.; or combination of farms, etc., for which you maintain SEPARATE records of operating expenses and sales, livestock and other inventories, crop acreages, and production. Return any duplicate or extra report forms in the same envelope with the report(s) you complete so we can remove the extra addresses from our mail register. In the space provided to the right of the address label of the report form you complete, write the 11-digit number from the label of the extra form or forms.

3. Partnership Operations

A partnership is an association of two or more persons who have agreed (informally or under legal contract) on the amount of their contributions and profit distributions.

Complete only ONE report for the entire partnership farm and ranch operation, including all partners' shares on the same report. If two or more report forms were received for the partnership see 2 above.

Partners who also have separate operations of their own should complete reports for those separate operations. For additional information on Partnerships see section 19 below.

4. How To Enter Your Responses On The Report Form

Please enter your answers in the proper spaces, on the correct lines, and in the units requested, i.e., dollars, bushels, tons, etc. Write any explanation outside the answer spaces or on a separate sheet of paper.

Enter whole numbers unless the "Tenths" column is provided, such as reporting acres of Irish potatoes in section 2. If you have 1/2, 1/4, or 1/3 of an acre, convert to tenths. For example convert 1/2 to 5/10, 1/3 to 3/10, 1/4 to 2/10, etc.

If you do not recall exact figures, please give your best estimate. You may indicate "Est." (for estimated) beside the answer, if you like. For all dollar items, we need only whole dollars, although a "Cents" column is provided for those who wish to report cents.

COMPLETING THE REPORT FORM

Section 1 - ACREAGE IN 1978

This section determines the land for which you should report agricultural activities. It also provides space for reporting landlords, renters, and location(s) of agricultural activities.

Changes in the Acres Operated in 1978 – Report all land that YOU USED during 1978, and the crops and livestock production on that land, even if some or all of it was no longer a part of your operation on December 31, 1978.

If you had agriculture operations at any time during 1978, but quit farmingbefore December 31, 1978, report your 1978 crop and livestock production and sales from that land for the portion of the year that you operated the land. Please explain in the margin of the report form (or on a separate sheet of paper) that you quit farming or ranching during 1978 and give the approximate date and the name and address of the present operator, if known.

INFORMATION SHEET

1978 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

If you acquired land for agricultural operations, but did not use it for livestock or crop production in 1978, include the land in section 1. Please explain in the margin or on a separate sheet of paper.

Completing Items 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 1 – Use items 1, 2, and 3 to determine the acres of land that are your responsibility for the purpose of making the agriculture census report. Include all land that you were associated with in 1978, regardless of location or use, but do not include holdings of residential property not associated with agricultural operations. Report all land in whole acres in this section.

Item 1 - Land Owned - Report all land owned in 1978 whether held under title, purchase contract or mortgage, homestead law, or as heir or trustee of an undivided estate, by you and/or your spouse, or by the partnership, corporation, or organization for which you are reporting.

Item 2 - Lend Rented or Leased FROM Others -Report all land rented by you, even though the landlord may have supplied equipment, fertilizer, or other materials and/or some supervision of the work.

INCLUDE in item 2 -

- a. Land (for agricultural uses) that you rented FROM others for cash payments
- b. Land worked on a share basis
- c. Land (owned by someone else) which you used rent-free
- d. Federal, State, Indian, or railroad land rented or leased by the acre

DO NOT INCLUDE in item 2- Land used on a per-head or animal unit license or permit basis, such as fand used under section 3 of the Taylor Grazing Act, National Forest Land, or Indian Reservation Permit Land.

Item 3 – Land Rented TO OTHERS – Cash tenants, share tenants, and share croppers are farm operators for census purposes and are responsible for making the agriculture census report for the land they operate.

INCLUDE in item 3 -

- Owned land rented TO others for cash or a share of the crops or livestock
- b. Land which you rented from others and then subleased to others in 1978
- c. Land rented out for residential or other nonfarm purposes, if it was part of the land reported in item 1 or 2
- d. Land worked for you by someone else on a share-of-crop basis
- e. Land which you allowed others to use rentfree

NOTE: Please enter the names and addresses of renters, tenants, and sharecroppers in item 6.

Item 4 - Acres in this Place - This is the sum of the land that you owned in 1978 (item 1), PLUS the land that you rented FROM others (item 2), MINUS the land that you rented TO others (item 2), is very important that you report this figure correctly (even if "0" acres), since the remainder of your report should cover only those operations on "the Acres in This Place" reported in item 4.

"Zero Acres in Place" - Should you complete the rest of this form?

