# **APPENDIX A. General Explanation**

TAKING THE CENSOS	M- I
DATA PROCESSING	A-3
COMPARABILITY OF DATA	A-4
MAJOR CHANGES IN DATA COLLECTED	A-4
FOLLOW-ON SURVEYS AND THE CENSUS OF HORTI-	
CULTURAL SPECIALTIES	A-5
DISCLOSURES	A-5
1974 DATA CORRECTIONS	A-5
DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	A-5
FARM CLASSIFICATIONS	A-11
UNPUBLISHED DATA	A-12
NONSAMPLING ERRORS	A-13
CENSUS COVERAGE	A-13
STATISTICAL ADJUSTMENTS	A-13
TABLES	
A. PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL REPRESENTED BY ADJUST-	
MENT FOR WHOLE FARM NONRESPONSE: 1978	A-14
B. ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
STATE TOTALS FOR SELECTED ITEMS: 1978	A-16
C. ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
FARMS REPORTING AN ITEM IN THE COUNTY: 1978	A-16
D. ESTIMATES OF THE SAMPLING RELIABILITY OF	
COLINTY TOTALS FOR SELECTED ITEMS: 1978	Δ.17

## TAKING THE CENSUS

A KING THE CENCIE

### Method of Enumeration

The 1978 Census of Agriculture was the first census to include a mailout/mailback enumeration supplemented by a thorough direct interview of all households in a sample of area segments. This combination list-direct enumeration sample approach was instituted to improve completeness of coverage for State level agriculture census statistics.

In censuses prior to 1969, enumerators were assigned to a specific area and called on all farms within the area. Beginning with the 1950 census, copies of the report form were mailed prior to the enumeration date to each boxholder served by post offices in predominantly rural areas and farmers were asked to complete the forms and have them ready for the enumerator to pick up. Enumerators going door-to-door in rural areas collected and reviewed all forms that had been completed; they also completed forms for those places with agricultural operations which did not have forms ready.

For the 1969 and 1974 censuses, data were collected primarily by a self-enumeration, mailout/mailback procedure. This procedure was made possible by the availability of specialty lists and several administrative lists, including records from the prior agriculture census, from which a list of agriculture-related names and addresses was constructed.

The mailout/mailback enumeration procedure was not used in taking the agriculture census in Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the Northern Mariana Islands. A discussion of the direct enumeration methods used appears in the reports for these outlying areas.

# Mail List

The mail list for the 1978 census was comprised of all individuals, businesses, and organizations that could be readily identified as being associated with agriculture. The list was assembled from the records of the 1974 census and administrative records of various government agencies, primarily the Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The administrative lists used for 1978 were more accurate and extensive than those used for the 1974 and 1969 censuses. For 1978, most administrative lists were used in their entirety; whereas, for 1974 and 1969 some lists were sampled so that only selected records were included in the census mailing list.

Lists of large or specialized operations, such as nurseries, broiler growers, fish farms, and livestock range and feedlot operations, which might not be identified in other administrative records, were obtained from State and Federal agencies, trade associations, and similar organizations. Lists of multiestablishment companies having one or more establishments (or locations) producing agricultural products or providing agricultural services were obtained from the 1974 census and updated using results of the 1976 and 1977 Company Organization Surveys conducted by the Economic Surveys Division of the Census Bureau.

A preliminary census mail list was assembled using names and addresses from the 1974 census and administrative source lists available early in 1978. Those records identified as most likely not to be farms, since the name appeared on only one source list or on selected combinations of list sources which had yielded a low percentage of farm operators for the 1974 census, were then selected for inclusion in the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey. Slightly over 4 million of the short identification forms were mailed in May of 1978 to identify persons who were not active farm operators, to identify tenant and successor operators who were not on administrative source lists, and to update the name, address, and size information for the identified active farm operators. Approximately 1.9 million survey returns were classified as out-of-scope (nonfarms), and an additional 400,000 reports were returned by the Postal Service as being undeliverable, resulting in over 2.3 million names and addresses being deleted from the census mail list as a result of the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey. The final census mail list containing 4,241,000 names and addresses was developed using results of the 1978 Farm and Ranch Identification Survey, names and addresses from the unduplicated preliminary list that were retained without precensus verification, and new or updated source lists acquired after the preliminary unduplication.

The preliminary and final census mail lists were both constructed by merging and unduplicating the names and

addresses from the various source lists on the basis of Employer Identification numbers, Social Security numbers, and names and addresses. To facilitate processing, each name on the administrative source lists was assigned a geographic code indicating the State and county location of the operation and a size code indicating an estimated value of sales. Most duplicates were identified and resolved during computer processing; an additional group of possible duplicates identified during computer processing was resolved clerically. Other duplicate names not identified prior to mailing were either reported by respondents or located during office processing.

# **Direct Enumeration Area Sample**

When the evaluation survey conducted for the 1974 census confirmed the results of the evaluation survey for 1969 (i.e., an unacceptable number of midsize and a larger number of smaller farms were not included in the mail list), the decision was made to incorporate into the 1978 data collection procedures a direct enumeration area sample to provide State level estimates of the number and statistical characteristics of farms found not to be included in the mail list. The effect of this combination mail list-direct enumeration sample approach has been to drastically reduce the number and effect of missed farms in State totals.

The direct enumeration sample consisted of approximately 6,400 segments in "rural" areas (areas outside places with 2,500 or more population in 1970) in all States, except Alaska and Hawaii. The segments were created and selected using enumeration district maps and data from the 1970 Census of Population and Housing. In October and November 1978, enumerators visited each household in these segments to determine if anyone in the household was associated with an agricultural operation. A 78-A1(A) report form was completed for each person with agricultural operations. This form was similar to the 78-A1(S) sample form but collected additional information on alternate names and addresses and other individuals associated with the operation for use in matching these cases to the census mail file. The front page of the 78-A1(A) report form is reproduced in appendix D. During the enumeration, each operator received an orange-colored sticker and was instructed that if he/she received a census form in the mail, the form should not be filled but returned with the sticker attached indicating that a report had already been completed.

During office processing, a concentrated effort was made to match the direct enumeration sample returns to names and addresses on the census mail list using the sticker returns, microfilm search of the mail file for all associated names, telephone calls to all cases that could be reached by phone, and any other available information. Direct enumeration sample returns not matched to the census mail list after this extensive research comprise the sample representing farms in the State not on the census mail list. Data from these unmatched direct enumeration sample returns were used to provide estimates at the State level for farms not on the census mail list. For each State, these data have been tabulated and published as a "pseudo" county and are an integral part of the State totals. The direct enumeration sample estimates have not been included in individual county totals.

# Report Forms

The Census Bureau recognizes the need to minimize both respondent burden and census cost by limiting the number of items for which data are requested to those most needed by users as the basis for current understanding and future planning. In addition to limiting data items, the most recent eight censuses of agriculture have also used sampling techniques to further minimize reporting burden and census cost. For 1945, additional items were included in the enumeration of designated geographic segments, but were omitted from the report forms used for all other areas. For the 1950, 1954, 1959, and 1964 censuses, similar goals were met by instructing the enumerators to determine whether to ask or omit the items in designated sections of the report forms. For the 1969, 1974, and 1978 mailout/mailback censuses, two report forms were used again with the result of minimizing the reporting burden, particularly for smaller farms.

For 1978, the two report forms were a 5-page report form (78-A1(S)) containing all the items asked in the census, and a 4-page form (78-A1 (N)) omitting the sample items (sections 22 through 27). Form 78-A1(N) and the 78-A1(S) sample items are reproduced in appendix D. In all States except Alaska and Hawaii, the sample form (A1(S)) was mailed to all certainty addresses (large farms) and to 20 percent of the noncertainty addresses; the nonsample form (A1(N)) was mailed to the remaining noncertainty addresses. The sample form was mailed to all Alaska addresses. For Hawaii, a modified version of the sample form was used for all farms. The modifications were made principally in the crops section in order to cover the specialized agriculture on the islands. The Statistical Adjustments section of this appendix defines certainty farms and includes a discussion of the criteria used to determine whether the sample or nonsample form was to be mailed to noncertainty addresses.

# **Initial Mailing**

The report forms were distributed by the U.S. Postal Service during the last week of December 1978 to all individuals, businesses, and organizations on the mail list. Approximately 4,241,000 forms were included in the initial mailing (3,140,000 nonsample forms and 1,101,000 sample forms).

From February through August 1979, additional initial mailings were sent primarily to new tenants and successors identified during report form processing. Thus, the total mailing list for the 1978 Census of Agriculture was 4,430,000.

An information sheet containing detailed instructions for completing the form was included with each report form (see appendix D). Additional special instructions were included with report forms sent to identifiable producers of poultry under contract, bees and honey, laboratory animals, feedlot operations, and nursery and greenhouse products.

In an effort to provide additional help in completing reports, approximately 60,000 copies of a Farm Census Guide booklet were sent to county agricultural agencies and related institutions or businesses to whom farmers might turn for help. Included were rural banks, accountants, vocational agriculture instructors, and the following U.S. Department of Agriculture county offices: Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service,

Farmers Home Administration, and Agricultural Extension Service. This guide contained descriptions and definitions for various items in more detail than the instructions included with each report form. Representatives of the above agencies graciously consented to assist farmers in completing their report forms if assistance was requested. In addition, the telephone number for the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office was provided in the original mailing in case the respondent requested assistance or had additional questions which were not covered in the instructions.

# Followup Procedures

The data collection effort included seven followup letters to nonrespondents, three of which were accompanied by a report form. To evenly distribute the workloads in mailing, receipts, and processing, the mail list was divided into three groups for followup purposes. In late January, the first followup letter was sent to all nonrespondents reminding them of the February 15 due date. The other reminders followed on a flow basis at 3- to 4-week intervals starting in late February and continuing into July.

In low response areas, additional efforts were made. State Commissioners of Agriculture were asked to issue supporting statements urging farmers to complete and return their reports. In early April 1979, nonrespondents in about 300 counties with the lowest response rates were mailed an additional letter using simpler language in large print suggesting that if they needed help in completing their report, they could call collect to the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office.

From March to November 1979, telephone calls were made to nonrespondents who were large (for most States, those with expected sales of \$80,000 or more) or were located in about 60 counties with low response. Data for large farms were obtained by telephone from secondary sources if the addressee could not be contacted or refused to provide information. Most of the nonresponse calls were made by the staff of the Jeffersonville, Ind. processing office. However, calls to the larger and more complex operations were made by the Agriculture Division staff. Upon completion of data collection, all counties had response rates exceeding 75 percent of the final mail list including additional addresses. The overall response rate for the 50 United States was 88 percent for all addresses and nearly 95 percent for addresses with a known history of farm operations. A significant proportion of the nonresponse cases was found not to have qualifying agricultural operations for 1978. For a description of the adjustment for nonresponse, see Statistical Adjustments.

## DATA PROCESSING

All report forms were subjected to a clerical screening review, during which they were corrected to make them acceptable for keying onto magnetic tape. Farm operators completing a nonsample form who reported sales or acres above the certainty level were sent correspondence requesting the additional sample data. Reports for special list cases identified on the mail list as producing specific products such as broilers or cattle were checked to insure that the special item had been reported.

Problem reports requiring special review or additional handling were referred to technical assistants, or if necessary, to statisticians for resolution. Report forms with sales or acreage above levels listed as State "must" were subjected to a more stringent precomputer review, including completeness checks for key basic data items. Reports from multiunit operations and institutional and government-operated farms were reviewed and edited by statisticans. All farms with expected or reported sales of \$1,000,000 or more or 30,000 acres or more, all Alaska and Hawaii reports, selected multiunit operations, and other selected problem reports were reviewed by statisticians in the Agriculture Division. Omissions, inconsistencies, and other problems that could not be resolved by reference to other information on the report were resolved by contacting the respondents by telephone or correspondence.

All successors and the more significant tenant names and addresses reported in the census were researched on microfilm of the census mail file. Report forms were mailed to addresses not located on the mail file. Research was also conducted on reports from selected respondents claiming that they had previously completed a census form to eliminate possible duplication.

Data for each report form were subjected to a detailed item-by-item computer edit. The edit included comprehensive checks for consistency and reasonableness, corrected data found to be in error or inconsistent, supplied missing data based on similar size farms within the same county, and assigned farm classification codes which were necessary for tabulating the data. Entries of large magnitude and significant computergenerated changes to the data were manually verified. Obvious errors such as reports of cotton or citrus in the Northern States were also identified and corrected.

Many of the acceptability limits on data were necessarily wide making it impossible to identify and correct all errors or to always supply precise estimates for all the incompleteness in the reports. These factors and others may affect the reliability of data for some minor items, but they should not have a significant effect on major data items in any county.

In the computer edit, those farms with sales or acreage exceeding State "must" levels were tested for historical consistency. Key items such as acreage, number of livestock sold, crops, and sales were compared for significant changes between 1974 and 1978. Problems in historical consistency were resolved usually by telephone.

In order to reduce duplicate reporting because of more than one completed report being received for the same operation under different names, computer listings of reports with similar operator names and size of operation were produced and duplicate reports were deleted prior to tabulations.

After each report was screened, computer edited, and had all identified problems corrected, each data item on the report was added into the total for that item for the county. The result was a computer tabulation matrix for each county containing the sum of individual entries for each data item. This matrix enabled the easy and precise construction of the published tables.

Prior to publication of preliminary reports and volume 1 reports, tabulated totals were reviewed by statisticians to

identify remaining inconsistencies and potential coverage problems. Comparisons were made with historical census trends as well as current year State level estimates published by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and other agencies as part of the coverage review. Selected report forms were reviewed and problem entries were either verified as being correct or the data were corrected.

# COMPARABILITY OF DATA

Significant changes were made to the data collection procedures to improve the coverage of the 1978 census, including a more complete mail list and the use of a direct enumeration area sample to supplement the mail list. The effect of these improvements has been to increase the 1978 State farm counts above what they would have been using previous methods. The 1978 State farm counts, consisting of totals from the mail list and the direct enumeration area sample, include farms that would have been missed in the 1974 and 1969 censuses.

The 1978 county farm counts do not include any totals from the direct enumeration sample. However, for some counties, the counts may have increased substantially because of the improved coverage of small farms in the 1978 mail list. County level data on acreages and inventories are generally comparable.

Estimates of the net proportion of farms missed in the 1974 census varied widely by State, from a low of 0.7 percent in Nebraska to a high of 33.0 percent in West Virginia. Appendix C shows adjusted farm counts by State. Because missed farms were typically small, the percent missed was generally higher in States having a relatively large proportion of small farms and urbanized areas having a sizable number of part-time farms. The proportion of the total farms in each State represented by the direct enumeration sample in 1978 can be expected to follow a pattern similar to that for missed farms in 1974.

Since most of the farms identified in the direct enumeration sample were small, these farms have a much greater effect on the State counts of farms and farms reporting than on measures of agricultural production such as land in farms, sales of agricultural products, acres and production of crops, and inventory and sales of livestock and poultry.

Changes in the farm definition also affect the comparability of data. In general, data for 1978 and 1974 would be directly comparable with data for 1969 and earlier censuses only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more because the data for these farms are not affected by changes in the farm definition. A difference in timing and the change from personal interview to mail enumeration affect comparability with data from censuses prior to 1969. The effect of the 1974 definition change for selected State and county totals is shown in appendix B.

Dollar figures shown for expenses and agricultural product sales have not been adjusted for changes in price levels between census years. Crop and livestock production and sales and expense data are for the calendar year 1978, except for a few crops (such as citrus) for which the production year overlaps the calendar year. Inventories of livestock, poultry, and other specified items are as of December 31, 1978. The reporting period and inventory date are the same as for the 1974 and 1969 censuses.

Censuses prior to 1969 were taken by enumerators, each assigned to a specific geographic area. Field work for the 1959 and 1964 censuses was completed mainly in October, November, and December of the reference year. Livestock and poultry inventories for these two censuses were as of the time of enumeration. Other variations in the time of enumeration occurred prior to 1959.

# MAJOR CHANGES IN DATA COLLECTED

Unusually strong adverse reaction to the length and content of the 1974 census report form stimulated an intensive review and rejustification of all data inquiries accepted for collection on the 1978 census report form. Data users were requested to provide the Bureau with their data requirements, including the level of publication needed-county, State, or national. Each data item requested was reviewed to determine if it was needed at the county level and if so, whether on a complete or sample basis. Some data items needed only at the State or regional level were relegated to one or another of the follow-on surveys scheduled to be conducted in 1980 for calendar year 1979. By eliminating many items included on the 1974 report form, redesigning the form to accommodate two columns of items per page, and converting many more items to write-in status, especially in the crops sections, the report form was reduced from a 20-page booklet to a 4-page form for most farms and a 5-page form for certainty and sample farms. Data items on the 1974 report form which were eliminated on the 1978 report form were:

Type of rental arrangement
Sales of forest products
Commercial fertilizer used for specific crops
Irrigation methods and quantity of water used
Drainage
Grain storage facilities
Futures markets
Contracts
Injuries and illnesses
Other production expenses
Income and expenses from farm-related sources
Farm credit
Family income from off-farm sources

Much of the detailed data collected in 1974 for costs of materials for individual types of agricultural chemicals and for quantities and costs of several feed categories including roughages purchased were deleted from the report form. In addition, many of the redundant totals used on the 1974 report form for cross checking data, especially in the production expenses and value of agricultural products sold sections, were eliminated.

The following high priority new data items were added to the 1978 report form:

Set-aside acres in Federal Farm Program
Government Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loans for grain and cotton
Direct sales to consumers
Foreign ownership of farmland

Greater detail on expenses for energy

# FOLLOW-ON SURVEYS AND THE CENSUS OF HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTIES

Integral to each census of agriculture program are the follow-on surveys conducted after the main census. The purpose of these specialized surveys is to collect additional, much needed agriculture-related information from highly specialized operations or from only a sample of farms without burdening all farm operators. Thus, allowing the use of a shorter report form for the general census. The follow-on surveys are limited to high priority data items that yield United States, regional, or State level estimates, which in and of themselves or in association with general census data, are used as the basis for current decisionmaking and future planning.

Data from the Farm Finance, the Farm and Ranch Irrigation, and the Farm Energy Surveys, conducted in 1980 covering calendar year 1979, are published in Volume 5, **Special Reports**, of the 1978 Census of Agriculture.

The Farm Finance Survey provides detailed farm financial data, including debts, expenses, taxes, credits, assets, and farm and off-farm incomes for farm operators. Many of these items as well as detailed data concerning land ownership and landlord characteristics are also being collected from the landlords of the farms involved in the survey.

The Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey provides high priority data on water use by irrigated farms. Included in the data are: acreage irrigated, yields for irrigated and nonirrigated crops, quantity of water used, methods of distribution, types of pumps, and number of wells.

The Farm Energy Survey provides detailed data on energy purchased by type of energy source, storage capacity, and selected kinds of energy-consuming machinery and equipment.

The 1979 Census of Horticultural Specialties covers the operations of producers of nursery and greenhouse products, mushrooms, and sod in much greater detail than the main census of agriculture. This census has been conducted in 1890, 1930, 1950, and every 10 years since, in association with the census of agriculture. Data for this census are published in Volume 5, Special Reports.

# **DISCLOSURES**

In many tables, data are suppressed and a (D) is used instead of a number. To ensure the confidentiality of information on a characteristic of an individual farm, it is necessary to suppress data when the value of an item for one or two farms can be definitely or approximately determined by mathematical manipulations.

Suppressions of data made within frequency distributions are accomplished in a way that will maintain maximum integrity of the frequency groups as suppressions are made whenever possible in adjacent frequency classes. This allows the user, by subtraction from the total, to have a farm count and total quantity reported for the combined suppressed frequencies. Although the published frequency data are not complete, the truncated frequency distribution is available for analysis purposes.

# 1974 DATA CORRECTIONS

Some 1974 data have been revised since the 1974 volume I publications were released. The major revisions were for:

Madera County, California—number of heavy breed turkeys sold and related data.

Maricopa County, Arizona—acreage of improved pecans and related data.

# **DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS**

Definitions and explanations of terms in this section provide more detailed descriptions for selected items and terms than are available on the report form or in the tables. Differences in definitions between censuses, data deficiencies, and special processing performed for individual or related data items are also explained. For an exact wording of the questions on the sample and nonsample forms used in 1978 for the conterminous United States, and the information sheet which accompanied these forms, see appendix D.

For all tables, historical data are shown whenever individual items are comparable, otherwise an (NA) is used. Some historical data may differ slightly from totals included in prior census publications due to rounding of fractions and dollar values.

Farms or farms reporting—The term "farms" or "farms reporting" in the presentation of data denotes the number of farms reporting the item. For example, if there are 3,710 farms in a State and 842 of them had 28,594 cattle and calves, the data for those farms reporting cattle and calves would appear as:

Land in farms—The acreage designated in the tables as "land in farms" consists primarily of agricultural land used for crops, pasture, or grazing. It also includes woodland and wasteland not actually under cultivation or used for pasture or grazing, provided it was part of the farm operator's total operation. Large acreages of woodland or wasteland held for nonagricultural purposes were deleted from individual reports during the processing operations.

Land in farms is an operating unit concept and includes land owned and operated as well as land rented from others. Land used rent free was to be reported as land rented from others. Except for open range and grazing land used under government permits, all grazing land was included as "land in farms" provided it was part of a farm or ranch. Grazing land operated by grazing associations was to be reported by the person chiefly responsible for conducting the business of the association. All land in Indian reservations used for growing crops or grazing livestock was to be included as land in farms. Land in Indian reservations not reported by individual Indians or non-Indians was to be reported in the name of the cooperative group that used the land. In some instances, an entire Indian reservation was reported as one farm.

Land area—The approximate total land area of counties and States shown for 1978 represents the land area as determined by records and calculations updated as of January 1, 1979. These data are updated periodically; however, the acreage shown for 1978 are essentially the same as in 1974. Any differences between the land area in 1978 and 1974 are due to annexations, disincorporations, and other changes affecting county boundaries.