If you yourself had crop or livestock activities on the land for any portion of the year, you should complete the rest of the form. See "Changes in Acres Operated in 1978," above.

If all your land was operated by a renter or sharecropper for all of 1978, you do not have to complete the entire form. In this case, you should:

a. Complete item 6 for renter.

- b. Skip to section 28 and complete Name, Address, Telephone number, etc.
- c. Explain briefly in the margin or on separate paper that you did not operate a farm or ranch in 1978. For example, "All land rented out," "Sold Farm," "Retired," etc., and give the approximate date that

you quit operating. If you sold your farm, please give the name and address of current owner or operator, if known.

d. Mail the form in the return envelope provided.

Item 7 - Grazing Permits - In some States, government lands and Indian lands are used for grazing livestock under permit or special license, with payments on a per-head or animal unit basis. This land should NOT be included as part of item 2, "Land rented or leased FROM others," or item 4, "Total Acres in This Place." But you should include in your report any livestock located on permit land in 1978.

Sections 2-8 - CROPS

Sections 2 through 8 provide space for reporting crops harvested during the 1978 crop year from the land shown in section 1, item 4, of your report. Please report your crops in the appropriate section. Do not include any crops grown on land rented, leased, or worked on shares by others during 1978.

Acres Harvested - Enter the acres harvested in 1978. Round fractions to whole acres except where tenths are requested, as for Irish potatoes.

Quantity Harvested - The units of measure on the report form are those most commonly used throughout the country. If you use a different unit of measure, please convert your figure for quantity harvested to the unit requested. If harvest is incomplete by December 31, 1978, please estimate the total quantity harvested.

Crop Irrigation – For each crop irrigated, report number of acres irrigated. Irrigation is defined as land watered by artificial or controlled means – sprinklers, furrows or ditches, spreader dikes, purposeful flooding, etc. Include acres that received supplemental, partial, and preplant irrigation. For any crop not irrigated, leave "Acres irrigated" blank.

How to Report Crops Harvested

- a. Sections 2 and 3 In these two sections, separate lines are provided for reporting each of several crops widely grown in the United States. Do not write in data for any other crops.
- b. Sections 4-8 In each of these sections, report any of the crops listed in the same section. To report a crop in any of these sections, (1) find the crop name and the code number in the list in the section; (2) enter crop name and code in the first two columns of the first available answer line in the section; (3) enter the information requested in the headings of the remaining columns.

Example: A farmer harvested 20 acres of alfalfa seed, yielding 6,000 pounds, and 30 acres of red clover seed, yielding 8,400 pounds. (He did not irrigate either crop.) He would enter the following in section 8:

		raps, av alber crapt Ny disa amerikan	and previously report	
, <u> </u>	- 00 10 1	action 9		
con the list below iente and gate find with crop /		e and code . Report	Quartity harvested -	•
e la calendaria de la cale	1		10	
			Quantity harvested	Acres engale
	542		Quantity narvested	Acres migate
elan pad		20		Acres migales
alfate per	542	20	6,000	Acres migale

If more space is needed, use separate sheet of paper.

Two or More Crops Harvested From The Same Land (Double-Cropping) - Report the total acres and production of each harvested crop in the appropriate section of the report form.

Example: A farmer harvested 1,230 bushels of wheat from 40 acres in 1978, then planted and harvested 1,550 bushels of soybeans from the same 40 acres before the end of the year. He irrigated the soybeans but not the wheat.

Corn	ect entries	Acres	Bushela	Acres irrigated
Item 3	Wheat	40	1,230	
Item 8	Soybeans	40	1,550	40

Sections 2–8 – CROPS – Continued Interplanted Crops and "Skip Row" Planting – If two crops were grown at the same time in alternating strips in the same field, report the portion of the field used for each crop.

Example: A 60 acre field was planted in cotton and soybeans, with two rows of cotton followed by an area of the same width planted in soybeans. Thirty acres of soybeans would be reported in section 2, item 8, and 30 acres of cotton in section 2, item 9.

Vegetables – Report acres of vegetables harvested FOR SALE. Do not report acres of vegetables for home use. Report the total acreage of each vegetable crop harvested.

Example: You harvested 10 acres of lettuce from a field in 1978, then replanted the field to lettuce and harvested the 10 acres again. Enter only 10 acres of land from which vegetables were harvested in item 1 of section 5, but write in 20 acres of lettuce harvested in item 2 of section 5.

Fruit and Nuts - In counting the combined total of 20 or more trees and vines, include those for home use as well as those maintained for sale of the production (exclude abandoned trees).

If crops other than fruit and nut trees and vines were interplanted with trees or vines, report the total acres for BOTH the orchard and the interplanted crops harvested.