Land in two or more counties—With few exceptions, the land in each farm was tabulated as being in the operator's principal county. The principal county was defined as the one where the largest value of agricultural products were raised or produced. It was usually the county containing all or the largest proportion of the land in the farm. For a limited number of Western States, this procedure has resulted in the allocation of more land in farms to a county than the total land area of the county. To minimize this distortion, separate reports were required for large farms, identified from the 1974 census and prior special surveys as having more than one separately reportable farm unit. Other reports received showing land in more than one county were separated into two or more reports if the data would significantly affect the county totals. These reports were assigned to the appropriate counties during office processing.

Value of land and buildings—Farm operators receiving the sample form were asked to report their estimate of the current market value of land and buildings owned, rented or leased from others, and rented or leased to others. Market value refers to the respondent's estimate of what the land and buildings would sell for under current market conditions. For 1978, this information is estimated from the replies of a sample of farms; whereas for 1974, all farm operators were asked to provide these data. If the value of land and buildings was not reported, it was estimated during processing by using the average value of land and buildings from a similar farm in the same geographic area.

Other land—This category includes land in house lots, barn lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. The definition of "other land" is the same in 1978 and 1974 only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more. In 1974, for farms with sales of less than \$2,500, "other land" included pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pasture in addition to land in house lots, barn lots, ponds, roads, and wasteland.

Land set aside in the Federal Farm Program—The 1978 report form included a new inquiry on the number of acres set aside in 1978 under the provisions of the Federal Farm Program. These data are for acres of cropland taken out of production by growers of wheat, corn, grain sorghums, and barley, and instead planted in cover crops, soil-improvement grasses, etc. No information was obtained as to which grains would have been grown on the acres set aside.

Foreign ownership of agricultural land—An inquiry measuring the impact of foreign ownership of agricultural land was added to the 1978 report forms. All farm operators were asked to report the number of acres owned by individuals who are not

U.S. citizens; or owned by foreign-held corporations, unincorporated associations, or foreign governments.

In some cases, operators using land owned by others may not know the beneficiary owner of the land or, if the owner is known, they may not know the citizenship of the landlord. Therefore, a "don't know" category was included on the report form. Corporations with 50 percent or more of the stock held by foreign investors were considered foreign owned. Any land owned and operated by such corporations was included as land held under foreign ownership.

County summary table 36 provides operators response to the foreign ownership inquiry. Counts are provided for those responding "yes," "no," "don't know," and "no response." No attempt was made to contact nonrespondents.

Operator—The term "operator" designates a person who operates a farm, either doing the work or making day-to-day decisions about such things as planting, harvesting, feeding, marketing, etc. The operator may be the owner, a member of the owner's household, a salaried manager, a tenant, a renter, or a sharecropper. If he/she rents land to others or has land worked on shares by others, he/she is considered the operator only of the land which he/she retains for his/her own operation. For partnerships, only one partner is counted as an operator. If there is no clear-cut partner in charge, then the senior or oldest active partner is considered to be the operator. For census purposes, the number of operators is the same as the number of farms. In some cases, the operator was not the individual named on the address label of the report form, but another family member, a partner, or a hired manager who was actually in charge of the farm operations.

Operator characteristics—Data on characteristics such as residence, race, Spanish origin, age, sex, principal occupation, and off-farm work were collected from all operators in 1978. In the 1974 census, operator characteristics were collected only for all farms with sales of less than \$2,500 and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more operated by individuals (sole proprietorships) and partnerships. If operator characteristics of race, age, sex, and principal occupation were not reported for 1978, they were derived based on reports with similar acreage size, tenure, and sales size. No adjustments were made for nonresponse to residence, Spanish origin, and off-farm work.

Extensive verification and recontacting were done for positive responses to Spanish origin, especially in Southern States. It was found that a number of these responses were in error, apparently the word American in "Mexican American" was mistaken as American origin.

Write-in entries of "other" race were clerically reviewed and recoded where necessary; entries without an accompanying write-in description were not verified by recontacting the respondent. Based on demographic classifications, "other" race, is limited to native non-Spanish populations of Central and South American countries.

In the presentation of data on race of operator, the classification "Black and other races" includes Blacks, American Indians, Asian or Pacific Islanders, and any other separate racial group excluding White. For the 1978 census, operators of

Spanish origin are tabulated by reported race. Prior censuses included Spanish origin as "White" whenever separate data for the White race were shown.

The 1978 Census of Agriculture is the first census to collect data on the sex of the operator. For farm operations where both husband and wife participated, the response was determined by the parties involved.

Selected production expenses—Data on selected production expenses incurred in 1978 were requested from farm operators reporting on the sample form. Only selected production expenses were requested; thus, the expense data cannot be used in combination with gross sales to calculate net farm income. The 1974 census attempted to gather selected expenses plus a category "all other production expenses" to arrive at total expenses. This category was to include items such as depreciation, taxes, interest, rent, and insurance for the farm business. Because of the burden to respondents and inaccuracy in reporting, the "all other production expenses" inquiry was not included on the 1978 report form. The 1979 Farm Finance Survey provides estimates on net farm income.

For 1978, as with earlier censuses, expense data in some cases were not fully reported especially by operations producing crops, livestock, or poultry on a contract basis. Many such operators were unable or unwilling to estimate the value of production inputs furnished by the contractor. Estimates were made for individual expense items based on reported crop and livestock information, or on averages or ratios of expense items reported by similar farms in the same geographic area.

Commercial fertilizer—The expense for commercial fertilizer is the amount spent on fertilizer during 1978, excluding the cost of application. Some fertilizer purchased in 1978 may not have been applied during the year. If the fertilizer was applied by someone other than the operator, the cost of application is included as an expense for customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment. Some operators may have included the cost of application with the amount spent on fertilizer.

Other agricultural chemicals, including lime—Expenses include the cost of all insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, other pesticides, lime, etc., excluding costs of application. Data exclude commercial fertilizer purchased.

Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment—This expense represents costs incurred for having customwork done on the place and for renting machines to perform agricultural operations. The cost of cotton ginning is excluded. The cost of labor involved in the customwork service is included in the customwork expense. The cost of labor used on rented or hired machinery is included as a hired farm and ranch labor expense.

Energy and petroleum products—More extensive data on energy usage for the farm business were collected in the 1978 census than in prior censuses. Data were collected on expenses, gallons purchased, and storage capacity for gasoline; diesel fuel; LP gas,

butane, and propane; and fuel oil. In addition, data on expenses for natural gas; kerosene, motor oil, and grease; electricity; and all other energy sources were collected. Data for 1974 include only storage capacity and expenses for gasoline; diesel; LP gas, butane, and propane; and a combined total expense for motor oil, grease, piped gas, kerosene, and fuel oil. The 1978 energy data were collected from a sample of farms. Additional data on energy usage and storage capacity are available from the 1979 Farm Energy Survey.

Caution should be used in evaluating storage capacity. Farms not reporting storage capacity were not identifiable from farms with no storage capacity; therefore, the totals understate the actual storage capacity available.

Value of agricultural products sold—This item represents the gross market value before taxes and production expenses of all agricultural products sold or removed from the place in 1978 regardless of who received the payment. It includes sales by the operator as well as the value of any shares received by partners, landlords, contractors, and others associated with the operation.

The value of agricultural products sold represents the sum of all crops including nursery products sold, and livestock and poultry and their products sold. It does not include income from farm-related sources such as customwork or agricultural services, or income from nonfarm sources. For 1974 and 1969, the value of agricultural products sold included sales of forest products from farms and ranches.

The value of crops sold in 1978 does not necessarily represent the sales from crops harvested in 1978. Data include sales from crops produced in earlier years and exclude some crops produced in 1978, but held in storage and not sold in 1978. For crops sold through a co-op which made payments in several installments, only the total value received in 1978 was to be reported.

The value of agricultural products sold was collected from all operators. Where the operator failed to report a value of sales, estimates were made based on the amount of crops harvested or the number of livestock or poultry sold. Extensive estimation was required for operators growing crops or livestock under contract.

Caution should be applied when comparing sales in 1978 with sales reported in earlier censuses due to the extreme fluctuations in per-unit prices between census years.

Income from machine work, customwork, and other agricultural services—This category consists of gross income received during 1978 by farm operators for providing machine work, customwork, and other agricultural services for others such as plowing, planting, harvesting, etc. Entries were deleted when duplicate information was reported in the 1978 Census of Agricultural Services, or when entries were large enough to consider the agricultural services activity separate from the farm or ranch. The agricultural services part of a farming operation was generally considered a separate operation when income from agricultural services was greater than \$500,000, or when it was \$10,000 or more and greater than the value of agricultural products sold. Data for establishments primarily engaged in agricultural services are published separately in volume 3.

Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption—This item represents the value of agricultural products produced and sold directly to individuals for human consumption from roadside stands, farmers' markets, pick-your-own sites, etc. By definition, it excludes nonedible products such as nursery products, cut flowers, wool, grains, etc. Sales of agricultural products by vertically integrated operations through their own processing and marketing operations were excluded where identified.

Fertilizer and lime—Detailed inquiries on acreage fertilized and tonnage of fertilizer applied by crop, which were included on the 1974 census form, were not collected in 1978. Data collected for 1978 are limited to total acres of cropland fertilized excluding cropland used only for pasture, and total acres of pastureland and rangeland fertilized. Data on lime use were requested in both 1978 and 1974. Land fertilized and limed data were collected from a sample of farms in 1978. Total acres of cropland fertilized in 1974 were obtained by adding the acres of individual crops fertilized and, therefore, may include duplication where two or more crops using the same acreage were each fertilized during the year.

Irrigation—The 1978 report forms included inquiries on acres irrigated for specific crops and total acres irrigated for harvested cropland, pastureland or rangeland, and any other land. Data on methods of irrigation and estimated quantity of irrigation water used by farms and ranches collected in the 1974 and 1969 censuses are available from the 1979 Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey and are included in Volume 5, Special Reports. Irrigation data collected on the 1978 report form are summarized in more detail in volume 2, Part 9, Irrigation on Farms and in Volume 4, Irrigation, which also includes data from off-farm water suppliers covered in the 1978 Census of Irrigation Organizations.

Value of livestock and poultry on farms—Data for the value of livestock and poultry on farms were obtained by multiplying the inventory of each major age and sex group by the State average price. The State average prices for cattle, hogs, sheep, angora goats, hens and pullets of laying age, and turkeys were obtained primarily from data published by the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Prices applied to other livestock and poultry were census-derived averages based primarily on reported value of sales in the 1978 Census of Agriculture. The 1974 and 1969 data were developed in a similar manner.

Poultry hatched—The 1978 data include all poultry hatched during the year that were placed or sold; whereas for 1974, data include only poultry sold. For example, chickens hatched and raised for layer replacement on the same farm are included in 1978 data for poultry hatched, but excluded from 1974 totals. The value of hatchery sales is included in the category "Poultry and Poultry products."

Fish and other aquaculture products—The raising of fish and other aquaculture products in captivity was included as agri-

cultural production by the 1972 Standard Industrial Classification, and data for such operations were included in the agriculture census for the first time in 1974. The value of fish and other aquaculture products sold is included in the category "Other livestock and livestock products." Production in salt water was considered not to be in captivity and was excluded.

Bees and honey—Bee and honey production was enumerated and tabulated in the county in which the home farm was located (even though hives are often moved from farm to farm over a wide geographic area for pollination of crops). The completeness and accuracy of these data are affected by the fact that some bee operations may not have been on the mail list and some operators on the mail list may not have considered beekeeping to be an agricultural operation, and therefore did not report.

Citrus enumeration-For the 1978 census, reports for selected citrus caretakers in Arizona, Florida, and Texas were obtained by direct enumeration. This special enumeration has been used in recent censuses because of the difficulty in identifying and enumerating absentee grove owners who often do not know the information that is needed to adequately complete the report form. A citrus caretaker is an organization or person caring for or managing citrus groves for others. Each citrus caretaker was enumerated as a farm operator and requested to complete one report form for all groves cared for and to furnish a list of grove owners' names, addresses, and number of acres of citrus. The names on the lists were matched to completed grove owners' reports to eliminate duplication. The caretaker was also requested to inform the grove owner that he had already reported for the citrus under his care and that the grove owner was not to report again. In the 1978 census, 8 caretakers in Arizona reported 250 grove owners having 12,000 acres of citrus; the 100 caretakers in Florida reported 6,600 grove owners having 240,000 acres of citrus; and 27 caretakers in Texas reported 1,500 grove owners having 30,000 acres of citrus.

More detailed information by State and county are included in volume 2, part 6.

Crop year or season covered—Acres and quantity harvested are for the calendar year 1978 except for citrus fruits, avocados, and olives; vegetables in Florida; sugarcane in Florida and Texas; and pineapples and coffee in Hawaii.

Citrus fruits—The data relate to the crops harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the 1977-78 marketing season.

Avocados—The data for California relate to the quantity harvested from November 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978; the data for Florida relate to the quantity harvested or to be harvested from April 1978 through March 1979.

Olives—The data relate to the quantity harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the October 1977 to March 1978 harvest season.

Vegetables—The data for Florida relate to the crop harvested for the September 1, 1977 through August 31, 1978, harvest season.

Sugarcane—The data for Florida and Texas relate to the reported cuttings from November 1977 through April 1978.

*Pineapples and coffee*—The data for Hawaii for pineapples relate to quantity harvested for the year ending May 31, 1978, and coffee for the 1977-78 crop.

Acres and quantity harvested—Crops were reported in whole acres, except for the following crops which were reported in 10ths of acres: Irish potatoes, sweetpotatoes, tobacco, fruit and nut crops including land in orchards, berries, vegetables, and nursery and greenhouse products; in Hawaii, taro, ginger root, and lotus root. Totals for crops reported in tenths of acres were rounded to whole acres at the aggregate level during the tabulation process.

If two or more crops were harvested from the same land during the year, the acres would be counted for each crop. Therefore, the total acres of all crops harvested generally exceeds the acres of cropland harvested. The exception to this procedure is hay crops. When more than one cutting of hay was taken from the same acres, the acres are counted only once but the quantity harvested includes all cuttings. However, hay cut for both dry hay and green chop or silage would be reported for each applicable crop. For interplanted crops or "skip-row" crops, acres were to be reported according to the portion of the field occupied by each crop.

If a crop was planted but not harvested, the acres were not to be reported as harvested. These acres were to be reported in the "land use" section under the appropriate cropland items—cropland used only for pasture or grazing, cropland used for cover crops, cropland on which all crops failed, cropland in cultivated summer fallow, or cropland idle.

For crops grown purposely for grazing, quantity harvested was not requested. Acres for these crops were to be reported as "cropland harvested" and not as "cropland used only for pasture or grazing." This procedure applies to the following crops:

Corn cut for dry fodder, hogged or grazed Cowpeas hogged or grazed or cut for silage Sorghums hogged or grazed Soybeans hogged or grazed or cut for silage

Quantity harvested was not obtained for crops such as vegetables, nursery and greenhouse products, and soybeans plowed under.

Acres of land in bearing and nonbearing fruit orchards, citrus or other groves, vineyards, and nut trees were to be reported as harvested cropland regardless of whether the crop was harvested or failed. However, abandoned orchards were to be reported as cropland idle, not as harvested cropland or for the individual crop acreages.

Data for hay represent all hay crops, including grass silage and hay crops cut and fed green. In production data, dry tons represent dry tonnage for the various hay categories and dry weight equivalents for grass silage and hay cut and fed green. The conversion used was 3 tons of green weight to 1 ton of dry weight.

Crop units of measure—For some crops, the operator could report quantity harvested in a unit of measure currently in use in the area. These crops were: Corn for grain or seed, in bushels shelled or hundredweight shelled; sorghums for grain or seed, in bushels or pounds; rice in 100-lb. bags, bushels, or 162-lb. barrels. Corn for grain and sorghums for grain are published in bushels and rice in 100-lb. bags. Grapes could be reported in dry weight or fresh weight; plums and prunes in fresh weight or prunes in dry weight; and in Hawaii, coffee in pounds parchment or pounds cherry, and macadamia nuts in pounds husked, unshelled or pounds shelled. For other fruit and nut crops and citrus, the operator was given a choice of units of measure of pounds, tons, or boxes. The quantity harvested for these crops are published in pounds.

Write-in crops—To reduce the length of the report form, only the major crops for the United States were prelisted (see sections 2 and 3 of the report form). For the other crops, the respondent was requested to look at a list of crops in each section and write in the crop name and its code (see sections 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 of the report form). For crops that had no individual code listed on the report form, the respondent was to write in the crop name and code the crop into the appropriate "all other" category for that section. Crops not coded by the respondent were coded during processing before keying. Write-in crops coded as "all other" were reviewed and assigned a specific code when possible. Crops not assigned a specific code were left in the appropriate "all other" category.

In some cases, the reviewers were unable to determine the specific crop reported by the respondent because of incomplete or generalized crop names. To ensure proper coding, most of these respondents were telephoned; reports for those not telephoned were changed on the basis of other reports for the area.

Misreported or miscoded crops—In a few instances, tabulated data may be inaccurate because respondents misunderstood or misinterpreted questions on the report form. Data may have been reported on the wrong line or in the wrong section of the report, or the wrong crop code may have been placed beside the name of a write-in crop. Some of these errors as well as some keying errors may not have been identified during processing and therefore were not corrected. Reports with unusual crops for the area were examined to minimize the possibility that they were in error.

Comparability of crop data—For the 1974 and 1969 censuses, data for all crops are available for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more while only data for the major crops—corn, sorghums, soybeans, peanuts, wheat, cotton, tobacco, potatoes, hay, vegetables, land in orchards, and berries are available for all farms. For 1978, data for all crops are available for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more and for all farms.

For a number of commodities, less detailed data were collected in 1978 than in 1974. In these instances, 1974 data have been combined to provide comparable figures wherever possible.

The "acres of vegetables harvested" in 1978 and 1969 was the summation of the acres of individual vegetables harvested.

but in 1974 it was the total land used for vegetable crops. For "land used for vegetable crops," the acres were to be reported only once even though two or more harvests of a vegetable or more than one vegetable were harvested from the same acres.

The "other crops" in the tables are not comparable from census to census as crops are added and deleted from the report form each census.

Value of crop production—This item represents the estimated value of all crops harvested during the 1978 crop year. Data for the value of crops harvested were obtained by multiplying the average estimated value per unit by the reported acres or quantity harvested. Generally, harvested units of production (pounds, bushels, bales, etc.) were multiplied by State estimates of prices per unit. If only acres harvested were reported, State estimates for value of production per acre were used. The State average production price and production value per acre used in these calculations were obtained in most part from publications of the Economic, Statistics, and Cooperative Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). When USDA estimates were not available. Bureau of the Census statisticians made estimates using available sources such as data from adjacent States, respondents, report forms, county extension agents, and other persons knowledgeable about specific crops.

# "See Text" References

Items in the tables which carry the note "See text" are explained or defined in this section. Also, additional definitions and explanations for some items are provided.

Data for 1978 are based on a sample of farms—Sections 22 through 27 of the census report form 78-A1(S), which include inquiries on commercial fertilizer and lime, chemicals, machinery and equipment, expenses for energy and petroleum products, selected production expenses, and value of land and buildings, were requested of all certainty (large) farms and approximately 20 percent of all other farms. As these data are subject to sampling error, estimates of the sampling reliability of county totals for selected items are shown in table D.

Farms operated by Black and other races—For 1974 and 1978, "other races" includes American Indians, Asian and Pacific Islanders, and all other racial groups other than White. The 1969 data are limited to farms operated by Blacks. Additional information on race of operator is provided under "operator characteristics"

All other races—This category is limited to native non-Spanish populations of Central and South American countries.

1974 data apply only to individual or family operations (sole proprietorships) and partnerships—For 1974, farm operator characteristics were not collected from corporations, cooperatives, prison farms, grazing associations, and Indian reservations. For 1978, characteristics and occupation of the senior partner or person in charge were collected from all farms, regardless of the type of organization.

Other type of organization—This category includes cooperatives, estates or trusts, prison farms, grazing associations, and Indian reservations.

Market value of agricultural products sold—This item represents the gross market value, before taxes and expenses, of all agricultural products sold in the census year including livestock and poultry and their products, and crops including nursery products and hay. The data include landlords' and contractors' shares. The 1974 and 1969 data include sales of forest products.

Farms with value of agricultural products sold less than \$2,500—In 1978, this category includes all farms, except abnormal farms, with sales less than \$2,500. In 1969 and 1974, farms reporting sales less than \$2,500 but having the production potential for sales of \$2,500 and over are included in the category farms with sales of \$2,500 and over. In frequency distributions, the 1969 and 1974 farms with potential sales of \$2,500 and over are included in the \$2,500 to \$4,999 sales group.

Other livestock and livestock products—Production of fish and laboratory animals is included in this category in 1974 and 1978; whereas, production of these items was excluded entirely from the 1969 census.

Poultry hatched—For 1978, this category includes all poultry hatched during the year that were placed or sold; whereas for 1974, it only included poultry sold. Incubator egg capacity on December 31, 1978, is tabulated under the column heading Inventory and the number of poultry hatched is under the heading Sales.

Worms—For 1978, the production of worms was separately identified; whereas for 1974, it was not. Inventory consists of the number of standard worm beds in production. A standard worm bed was considered to be 24 cubic feet measuring 8 feet by 3 feet by 1 foot. Sales of worms are shown in pounds. Worm casting sales are included in livestock products sold.

Hay crops (tons, dry)—Data shown for hay represent all hay crops, including grass silage and hay crops cut and fed green. In production data, dry tons represent dry tonnage for the various hay categories and dry weight equivalents for grass silage and hay cut and fed green. The conversion used was 3 tons of green weight to 1 ton of dry weight.

Other tame dry hay—The 1974 categories clover-timothy hay, lespedeza hay, coastal Bermuda grass hay, and other hay have been combined into "other tame dry hay" in 1978.

All vegetables harvested for sale—In 1978 and 1969, the acres of vegetables harvested is the summation of the acres of individual vegetables harvested; while in 1974, it is the total land used for vegetable crops.

Land used for vegetables—Data are the total land used for vegetable crops. The acres are reported only once, even though two or more harvests of a vegetable or more than one vegetable were harvested from the same acres.

Grapes (pounds)—Farm operators were given the option of reporting the quantity of grapes harvested in dry weight or fresh weight. For publication purposes, all quantities of grapes harvested have been converted to pounds fresh weight.

All nursery and greenhouse products—Data are a summation of the individual items presented.

**Excludes abnormal farms**—Abnormal farms include institutional farms, experimental and research farms, and Indian reservations. Institutional farms include those operated by hospitals, penitentiaries, schools, grazing associations, government agencies, etc.

Farms by standard industrial classification—See explanation under Farm Classifications.

# **FARM CLASSIFICATIONS**

State tables 29 through 35 present detailed 1978 data for all farms classified by specified characteristics—tenure of operator, type of organization, age and principal occupation of operator, size of farm (acres), value of agricultural products sold, and standard industrial classification. In 1974, comparable detailed data were tabulated only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more. Other tables include data classified by value of sales groups, or other characteristics of the farm or the operator.

Farms by value of agricultural products sold or value of sales—In a number of State and county tables, data are shown separately for all farms and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more, and for various value of agricultural products sold or value of sales size groups.

For 1978, all farms except abnormal farms have been tabulated by size based on reported sales. Thus, the category "farms with sales of \$2,500 or more" includes only farms with actual sales of \$2,500 or more. For 1969 and 1974, farms reporting sales of less than \$2,500 but having the production potential for sales of \$2,500 or more are included in most tables under the category farms with sales of \$2,500 or more based on potential sales.

In 1969, 1974, and 1978, abnormal farms were not tabulated based on actual or potential sales. Detailed data for abnormal farms are included in all farm data shown in State table 34, but are excluded from totals for all farms shown in other State tables 29 through 35. Otherwise, data for abnormal farms are included in totals for all farms, but are excluded from totals for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

In 1969 and earlier censuses, data were classified into nine groups referred to as "economic classes." For farms with sales of \$2,500 or more, the value-of-products-sold classifications used in 1974 and 1978 are the same as the value ranges used for establishing economic classes 1 through 5. Data for farms with sales of less than \$2,500, classified in 1969 and earlier censuses as class 6, part time and part retirement, are not directly comparable to the 1974 and 1978 value-of-product classes because of the change in farm definition and modifications in farm classification.

Farms by tenure of operator—The classifications of tenure used for both the 1978 and 1974 censuses are:

Full owners, who operate only land they own.

Part owners, who operate land they own and also land they rent from others.

Tenants, who operate only land they rent from others or work on shares for others.

Detailed 1978 data by tenure of operator are shown in State table 29 for all farms, excluding abnormal farms, and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

Farms by type of organization—The 1978 Census of Agriculture was the first census in which all farms were classified by type of organization. In the 1969 and 1974 censuses, these data were collected and tabulated only for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more.

The classifications used were:

Individual or family operation (sole proprietorship), excluding partnership and corporation.

Partnership operation, including family partnership.

Corporation, including family corporation.

Other, such as cooperative, estate or trust, institutional farm, etc.

For 1978, corporations were subclassified by two additional characteristics into:

a. Family held.Other than family held.

b. More than 10 stockholders.

10 or less stockholders

These subclassifications were not used in the 1974 Census of Agriculture. However, somewhat similar classifications were obtained in a follow-on survey of all corporations identified in the census, which were published in volume IV, part 5 of the 1974 Census of Agriculture. This survey collected detailed information on family and nonfamily corporations, number of shareholders, and other classifications and characteristics of corporations at both the firm (company) and farm levels. In the 1969 census, corporations were classified only by number of shareholders; those having more than 10 or those having 10 or fewer shareholders.

Caution should be exercised when comparing 1978 corporation data to those of the 1974 survey and the 1969 census. For the 1974 survey, respondents were given a choice of four kinds of corporations from which to select the one that best described their operation: Family corporation, independent corporation, parent corporation (with one or more subsidiaries), and subsidiary of another corporation. Classification rules used prevented an independent, parent, or subsidiary corporation from being classified as a family corporation. The two-way choice of family held or other than family held used in 1978 has resulted in a decrease in the number and proportion of nonfamily held corporations reported.

A followup study of the 1969 data indicated that a sizable number of farms were incorrectly reported as corporations, especially in the Southern States. The 1974 survey was used to identify and correct the misreporting of corporations in that

census. In the 1978 census, some reports incorrectly had both individual or family operation (sole proprietorship) and family held corporation indicated. These reports were reviewed during the processing phase and resolved based on whether or not the respondent operated under a corporate name, had reported as a corporation in the 1974 census, or was shown to be a corporation on one or more of the administrative records used in developing the census mail list.

Farms by age and principal occupation of operator—Data on age and principal occupation were obtained from all operators for 1978. In 1974, these data were collected only for all farms with sales of less than \$2,500 and for farms with sales of \$2,500 or more operated by individuals (sole proprietorships) and partnerships. Detailed characteristics are shown by six age-of-operator groups in combination with occupation information for all farms in State table 31 and for farms with sales of less than \$20,000 in State table 32. The principal occupation classifications used for 1978 were:

Farming—The operator spent 50 percent or more of his work time in 1978 in farming or ranching.

Other— The operator spent 50 percent or more of his worktime in 1978 in occupations other than farming. Some operators engaged in ranching marked "other" as their principal occupation. Most such obvious errors were corrected during processing.

Farms by size—All farms were classified by size according to the total land area in each operation. The land area of a farm is an operating unit concept and includes land owned and operated as well as land rented from others. Land rented to or assigned to a tenant was considered the tenant's farm and not the owner's. Detailed characteristics of all farms by 12 size-of-farm groups are presented in State table 33.

Farms by standard industrial classification— As in the 1974 census, agricultural production establishments (farms, ranches, nurseries, greenhouses, etc.) are classified by the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system in the 1978 census. These classifications, found in the 1972 SIC Manual, are used to promote uniformity and comparability in the presentation of statistical data collected by various agencies.

An establishment primarily engaged in crop production (major group 01) or livestock production (major group 02) is classified in the 3- or 4-digit industry group which accounts for 50 percent or more of the total value of sales of its agricultural products. If the total value of sales of agricultural products of an establishment was less than 50 percent from a single 4-digit industry, but 50 percent or more from the products of two or more 4-digit industries within the same 3-digit industry group, the establishment is classified in the miscellaneous industry of that industry group; otherwise, it is classified as a general crop farm in industry 0191 or a general livestock farm in industry 0291.

All farms in the 1978 census were classified by SIC. In the 1974 census, farms with sales of less than \$2,500 were not classified by SIC. In 1978, those agricultural producers having no sales reported were retained as farms and classified as general

livestock farms in industry 0291 if livestock or pasture were reported or as general crop farms in industry 0191. Characteristics of all farms by selected SIC groupings are shown in State tables 11 and 35. Additional and more complete 1978 data for various SIC classifications are published in volume 2, part 8.

# **UNPUBLISHED DATA**

Additional data have been assembled in a series of unpublished tables. The unpublished State table "Summary by Standard Industrial Classification of Farms by Value of Sales: 1978" has a format similar to State table 34. The largest size group in the boxhead is \$100,000 or more. Data are shown for the following SIC groupings:

Cash grain farms (011)

Field crop farms except cash grain farms (013)

Cotton farms (0131)

Tobacco farms (0132)

Sugar crop, Irish potato, hay, peanut, and other field crop farms (0133)

Vegetable and melon farms (016)

Fruit and tree nut farms (017)

Horticultural specialty farms (018)

General farms, primarily crop (019)

Livestock farms except dairy, poultry, and animal specialty (021)

Beef cattle farms, except feedlots (0212)

Dairy farms (024)

Poultry and egg farms (025)

Animal specialty farms (027)

General farms, primarily livestock (0291)

The following unpublished county summary tables include data tabulated by detailed size groupings:

Farms by Size of Farm: 1978 and 1974

Acreage and Value of Owned and Rented Land: 1978 Farms by Acres of Cropland Harvested: 1978 and 1974 Place of Residence of Operator by Occupation and Off-Farm

Work: 1978

Hired Farm Labor by Number of Hired Workers: 1978
Farms by Expenses for Hired Farm or Contract Labor: 1978

Broilers Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Cows and Heifers That Had Calved-Inventory by Number

Per Farm: 1978

Beef Cows Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Milk Cows Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978

Cattle and Calves Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978 Cattle and Calves Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Fattened Cattle Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Hogs and Pigs Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978

Hogs and Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Feeder Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978 Other Hogs and Pigs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Sheep and Lambs Inventory by Number Per Farm: 1978
Sheep and Lambs Sales by Number Sold Per Farm: 1978

Data similar to that shown in State table 28 for the acreages of crops harvested and production by acreage size have been summarized at the county level, but have not been tabulated.

These summaries can be tabulated at a minimal cost depending on the detail of data requested.

For information on the availability and cost of unpublished tabulations, please write to the Chief, Agriculture Division, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.

## NONSAMPLING ERRORS

Every census or survey is subject to errors. In addition to sampling variability, errors may arise from such sources as incorrect or incomplete reporting, processing, and the inability to obtain a report from each eligible reporting unit. Sampling variability is discussed in Statistical Adjustments.

Reported data in the census may be incorrect as a result of the misunderstanding of questions or because of the use of estimates in reporting. During processing, adjustments were made to data items which appeared to be inconsistent with other items reported for the same farm.

Respondents may have failed to provide all of the information requested. In some cases, the respondent may have indicated the presence of an item but not the amount. Imputations were made for missing data on the quantities and sales of crops and livestock but no imputations were made for place of residence or foreign ownership of land.

Careful efforts were made to keep errors introduced during clerical and electronic processing to a controlled level through the use of quality control, verification, and check measures on specific operations.

Extreme values in the direct enumeration sample (those contributing a substantial proportion of the estimated total) were reviewed individually and sometimes reduced. This will result in a possible downward bias in estimated totals.

Inclusion of the direct enumeration sample in 1978 resulted in a matching operation to identify names and addresses included in both the direct enumeration sample and the mail list. This matching operation was subjected to critical review and verification, including telephone calls to most respondents in the direct enumeration sample who were not matched in normal processing. Duplicates which were not identified during the matching process will result in an upward bias in estimated totals.

An adjustment was made for nonrespondent operations on the mail list. This adjustment employed sampling of both nonrespondent and respondent cases and variation from the adjustment is measured in sampling error; however, any systematic bias is not measured.

An evaluation of coverage is being conducted to measure the extent of undercount or overcount of farms in the census. A description of this evaluation is included in Census Coverage.

# **CENSUS COVERAGE**

Although a complete and fully accurate count of farms, farmland, and farm production is the aim of each nationwide census of agriculture, the complex structure of America's agriculture makes this aim difficult to achieve. Among the complexities are the many places to be included, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the continuing

changes in the relationship of operators to the farm operated, the expiration of leases and the initiation or renewal of leases, the problem of obtaining a complete list of agricultural operations, the difficulty of locating and identifying some types of farms, the operator's absence from the farm during the data collection period, and the operator's opinion that part or all of the operation does not qualify and should not be included in the census.

An evaluation of coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. Although the primary purpose of these evaluations is to identify problem areas and supply evidence as a basis for improvements, they also provide users of census data with estimates of the completeness of census counts. The results of the coverage evaluation study were published for the 1974 census in Volume IV, Special Reports, part 3. This report included estimates of the net percentage of all farms missed in the census. Farm counts for 1974, adjusted for this net undercount, are shown in appendix C.

The inclusion of a direct enumeration sample to supplement the mail list for the 1978 census partially eliminates the major source of undercoverage of farms, namely, incompleteness of the mail list. However, inaccurate counting may still occur because (1) by design, the sample omitted the selection of area segments in places that had 2,500 or more population in 1970; (2) direct enumeration sample interviewers may have under counted or over counted farms; and (3) respondents may have been misclassified as farms or nonfarms during processing.

The coverage evaluation for the 1978 census includes a re-enumeration of a subsample of the direct enumeration segments with matching and verification of differences, identification of operators not included on the mail list in places with 2,500 or more population in 1970 using supplemental questions on the Annual Housing Survey, and verification of the farm-nonfarm classification for a sample of respondents.

The changes in data collection procedures implemented for the 1978 census should substantially reduce undercoverage, and the proportion of farms not included in the census is expected to be between 2 and 3 percent for the United States. Results of the coverage evaluation and other evaluative material are included in volume 5.

# STATISTICAL ADJUSTMENTS

Report forms were obtained and tabulated for all the identified larger farms (in general, those with expected sales of \$80,000 or more). In the few instances where the operator did not supply the required information, data were obtained from secondary sources. By means of detailed, programed edit specifications, adjustments or corrections were made during the computer edit operation for internally incomplete or inconsistent data. The review procedures provided for computer printouts of edit changes that exceeded specified limits for the item. These changes were then reviewed for reasonableness by members of the processing staff and necessary corrections made.

## Nonresponse

The statistics for each State and county were adjusted to account for nonrespondent smaller operations. The number of

farms for which adjustment was made was estimated on the basis of the results of a canvass of a random sample of mail list addressees who did not respond to the census during the regular followup period. The canvass, using an abbreviated form, was accomplished with the use of the mail and telephone. The sample for each State was designed to provide estimates of the number of nonrespondent farms with a relative standard error of approximately 6 percent. However, approximately one third of the sample could not be contacted resulting in a potential bias in the estimates. The sample estimate of the number of nonrespondent farms at the State level was allocated to strata at the county level using the number of nonrespondents and the proportion of census farms among respondents. A sample of respondent data records was selected by stratum and weighted to represent the nonrespondent farms.

Table A shows for selected items for the State the percent of the published total that the whole farm nonresponse adjustment represents. Adjustment for individual item nonresponse on respondent forms is not included in the percentages. These percentages indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse, i.e., the extent to which the actual data for nonrespondents may not agree with the nonresponse adjustments. The probable range of difference is unknown. However, the degree of uncertainty regarding the accuracy of the data increases as the percentage of the total represented by the nonrespondent adjustment increases.

# **Direct Enumeration Sample**

Because of undercoverage problems with the mail list for the 1969 and 1974 censuses, a direct enumeration area sample was included to supplement the mail list for the 1978 census. Enumeration district maps and data from the 1970 Census of Population and Housing were used to construct the sampling frame from which the direct enumeration sample was selected. The frame was stratified by State and by a farm density ratio (the ratio of farm households to total households). In this State, the selected sample consisted of 104 segments. The expected number of farms per segment varied by strata from none in residential areas to 12 in intensive farm areas and averaged about 10 farms. Farms enumerated in the direct enumeration sample were matched to the mail list and the data for the unmatched farms were weighted by the reciprocal of the probability of selection for the segment to make State estimates for farm operations not represented on the mail list.

Table A Percent of State Total Represented by Adjustment for Whole Farm Nonresponse: 1978

Item	Percent of total
Farms Land in farms Value of agricultural products sold Harvested cropland Corn for grain or seed acres Wheat for grain acres	8.2
Inventory: Cattle and calves Hens and pullets of laying age Hogs and pigs	6.4 3.2 8.8

# Mail List Sampling

To obtain county data for appropriate items without burdening all farm operators, data for Sections 22 through 27 of the report form 78-A1(S) were collected only from a sample of farms. The sample was selected from the mail list and consisted of all addresses in a certainty stratum and a random sample of addresses from the noncertainty stratum. The certainty stratum consisted of all addresses meeting specified size criteria in terms of indicated acreage and/or value of sales. Certainty size criteria varied by State from 1,000 to 5,000 indicated acres and from \$40,000 to \$200,000 indicated value of sales based on historic or mail list source data. All addresses in counties with less than 100 farms in 1974 were also selected as certainty. Noncertainty addresses in counties with 100 to 199 farms in 1974 were sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and noncertainty addresses in counties with 200 farms or more in 1974 were sampled at a rate of 1 in 5.

Several elements of the sampling from the mail list and associated data collection may introduce substantial variation and a potential bias into the resulting data. First, the mail list from which the sample was selected contained about 50 percent nonfarm names and addresses which were not identifiable at the time of selection. Both farm and nonfarm names and addresses were selected into the sample. As a result, the farm data actually tabulated came only from part of the sample, specifically the names and addresses which represented farms. Secondly, the stratification was based on size information from several sources and of varying quality. Size information was not available for a substantial proportion of the names and addresses. In addition, sample and nonsample forms may have been returned at a different rate by respondents.

In order to improve the precision and minimize the bias of estimates from the sample, post-stratification was used to produce estimates. Basically, this consisted of classifying all farms into relatively homogenous strata and weighting sample farms within each stratum by the ratio of total farms to sample farms.

Farms meeting certainty size criteria during sample selection and those identified during processing as meeting similar criteria were assigned to a certainty stratum. All other farms were assigned to 64 strata. Farms with sales of less than \$2,500 were classified into eight size-of-farm groups (less than 10 acres, 10 to 49 acres, 50 to 69 acres, 70 to 99 acres, 100 to 199 acres, 200 to 259 acres, 260 to 499 acres, and 500 acres or more); within each of two value-of-sales groups (less than \$1,500 and \$1,500 to \$2,499); within each of two type-of-farm groups (crop or general farms and livestock or poultry farms). Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more were classified into four size-of-farm groups (less than 50 acres, 50 to 99 acres, 100 to 259 acres, and 260 acres or more); within each of the four value-of-sales groups (\$2,500 to \$4,999, \$5,000 to \$9,999, \$10,000 to \$19,999, and \$20,000 or more); within each of two type-of-farm groups (crop or general farms and livestock or poultry farms).

Each stratum was examined and collapsed into another stratum if (1) the stratum contained less than 20 sample farms; or (2) the calculated weight for the stratum was greater than 10 in counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 5 and greater than 4 in counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 2.

The post-stratification provided weights to be assigned to farms in each of the final collapsed strata such that the total of the weights for sample farms in the stratum would be equal to the total number of farms in the stratum.

Estimates were prepared for items in Sections 22 through 27 of the report form by multiplying the data for each item for each farm in the sample by the weight assigned to the farm. The weight for a certainty farm was 1.

# Reliability of Census Estimates

Sampling variability in census data may arise from several sources, some affecting all data and others affecting only certain items or geographic levels of tabulation.

The sampling involved in the imputation for nonrespondents affects all data items at all geographic levels of tabulation. However, farms above specified size limits were not eligible for selection to represent nonrespondents. The size limits varied by State from \$60,000 to \$150,000 and from 1,000 to 2,000 acres. As a result of these limits, the sampling variability arises primarily from smaller farms. For computing the estimates of variability due to weighting for nonresponse, the farms selected for weighting were considered to be a random sample of all farms in the stratum from which they were selected.

Estimates from the direct enumeration sample are not included in county totals but contribute to sampling variability of State totals for all data items.

The sample selected from the mail list provides estimated data only for Sections 22 through 27 of the report form. It contributes to sampling variability only for the items in these sections. Including the certainty farms and sample farms, data for Sections 22 through 27 were collected on approximately 26 percent of the farms nationwide.

Table B includes estimates of sampling reliability of State totals for a selected set of items. The estimates of sampling reliability for total number of farms, land in farms, harvested cropland, irrigated land, crops harvested, livestock, and value of agricultural products sold include components of variation for nonresponse imputation and for the direct enumeration sample. The component of variation for nonresponse imputation is relatively small and the direct enumeration sample is the major source of variation for these items. Estimates of sampling reliability for fertilizer use, chemical use, value of machinery and equipment, and value of land and buildings contain components of variation for the direct enumeration sample and the mail list sample.

Table C contains estimates of sampling reliability for the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item in table D. These estimates of sampling reliability contain com-

ponents of variation arising from the mail list sample. The approximate standard error in percent may be read directly from the table based on the number of farms reporting the item. For a number of farms not shown in the table, a linear interpolation will provide a reasonable approximation. These estimates should not be applied to counties indicated to be certainty in table D.

Table D shows estimates of the sampling variability of selected items for each county and for the portion of the State total pertaining to farms not on the mail list. Estimates for county totals contain variation from the mail list sample and estimates for the portion not on the mail list contain variation from the direct enumeration sample. Items for counties indicated to be certainty in the table are subject to some sampling error but this is expected to be small and is not included. Items in county publications with data collected from all farms contain a component of variation from nonrespondent imputation. This component is usually small and is not shown in the sampling variability tables.

The sample estimates and the estimates of standard errors presented in tables B, C, and D permit the construction of interval estimates with prescribed confidence that the interval includes the average result of all possible samples.

If all possible samples were selected, each of these surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- a. Approximately 67 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
- b. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

For example, suppose the estimated number of farms in a State is 50,000 with a relative standard error of 3.0 percent. Then the standard error is 1,500 (3.0 percent of 50,000) and the chances are 2 out of 3 (67 percent) that complete coverage using the same survey methods would yield between 48,500 and 51,500 farms.

As calculated, the standard error also partially measures the effect of nonsampling errors but does not measure the effect of any systematic biases in the data arising from incorrect reporting by respondents, adjustments for nonresponse, incomplete unduplication, or incomplete coverage of farms.