Example: If 20 acres of cotton were grown in a 30 acre pecan orchard, you would report 20 acres of cotton in section 2, item 9, and 30 acres of pecans in section 4.

Avocados, Olives, Sugarcane

Avocados, once, sogarcana Avocados – For California report the quantity harvested from November 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978. For Florida report the quantity harvested from April 1978 through March 1979, estimating if necessary.

Olives - Report the quantity harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the October 1977 to March 1978 harvest season.

Sugarcane – For Florida and Texas report cuttings from November 1977 through April 1978. For Louisiana and Hawaii report for the 1978 crop year.

Section 9 -- GROSS VALUE OF CROPS SOLD

Report the values received during 1978, regardless of the year in which the crops were harvested. Give gross values (before deducting taxes and expenses). If full payment was not received for a crop or crops sold in 1978, report only the amount actually received in 1978.

For value of grains and cotton, include as sold the value of government CCC loans received in 1978 but not loans obtained from any other government or private source.

Section 10 - LAND USE

The purpose of this section is to classify the acres you reported in section 1, item 4, by principal use in 1978. Do not include any acres you rented TO others (reported in section 1, item 3).

Lend Used for Multiple Purposes ~ If part of your land was used for more than one purpose in 1978, report that land on the line for the use first listed, and NOT on the line for the second use. For example, if you plowed under a cover crop, and planted and harvested a grain crop, report the land in item 2a, "Cropland harvested," but NOT as "Cropland used for cover crop, legumes, ... etc." (item 2c).

Double Cropping — When more than one crop was harvested from the same land in 1978, report that land only ONCE as "Cropland Harvested," item 2a of this section.

Interplanted Crops – If you interplanted crops, such as cotton in an orchard, report the total land used for both crops only ONCE, as "Cropland Harvested," in item 2a.

Section 12-16 - LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY

Animals and Poultry to be included in the Report – Report all animals and poultry on the place on December 31, 1978. Include all owned by you and any kept by you for others. Include animals on unfenced land, on National Forest land, on district land or cooperative grazing association land, or on rangeland administered by the Bureau of Land Management on a per-head or lease basis (such as on wheat pasture). Include poultry (broiters, layers, turkeys, etc.) fed under contract on "This Place." Include livestock and poultry kept on this place for home use, 4H projects, etc.

Number sold — Report all animals and poultry sold or removed from this place including those sold for a landlord or given to a landlord as his share or to others in trade or in payment for goods and services. Include animals of all ages without regard to ownership or who shared in the receipts if the animals were located on the place 30 days or more and were sold or removed from the place in 1978.

Sales from Contract and Custom Feeding Operations – Include animals and poultry (including poultry under contract) fed on this place on a contract or custom basis if they were removed from the place in 1978.

Value of Sales – Be sure to report the total gross value of sales of animals and poultry without deducting cost of feed, cost of livestock purchased, cost of hauling and selling, etc. If the sale price or value is not known, give your best estimate of their value when they left this place.

Animals Moved to Another Place – For animals removed from this place to another place, such as for further feeding, report the number as sold and give your best estimate of their market value when they left this place.

Animals to be Excluded from the Report ~ Do not report any animals or poultry kept under a share arrangement on land rented TO others in 1978.

Animals Sold from Another Place - Animals owned by you, but held and sold from someone else's place should NOT be included. For example, do not report cattle purchased elsewhere, fed in, and sold from a feedlot not part of this place.

Animals Bought and Sold – Do not include animals bought and resold within 30 days. Such purchases and sales are considered "dealer" transactions.

Fat Cattle Sales - Do not include with fattened cattle in item 3a of section 12:

- Veal calves which were fattened primarily on milk, or
- b. Dairy cows fed only the usual dairy ration before being sold, or
- c. Cattle and calves that were sold for further feeding.

All Other Livestock – Specify the name of any other livestock, animal specialty, or livestock products on or sold from this place that have not been accounted for elsewhere on this form.

Example: Bees sold (colonies, packages, pounds); semen sales, animals bred and sold for laboratory use; birds, frogs, etc.

Section 19 - TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

Use the following definitions to assist you in determining the type of organization for your operation:

Individual or Family Operation (Sole Proprietorship) — Defined as a farm or business organization controlled and operated by an individual. Includes family operations that are not incorporated and not operated under a partnership agreement. Partnership Operation – Defined as two or more persons who have agreed on the amount of their contribution (capital and effort) and the distribution of profits. Co-ownership of land by husband and wife or joint filing of income tax forms by husband and wife does not constitute a partnership, unless the agreement to share contributions, decisionmaking, profits, and liabilities exists. Producing products under contract or under a share rental agreement does not constitute a partnership.