Table B. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of State Totals for Selected Items: 1978

	All far	ms I	Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more			
Item	Quantity	Standard error (percent)	Quantity	Standard error (percent)		
Farms	39,665 44,543,394 35,727	0.5 .2 .4	36,890 38,856,098 34,111	0.4 .2 .4		
acres Irrigated land	13,932,760 1,817 341,110	.3 2.3 2.0	13,848,699 1,766 335,972	.3 2.4 2.0		
Agricultural products sold	39,665 1,906,006 30,829	.5 .3 .5	36,890 1,898,492 29,752	.4 .3 .4		
\$1,000 Livestock, poultry, and their products	567,418 32,736 1,338,588	.3	565,253 31,071 1,333,239	.3 .4 .3		
Value of machinery and equipment	39,654 1,809,910	.5	37,043 1,782,440	.5		
Average value of land and buildings per farm	290,657 21,442 2,639,904	.7 .6 .3	292,007 21,118 2,628,325	.7 .5		
bushels  Wheat for grain	169,728,529 14,781 3,054,062 64,467,234	.4 † .5 .5 .4 †	169,071,413 14,497 3,040,444 64,236,044	.7 .5 .3 .4 .4 .5 .4		
Soybeans for beans	5,245 391,732 11,549,926	1.5 .8 .9	5,195 390,849 11,524,844	1.5 .8 .9		
Cattle and calves inventoryfarms number	28,494 3,722,378	.4	27,431 3,698,908	.4		
Cattle and calves sold	29,032 2,282,217 876,452	.4 .4 .4	28,093 2,273,409 873,662	.3 .4 .4		
Hogs and pigs inventory	12,523 1,781,191 12 996	1.0 .4 .9	12,175 1,772,282 12,726	.8 .4 .7		
number \$1,000	2,891,007 259,849	.4	2,879,990 258,985	.4		
Sheep and lambs inventory	4,412 678,442 4,553	1.0 1.6 1.0	4,097 667,480 4,252	1.1 1.6 1.0		
number  Hens and pullets of laying age inventory	611,187 5,070 2,010,214	1.0 1.8 .8	604,208 4,623 1,980,632	1.0		
Hens and pullets of laying age sold	1,598 1,402,598	.7	1,547 1,387,893	.7		
Commercial fertilizer used	19,857 5,147,810 21,540	1.0 1.1 .9	19,478 5,125,158 21,118	1.0 1.1 .9		
Agricultural chemicals purchased	76,587 25,330	1.2 .8 1.1	76,101 24,795 38,022	1.2 .8 1.2		
Chemicals used on crops for insect controlfarmsacres	38,232 7,773 1,560,614	2.1 2.2	7,679 1,555,226	2.1 2.2		
Chemicals used on crops for disease controlfarms acres	404 80,825	13.0 27.0	(D)	13.0 (D)		
Gasoline purchased	38,439 103,606 61,355	.5 .7 .7	35,932 (NA) 60,771	.5 (NA) .7		
Diesel fuel purchasedfarms	26,518 83,526 39,758	.7 1.0 1.0	26,172 (NA) 39,629	.7 (NA) 1.0		
Livestock and poultry purchased	20,757 404,145	1.1 1.1	19,908 402,593 27,877	1.0 1.1 .6		
Feed purchased	29,291 204,514 16,261	.7 1.0 1.1	27,877 202,643 15,701	1.0 1.1		
\$1,000	54,839	1.4	53,969	1.4		

Table C. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of Farms Reporting an Item in the County: 1978

Farms reporting 1tem	Standard error (percent)	Farms reporting item	Standard error (percent)
25 farms	20.0 12.0 8.0 4.0	1,000 farms. 1,500 farms. 2,000 farms. 3,000 farms. 4,000 farms. 5,000 farms.	1.0 (NA) (NA) (NA)

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978

	Average value of		Value of mag	chinery and			Chemicals used on crops for				
County	land and bu		equip		Acres fer	tilized	Insect c	ontrol	Disease	control	
		Standard		Standard		Standard		Standard		Standard	
	Value (dollars)	error	Value	error (percent)	A	error		error		error	
Į	(dollars)	(percent)	(\$1,000)	(percent)	Acres	(percent)	Acres	(percent)	Acres	(percent)	
Aurora	209,364	4.7	21,315	6.5	48,192	9.3	16,326	14.0	_	0.0	
Beadle	227,971	3.7	39,328	5.6	138,929	8.0	38,060	12.0	(D)	(D)	
Bennett	430,887 169,867	5.9 4.7	14,594	13.0	9,725	30.0	1,362	40.0	500	1.1	
Brookings	249,642	4.3	33,522 48,997	4.6 4.3	96,852 217,823	6.6 5.1	25,342 58,181	13.0 11.0	(D) 1,466	(D) 10.0	
Brown	324,131	2.8	69,809	3.2	288,410	4.0	57,979	8.1	4,084	21.0	
Brule	252,801	5.8	22,158	6.0	48,332	12.0	16,432	15.0	433	5.9	
BuffaloButte	478,019 352,880	4.2 2.9	5,907 20,722	8.1 5.5	10,552 22,548	11.0	6,166 13,026	18.0 16.0	-	.0	
Campbell	241,544	7.2	18,836	8.6	32,575	13.0	9,284	34.0	-	.0	
Charles Mix	223,336	3.4	44,112	4.2	141,572	5.9	47,801	9.8	1,450	59.0	
Clark	243,360	4.6	32,396	5.6	112,062	8.4	24,526	16.0	(D)	(D)	
Clay	326,533	5.3	35,630	4.6	83,449	5.6	37,524	13.0	(a)	(D)	
Codington	196,427 396,276	5.0 7.3	31,590 20,359	5.6 9.0	136,162 41,004	7.5	16,186 11,607	21.0 8.6	1,350	68.0 16.0	
Custer	309,060	6.1	4,891	10.0	4,727	41.0	3,489	14.0	6,122	.0	
Davison	218,205	6.9	21,355	8.5	55,104	11.0	25,701	24.0	1,317	62.0	
Day Deuel	217,200 195,212	4.5 3.8	40,486 31,531	5.0 6.1	163,712 136,063	7.1 5.2	25,061 20,008	23.0 19.0	2 440	.0	
Dewey	706,914	2.4	13,639	6.4	16,871	13.0	7,405	29.0	2,440	46.0	
Douglas	200,283	4.1	22,223	5.8	59,141	7.9	17,928	14.0			
Edmunds	273,800	5.7	29,326	7.2	42,321	11.0	16,868	19.0	-	.0	
Fall River	423,646	4.4	10,699	11.0	14,350	36.0	8,334	22.0		.0	
Faulk	363,929	5.2	29,990	7.3	46,304	8.9	5,869	23.0	` . <del>.</del>	.0	
GrantGregory	217,565 298,170	5.0 8.5	39,448 28,715	8.3 5.4	125,892 68,851	7.5 11.0	14,524 28,561	22.0 16.0	1,620 960	60.0 58.0	
Haakon	578,465	4.0	17,762	9.3	30,064	8.7	18,882	30.0	2,325		
Hamlin	239,869	4.6	27,875	5.5	123,838	7.7	20,204	19.0	1,780	94.0	
Hand	011ر 345 045ر 229	7.0 6.6	34,012 18,172	5.6 7.6	67,505 87,830	10.0	42,088 15,859	14.0 21.0	(D)	(D)	
				i	•	1			(5)	(2)	
Harding	711,571 404,792	8.2 6.0	14,115 15,361	5.3 10.0	25,585 29,981	17.0 22.0	5,779	27.0 19.0	-	.0	
Hutchinson	212,664	2.8	52,289	5.0	161,089	6.0	4,894 50,101	11.0	2,700	37.0	
Hyde	391,160	6.3	10,455	9.8	14,116	28.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	
Jackson	397,509 203,774	3.5 7.2	7,373 13,755	5.0 7.4	12,480 33,924	5.2 12.0	5,234 9,112	19.0	2 200	1.0	
Jones	431,061	5.7	12,972	9.7	4,416	35.0	16,778	17.0 17.0	2,200 (D)	1.0 (D)	
Kingsbury	278,877	7.0	41,097	5.7	150,608	7.6	54,000	13.0	(n)	(a)	
LakeLawrence	214,747 343,893	3.4 15.0	28,867 5,716	5.6 6.9	120,971 5,502	6.1 13.0	43,798 670	15.0 37.0	(D) 121	(D) 31.0	
	343,073	15.0	3,710	0.7	3,302	13.0	070	37.0	121	31.0	
Lincoln	246,771	3.4	48,554	4.2	157,198	5.0	54,858	13.0	620	41.0	
Lyman	455,843 229,389	4.1 5.1	25,943 34,737	7.8 6.2	17,084 105,413	11.0	43,690 44,371	14.0	612 3,774	57.0 48.0	
McPherson	266,745	5.5	23,525	7.2	24,109	12.0	11,875	32.0	-	.0	
Marshall	292,970	5.6	32,215	7.9	137,762	7.7	23,894	21.0	-	.0	
Meade Mellette	415,506 456,351	4.2 6.2	25,723 14,383	4.4 8.8	23,361 8,874	10.0 36.0	6,701 5,329	17.0 30.0	160 2,100	43.0 95.0	
Miner	204,314	10.0	21,172	7.2	38,928	24.0	23,468	30.0	930	51.0	
Minnehaha	244,852	2.7	55,864	4.0	191,994	3.8	83,386	7.2	3,780	33.0	
Moody	313,878	4.6	38,462	5.1	160,013	6.2	45,687	14.0	1,012	68.0	
Pennington	302,444	3.8	18,412	5.7	25,064	16.0	8,598	30.0	6	76.0	
Perkins	340,750 513,953	4.9 10.0	33,053 25,156	7.4	55,558 91,254	13.0	7,903 11,404	43.0	1,138	42.0	
Roberts	210,542	3.7	52,322	4.2	192,482	5.4	26,035	18.0	953	43.0	
Sanborn	203,540	6.7	16,837	8.8	45,649	9.8	11,455	22.0	500	49.0	
ShannonSpink	671,339	2.1 3.3	5,053 62,664	5.2 5.4	7,435 223,217	10.0	3,501 65,696	17.0	2,667	.0	
Stanley	932,372	2.7	9,334	2.6	17,253	6.4	21,292	11.0	2,667 (D)	50.0 (D)	
Sully	520,191	7.7.	21,324	8.2	39,557	12.0	27,174	10.0	-	.0	
Todd	711,695	3.7	11,565	11.0	24,562	19.0	13,774	22.0	-	.0	
Tripp	292,123	4.8	34,263	5.4	43,678	15.0	21,801	15.0	1,860	63.0	
TurnerUnion	229,273 305,746	3.8 4.4	49,437 38,748	4.6 5.6	140,251 125,418	5.2	48,945 45,731	8.6 11.0	162 3,919	77.0	
Walworth	268,615	5.4	24,011	8.7	96,323	9.0	16,526	23.0	830	37.0 76.0	
Washabaugh	506,363	2.5	5,734	4.8	7,400	20.0	3,559	18.0	(D)	(D)	
YanktonZiebach	194,411 753,752	3.5 2.1	30,092 9,224	4.9 8.0	88,136 10,461	6.1 7.4	26,614 6,148	15.0 28.0	(D)	(D)	
	•					Ī		Ì			
Farms not on mail list	68,609	36.0	10,706	38.0	19,944	46.0	8,762	56.0	21,000	99.0	

Table D. Estimates of the Sampling Reliability of County Totals for Selected Items: 1978-Con.

[				·	Farm producti	on expenses				7
County	Gasol	Gasoline Diesel fuel		Livestock and poultry purchased		Pee	d	Hired farm labor		
	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)	Expense (\$1,000)	Standard error (percent)
Aurora	894	5.9	408	9.4	3,865	14.0	2,604	8.7	457	19.0
Beadle	1,545	4.8	1,027	6.1	12,437	4.4	5,154	8.1	957	9.4
Bennett	528	7.4	327	16.0	3,576	7.3	1,158	11.0	619	11.0
Bon Homme	1,071	4.5	654	5.1	10,269	4.9	6,128	5.5	575	12.0
Brookings	1,408 2,120	3.3	1,089 1,555	6.1 3.7	8,580 23,381	6.4 2.2	5,537 8,451	4.2 3.5	1,877 2,611	8.7 5.0
Brule	768	5.4	486	6.4	4,513	12.0	2,811	4.3	685	14.0
Buffalo	226	5.6	124	8.1	2,725	6.5	714	7.4	200	7.6
Butte	815	3.7	228	6.1	7,801	11.0	3,071	9.2	1,201	9.4
Campbell	716	6.4	451	9.6	2,672	17.0	1,335	18.0	384	13.0
Charles Mix	1,528	4.6	1,076	5.8	13,481	3.8	8,481	4.7	1,438	13.0
Clark	1,211	6.5	826	6.7	4,642	6.5	2,265	5.6	938	14.0
Codington	848	5.5 4.9	(D) 755	(D) 6.3	9,671 5,949	3.1 7.8	3,546 4,136	6.2 4.4	915 1,171	7.2 8.6
Corson	958	5.6	568	6.2	4,406	12.0	1,676	8.9	958	18.0
Custer	288	8.3	27	6.7	1,109	15.0	866	11.0	284	13.0
Davison	784	6.8	447	11.0	4,853	9.3	2,774	6.3	749	11.0
Day Deuel	1,334 833	3.8 4.8	977 603	5.2 5.7	3,271 3,927	11.0 9.6	2,169 2,153	9.0	1,048 695	11.0 19.0
Dewey	619	5.4	371	8.5	1,604	12.0	1,564	7.2	625	13.0
Douglas	747	4.8	407	6.1	4,212	11.0	4,032	5.9	464	9.6
Edmunds	999	5.7	810	7.5	4,117	16.0	2,437	5.6	681	11.0
Fall River	542	8.0	192	13.0	23,028	2.6	6,471	2.0	1,232	7.1
Faulk	843	5.2	677	7.3	4,375	7.9	1,847	6.2	466	11.0
Grant	1,061 1,103	5.1	673 548	6.6 7.1	7,010 4,625	5.6 6.6	2,857 3,714	7.6 6.7	875 1,030	14.0
Gregory	709	7.1	523	7.0	2,856	9.0	1,203	7.7	750	8.4
Hamlin	723	5.2	721	6.6	3,243	12.0	2,461	6.4	658	13.0
Hand	1,342	4.4	947	6.9	11,033	10.0	2,556	6.4	1,200	13.0
Hanson	669	6.3	416	10.0	4,066	12.0	2,542	9.1	451	14.0
Harding	832	6.5	377	8.7	3,575	9.6	2,017	6.9	741	6.2
Hughes	459 1,472	3.5	417 1,036	16.0 4.4	1,790 7,690	16.0 10.0	597 8,203	13.0 3.8	509 964	20.0
Hyde	506	10.0	250	12.0	2,613	16.0	996	20.0	473	4.4
Jackson	285	4.5	206	5.9	1,690	4.1	598	5.4	263	5.2
Jerauld	593	9.7	(D)	(D)	4,923	9.0	2,492	7.5	398	21.0
Jones Kingsbury	404 1,312	6.5	292 768	. 11.0 6.5	1,188 8,022	17.0 6.4	555 3,733	11.0 4.7	429 760	12.0
Lake	1,002	4.5	546	6.1	5,440	7.0	4,579	4.9	1,132	7.6
Lawrence	249	9.4	56	13.0	837	17.0	525	16.0	330	12.0
Lincoln	1,428	4.6	924	5.1	14,350	6.7	5,938	7.6	920	10.0
Lyman	778	6.3	675 646	7.7 8.9	2,273	12.0 12.0	1,030	14.0 7.5	1,089 545	13.0 15.0
McCook	1,155 1,065	6.3	620	10.0	4,215 4,001	15.0	3,869 2,259	9.3	641	15.0
Marshall	1,075	6.5	794	7.3	6,291	7.0	2,799	5.4	1,104	7.9
Meade	1,205	3.7	516	11.0	6,519	5.4	3,597	7.7	1,190	7.7
Mellette	525	9.1	319	14.0	2,974	17.0	1,323	13.0	330	10.0
Miner	701 1,579	6.7 3.7	424 1,097	12.0 5.3	3,243 17,350	13.0 5.5	1,948 8,368	5.9 6.9	625 1,585	18.0 7.3
Minnehaha	992	4.5	766	6.0	8,175	7.1	3,741	6.6	793	11.0
Pennington	763	5.4	259	8.8	3,860	6.1	2,682	5.7	877	14.0
Perkins	1,272	5.1	671	9.9	5,936	14.0	2,297	5.4	1,244	14.0
Potter	921	6.4	773	6.0	6,653	4.6	3,074	5.4	904	9.7
Roberts	1,572	3.9	1,023	7.0	4,951	8.7	2,748	12.0	785	11.0
Sanborn	703 337	5.5 5.6	482 114	5.5 8.3	8,219 821	6.5 12.0	3,162 632	4.5 5.0	490 382	7.6 5.4
Shannon	1,683	4.8	1,450	5.4	8,244	7.6	4,976	4.6	1,166	7.5
Stanley	406	2.7	389	3.2	5,593	2.2	727	3.0	609	3.1
Sully	558	6.3	644	4.9	2,749	20.0	1,198	7.7	898	7.1
Todd	454	5.7	(D)	(D)	3,951	22.0	1,458	13.0	556	13.0
Tripp	1,358	3.7	879 882	6.9	6,388	8.2 5.8	3,763 8,010	8.3 4.1	1,225	10.0 8.7
Turner	1,525 1,090	3.9 5.3	882 805	6.3 10.0	14,052 9,954	8.2	8,010 5,415	9.6	1,395 1,261	7.9
Union	730	6.8	546	8.1	2,385	20.0	1,208	13.0	458	13.0
Washabaugh	287	6.2	167	8.0	1,095	13.0	490	7.4	328	7.5
Yankton	1,020	4.0	569 249	8.1 6.6	8,047 1,317	8.3 11.0	4,413 927	7.3 8.1	902 332	11.0 8.5
Ziebach	413	9.9						-		1
Farms not on mail list	442	31.0	51	46.0	1,470	48.0	1,452	45.0	11	75.0

APPENDIX B. Farms by Current and Prior Definitions and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974

	Defin	ition used fo	or 1974 and 19	78	Definitio	on used for 1	1959, 1964, and	1969	Operations excl		urrent definition bu	t not by
	Farms		Value of pro (\$1,0		Farm	s	Value of pro (\$1,0		Farms	1,3, 4	Value of produ (\$1,000	
j	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974	1978	1974
South Dakota	39 665	42 825	1 906 006	1 660 167	41 049	43 722	1 906 450	1 660 441	1 384	897	444	274
Aurora	501	541	22 591	20 666	510	549	22 593	20 668	9	8	3 14	2
BeadleBennett	933 298	1 053 278	47 505 14 829	40 987 11 404	975 299	1 077 293	47 518 14 829	40 992 11 410	42 1	24 15	14	6
Bon Homme	879	992	39 429	29 201	893	1 004	39 432	29 203	14	12	3	2
Brookings	1 113	1 221	49 566	41 306	1 152	1 244	49 581	41 313	39	23	15	.7
Brown	1 235	1 347 482	80 822 23 264	69 156 20 180	1 276	1 391	80 838	69 168	41	44	16 1	12
Brule Buffalo	455 101	102	7 856	5 406	462 103	488 102	23 265 7 856	20 182 5 406	7 2	_	,	,
Butte	487	489	27 15!	18 268	509	507	27 158	18 270	22	18	8	2 7
Campbell	381	439	14 112	12 353	391	456	14 115	12 360	10	17	2	7
Charles Mix	922	1 088	54 795 29 430	43 149	941	1 112	54 799	43 154	19	24 17	5 4	5
ClarkClay	713 609	804 683	29 430 39 351	27 332 36 328	722 623	821   695	29 434 39 355	27 338 36 331	14	12	4	3
Codington	699	766	30 293	26 059	726	782	30 303	26 067	27	16	10	8
Corson	489	490	22 059	17 231	497	494	22 061	17 232	8	4	1	1
Custer	272 508	266 552	6 729 24 990	4 608 19 139	290	280 562	6 734 24 998	4 612 19 142	18 24	14	5 8	3
Davison	851	1 018	24 990 29 757	27 332	532 869	1 038	24 998 29 759	27 337	24 18	20	2	5
Devel	747	815	29 436	25 023	758	825	29 439	25 024	11	10	3	- 1
Dewey	372	389	14 941	10 439	380	395	14 942	10 440	8	6	1	1
Douglas	530	572	25 309	20 167	537	580	25 313	20 173	.7	8	4	.6
Edmunds	563	657	27 562	25 059	575 319	676	27 566 40 146	25 070 32 593	12 12	19	4	11 (Z)
Fall River	307 399	323 472	40 145 22 542	32 593 19 081	404	329 485	22 544	19 087	5	13	2	6
Grant	735	885	33 469	31 753	752	891	33 474	31 754	17	6	5	11
Gregory	689	774	31 673	22 430	706	794	31 678	22 435	17	20	5	5
Haakon Hamlin	313 581	306 678	18 857 24 296	17 560 22 219	319 589	306 694	18 858 24 297	17 560 22 224	6 8	16	2	5
Hand	650	676	35 549	32 156	674	684	35 557	32 159	24	8	8	3
Hanson	462	499	21 596	17 149	467	512	21 598	17 156	5	13	2	7
Harding	308	348	18 026	11 971	312	352	18 026	11 971	4	4	-	-
Hughes	244	251	10 678	10 515	256	261	10 683	10 518	12 11	10	, 4	3 2
Hutchinson Hyde	1 120 246	1 266 1 272	56 766 12 046	43 384 11 530	1 131 251	1 275 272	56 768 12 049	43 386 11 530	5		3	
Jackson	154	170	8 371	6 259	160	173	8 373	6 261	6	3	2	2 2
Jerauld	362	404	16 857	14 952	370	415	16 860	14 954	8	11	2	2
Jones	222	233	10 438	10 361	231	239	10 438	10 363	9 7	33	3	14
Kingsbury	768 763	844 852	36 587	36 204 33 949	775 767	877 869 i	36 590 37 563	36 218 33 953	14	171	3	4
LakeLawrence	753 257	249	37 560 6 304	4 850	270	264	6 310	4 851	13	15	5	i
Lincoln	1 187	1 269	55 543	47 886	1 223	1 303	55 556	47 899	36	34	13	13
Lyman	437	492	21 026	24 423	439	500	21 026	24 424	2	8	(Z)	11
McCook	792	864	30 395	25 931	804	872	30 398	25 934 20 709	12 9	8	3 3	3 2
McPherson	522 551	611 636	23 726 28 667	20 707 28 628	531 560	622 653	23 729 28 670	28 632	9	17	3	4
Marshall Meade	722	801	33 376	23 950	742	821	33 383	23 955	20	20	7	5
Mellette	250	268	12 055	10 407	256	275	12 057	10 410	6	. 7	2	3 ¦
Miner	546	587	18 387	16 112	554	597 1 649	18 388 70 365	16 115 63 250	8 75	10 53	2 27	3 14
Minnehaha	1 490 782	1 596 873	70 337 41 865	63 236 37 789	1 565 790	889	41 866	37 795	/3 8	16	2,	6
Pennington	570	601	20 433	17 605	636	626	20 445	17 616	66	25	12	11
Perkins	645	714	26 225	24 284	657	720	26 228	24 287	12	6	3	3
Potter	365	397	25 112	25 030	375	403	25 115	25 033	10 29	26	3	3 8
Roberts	1 112	1 336	41 215 23 888	42 244 19 797	1 141 504	1 362 576	41 223 23 891	42 252 19 803	11	13	3	6
SanbornShannan	493 155	563 152	23 888 6 840	5 530	158	154	6 840	5 530	3	2	-	(Z)
Spink	897	999	50 642	49 388	913	1 016	50 647	49 391	16	17	5	3
Stanley	177	187	19 389	16 341	183	191	19 392	16 342	6	4	2 3	1
Sully Todd	314 250	315 289	18 968 13 266	30 979 11 735	319 253	319 290	18 971 13 266	30 979 11 735	5 3	1	-	(Z)
	772	865	36 625	31 200	786	876	36 630	31 204	14	11	4	4
Tripp Turner	1 155	1 319	61 510	51 710	1 178	1 342	61 519	51 715	23	23	9	5
Union	783	831	45 207	38 749	800	841	45 214	38 752	17	10	7	3
Walworth	416	444	17 013	14 915	424 132	453   142	17 014 6 709	14 917 6 172	8 2	91	1	2
Washabaugh Yankton	130 788	142 877	6 708 36 463	6 172 37 315	811	909	36 470	37 326	23	32	7	11
Ziebach	212	251	9 741	8 397	218	258	9 742	8 401	6	7	i	4
Farms not on mail list	924	(NA)	7 847	(NA)	1 324	(NA)	7 997	(NA)	400	(NA)	150	(NA)
roome not by man nate	l ′-*	(11/4/	, 547									لتحسب

# APPENDIX C. Comparison of 1974 Farm Counts With 1978 Farm Counts

The 1978 Census of Agriculture preliminary reports show that there are 2,475,171 farms in the continental United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii). In 1974 there were 2,310,702 farms reported. However, these figures are not directly comparable because improvements in methodology and coverage account significantly for the higher 1978 counts. If the improved 1978 procedures had been used in 1974, an estimated 2.6 million farms would have been included in the census. It should be noted that farms missed in the 1974 census were generally small and affected the farm count to a greater degree than other data. The missed farms accounted for about 5 percent of the land in farms and 3 percent of the total value of agricultural products sold. This appendix briefly describes census procedures and provides 1974 farm counts adjusted for net missed farms and compared with 1978 farm counts.

The State totals from the 1978 Census of Agriculture are based on two separate sources.

The primary source is the mail portion of the census. As in the 1974 and 1969 censuses, this part of the operation involved mailing out a report form to each name believed to be associated with agriculture. Mail and telephone followups were used to secure the highest possible rate of return of completed forms from the operators of the Nation's farms.

However, the evaluation studies conducted show that some farms were missed in the 1974 and 1969 censuses. Despite every effort to assemble a complete list, some operators did not get on the mail list.

To improve the coverage of the 1978 census, additional mail list sources were used. Also, recognizing the short-comings of the mail method, especially in counting the number of small farms, it was decided to take another step to ensure a more complete count.

The second step involved conducting a complete enumeration of all households in approximately 6,400 sample segments in rural areas in all States, except Alaska and Hawaii. Farms enumerated in this sample were matched to the mail list. The sample farms not located on the mail list provided reliable estimates by State of the number and characteristics of the farms not represented in the mail portion of the census.

Estimates for such farms are an integral part of the State counts in 1978, but they are not a part of the county counts. The sample was not large enough to provide reliable estimates for each county.

Because of the procedures described above, the State counts for 1978 are not directly comparable with the counts for 1974 and 1969.

To bridge the gap between the 1974 and the 1978 farm counts, this appendix provides adjusted farm counts for 1974 which are approximately comparable with farm counts for 1978.

The adjustment factors are based on data from table 13 of 1974 Census of Agriculture volume IV, Part 3, Coverage Evaluation, which presented data showing the estimated percent of farms missed and overcounted by regions and States. The estimated net percent of farms missed was derived by subtracting overcounted farms from missed farms.

The 1974 coverage evaluation did not include Alaska and Hawaii; thus the 1978 and the 1974 data for these States are exclusively from farms on the mail list. Improvements in the 1978 mail list, especially for Hawaii, have contributed to the increased farm counts.

Table A presents adjusted 1974 farm counts by value of agricultural products sold categories. For each State, the adjusted farm counts for the two value-of-sales categories were derived using the 1974 published farm counts and the net percent missed. The adjusted counts for the two size categories were then added together to obtain the adjusted State count. The State counts were summed to obtain division, region, and U.S. level counts. As a result, adjusted counts derived by summation may not be exactly consistent with the indicated percent missed. The 1978 farm counts are from the preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts.

Table B shows 1974 reported and adjusted counts compared with 1978 counts for regions by farm size and tenure of operator categories. Adjusted counts in these tables were proportionally adjusted to regional counts from table A and summed to the U.S. level. As a result, the indicated percent missed will not always agree with adjusted data.

All data published in 1974 were based on reported numbers. The adjusted counts should be used only for comparison with 1978 counts and not for comparison with other 1974 data.

Both the 1974 and 1978 farm counts are subject to sampling variability. For 1974, the coefficient of variation for the adjusted farm counts is approximately 0.4 percent at the U.S. level and ranges from 1.0 percent to 6.0 percent for individual States. Measures of sampling variability for 1978 counts are presented in appendix A.

Table A Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974

		Farms, 1974			Percent change,
	Farms, 1978 1	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	adjusted 1974 to 1978
United States <sup>2</sup>	2,475,171	2,310,702	10.7	2,622,416	-5,6
Farms with sales of				1	
\$100,000 or more\$40,000 to \$99,999	223, 268	152,850	-2.0	149,471	+49.4
\$20,000 to \$39,999	364,010 306,483	324,417 321,733	1.1 2.0	327,834 327,438	+11.0
\$10,000 to \$19,999	309,250	309,876	5.8	326,852	-5.4
\$5,000 to \$9,999	330,464	296,043	8.1	322,002	+2.6
\$2,500 to \$4,999 Less than \$2,500	331,329 610,367	289,511 616,272	11.4 25.9	326,432 842,387	+1.5 -27.5
NortheastFarms with sales of	149,385	127,531	16.5	152,730	-2.2
\$100,000 or more	12,699	8,003	1.9	8,282	+53.3
\$40,000 to \$99,999	25,835	22,358	4.7	23,812	+8.5
\$20,000 to \$39,999	15,820	20,543	9.8	21,019	-24.7
\$10,000 to \$19,999	14, 194	14,900	9.5	14,680	-3.3
\$5,000 to \$9,999 \$2,500 to \$4,999	16,734	13,173	3.1	13,797	+21.3
Less than \$2,500	19,609 44,494	13,148 35,406	16.7 35.5	16,019 55,121	+22.4 -19.3
North Central	1,027,319	1,017,367	6.3	1,096,353	-6.3
Farms with sales of \$100,000 or more	106,649	69,440	-3.1	67,123	+58.9
\$40,000 to \$99,999	215,707	192,962	.2	192,692	+11.9
\$20,000 to \$39,999	173,422	194,488	2.3	198,391	-12.6
\$10,000 to \$19,999	148,064	165,864	4.0	172,189	-14.0
\$5,000 to \$9,999	126,879 103,363	131,677 103,914	6.1 10.6	139,755 115,841	-9.2 -10.8
Less than \$2,500	153,235	159,022	23.0	210,362	-27.2
South	1,016,070	930,099	15.2	1,107,200	-8.2
\$100,000 or more	67,430	45,765	-2.7	44,568	+51.3
\$40,000 to \$99,999	84,887	73,981	3.2	76,455	+11.0
\$20,000 to \$39,999	85,965	75,791	1.6	77,051	+11.6
\$10,000 to \$19,999	116,407	99,629	8.2	108,567	+7.2
\$5,000 to \$9,999	155,115 174,997	124,106 145,243	10.4 11.6	138,561 164,350	+11.9 +6.5
Less than \$2,500	331,269	365,584	25.5	497,648	-33.4
West <sup>2</sup> Farms with sales of	282,397	235,705	9.5	266, 133	+6.1
\$100,000 or more	36,490	29,642	.2	29,498	+23.7
\$40,000 to \$99,999	37,581	35,116	.0	34,875	+7.8
\$20,000 to \$39,999 \$10,000 to \$19,999	31,274 30,587	30,911 29,483	.9 6.8	30,977 31,416	+1.0 -2.6
\$5,000 to \$9,999	31,736	27,087	10.0	29,889	+6.2
\$2,500 to \$4,999	33,360	27, 206	10.6	30,222	+10.4
Less than \$2,500	81,369	56,260	27.8	79, 256	+2.7
New England <sup>3</sup>	30,157	23,269	17.8	28,548	+5.6 +7.6
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	18,844 11,313	16,667 6,602	5.2 39.6	17,517 11,031	+2.6
Middle Atlantic	119,228	104, 262	16.2	124,182	-4.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	86,047	75,458	5.7	80,092	+7.4
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	33,181	28,804	34.6	44,090	-24.7
New York	49,323 35,095	43,682 32,230	14.2 4.3	50,847 33,678	-3.0 +4.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	14,228	11,452	33.3	17,169	-17.1
N •	9,932	7,409	14.5	8,760	+13.4
New Jersey Parms with sales of \$2,500 or more	6,742	5,530	4.5	5,791	+16.4
Parms with sales of less than \$2,500	3,190	1,879	36.7	2,969	+7.4
Pennsylvania	59,973	53,171	18.3	64,575	-7.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	44,210	37,698	7.2	40,623	+8.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	15,763	15,473	35.4	23,952	-34.2
East North Central	452,213	444,695	7.1	486,098	-7.0
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	366,051	360,619	2.9	371,995	-1.6 -26 S
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	86,162	84,076	24.1	114,103	-24.5
Ohio	95,821	92,158	4.9	96,899	-1.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	73,841	70,338	2.1	71,847	+2.8
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	21,980	21,820	12.9	25,052	-12.3
Indiana	88,458	87,915	7.2	95,341	-7.2
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	71,681	70,986	3.6	73,637	-2.7
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	16,777	16,929	22.0	21,704	-22.7
Illinois	109,770	111,049	9.1	126,295	-13.1
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	96,389	97,738	3.8	101,599	-5.1
					-45.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974 - Con.

			Farms, 1974		Percent change
	Farms, 1978 <sup>1</sup>	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	Percent change, adjusted 1974 to 1978
East North Central Con.					
Michigan	68,158	64,094	9.9	71,213	-4.3
	47,767	46,670	6.0	49,649	-3.8
	20,391	17,424	19.2	21,564	-5.4
Wisconsin	90,006	89,479	5.3	96,350	-6.6
	76,373	74,887	.5	75,263	+1.5
	13,633	14,592	30.8	21,087	-35.3
West North Central	575,106	572,672	5.6	610,255	-5.8
	508,033	497,726	3.2	513,996	-1.2
	67,073	74,946	21.8	96,259	-30.3
Minnesota	102,984	98,537	9.0	108,614	-5.2
	87,753	85,926	5.5	90,927	-3.5
	15,231	12,611	28.7	17,687	-13.9
Iowa	126,379	126,104	4.4	133,125	-5.1
	117,704	117,142	3.2	121,014	-2.7
	8,675	8,962	26.0	12,111	-28.4
Missouri	121,826	115,711	9.6	128,948	-5.5
	97,848	82,421	4.7	86,486	+13.1
	23,978	33,290	21.6	42,462	-43.5
North Dakota Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	41,172	42,710	4.4	45,649	-9.8
	38,914	40,681	3.2	42,026	-7.4
	2,258	2,029	44.0	3,623	-37.7
South DakotaFarms with sales of \$2,500 or moreFarms with sales of less than \$2,500	39,667	42,825	1.5	43,420	-8.6
	36,927	39,849	1.6	40,497	-8.8
	2,740	2,976	-1.8	2,923	-6.3
Nebraska	65,991	67,597	.7	68,480	-3.6
	61,507	62,594	.0	62,594	-1.7
	4,484	5,003	15.0	5,886	-23.8
Kansas Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	77,087	79,188	3.7	82,019	-6.0
	67,380	69,113	1.9	70,452	-4.4
	9,707	10,075	12.9	11,567	-16.1
South Atlantic	325,678	296,103	16.6	362,451	-10.1
	216,297	189,339	7.0	203,934	+6.1
	109,381	106,764	30.3	158,517	-31.0
Delaware and Maryland <sup>3</sup>	22,600	18,563	7.1	19,952	+13.3
	17,287	14,592	3.0	15,043	+14.9
	5,313	3,971	19.1	4,909	+8.2
Virginia Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	56,685	52,699	13.5	61,636	-8.0
	38,416	31,738	4.5	33,234	+15.6
	18,269	20,961	26.2	28,402	-35.7
West Virginia Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,619	16,909	33.0	26, 195	-21.3
	9,603	6,122	11.7	6, 933	+38.5
	11,016	10,787	44.0	19, 262	-42.8
North Carolina	89,477	91,280	14.1	105,774	-15.4
	64,897	62,518	9.2	68,852	-5.7
	24,580	28,762	22.1	36,922	-33.4
South Carolina	33,450	29,275	22.8	43,034	-22.3
	18,979	17,534	.8	17,675	+7.4
	14,471	11,741	53.7	25,359	-42.9
Georgia	58,682	54,911	13.2	63,133	-7.1
	38,906	35,874	8.1	39,036	3
	19,776	19,037	21.0	24,097	-17.9
Florida Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	44,165	32,466	22.6	42,727	+3.4
	28,209	20,961	9.5	23,161	+21.8
	15,956	11,505	41.2	19,566	-18.5
East South Central	318,640	306,010	14.8	360,164	-11.5
	211,915	171,372	7.8	185,880	+14.0
	106,725	134,638	22.3	174,284	-38.8
Kentucky Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	110,002	102,053	10.5	113,897	-3.4
	83,433	67,662	6.3	72,211	+15.5
	26,569	34,391	17.5	41,686	-36.3
Tennessee  Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more  Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	96,792	93,659	18.8	115,683	-15.3
	63,407	48,320	11.2	54,414	+16.3
	33,385	45,339	26.0	61,269	-45.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A Farms by Areas and Value of Agricultural Products Sold: 1978 and 1974 - Con.

		Farms, 1974						
	Farms, 1978 <sup>1</sup>	Published	Net percent missed	Adjusted	Percent change, adjusted 1974 to 1978			
East South CentralCon.								
Alabama	57,540	56,678	13.3	64,821	-11.2			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	34,665	29,303	7.5	31,679	+9.4			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	22,875	27,375	17.4	33,142	-31.0			
Mississippi	54,306	53,620	17.2	65,763	-17.4			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	30,410	26,087	5.4	27,576	+10.3			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	23,896	27,533	27.9	38,187	-37.4			
West South Central	371,752	327,986	14.5	384,585	-3.3			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	256,589	203,804	7.3	219,738	+16.8			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	115,163	124,182	25.0	164,847	-30.1			
Arkansas	58,788	50,959	16.7	61,489	-4.4			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	40,669	31, 299	10.0	34,777	+17.0			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	18,119	19,660	26.4	26,712	-32.2			
Louisiana	38,973	33,240	23.7	43,264	-9.9			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	22,683	18,580	12.3	21,186	+7.1			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	16,290	14,660	33.6	22,078	-26.2			
Oklahoma	79,530	69,719	11.7	79,243	+.4			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	58,781	47,833	5.6	50,671	+16.0			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	20,749	21,886	23.4	28,572	-27.4			
Texas	194,461	174,068	12.6	200,589	-3.1			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	134,456	106,092	6.2	113,104	+18.9			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	60,005	67,976	22.3	87,485	-31.4			
Mountain <sup>3</sup>	127,881	111,868	6.7	122,588	+4.3			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	97,876	90,449	2.4	92,673	+5.6			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	30,005	21,419	28.4	29,915	+.3			
Pacific <sup>2</sup>	154,516	123,837	11.6	143,545	+7.6			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	103,152	88,996	5.6	94,204	+9.5			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	51,364	34,841	27.5	49,341	+4.1			
Washington	37,778	29,410	10.8	32,999	+14.5			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	24,737	21,079	6.4	22,520	+9.8			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,041	8,331	20.5	10,479	+24.5			
Oregon	34,875	26,753	15.5	31,788	+9.7			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	21,071	17,043	6.3	18,189	+15.8			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	13,804	9,710	28.6	13,599	+1.5			
California	81,863	67,674	10.5	78,758	+3.9			
Farms with sales of \$2,500 or more	57,344	50,874	4.9	53,495	+7.2			
Farms with sales of less than \$2,500	24,519	16,800	33.5	25,263	-2.9			

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Data}$  are from preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts.  $^2\mathrm{Alaska}$  and Hawaii not included.  $^3\mathrm{Data}$  not compiled for individual States.