Corporation – Defined as a legal entity or artificial person created under the laws of a State to carry on a business. This definition does not include cooperatives.

Other - Such as cooperative (defined as an incorporated or unincorporated enterprise or association created and formed jointly by the members), estate or trust (defined as fund of money or property administered for the benefit of another individual or organization), prison farm, grazing association, Indian reservation, etc.

Section 20 - OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS AND OCCUPATION

This section applies to the characteristics and occupation of the individual owner, operator, senior partner, or person in charge for the type of organization reported in section 19 of the form.

For Individual or Family Operation (Sole Proprietorship) - Complete this section for the operator.

operator. For Partnership Operations - Complete items 1 through 5 and 7 (of this section) for the "Senior Partner." The "Senior Partner" is the individual who is mainly responsible for the agricultural operations on this place. Consider the oldest partner as the "Senior Partner" if each shares equally in the day-to-day management decisions. For item 6 (Principal Occupation) consider all members of the partnership together. Please include as "Farming" worktime at all types of agricultural enterprise, including specialties, such as greenhouses, nurseries, mushrooms, ranching, feed lots, broiler feeding, etc.

For incorporated and Other Operations (Cooperatives, Ettates, etc.) – Complete section 20 for the person in charge, such as hired manager, business manager, or other person primarily responsible for the onsite, day-to-day operation of the farm or rench business.

Section 22 - COMMERCIAL FERTILIZER

Report acres on which commercial fertilizer was applied during 1978. If some acres where fertilized more than once, report acres ONLY ONCE.

Section 24 - MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT The estimated market value in item 1 refers to ALL machinery and equipment kept on this place and used for the farm business. The value should be an estimate of what the machinery and equipment would sell for in its present condition, not the replacement or depreciated value.

Section 26 – SELECTED PRODUCTION EXPENSES

EAPENSES Include expenses paid by you and by anyone else for the production of crops, poultry, livestock, and other agricultural products on this place. Also include expenses incurred even if payment was not made in 1978. Please give estimates if you do not know the exact figures. For example, if you grew broilers or fed cattle for others, include in item 1, as purchases, your best estimate of the value of the baby chicks or cattle at the time they were brought to this place. Also include in item 2, the value and amount of all feed purchased by you or someone else for use on this place.

Do not include expenses related to nonfarm activities (trading and speculation, or livestock dealer activities),

Hired Labor – Expenditures for hired labor should include gross wages or salaries, commissions, paid bonuses, and leave pay before deductions, plus the value of benefits paid by you – Social Security taxes, unemployment insurance, etc. Do not include room and board, house rent, or other items paid in kind.