Table B. Farms by Size of Farm and Tenure of Operator: 1978 and 1974

UNITED STATES 2  Farms	2,310,70:  126,600 378,63: 827,500 615,91: 362,048 260,687  1,422,36: 627,648 260,687  7,668 19,416 54,901 37,866 7,661 83,388 36,112 8,030 1,017,367	12 10.7  4 26.1 1 22.9 6 11.0 3 3.9 8 1.5  7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6  1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4  7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	2,622,416  174,773 494,024 936,797 646,957 369,865  1,650,942 667,402 304,072  152,730  9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	Percent change, adjusted 1974 to 1978  -5.6  +21.9 -3.9 -13.1 -7.8 +2.0  -12.1 +6.8 +2.6  -2.2  +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9  -9.0 +15.6 .0  -6.3
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres	1,017,367  1,26,604  1,422,366  1,422,366  1,422,366  1,27,531  7,689  19,416  83,389  36,112  8,030	4 26.1 11 22.9 6 11.0 3 .9 8 1.5 7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 30.8 6 18.5 3 30.8	174,773 494,024 936,797 646,957 369,865  1,650,942 667,402 304,072  152,730  9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	+21.9 -3.9 -13.1 -7.8 +2.0  -12.1 +6.8 +2.6  -2.2  +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9  -9.0 +15.6 .0  -6.3  +11.4 +3.2
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres	1,017,367  1,26,604  1,422,366  1,422,366  1,422,366  1,27,531  7,689  19,416  83,389  36,112  8,030	4 26.1 11 22.9 6 11.0 3 .9 8 1.5 7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 30.8 6 18.5 3 30.8	174,773 494,024 936,797 646,957 369,865  1,650,942 667,402 304,072  152,730  9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	+21.9 -3.9 -13.1 -7.8 +2.0  -12.1 +6.8 +2.6  -2.2  +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9  -9.0 +15.6 .0  -6.3  +11.4 +3.2
1 to 9 acres. 213,111 10 to 49 acres. 347,53 50 to 179 acres. 3813,944 180 to 499 acres. 596,26 500 acres or more. 377,31  Tenure of operator: Full owner. 1,450,41 Part owner. 712,844 Tenant. 712,844 Tenant. 712,844 Tenant. 149,38:  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 28,09; 50 to 179 acres. 38,248 Tenant. 93,288 Tenant. 11,822  NORTH CENTRAL  Farms 43,688 Tenant. 11,822  NORTH CENTRAL  Farms 2,24,50,24,50,25 Tenure of operator: Full owner. 34,688 Tenant. 12,827 Tenure of operator: Full owner. 93,886 Tenant. 15,070 Tenure of operator: Full owner. 93,886 Tenant. 16,927,312  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 18,166 10 to 49 ac	7,683 378,633 827,504 615,913 362,044 1,422,363 627,644 260,687 7,683 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,385 36,112 8,030 1,017,367	1 22.9 6 11.0 3 3.9 8 1.5 7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 9 19.5 9 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	494,024 936,797 646,957 369,865  1,650,942 667,402 304,072  152,730  9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	-3.9 -13.1 -7.8 +2.0 -12.1 +6.8 +2.6 -2.2 +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
10 to 49 acres. 474,53 50 to 179 acres 813,94 180 to 499 acres 556,26 500 acres or more. 377,31  Tenure of operator: Full owner. 1,450,41 Part owner. 712,84 Tenant. 311,91  NORTHEAST  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 13,53 10 to 49 acres. 28,099 50 to 179 acres. 38,281 500 acres or more. 8,441  Tenant. 10,91  NORTH CENTRAL  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 58,161 10 to 49 acres. 58,161 10 to 49 acres. 18,01 10 to 49 acres. 19,02 10 to 49 acres. 19,71 10 to 49 acres. 19,70 119,500	7,683 378,633 827,504 615,913 362,044 1,422,363 627,644 260,687 7,683 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,385 36,112 8,030 1,017,367	1 22.9 6 11.0 3 3.9 8 1.5 7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 9 19.5 9 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	494,024 936,797 646,957 369,865  1,650,942 667,402 304,072  152,730  9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	-3.9 -13.1 -7.8 +2.0 -12.1 +6.8 +2.6 -2.2 +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
50 to 179 acres.       \$13,94         180 to 499 acres.       \$56,26         500 acres or more.       377,31*         Tenure of operator:         Full owner.       1,450,41*         Part owner.       311,91*         NORTHEAST         Farms.       149,38*         Farms by size:         1 to 9 acres.       13,53*         10 to 49 acres.       28,09*         50 to 179 acres.       38,28*         500 acres or more.       8,44*         Tenure of operator:         Full owner.       93,88*         Part owner.       43,68*         Tenant.       11,82*         NORTH CENTRAL       1,027,31*         Farms by size:       1 to 9 acres.       138,01*         10 to 49 acres.       321,48*         500 acres or more.       185,07*         Tenure of operator:         Full owner.       542,50*         500 acres or more.       185,07*         Tenure of operator:         Full owner.       542,50*         Farms by size:       1         1 to 9 acres.       95,71*         10 to 49 acres.       95	827,506 615,91: 362,048 1,422,367 627,648 260,687 127,531 7,688 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,388 36,112 8,030 1,017,367	6 11.0 3.9 8 1.5 7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	936,797 646,957 369,865 1,650,942 667,402 304,072 152,730 9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	-13.1 -7.8 +2.0 -12.1 +6.8 +2.6 -2.2 +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
Tenure of operator:   Full owner	362,048  1,422,367 627,648 260,687  127,531  7,688 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,388 36,112 8,030  1,017,367	8 1.5 7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	369,865  1,650,942 667,402 304,072  152,730  9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	+2.0  -12.1 +6.8 +2.6  -2.2  +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9  -9.0 +15.6 .0  -6.3  +11.4 +3.2
Tenure of operator: Full owner	1,422,367 627,644 260,687 7,688 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,388 36,112 8,030 1,017,367 4,005 1,017,367	7 13.0 8 5.2 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	1,650,942 667,402 304,072 152,730 9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823	-12.1 +6.8 +2.6 -2.2 +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
Full owner. 1,450,41: Part owner 712,84: Tenant 311,91:  NORTHEAST  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 13,53: 10 to 49 acres 28,09: 50 to 179 acres 38,28: 500 acres or more 38,28: Tenant 11,82: NORTH CENTRAL  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 58,16: 10 to 49 acres 58,16: 10 to 49 acres 78,28: 1 to 9 acres 78,28: 1 to	627,644 260,687  127,531  7,689 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661  83,389 36,112 8,030  1,017,367	8 5.2 12.6 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	152,730 9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	+6.8 +2.6 -2.2 +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
Part owner       712,84         Tenant       311,91         NORTHEAST       149,38         Farms by size:       1         1 to 9 acres       28,09         50 to 179 acres       61,01         180 to 499 acres       38,28         500 acres or more       8,44         Tenure of operator:       93,88         Part owner       93,88         Tenant       1,82         NORTH CENTRAL       1,027,31         Farms by size:       1 to 9 acres         1 to 9 acres       138,01         50 to 179 acres       324,58         180 to 499 acres       321,48         500 acres or more       185,07         Tenure of operator:       Full owner       542,50         Farms by size:       1         Tenure of operator:       Farm owner       334,28         Tenant       150,52         SOUTH       50 to 179 acres       95,71         10 to 49 acres       95,71      <	627,644 260,687  127,531  7,689 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661  83,389 36,112 8,030  1,017,367	8 5.2 12.6 7 12.6 1 16.5 9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	152,730 9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	+6.8 +2.6 -2.2 +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
Tenant	260,687 127,531 7,689 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,389 36,112 8,030 1,017,367 1,017,367	7 12.6  1 16.5  9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5  9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4  7 6.3  5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	304,072 152,730 9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	+2.6  -2.2  +45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9  -9.0 +15.6 -0  -6.3  +11.4 +3.2
Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres	7,688 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,388 36,112 8,030 1,017,367	9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	+45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres	7,688 19,416 54,901 37,864 7,661 83,388 36,112 8,030 1,017,367	9 16.8 6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	9,301 28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829  103,110 37,797 11,823  1,096,353	+45.5 -1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
1 to 9 acres. 13,534 10 to 49 acres 28,091 50 to 179 acres 38,281 500 acres or more 8,441  Tenure of operator: Full owner 93,886 Tenant 11,822  NORTH CENTRAL  Farms 1,027,312  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 324,58: 180 to 499 acres 321,48: 150 acres or more 334,28: Tenure of operator: Full owner 334,28: Tenure of operator: Full owner 542,500 Tenure of operator: Full owner 334,28: Tenure of operator: Full owner 542,500 Part owner 334,28: Tenure 552  SOUTH  Farms 1,016,076  Farms by size: 95,71: 10 to 49 acres 923,900 50 to 179 acres 923,900 50 to 179 acres 9370,922 180 to 499 acres 9370,922	19,416 54,901 37,866 7,661 83,389 36,112 8,030 1,017,367 1,017,367	6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	-1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
10 to 49 acres	19,416 54,901 37,866 7,661 83,389 36,112 8,030 1,017,367 1,017,367	6 31.2 1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	28,403 66,655 40,542 7,829 103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	-1.1 -8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
50 to 179 acres. 61,01 180 to 499 acres 38,281 500 acres or more 8,444  Tenure of operator: Full owner 93,886 Part owner 43,686 Tenant 11,822  NORTH CENTRAL  Farms y size: 1 to 9 acres 58,166 10 to 49 acres 138,016 50 to 179 acres 124,586 500 acres or more 185,076  Tenure of operator: Full owner 542,500 Part owner 334,287 Tenant 1,016,076  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 9,521 SOUTH  Farms 1,016,076  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 9,711 10 to 49 acres 222,900 50 to 179 acres 370,922 180 to 499 acres 232,901 500 acres or more 197,022 500 acres or more 119,500	54,901 37,864 7,661 83,385 36,112 8,030 1,017,367 36,065 108,756 350,343	1 17.1 4 6.0 1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	-8.5 -5.6 +7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0
500 acres or more       8,444         Tenure of operator:       93,886         Part owner       43,686         Tenant       11,822         NORTH CENTRAL       1,027,315         Farms by size:       1 to 9 acres       58,166         10 to 49 acres       138,016         50 to 179 acres       324,58         180 to 499 acres       321,486         500 acres or more       185,076         Tenure of operator:       Full owner       542,506         Part owner       334,286         Tenant       1,016,076         SOUTH       Farms by size:       1         1 to 9 acres       95,711         10 to 49 acres       232,906         50 to 179 acres       232,906         10 to 49 acres       232,906         50 to 179 acres       370,921         180 to 499 acres       197,022         500 acres or more       119,500	1,017,367 1,017,367 1,018,756 108,756	1 1.5 9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353	+7.9 -9.0 +15.6 .0 -6.3 +11.4 +3.2
Tenure of operator: Full owner	1,017,367 36,065 108,756 350,343	9 19.5 2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	103,110 37,797 11,823 1,096,353 52,227 133,716	-9.0 +15.6 .0 -6.3 +11.4 +3.2
Full owner. 93,886 Part owner 43,686 Tenant. 11,822  NORTH CENTRAL  Farms by size: 1,027,312  Farms by size: 38,166 10 to 49 acres. 58,166 10 to 49 acres. 324,58 180 to 499 acres. 321,48 500 acres or more 321,48 Tenure of operator: Full owner 542,500 Part owner 334,28 Tenant. 150,522  SOUTH  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 95,711 10 to 49 acres. 923,900 50 to 179 acres. 923,900 50 to 179 acres. 923,900 500 acres or more 119,500	36,112 8,030 1,017,367 36,065 108,756 350,343	2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	37,797 11,823 1,096,353 52,227 133,716	+15.6 .0 -6.3 +11.4 +3.2
Part owner.       43,686         Tenant.       11,82         NORTH CENTRAL       1,027,31         Farms by size:       1 to 9 acres.       58,161         10 to 49 acres.       138,01         50 to 179 acres.       324,58         180 to 499 acres.       321,48         500 acres or more.       185,07         Tenure of operator:       Full owner.       542,50         Part owner.       334,28         Tenant.       1,016,07         SOUTH       1,016,07         Farms by size:       1 to 9 acres.       95,71         10 to 49 acres.       232,900         50 to 179 acres.       232,900         180 to 499 acres.       197,02         500 acres or more       119,500	36,112 8,030 1,017,367 36,065 108,756 350,343	2 4.9 0 32.4 7 6.3 5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	37,797 11,823 1,096,353 52,227 133,716	+15.6 .0 -6.3 +11.4 +3.2
Tenant	1,017,367 36,065 108,756 350,343	0 32.4  7 6.3  5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	11,823 1,096,353 52,227 133,716	-6.3 +11.4 +3.2
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres. 58,166 10 to 49 acres 232,906  Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres 324,588  180 to 499 acres 321,488  500 acres or more 185,076  Tenure of operator: Full owner 334,288  Tenant 150,526  SOUTH  Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres 232,906  50 to 179 acres 232,906  500 acres or more 197,025  500 acres or more 197,025  500 acres or more 197,025	36,065 108,756 350,343	5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	52,227 133,716	+11.4 +3.2
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres. 58,161 10 to 49 acres. 138,011 50 to 179 acres. 324,58: 180 to 499 acres 321,48: 500 acres or more 542,500 Part owner 542,500 Part owner 334,28: Tenant 150,52:  SOUTH  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 95,71: 10 to 49 acres 97,92: 180 to 499 acres 97,92: 180 to 499 acres 97,02: 500 acres or more 119,500	36,065 108,756 350,343	5 30.8 6 18.5 3 6.8	52,227 133,716	+11.4 +3.2
1 to 9 acres. 58,166 10 to 49 acres 138,016 50 to 179 acres. 324,58 180 to 499 acres 185,076  Tenure of operator: Full owner 542,504 Part owner 334,28 Tenant 150,526  SOUTH  Farms 1,016,076  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 950 to 179 acres 222,906 500 to 179 acres 370,926 180 to 499 acres 197,025 500 acres or more 119,506	108,756 350,343	6 18.5 3 6.8	133,716	+3.2
10 to 49 acres. 138,016 50 to 179 acres. 324,58: 180 to 499 acres. 321,48: 500 acres or more. 185,076  Tenure of operator: Full owner. 542,506 Part owner. 334,28: Tenant. 1,016,076  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 95,71: 10 to 49 acres. 232,906 50 to 179 acres. 370,92: 180 to 499 acres. 197,02: 500 acres or more. 119,506	108,756 350,343	6 18.5 3 6.8	133,716	+3.2
50 to 179 acres     324,58       180 to 499 acres     321,48       500 acres or more     185,070       Tenure of operator:     542,500       Part owner     334,28       Tenant     150,52       SOUTH     1,016,070       Farms by size:     1 to 9 acres     95,71       10 to 49 acres     232,900       50 to 179 acres     370,92       180 to 499 acres     197,02       500 acres or more     119,500	350,343	3 6.8		
180 to 499 acres			370,003	13.01
500 acres or more. 185,076  Tenure of operator:     Full owner. 542,504     Fart owner. 334,28  Tenant. 150,524  SOUTH  Farms. 1,016,076  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres. 95,71: 10 to 49 acres. 232,904 50 to 179 acres. 370,924 180 to 499 acres. 197,02: 500 acres or more. 119,506	345,610	0 2.5	355,187	-9.5
Full owner. 542,504 Part owner 334,28 Tenant. 150,524  SOUTH  Farms 1,016,076  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 95,71 10 to 49 acres 232,904 50 to 179 acres 370,924 180 to 499 acres 197,02: 500 acres or more 119,506			178,560	+3.6
Part owner.       334,28         Tenant.       150,52         SOUTH       1,016,070         Farms by size:       1 to 9 acres.       95,71         10 to 49 acres.       232,90         50 to 179 acres.       370,92         180 to 499 acres.       197,02         500 acres or more.       119,500				
Tenant			620,166	-12.5
Farms 1,016,070  Farms by size: 1 to 9 acres 95,71: 10 to 49 acres 232,900 50 to 179 acres 370,920 180 to 499 acres 197,02: 500 acres or more 119,500			329,173 147,014	+1.6 +2.4
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres				
Farms by size:  1 to 9 acres	930,099	9 15.2	1,107,200	-8.2
1 to 9 acres. 95,71: 10 to 49 acres. 232,900 50 to 179 acres. 370,92: 180 to 499 acres. 197,02: 500 acres or more. 119,500	,,,,,,,	, 13.2	1,107,200	0.2
10 to 49 acres	55,659	9 31.1	81,428	+17.5
50 to 179 acres       370,92         180 to 499 acres       197,02         500 acres or more       119,500			258,033	-9.7
500 acres or more	369,648	8 4.0	433,227	-14.4
			214,701 119,811	-8.2
	12.,0.	,	,	. ,
Full owner	623,219	9 17.4	757,206	-16.3
Part owner       264,02*         Tenant       118,58*	214,061	1 7.5	232,239 117,755	+13.7
WEST <sup>2</sup>	72,023	,	22.,.55	
Farms	235,705	5 9.5	266,133	+6.1
Farms by size:			<b>,</b>	
1 to 9 acres			31,817	+43.6
10 to 49 acres			73,872	+2.2
50 to 179 acres	56,409		60,252 36,527	-4.7
180 to 499 acres	56,409 52,614		36,527 63,665	+8.1 +1.0
Tenure of operator:	56,409 52,614 36,044			
Full owner	56,409 52,614 36,044		4 = 0 4 4 0	+5.9
Part owner	56,405 52,614 36,044 63,447	3 12.1	170,460 68,193	+3.9

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{Data}$  are from the preliminary reports and may differ slightly from final counts.  $^{2}\mathrm{Alaska}$  and Hawaii not included.

# APPENDIX D. Report Forms

DUE BY FEBRUARY 15, 1979						Form	Approved: O.M	I.B. No	. 41 - \$78025
NOTICE Response to this inquiry is requ	ired by law (title 13, U.S. Code).	By the same law	YOUR REPORT TO	FORM 78-A1(N)		v.s.	DEPARTMENT BUREAU	OF C	OMMERCE E CENSUS
THE CENSUS BUREAU IS CONFIDENTIAL only for statistical purposes. Your report ( The law also provides that copies retained is	CANNOT be used for purposes of	f taxation, investi-	gation, or regulation.	(4-10-78)	• .				
In correspondence pertaining to this report,	please refer to the 11-digit Cens	us File Number (C	FB)	Con	<b>Y</b> 6	197	8 CENS	SUS	ŀ
				<b>₹ 78</b>			OF		
				2	\$	AGR	RICULTI	JRE	<u>:</u>
						Bur	eau of the Cens	us	
				COMPLETE AND	RETURN TO	2 > 120	I East Tenth S ersonville, ind	treat	/132
				Note Pie	ase read the	nformation S	heet before con	nletine	the form
				If book figures a filing by Feb. 15	causes an un	due burden, i	a time extensio	n reque	st may be
				sent to the abor (CFN) as shown			corresponden	e to us	a regimen
				If you received m port form, enter ex	xtra Census F	ile			
				Number(s) here a copies with your c	ind return ext	ra			
				CENSUS 035	036		097	038	
(Please correct	errors in name, address, and Zif	code.)		USE 039	040		041	042	
SECTION 1 ACREAGE IN 1978			<u> </u>		through 8 or	nvide snace	for reporting	crons h	arvested
If the acres you operated in 1978 changed	d during the year, refer to the		INSTRUCTIO	NC during 1970	8. Please re	port your cro	ops in the appr	opriate	section.
INFORMATION SHEET, Section 1.  Report land owned, rented, or used by you	at white should not by the northers	:hin		Ue not inc			ented to others led in sections		
corporation, or organization for which you	u are reporting. Include ALL LAI	ND,	SECTION 2 We	re any of the FOL	<del></del>		<del></del>		
REGARDLESS OF LOCATION OR USE - rangeland, woodland, idle land, house lot	- cropland, pastureland, ts. etc. None	Number of acres	OLO HONE II	AC MILY OF LIZE ( O.S.		( • 1m1 194200	HOM MINE SHOO	1	
1. All land owned.		043		None	Acres harvested	Quant	ity harvested		Acres rrigated
	**************************************		1. Field corn for g	itain or	067	068	Bu.	) gea	
<ol><li>All land rented or leased FROM OTHERS you on shares; used rent free, in exchang</li></ol>	re for services, payment		Seed (Report qu	rantity on		<b>\</b> \	OR	<b>↑</b> }	
of taxes, etc. Include leased Federal, St (DO,NOT include land used on a per-head	tate, and railroad land.	044		it bas/s)	070	071	Cwt.	072	-
permit.) Also complete item 5 below			<ol><li>Field corn for s or green chop.</li></ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	Tons, green		
3. All land rented or leased TO OTHERS, in	icluding land worked on	045	3. Wheat for grain		073	074	Bu.	075	
shares by others and land subleased. Als	so complete item 6 below. 🔲		4. Oats for grain		076	077	Bu.	078	
4. ACRES IN THIS PLACE - ADD acres ow		046	5. Barley for grain		079	080	Bu.	081	
acres rented (item 2), then SUBTRACT ac OTHERS (item 3), and enter the result in			3. Desirey for groun		082	083		084	
For this census report these are the "ACI	APS IN THIS DI ACE "		6. Sorghums for gr	ain or		<b>∤</b>	OR	- }	
If the entry is zero please refer to the INF			seed (include m	ilo) 🗀	085	086	Lbs.	087	
5. If you rented land FROM OTHERS (item 2	') enter the following information	for each landlord.	7. Sorghums for si or green chop (e			333	Tons,	"	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ling address (Include ZIP code)	Number of acres	sorghum-sudan		ļ	<u> </u>	green		
	***************************************	047	8. Soybeans for be	ans	088	089	Bu.	090	
		048	9. Cotton		091		Bales		
		049	10. Tobačco – ali t	ypes 🗀	094	′10 <sup>095</sup>	Lbs.	096	/10
List additional landlords on a separata sh	reat of paper.	<u> </u>	11. Irish potatoes.		097 [	098	Cwt.	099	/10
6. If you rented land TO OTHERS (Item 3), e	나 가능하다 하는 사람들이 모르	and sandar	12. Sweetpotatoes a	and yams	100	101	Bu.	102	/10
				s any DRY HAY, (			E. or GREEN C	HOP c	
Name of renter Mail	ling address (Include ZIP code)	Number of acres	or or	harvested from this d hay out from pass	s place in 197				
		051		YES - Complet		antonio de la M Mario de la California de la Mario de la Mario de la California de la Cali			
		052	2	NOGotosa	ection 4				
		032	If cuttings were	made for both dry	hay and grass	silage, hay	iage, or green	chop fro	om the
a. Of the land you rented or leased to other			same fleida, raj GRASS SILAGE	oort the acreage in , HAYLAGE, and I	the appropria GREEN CHO	te items undi o,	er DRY HAY ar	id also	under
how many acres did you own?	None oss	Acres	DRY HAY				TA		
7. During 1978, did you have any Federal or			made from the s	cuttings of dry hay same acres, report	acres only	Acres harvested	Quantity hard (Report eith or green we	er dry	Acres irrigated
State grazing permits on a per-head basis; such as Forest Service, Taylor Grazing,				total tons from all	i cuttiuge.)		as indicat	eď)	
Indian Reservations, etc.?		2 No	<ol> <li>Alfalfa and alfa hay or dehydrat</li> </ol>	ing		103		Tons, dry	105
8. How many set aside acres did you have	None oss			- oats, wheat, bar		106		Tons, dry	108
in the Federal Farm Program in 1978?	wouse oza	Acres		hay, clover, lespec	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	109	110	Tons,	111
9. LOCATION OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVE	ITY FOR THIS PLACE			da grass, Sudan gra				dry	
		\ \	4. Wild hay			112		Tons, ary	114
a in what county was the largest value of your	County name State	Number of acres	GRASS SILAGE	, HAYLAGE, AND	•				
agricultural products Principal raised or produced?			GREEN CHOP	cuttings of grass s			1		
		057	haylage, or gre	en chop were made oort acres only onc	from the				
b. If you also have agricul- tural operations in any Other		058	report total ton	s from all outtings.	.)	115	116	Tons,	117
other county(ies), enter counties			1	nd hay lage		118	119	green Tons,	120
the county name(s), etc.		059	6. Hay crops cut a	and fed green (gree	in chop)	}	1	green	1

SECTION 4 Was there	a combi	ned total of	20 or 1	nore FRUIT	TREES, in	cluding GF	RAPEVINE	S, CITRUS and	NUT	TREES	ON THIS PLACE	in 1978	? (Do no	t include ab	andoned trees)	
004 1 YES	- Comp	iete this se					al acres		*******							
2 NO			:			Whole a	cres Tent									
1. TOTAL ACRES in beari or other groves, vineyard	is, and i	nut trees on	this pl	naros, citro ace		121		122	1	/10	NONCITR	IS CROP	\$ Code	CITRUS	CROPS	Code
2. From the list at the right requested information for	reach ci	op even it i	not harv	rested beca	use of low	prices, dam	rage from h	ail, frost, etc.	For i	nterplant	Apples		129	from bloo	i in 1977—78 m of 1977)	
trees, estimate the area	Covered	NU	MBER (	OF.	Acres	n trees	eo wim tre	Unit	of mea	sure	Cherries . Grapes — ( Grapes — )	lry weigh resh wei	. 147 t 171	Lemons Oranges		· 279
Crop name Code Nonbearing Bearing all a					nes of Quan		tity			bs. Informati	207	Tangerin mandari	es and	. 309		
		age		age "	Whole acr	s Tenths	<b></b>	È	T G	Boxes			231	Other cit	rus — Specify . No	. 315 Cade
						/10	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	2 🗀	3 🔲 🖥	fresh we	ght	243	(Report o	uantity in	-
					2	/10	3	1:0	2 🗀	3 🔲  5	Other none Specify.		261	Almonds	and hazelouts	. 321 . 327
					2	/10	3	; <u> </u>	2 🗆	3 🗀  5				Pecans,	improved wild	. 339
			1		2	/10	3	1 🗀	2 🗀	3 🗍 5				Walnuts (	dling , English ian)	1000
			1		2	/10	3	10	2 🗀	3 🗆   5				Uther nut	trees -	1
It more spece is needed, u					410 1						*****					
		ABLES, SWI his place in			MS, etc., n	irvested		SECTION 7			TRAWBERRIES, from this place			OK O I NEK I	REKKIEZ DATA	stec
1 TYES		plete this s	ection						1	_	- Complete this					
_		section 6						From the lin			- Go to section					
For Floride, report for S August 31, 1978 harves other States report for c	season	; for all	hrough 	Acr	T	Acres in	rigatad	unit specific			crop name and co me.	ue. Hap	ort quant	ity natvoste	a .a	
1. Land from which vegeta				Whole acre	s Tenths	Whole acre		Crop na	me	Code	Acres harvi		Quantity	harvested	Acres irrig	
harvested in 1978				375	/10	376	1 /10				1.7/4.1	/10	1		2	/10
2. From the list below, en	ble crop	wee harves	ted from	m the same	acres, repo					+	+	/10	1		2	/10
esah crop. Report crop										+		<u> </u>	1		2	1
Crop name	<u></u>		Code	Acres ha	/10	Acres in	rigated /10	If more span	e is n	seded, u	se separate shee	/10 t of pape	r.			i /10
					/10		/10	Crop name	o 'neid .	fambareis	Codes (pounds) 509		C 400 000	o <b>p name</b> spherries (pr	"哈里克不够的现在分别的	Code 533
					/10		/10	Blueberries Blueberries	, tame	(pounds)	512	25 Yi 4	Str	awberries (p	ounds)	536
					/10		/10	Cranberries	(100-	b. barrei	s) 521		S	pecity		539
				·	/10		/10	SECTION 8	We Ide Hi	ire any C id seedi	ITHER CROPS II I, sugar crops, o	arvested other ci	ops not p	uesionsily to training in the	/s — rice, pea ported?	ruts,
If more space is needed, u	se sepa	rate sheet c	f peper		/10		/ /10				– Complete this	S				
Crop name Asparagus		Crop name Cucumbers	and nic		R Crop Ra	me , sweet .	Code		2	טא 📋	- Go to section					
Beans, snap (bush and pole)	381	Eggplant, . Garlic		41 42	5 Peppera 1 Pumpkii	, hot	445	From the list below, enter crop name and code. Report quantity harvested in unit specified with crop name.								
Broccoli	385	Honeydew r Lettuce and Lima beans	romair	1e 42	7 Spinach	\$	467	Crop name Code Acres harvested Quantity harvested Acres harvested Quantity harvested Acres						ed Acres in	igated	
Cantaloups and Persian melons	395	Mustard gre Onions, dry	ens	43 43	1 Sweet of Tomator	orn	461 463						1		2	
Carrots	397 39 <del>5</del>	Onions, gre Okra	en	43 43	5 Turnips 7 Turnip	reens .	467						1		2	1
Celery		Peas, green English (e green cow	xclude		Other vi	ions getables -	•						1		2	
other green cowpeas)						-							1		2	
flower sec	ds, veg	RY and GRE etable seed	s and p	lants, vege	tables unde	glass or o				+					2	
1 006		SHROOMS # viete thie m		OR SALE G	n this place	in 1978?							4.		2	
Į.		section 7	<b>/</b>			irrigated										
1. Nursery and greenhouse	ntaduct	s irripated	in 1978	47	Square feet	478	fenths /10						1		2	
2. From the list below, en	•	•			product pr	3W0.	1 /10		e is n	eeded, u	se seperate shee	of pape ele Cros	40 万万元数			Code
	· · · · · ·	Square fee	t under	Acres	n the open 1978	1	in 1978	Crep name Alfalfa see Beans, dry	d (pour	ids)		2 Pros	o millet	bushels)		. 665
Product name	Code	glass or protection	other in 1978	, <del> </del>	res   Tenths	Dollars	Cents	(100-poun Beans, dry	d bags lima	••••		S4 Rice	(100- (bush	pound bags) els) or	Of	. 677 . 680
				1	/10	\$		(100-pound Buckwheat	d bags (bushe	(a)		57 75 Rve	(barri for grain	(bushels)		. 643 . 686
				<u> </u> '	/10	\$	-	Corn cut for or grazed Cowpeas fo	(raport	acres of	nly) S	II Saffi	OWN! I DO	a (pounds) unds) for dry foraj	10	. 649 . 692
			<del>-</del>	⊥'	/10	\$	1	Emmer and Fescue see	spelt ( d (pou	bushels) nds)		93 or 1 12 Sorg	nay (tons hums hog	, dry weight ged or graze	)	. 701
If more space is needed, u Product same		Code	Prod	uct name			Code	Flaxseed (t Grains, mix	oushels ed (bu	i) sheis)		05 Suga 14 Supa	r beets for	or Sugar (tore	15)	. 729
Bedding plants (include veg Bulbs		482	Must	rooms	wering plan		494	Lespedeza	seed (	pounds) .		M Sunt	rcane for ower sea	seed (tons) d (pounds)		· 722
Cut flowers and cut florist Nursery products — environ Uning-out stock, fruit and	menta is	,	Vege	table and f	lower seeds		500	Peanuts for Peas, dry fi	nuts (	pounds). d seed (	oounds) 6	S& Time S Othe	thy seed r crops ()	(pounds) ounds)—	• • • • • • • • •	. 746
and vines		488			,,,,,,,,			Popcorn (po	unds.	shelled)	6					. 778

SECTION 9 GROSS VALUE of CROPS SOLD from this place in 1978, BEFORE taxes and expenses (Refer to the INFORMATION SHEET, Section 9.)	SECTION 12 Did you or anyone else have any CATTLE or CALVES on this place in 1978?
Report your best estimate of the value for each of the following groups of crops	O12 1 YES Complete this section
sold from this place in 1978. Include the value of the landlord's and/or contractor's	2 NO - Go to section 13 INVENTORY Number on this
share, estimating if necessary. Include value of Government CCC loans.	DECEMBER 31, 1978 INVENTORY     None place Dec. 31, 1978     Total
1. Grains sold in 1978, including Government CCC loans - None Contact	1. CATTLE AND CALVES of all ages (Total of a, b, c, and a below)
small grains, soybeans, dry beans, dry peas and cowpeas,	a. BEEF COWS - Include beef helfers that Beef
flaxseed, sunflower seed, popcorn, and safflower	had calved Cows
a. Of the total, how much was	b. MILK COWS kept for production of milk or cream
received in 1978 from Government CCC loans?	milk helfars that had calved
778	c. HEIFERS AND HEIFER CALVES Exclude heifers
2. Cotton and cottonseed, including Government CCC loans	helfers that had calved
	d. STEERS, STEER CALVES, BULLS  807  Steers and buils of
#. Or the total, now much was received in 1976 from 779	AND BULL CALVES all ages
Government CCC loans? \$ 1780	CATTLE AND CALVES SOLD     Gross value of sales
3. Tobacco	Include those fed on this place on a None in 1978 Dollars Cents
4. Field seeds, grass seeds, hay, totage, and sitage 5	contract or custom basis. Also report as sold, cattle moved from this place to a lead to the interpret families 808 809
5. Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons—DO NOT include irish polatices or sweetpolatices, report them in item 8 below	to a landiot for further records.
	2. Calves weighing less than 500 pounds
6. Fruits, nuts, berries — apples, peaches, grapes, citrus, pecans, strawberries, etc	3. Cattle, including calves weighing
7. Nursery and greenhouse products	500 pounds or more
sugar beets, sugarcane, mint	a. Of the total cattle sold, how many were FATTENED on this place on GRAIN 812 813
for oil, hops, etc specity s	or CONCENTRATES for 30 days or more and SOL D for SL AUGHTER?
SECTION 10 LAND USE IN 1978	DAIRY PRODUCTS SOLD FROM
1. Copy ACRES IN THIS PLACE from section 1, item 4, page 1	THIS PLACE IN 1978 Gross value of sales
ONLY ONCE - in the use first listed below that applies. For exemple, croptand	4. Gross value of sales of DAIRY PRODUCTS B14
harvested and also pastured is to be reported only as "Cropland harvested."	from this place in 1978, Report goes dairy products in section 15.
2. CROPLAND   None   Number of acres   787   787	SECTION 13 Did you or anyone else have any HOGS or PIGS on this place in 1978?
harvested or hay was out, and all land in orchaids, citius groves, vineyards, and nursery and greenhouse products.	013   YES - Complete this section
b. Cropland used only for pasture or grazing — include rotation pasture and grazing land that could have been used for crops	2 NO - Go to section 14 INVENTORY Number on this
pasture and grazing land that could have been used for crops without additional improvements.	DECEMBER 31, 1978 INVENTORY     None place Dec. 31, 1978     o 15
c. Cropiand used for cover crops, legumes, and soil-improvement grasses, but NOT harvested and NOT pastured	1. HOGS and PIGS of all ages (Total of a and a below)
d. Croptand on which all crops failed (Exception: Do not report	a. HOGS and PIGS used or to be used for BREEDING
here land in orchards and vineyards on which the crop falled.  Such acreage is to be reported in item 2s.)	b. OTHER HOGS and PIGS Other
e. Cropland in cultivated summer fallow	LITTERS FARROWED
f. Cropland idle	2. LITTERS FARROWED on this place between - None Number of litters
3. Woodland - Include all Woodlots (**)	a. December 1, 1977 and May 31, 1978
and timber tracts and cutover and deforested lend with young	b. June 1, 1978 and November 30, 1978
timber growth. b. Woodland not pastured	
4. Other pastureland and rangeland - include any pastureland other than cruplend and woodland pasture	Number sold Gross value of sales  None in 1978 Dollars Cents
5. All other land — Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. Include any land not reparted in items 2 through 4 above	● NOUS ARD FIGS SOLD 820 821
	3. HOGS and PIGS SOLD from this place in 1978 Sec. 1972 B22 B23
6. TOTAL ACRES — And the scree reported in Items 2 through 5 (Should be the same as Item 5 above.)	4. Of the hogs and pigs sold, how many were sold as FEEDER PIGS for further feeding?
SECTION 11 Was any LAND in this place IRRIGATED at any time in 1978?	SECTION 14 Did you or anyone else have any SHEEP or LAMBS on this place in 1978?
irrigated land le all land watered by any artificial of controlled means — sprinklers, turrows or ditches, spreader dines, stc. include supplemental,	014 1 YES - Complete this section
partial, and preplant trigation.	2 NO → Go to section 15
011   YES - Complete this section 2 NO - Go to section 12	INVENTORY SALES Number on this Number spid
1. How many acres of each of the following classifications Number of acres	None place Dec. 31, 1978 In 1978
of land were irrigated in 1978? Rome irrigated	1. SHEEP and LAMBS of all ages
ITEM 22 - Include land from which hay was cut	a. EWES I year old or older
and land in bearing and nonbearing fruit and nut crops,	None Number shorn Pounds of woo!
b. PASTURELAND or RANGELAND reported in section 10, items 2b and 4 — include spring flooding	None Number short short short 827 828
If water diverted or spread by deme, spreader dikes, cenals, ditches, pipes, or other works.	2. SHEEP and LAMBS SHORN
c. ANY OTHER LANDS — Such as land not harvested due to	Gross value of sales
complete failure, idle land, land in cultivated summer failow, or land in cover crops and soil-improvement grasses.	None Dollars Cents
4. TOTAL LAND IRRIGATED (Total of a, b, and c above.)	3. What was the gross value of sales of sheep,   629   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1

SECTION 15 Did you or anyone else LIVESTOCK, or ANIM	have any HORSE	S, BEES, FISH, (	THER	SECTION 17 Income from MACHINE WORK, CUSTOMWORK, and OTHER AGRICULTURAL SERVICES PROVIDED for others in 1978					
1 YES - Complete	this section					eived from machine work, customwork,	None Dollars Cents		
2 ☐ NO - Go to sec		1	<del>-</del>		and other agricultural services PROVIDED FOR OTHERS in 1978 – planting, plowing, spraying, harvesting, etc \$				
	INVENTORY Number on this place	Total number sold in 1978	Gross	value of sales	1576 – piunon	is, pioning, spraying, naivesting, etc., .			
None  1. Horses and ponies of	Dec. 31, 1978	831	Dolla	ars Cents	Specify kind of				
all ages	830	831	\$32	! !	ŧ	During 1978 did you SELL any crops, live DIRECTLY to individuals FOR HUMAN C	ONSUMPTION - roadside		
2. Mules, burros, and donkeys	833	834	835	1	018	stands, farmers markets, pick your own, e	tc.?		
	836	837	838	1	. 1	YES - Complete this section	Dollars Cents		
3. Mink and their pelts			\$	 	l .	ross value of these direct sales?	920		
a. Mink females used for breeding	863						·····		
4. Other livestock or animal specialties in captivity			1	!		s sold - vegetables, eggs, etc TYPE OF ORGANIZATION			
(Enter name and code from "List A" below.)				į	1	ne item which best describes the type of a	organization for this place in 1978.		
Name Code		1	\$	!	Refer to the IN	FORMATION SHEET, Section 19.			
ļ	res under water	Fotal quantity sol	d Gross	value of sales	torship), exclud	DIVIDUAL operation (sole proprieding partnership and corporation	21 1		
products (Enter name and	r aquaculture	in 1978	Doll	ars Cents		operation. Include family partnerships.	2 Total number of partners		
code from "List B" below.) Name Code	_	Pound	s   1	) [	Answer BOTH	ED UNDER STATE LAW  a end b	,		
	2	Gallor	s S	t I 1	<b>a.</b> Is this	s a family held corporation?	- {		
6. Fish and other aquaculture products (Enter name and			1,	i i	b. Are th	ere more than 10 stockholders?			
code from "List C" below.)	<b> </b>   3	OR	s   }s	į	●OTHER, such a	as cooperative, estate or trust, prison	<b>∫</b> e □ No		
Name Code	/10	Numbe	,    '	1		ssociation, Indian reservation, etc			
	List A		·· <i>V</i>			CHARACTERISTICS AND OCCUPATION or person in charge) Refer to the INFORM			
Name Code Name Colonies of bees 839 Other	goats	Code Nam	-	Code 857	1. RESIDENCE -		923		
Angora goats 842 Rabbi	ts and their pelts hillas and their pe	851 All	other livest	tock -	person in charge) live on this place?				
List B		L	st C				1  White 2 Negro or Black		
Name Cod Mohair sold	4 Catfish	Code	Name Other fi	Code sh –	2.05		a  American Indian		
Goats milk sold	B Tropical a	876 ind	Other ac	quacuiture	2. RACE of opera	tor (senior partner or person in charge)	A Asian or Pacific Islander  Other - specify,		
Other livestock products — Specify 870	0	880	produc Specif	y 888	:		Space Space 197		
SECTION 16 Did you or anyone else	_	'PV such as CHI	KENS TH	RKE VS	į	,	925		
DUCKS, etc., on this p					3. AGE of operato	or (senior partner or person in charge)	Years old		
ote 1 YES - Complete	this section	INV Nu	ENTORY mber on	Total number	4. SEX of operato	r (senior partner or person in charge)	ı Male 2 Female		
2 ☐ NO — Go to sec			s place 31, 1978	sold in 1978		IN – Is the operator (senior partner arge) of Spanish origin or descent	927		
1. HENS and PULLETS of laying age		892		893	(Mexican, Mexi	can American, Cuban, or other Spanish)?.	1 Yes 2 No		
2. PULLETS 3 months old or older not		894	1	895		CCUPATION — At which occupation r spend the majority (50 percent or			
13. PULLET CHICKS and PULLETS un		896		897	more) of his wo	ork time in 1978? For partnerships	928 1 Farming 2 Other		
(Exclude commercial broilers.)				899	I.	RK — How many days did the operator	329		
4. BROILERS, fryers, and other meat to including capons and roasters					(senior partner	or person in charge) work at least	1  None 2  1-49 days		
5. TURKEYS		900	1	100	at a nonfarm lo	b, business, or on someone else's farm.	₃ ☐ 50-99 days		
a. Turkeys for slaughter (Exclude bi	reeders.)	902		903	1		100-149 days 5 150-199 days		
b. Turkey HENS kept for breeding					1		6 ☐ 200 days or more		
6. OTHER POULTRY raised in captivi pigeons or squab, pheasants, quail,	etc. (Enter poultr	y			SECTION 21	Was any of the land in this place held und	er FOREIGN OWNERSHIP in 1978?		
name and code from the list below.)				1	,	YES - Complete this section			
Poultry name	Code		1	1	1	ODDn't Go to section 28			
Poultry name	Code			Code	1	know know know many were owned b	None Acres		
Name Code Nam Ducks 904 Pig	eons or squab	Code Na	ai]	912		les in this place, now many were owned b ils who are NOT citizens of the United St	ates?		
Geese	asants	S S	other poul	try – 914	2. A foreign	held corporation or unincorporated	_   331		
7. POULTRY HATCHED on this place		None		umber	SECTIONS 22 -	on, or a foreign government?  Not applicable to this form	······		
1978 and placed or sold - chickens, turkeys, ducks, etc Specify kind of			116			PERSON COMPLETING THIS REPORT -	Please print		
8. Incubator egg capacity on December					Name		999 Date		
9. What was the gross value of sales of and poultry products (eggs, etc.) fro	f poultry	Gross va	lue of sales	3	T. (	Area code N	umber		
	im this				i elephone				
place in 1978? Include estimated v	alue of Non		s	Cents	When the data ha	ve been tabulated, do you want a summar ajor census results for your county?	y 029		

FORM 78-A1-NJ (4-10-78)