FORM 78-A1(A) U.S. DEP	RTMENT OF COMMERCE	NO	TICE - Resp	nse to this in	quiry is required by law (t)	itle 13 U.S	Code) By the same l		No. 41-57803 EPORT TO	
		ant	y for statistic a law also pro	al purposes. Vides that cop	FIDENTIAL, It may be s Your report CANNOT be us les retained in your files a	sed for pur are immune	by sworn Census employed poses of taxellon, inves I from legal process.	itigation, or	y be used regulation.	
LENSE 1079 CENTLE OF		035		1036	CENSUS U	SE ONLY	lose			
78 1978 CENSUS OF	SAMPLE	039		040		041	042	042		
Z S		Key id	lentification d	ata 1						
Part I - IDENTIFICATION				Part II	TYPE OF ORGAN	IZATIO	N - Continued			
Items 1 through 6 Co 1. State 2. County	py from A3 Record Book 3. Segment numb	er A A	3 Line number	Type C	- INCORPORATED UND STATE LAW)ER	Name		andra (Althurne)	
	S. Segment Humb			3			Mailing address - Rou	te/Box/Stree	91	
5. Name - First, Middle initial, Last					t is the current name mailing address of		Post Office	State	ZIP code	
6. Mailing a. Route/Box/Street				this	corporation?	• • • • • • • • •	L		L	
b. Post Office		Staté	ZIP code	1 20년 - 20년 - 21 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	its a family-held corporation there more than 10 stockho	N 16 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	90 m 13 m 70 m 16 m 18 m	INO INO		
7. What is your (the person listed in item 5) telepitone number?	8. In what you be operate of this	gin to any part	060	4. Doe	s this corporation have oth YES — Enter location(s) an	ner separal	e and distinct farm and	ranch operat	luns?	
9. Do you have a Federal YES - Enter th			4	(1) Lo	ocation (State and county)		Name			
Number for this farm or NO				(2) Lc	ocation (State and county)		Name			
Part II - TYPE OF ORGANIZATIO				5. Duri	ng the past two years,		L.			
Mark (X) type of organization as shown in column for that type. Complete only one part of this page of quantionantic mode only to the product of this page	e. Date reported for subse	d aak que iquent se	stions ctions	has used	this farm or ranch I any name or address	신간성	Name			
	Name			corp	r than the current oration name and mailing #357	C) YES-J	Mailing address – Rou	le/Box/Stree	ət	
OPERATION (Sale 1 proprietorship) 1 1. For business purposes,	Mailing address - Route/E	Box/Stree	et			1	Post Office	State	ZIP code	
is this farm or ranch operated under any name NO	Post Office			6. Does	s this farm or ranch employ	y a hired				
other than your own?		State	ZIP code	the f	iger who is primarily in ch ferm of ranch operations?	iarge of	. 1 [_] YES - Go to	2 [_] NO	- SKIP to	
2. During the past two [] YES	Name	انگرین <u>سا</u> ریکا -		1. A 199 COLOR	is the hired manager? Person named in part 1,		1140/// 1			
mail at any address	Mailing address - Route/E	Box/Stree	9t	1	item 5 — SKIP to page 2 Other — Specify and		Name			
	Post Office	State	ZIP code	8. Who	end interview	**	Mailing address - Rou	te/Box/Stre	et	
3. Does this farm or ranch amploy a hired manager who is primarily in charge of the farm or ranch operations?	YES - Go to 2	NO - :	SKIP to	the f	arm or ranch operations? Person named in part 1,		Post Office	State	ZIP code	
	Name		oage 2	· · · ·	item 5 - SKIP to page 2 Ither - Specify and	×	1		<u> </u>	
4. Who is the hirsd manager?		Day (Street			end interview	n.64.9				
item 5 - SKIP to page 2	Mailing address - Route/E	508/50/06		1 N. S. S. S.	type of organization open	States in	ntrols the operations of i	bis farm or i	ranch unit?	
Other - Specify and SK/P	Post Office	State	ZIP code	7 995	Estate •	State	orLocał s[¯]O	ihar — Specil	(y,	
(Include family partnerships)	Name			2()	Trust		nment	1		
2 1. For business purposes, what name and mailing address is used for this	Mailing address - Route/E	Box/Stree	ət				al Government			
taldenuting t	Post Office	State	ZIP code		is the name and ing address of organization?		Mailing address - Rou	te / Box / Stre	et	
				1			Post Office	State	ZIP code	
2. How many partners are there?	Partners lach partnerT									
Person named in part 1, item 5 + Do n (`) Other - Specify (Use "Remarks" if mi	그는 가 옷에서 집에 걸려가 다 옷이 가 앉았어요.			3. What	Is the name and matting		Name			
2.	<u>b.</u>			addre or ra	ess used for this farm nch operation?		Mailing address - Rou	te/Box/Stre	et	
Name	Name						Post Office	State	ZIP code	
Mailing address - Route/Box/Street	Mailing address - Ro	ute/Box/	Street						1	
Post Office State ZIP code	Post Office	State	ZIP code	7481	this farm or ranch	YES	Name			
 Does this partnership employ a bired many primarily in charge of the farm or ranch op 	arations? ICIYES	- Go to	Item 5	maili	any other name or [ing address?] NO	Mailing address – Rou	te/Box/Stre	et	
5. Who is the hired manager?	2 [_] NO -	- SKIP U	o liem 6	5. Does	this organization employ	a hired	Post Office	State	ZIP code	
item 5 - SKIP to page 2	Name			i mana	ger who is primarily in charm or ranch operations?	arge of	I TES - Go to	2 [_] NO	- SKIP to	
Other - Specify and end interview -> S. Which partner is primarily in charge	-				is the hired manager? 'erson named in part 1,		item 6		1.910 1	
of the farm or vanch operations?	Post Office	State	ZIP code		tem 5 – SKIP to page 2 ther – Specify and					
SKIP to page 2	ow.				end interview 👡		Name			
None - Go to Hem 7	A			farm	is primarily in charge of th or ranch operations?	n e . (* 1	Mailing address - Rou	e/Box/Stree	ət	
	10.00			エムシンパンパー 情報	erson named in part 1,	19 <u>02</u> 00-00136	1			
7. Who is the eldest pariner?	Name			· · · i	tem 5 - Go to page 2 ther - Specify and	× .	Post Office	State	ZIP code	