Page 4

# (Sections 22 through 27 were collected from only 20 percent of the farms; see text)

1 YES - Complete this section	(	and others for production of crops,	Fva	Gations	Storage
2 NO - Go to section 23	Acres None fertilized	livestock, and other agricultural	Expenditures	purchased	capacity of this place
1. Acres of cropland fertilized in 1978, other than cropland	932	in 1978. None	Dollars Ce	967	(in galions
used only for postures reported in section 10, item 2b		Gasoline for farm business      Dieset fuel for the farm	969	970	971
2. Acres of pastureland and rangeland fertilized	933	business	\$		
in 1978 reported in section 10, items 2b and 4	· / · · · · · · □ · <u> </u>	3. LP gas, butane, propane for the farm business (4.5 lbs.=	972	973	974
3. LINE - tans of lime used and acres on None which applied (Do not include land plaster 934	Tons of lime Acres limed	I galfon)	\$	976	
or gypsum of time for ashitation.		4. Fuel oil for the farm business	975 \$	976	977
SECTION 23 Were any INSECTICIDES, HERBICIDES, FU. OTHER PESTICIDES, or OTHER CHEMICA	NGICIDES, HEMATICIDES,	5. Natural gas for the farm business	978 \$		
023		6. Kerosene, motor oil, grease, for the farm business	979		
2 ☐ NO — Go to saction 24		7. Electricity for the farm	980	-	
Include any materials provided by you, your landlords, or i	contractors. For each	business	981	-	
item listed, report acres only once. If multipurpose chemi- acreage treated for each purpose.	icals were used, report	8. Other - coat, wood, coke, etc.	\$	4 hours and a first	
	F	SECTION 26 SELECTED PRODUCT for this place in 1978	ION EXPENSES PAR	o ny you are ciners	
<ol> <li>Sprays, dusts, granules, fumigants, etc., (fungicide, herbicide, insecticide, nematicide) to control —</li> </ol>	Number of acres None on which used	Include your best estimates of exper buyers, and others for production of	ises paid by you, you crops, livestock, and	ir landlord, contracto I other agricultural	H\$,
Insects on flay and other crops		products in 1978. DO NOT INCLUC customwork for others, operation of	E expenses connecte	ed with performing	<b>0.5</b>
b. Nematodes in crops	937	or household expenses not related to			
	938	1. Livestock and poultry purchased - i	attle, calves.		cted expenditures
c. Diseases in crops and orchards (blights, smuls, rusts, etc.)		hogs, pigs, sheep, lambs, goats, ho poults, started pullels, etc.	ses, chicks,	982	
d. Weeds, grass, or brush in crops and pasture		2. Total feed purchased for livestock a	nd poultry – grain,	983	-
2. Chemicals for defoliation or for growth control	940	hay, silage, mixed feeds, concentrat  a. Commercially mixed formula feeds		□	
of crops or thinning of fruit	····· a L	complete, supplement, concentrate	IS.		
3. Were any chemicals used for -		Ingredients purchased Tons	Tenths Dolla	ars Cents	
a, Insect control on livestock and poultry.		saybean meal, None cottonseed meal, and urea,)	/10 \$		
Linsect control on livestock and poultry. including treatment of buildings?	ı □ Yes   2 □ No	3. Animal health costs for livestock an	d poultry, include	986	
b. Smitation, rodent and bird control, etc.?  (include time for senitation).	i Yes 2 No	vaterinaries services, serums, vacci 4. Seeds, buibs, plants, and trees purci	nes, medicines, etc.	987	
SECTION 24 MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT on this place	e on December 31, 1978	5. Commercial fertilizer purchased - a	II forms, including ro	ck 988	-
(Include only equipment used for egricultural	operations in 1977 or 1978.)	phosphate and gypsum. Heport cust item 9 below.		🗆 🔼	
Value of ALL machinery and equipment on this place, Dr	ecember 31, 1978	<ol> <li>Agricultural chemicals purchased — fungicides, other pesticides, lime, e</li> </ol>	C. Report custom	430 8 8 4 4 5 4	1 2 3
What is the estimated market value of ALL machinery, equipment, and implements usually kept on this place	Estimated market value	application costs in item 9 below. 7. Hired farm of ranch labor (See Infon	**********	990	
and used for the farm or ranch histories? Include ours	Dollars Cents	a. Of the hired workers, including pa	9.4		1
			TACHE	er of workers	
trucks, tractors, combines, flows, disks, harrows, dryers, pumps, motors, traigstion equipment, dairy equipment including milkers and bulk tanks, livestock feeders,	943	family workers, how many — (1) Worked 150 days or more?	991	er of workers	
pumps, motors, irrigation equipment, dairy equipment including militars and bulk tanks, livestock sectors, grinding and mixing equipment, etc.	943	(1) Worked 150 days or more?	991		
grinding and mixing equipment, etc.	Of the total, HOW	(1) Worked 150 days or more?	991 992	, \$uch 993	
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1976, (Report December 31, 1976, (Report December 31, 1976, (Report December 31, 1976, (Report December 31, 1976, 1978,	of the total, HOW MANY were manufact to face on the 31, 1978 years (1974–1978)	(1) Worked 150 days or more?	991 992 performe	, such	1
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978. (Recert on this	Of the total, HOW that is place on three in the last 3	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor — include expenditure as harvesting of trult, vegetables, be contract basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta	991 992 992 e primerily for labor, e priories, etc., performe leader, e cooperativi	, such 993 don e s, etc	
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978. (Report only // used in 1977 or 1978.) None  2. Automobiles	of the lotal HOW AANY were manufactis place on tured in the last 5 years (1974–1978) (Number)	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as harvesting of trult, vegetables, be contract basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta agui	991 992 992 is primarily for labor, errise, ietc., performe leader, a cooperativi of machinery and a use of equipment a mixture feed. allowin	, such 993 nd on a 8, 910 5	
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978, (Asport on this place)  None  None  944	tal number is place on the 131, 1976  ANY were manufactor bured in the last 5 years (1974–1978) (Number)	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditum as harvesting of truit, vegetables, b contract basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures for	991 992 992 is primarily for labor, errise, ietc., performe leader, a cooperativi of machinery and a use of equipment a mixture feed. allowin	, such 993 nd on a 8, 910 5	
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1976, (Report on this place, December 31, 1976, (Report on this place, December 31, 1976, (Report on this place)  Automobiles  Motortrucks (Include pickups).  Motortrucks (Include pickups).  Meel tractors other than garden	Cf the total, HOW MANY were manuel to be 131, 1976 (Number)  947	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as harvesting of fruit, vegetables, be contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, care picking, drying, stidusting, fertilizing, etc. (Exclude c SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET	991 992 992 992 992 992 993 994 995 996 996 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997	, euch 993 vd on a 5 s, exc.	
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978. (Report enty if used in 1977 or 1978.)  Automobiles  Mone   946   946   948	Cf the total, HOW MANY were manuel to be 131, 1976 (Number)  947	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor – include expenditure in as harvesting of truit, vegetables, be contract basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment – include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, core picking, drying, slid dusting, facilitzing, etc. (Exclude of SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE of land and building workers.)	991 992 992 992 992 992 992 992 992 993 994 995 996 996 996 996 996 996 996 996 996	such 993 dona 5 such 994 g, 950 S	mit merket value d buildings
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978, (Reportently Lead in 1977 or 1978.)  None  2. Automobiles	Cf the total, HOW MANY were manufacts place on ther 31, 1976 (Number)  947  949  951	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - include expenditum as harvesting of truit, vegetables, b contract basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, care picking, drying, situating, tertifizing, att. (Exclude of SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of	991 992 992 992 992 992 993 994 995 996 996 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997	such 993 done s 5 mf 994 g, 2000 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ant market value
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978, (Report enty // great in 1977 or 1972.)  Automobiles  Motoritrucks (Include pickups).  Minel tractors ather than garden tractors and motor tillers.  Grain and bean combines, self-propelled only.  Corn heads for combines.	Of the lotal HOW MANY were manufacts place on ther 31, 1978 ther 37, 1978 (Number)  945  947  949  951	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as harvesting of fruit, vegetables, be contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such es grinding and combining, care picking, etying, stidusting, fertilizing, etc. (Exclude c SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of MARKET VALUE of land and buildi reported in section 1, items 1, 2, and 1. All land owned.	991 992 992 992 992 992 993 994 995 996 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997	such 993 done 5 special series of tand and solitone 995 995 997	mit merket value d buildings
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978, (Reportently Least in 1977 or 1978.)  Automobiles	Of the lotal, HOW MANY were manufactured in the last 5 years (1974–1978); (Number)  945  947  949  951	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as hervesting of fruit, vegetables, be contracted basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, care ploking, drying, situating, factifizing, etc. (Exclude of SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of MARKET VALUE of land and build reported in section 1, items 1, 2, and 1. All land owned  2. All land rented or leased FROM OT	991 992 992 992 992 10 pine primerly for tebor, erries, etc., performe feader, a cooperative of machinery and ruse of equipment as mixing feed, plowin, riffling, spraying, out of cotton ginning. VALUE OF LAND a the CURRENT the CURRENT B. R. S.	such done s, such of one s, sto  S  and  S  S  solution  S  S  solution  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S	mit merket value d buildings
SELECTED machinery and equipment or this place, December 31, 1978, (Record entry traced in 1977 or 1978.)  Automobiles  Mone   Machinery and equipment or this place, December 31, 1978, (Record entry traced in 1977 or 1978.)  Mone   Machinery and Parkage   944  Mone   Machinery and Parkage   945  Mone   Machinery and Parkage   948  Mone   948  Mone   Machinery and Parkage   950  Mone   948  Mone   9	Cf the total, HOW MANY were manufactured by place on ther 31, 1976 of the last 5 years (1974—1976); (Number) 945  947  949  951  953  955	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as harvesting of fruit, vegetables, be contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such es grinding and combining, care picking, etying, stidusting, fertilizing, etc. (Exclude c SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of MARKET VALUE of land and buildi reported in section 1, items 1, 2, and 1. All land owned.	991 992 992 992 992 10 pine primerly for tebor, erries, etc., performe feader, a cooperative of machinery and ruse of equipment as mixing feed, plowin, riffling, spraying, out of cotton ginning. VALUE OF LAND a the CURRENT the CURRENT B. R. S.	such 993 d on 8 s, etc	mit merket value d buildings
**SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978, (Report on this place, December 31, 1978, (Re	Of the lotal HOW MANY were manufacting place on ther 31, 1976 ther 31, 1976 ther 31, 1976 (Number)  945  947  949  951  953  955  957	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as hervesting of fruit, vegetables, be contracted basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, care ploking, drying, situating, factifizing, etc. (Exclude of SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of MARKET VALUE of land and build reported in section 1, items 1, 2, and 1. All land owned  2. All land rented or leased FROM OT	991 992 992 992 992 10 pine primerly for tebor, erries, etc., performe feader, a cooperative of machinery and ruse of equipment as mixing feed, plowin, riffling, spraying, out of cotton ginning. VALUE OF LAND a the CURRENT the CURRENT B. R. S.	such done s, such of one s, sto  S  and  S  S  solution  S  S  solution  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S	mit merket value d buildings
SELECTED machinery and equipment on this place, December 31, 1978. (Nepert on this place, December 31, 1978.)  None  2. Automobiles  3. Motortrucks (Include plokups)  4. Wheel tractors other than garden tractors and motor tillers  5. Grain and been combines, self-propelled only  6. Corn heads for combines  7. Other corn pickers and picker-shellers  8. Cotton pickers (Exclude corton strippers)  956	Cf the total, HOW MANY were manufactured by place on ther 31, 1976 of the last 5 years (1974—1976); (Number) 945  947  949  951  953  955	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as hervesting of fruit, vegetables, be contracted basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, care ploking, drying, situating, factifizing, etc. (Exclude of SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of MARKET VALUE of land and build reported in section 1, items 1, 2, and 1. All land owned  2. All land rented or leased FROM OT	991 992 992 992 992 10 pine primerly for tebor, erries, etc., performe feader, a cooperative of machinery and ruse of equipment as mixing feed, plowin, riffling, spraying, out of cotton ginning. VALUE OF LAND a the CURRENT the CURRENT B. R. S.	such done s, such of one s, sto  S  and  S  S  solution  S  S  solution  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S	mit merket value d buildings
SELECTED machinery and equipment or this place, December 31, 1978, (Report enty II aread in 1977 or 1972.)  Automobiles  Mone 944  Makei tractors other than garden tractors and motor tillers 950  Grain and been combines, self-propelled only 952  Corn heads for combines 954  Corn heads for combines 955  Mover conditioners 955  Mover conditioners 956	Of the lotal HOW MANY were manufacting place on ther 31, 1976 ther 31, 1976 ther 31, 1976 (Number)  945  947  949  951  953  955  957	(1) Worked 150 days or more?  (2) Worked less than 150 days?  8. Contract labor - Include expenditure as hervesting of fruit, vegetables, be contracted basis by a contractor, crew  9. Customwork, machine hire and renta equipment - Include expenditures to for customwork such as grinding and combining, care ploking, drying, situating, factifizing, etc. (Exclude of SECTION 27 ESTIMATED MARKET Please give your best ESTIMATE of MARKET VALUE of land and build reported in section 1, items 1, 2, and 1. All land owned  2. All land rented or leased FROM OT	991 992 992 992 992 10 pine primerly for tebor, erries, etc., performe feader, a cooperative of machinery and ruse of equipment as mixing feed, plowin, riffling, spraying, out of cotton ginning. VALUE OF LAND a the CURRENT the CURRENT B. R. S.	such done s, such of one s, sto  S  and  S  S  solution  S  S  solution  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S	mit merket value d buildings

FORM 78-A1(1)

# **INFORMATION SHEET**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### 1978 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

WE NEED A COMPLETED REPORT FORM FROM EVERYONE receiving this request. Please follow the instructions given below for completing section 1. You will then be able to determine what additional information is to be provided.

- The enclosed census report form is being used by farms and ranches throughout the entire United States. Because it is meant for use in all parts of the country, it may contain sections and inquiries which do not apply to you. In this case, mark the "None" or "No" box and go on to the next item or section.
- 2. If You Receive More Than One Report Form If you have multiple farming operations, you should complete a report for EACH SEPARATE and DISTINCT production unit, that is, each individual farm, ranch, feedlot, greenhouse, etc.; or combination of farms, etc., for which you maintain SEPARATE records of operating expenses and sales, livestock and other inventories, crop acreages, and production. Return any duplicate or extra report forms in the same envelope with the report(s) you complete so we can remove the extra addresses from our mail register. In the space provided to the right of the address label of the report form you complete, write the 11-digit number from the label of the extra form or forms.

#### 3. Partnership Operations

A partnership is an association of two or more persons who have agreed (informally or under legal contract) on the amount of their contributions and profit distributions.

Complete only ONE report for the entire partnership farm and ranch operation, including all partners' shares on the same report. If two or more report forms were received for the partnership see 2 above.

Partners who also have separate operations of their own should complete reports for those separate operations. For additional information on Partnerships see section 19 below.

# 4. How To Enter Your Responses On The Report Form

Please enter your answers in the proper spaces, on the correct lines, and in the units requested, i.e., dollars, bushels, tons, etc. Write any explanation outside the answer spaces or on a separate sheet of paper.

Enter whole numbers unless the "Tenths" column is provided, such as reporting acres of Irish potatoes in section 2. If you have 1/2, 1/4, or 1/3 of an acre, convert to tenths. For example convert 1/2 to 5/10, 1/3 to 3/10, 1/4 to 2/10, etc.

If you do not recall exact figures, please give your best estimate. You may indicate "Est." (for estimated) beside the answer, if you like. For all dollar items, we need only whole dollars, although a "Cents" column is provided for those who wish to report cents.

### COMPLETING THE REPORT FORM

### Section 1 - ACREAGE IN 1978

This section determines the land for which you should report agricultural activities. It also provides space for reporting landlords, renters, and location(s) of agricultural activities.

Changes in the Acres Operated in 1978 – Report all land that YOU USED during 1978, and the crops and livestock production on that land, even if some or all of it was no longer a part of your operation on December 31, 1978.

If you had agriculture operations at any time during 1978, but quit farmingbefore December 31, 1978, report your 1978 crop and livestock production and seles from that land for the portion of the year that you operated the land. Please explain in the margin of the report form (or on separate sheet of paper) that you quit farming or ranching during 1978 and give the approximate date and the name and address of the present operator, if known.

If you acquired land for agricultural operations, but did not use it for livestock or crop production in 1978, include the land in section 1. Please explain in the margin or on a separate sheet of paper.

Completing Items 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Section 1 — Use items 1, 2, and 3 to determine the acres of land that are your responsibility for the purpose of making the agriculture census report. Include all land that you were associated with in 1978, regardless of location or use, but do not include holdings of residential property not associated with agricultural operations. Report all land in whole acres in this section.

Item 1 — Land Owned — Report all land owned in 1978 whether held under title, purchase contract or mortgage, homestead law, or as heir or trustee of an undivided estate, by you and/or your spouse, or by the partnership, corporation, or organization for which you are reporting.

Item 2 — Land Rented or Leased FROM Others — Report all land rented by you, even though the landlord may have supplied equipment, fertilizer, or other materials and/or some supervision of the work

INCLUDE in item 2 -

- Land (for agricultural uses) that you rented FROM others for cash payments
- b. Land worked on a share basis
- Land (owned by someone else) which you used rent-free
- d. Federal, State, Indian, or railroad land rented or leased by the acre

DO NOT INCLUDE in item 2 - Land used on a per-head or animal unit license or permit basis, such as land used under section 3 of the Taylor Grazing Act, National Forest Land, or Indian Reservation Permit Land.

item 3 — Land Rented TO OTHERS — Cash tenants, share tenants, and share croppers are farm operators for census purposes and are responsible for making the agriculture census report for the land they operate.

INCLUDE in item 3 -

- Owned land rented TO others for cash or a share of the crops or livestock
- b. Land which you rented from others and then subleased to others in 1978
- c. Land rented out for residential or other nonfarm purposes, if it was part of the land reported in item 1 or 2
- d. Land worked for you by someone else on a share-of-crop basis
- e. Land which you allowed others to use rentfree

NOTE: Please enter the names and addresses of renters, tenants, and sharecroppers in item 6.

Item 4 – Acres in this Place – This is the sum of the land that you owned in 1978 (item 1), PLUS the land that you rented FROM others (item 2), MINUS the land that you rented TO others (item 3). It is very important that you report this figure correctly (even if "0" acres), since the remainder of your report should cover only those operations on "the Acres in This Place" reported in item 4.

# "Zero Acres in Place" — Should you complete the rest of this form?

If you yourself had crop or livestock activities on the land for any portion of the year, you should complete the rest of the form. See "Changes in Acres Operated in 1978," above.

If all your land was operated by a renter or sharecropper for all of 1978, you do not have to complete the entire form. In this case, you should:

- e. Complete item 6 for renter.
- Skip to section 28 and complete Name, Address, Telephone number, etc.
- c. Explain briefly in the margin or on separate paper that you did not operate a farm or ranch in 1978. For example, "All land rented out," "Sold Farm," "Retired," etc., and give the approximate date that

you quit operating. If you sold your farm, please give the name and address of current owner or operator, if known.

d. Mail the form in the return envelope provided.

Item 7 - Grazing Permits - In some States, government lands and Indian lands are used for grazing livestock under permit or special license, with payments on a per-head or animal unit basis. This land should NOT be included as part of item 2, "Land rented or leased FROM others," or item 4, "Total Acres in This Place." But you should include in your report any livestock located on permit land in 1978,

### Sections 2-8 - CROPS

Sections 2 through 8 provide space for reporting crops harvested during the 1978 crop year from the land shown in section 1, item 4, of your report. Please report your crops in the appropriate section. Do not include any crops grown on land rented, leased, or worked on shares by others during 1978.

Acres Harvested — Enter the acres harvested in 1978. Round fractions to whole acres except where tenths are requested, as for Irish potatoes.

Quantity Harvested — The units of measure on the report form are those most commonly used throughout the country. If you use a different unit of measure, please convert your figure for quantity harvested to the unit requested. If harvest is incomplete by December 31, 1978, please estimate the total quantity harvested.

Crop Irrigation — For each crop irrigated, report number of acres irrigated. Irrigation is defined as land watered by artificial or controlled means—sprinklers, furrows or ditches, spreader dikes, purposeful flooding, etc. Include acres that received supplemental, partial, and preplant irrigation. For any crop not irrigated, leave "Acres irrigated" blank.

### How to Report Crops Harvested

- a. Sections 2 and 3 In these two sections, separate lines are provided for reporting each of several crops widely grown in the United States. Do not write in data for any other crops.
- b. Sections 4—8 In each of these sections, report any of the crops listed in the same section. To report a crop in any of these sections, (1) find the crop name and the code number in the list in the section; (2) enter crop name and code in the first two columns of the first available answer line in the section; (3) enter the information requested in the headings of the remaining columns.

Example: A farmer harvested 20 acres of alfalfa seed, yielding 6,000 pounds, and 30 acres of red clover seed, yielding 8,400 pounds. (He did not irrigate either crop.) He would enter the following in section 8:

SECTION 8 Were any OTHER CROPS harvested from this place in 1978 — rice, presents, leafs seeds, maper cape, as above crops, not previously reported?  1											
From the first below, enter until abecided with crop to		and code. Assort	quantity havested .	•							
Crop name	Code	Acres harvested	Quantity havested	Acres ungared							
alfall sed	542	20	6,000	*							
	671		\$ 400	,							
1,000			•	,							
			1								

If more space is needed, use separate sheet of paper.

Two or More Crops Harvested From The Same Land (Double-Cropping) — Report the total acres and production of each harvested crop in the appropriate section of the report form.

Example: A farmer harvested 1,230 bushels of wheat from 40 acres in 1978, then planted and harvested 1,550 bushels of soybeans from the same 40 acres before the end of the year. He irrigated the soybeans but not the wheat.

Correct entries		Acres	Bushels	Acres irrigated		
Item 3	Wheat	40	1,230			
Item 8	Sovbeens	40	1.550	40		

### Sections 2-8 - CROPS - Continued

Interplanted Crops and "Skip Row" Planting —
If two crops were grown at the same time in
alternating strips in the same field, report the
portion of the field used for each crop.

Example: A 60 acre field was planted in cotton and soybeans, with two rows of cotton followed by an area of the same width planted in soybeans. Thirty acres of soybeans would be reported in section 2, item 8, and 30 acres of cotton in section 2 item 9.

Vegetables — Report acres of vegetables harvested FOR SALE. Do not report acres of vegetables for home use. Report the total acreage of each vegetable crop harvested.

Example: You harvested 10 acres of lettuce from a field in 1978, then replanted the field to lettuce and harvested the 10 acres again. Enter only 10 acres of land from which vegetables were harvested in item 1 of section 5, but write in 20 acres of lettuce harvested in item 2 of section 5.

Fruit and Nuts — In counting the combined total of 20 or more trees and vines, include those for home use as well as those maintained for sale of the production (exclude abandoned trees).

If crops other than fruit and nut trees and vines were interplanted with trees or vines, report the total acres for BOTH the orchard and the interplanted crops harvested.

Example: If 20 acres of cotton were grown in a 30 acre pecan orchard, you would report 20 acres of cotton in section 2, item 9, and 30 acres of pecans in section 4.

### Avocados, Olives, Sugarcane

Avocados – For California report the quantity harvested from November 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978. For Florida report the quantity harvested from April 1978 through March 1979, estimating if necessary.

Olives — Report the quantity harvested from the bloom of 1977 for the October 1977 to March 1978 harvest season.

Sugarcane — For Florida and Texas report cuttings from November 1977 through April 1978. For Louisiana and Hawaii report for the 1978 crop year.

### Section 9 - GROSS VALUE OF CROPS SOLD

Report the values received during 1978, regardless of the year in which the crops were harvested. Give gross values (before deducting taxes and expenses). If full payment was not received for a crop or crops sold in 1978, report only the amount actually received in 1978.

For value of grains and cotton, include as sold the value of government CCC loans received in 1978 but not loans obtained from any other government or private source.

### Section 10 - LAND USE

The purpose of this section is to classify the acres you reported in section 1, item 4, by principal use in 1978. Do not include any acres you rented TO others (reported in section 1, item 3).

Land Used for Multiple Purposes — If part of your land was used for more than one purpose in 1978, report that land on the line for the use first listed, and NOT on the line for the second use. For example, if you plowed under a cover crop, and planted and harvested a grain crop, report the land in item 2a, "Cropland harvested," but NOT as "Cropland used for cover crop, legumes, . . etc." (item 2c).

Double Cropping — When more than one crop was harvested from the same land in 1978, report that land only ONCE as "Cropland Harvested," item 2a of this section.

Interplanted Crops — If you interplanted crops, such as cotton in an orchard, report the total land used for both crops only ONCE, as "Cropland Harvested," in item 2a.

### Section 12-16 - LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY

Animals and Poultry to be included in the Report — Report all animals and poultry on the place on December 31, 1978. Include all owned by you and any kept by you for others. Include animals on unfenced land, on National Forest land, on district land or cooperative grazing association land, or on rangeland administered by the Bureau of Land Management on a per-head or lease basis (such as on wheat pasture). Include poultry (broilers, layers, turkeys, etc.) fed under contract on "This Place." Include livestock and poultry kept on this place for home use, 4H projects, etc.

Number sold — Report all animals and poultry sold or removed from this place including those sold for a landlord or given to a landlord as his share or to others in trade or in payment for goods and services. Include animals of all ages without regard to ownership or who shared in the receipts if the animals were located on the place 30 days or more and were sold or removed from the place in 1978.

Sales from Contract and Custom Feeding Operations — Include animals and poultry (including poultry under contract) fed on this place on a contract or custom basis if they were removed from the place in 1978.

Value of Sales – Be sure to report the total gross value of sales of animals and poultry without deducting cost of feed, cost of livestock purchased, cost of hauling and selling, etc. If the sale price or value is not known, give your best estimate of their value when they left this place.

Animals Moved to Another Place — For animals removed from this place to another place, such as for further feeding, report the number as sold and give your best estimate of their market value when they left this place.

Animals to be Excluded from the Report — Do not report any animals or poultry kept under a share arrangement on land rented TO others in 1978.

Animals Sold from Another Place — Animals owned by you, but held and sold from someone else's place should NOT be included. For example, do not report cattle purchased elsewhere, fed in, and sold from a feedlot not part of this place.

Animals Bought and Sold – Do not include animals bought and resold within 30 days. Such purchases and sales are considered "dealer" transactions.

Fat Cattle Sales — Do not include with fattened cattle in item 3a of section 12:

- Veal calves which were fattened primarily on milk, or
- b. Dairy cows fed only the usual dairy ration before being sold, or
- Cattle and caives that were sold for further feeding

All Other Livestock — Specify the name of any other livestock, animal specialty, or livestock products on or sold from this place that have not been accounted for elsewhere on this form.

Example: Bees sold (colonies, packages, pounds); semen sales, animals bred and sold for laboratory use; birds, frogs, etc.

## Section 19 - TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

Use the following definitions to assist you in determining the type of organization for your operation:

Individual or Family Operation (Sola Proprietorship) — Defined as a farm or business organization controlled and operated by an individual. Includes family operations that are not incorporated and not operated under a partnership agreement.

Partnership Operation — Defined as two or more persons who have agreed on the amount of their contribution (capital and effort) and the distribution of profits. Co-ownership of land by husband and wife or joint filing of income tax forms by husband and wife does not constitute a partnership, unless the agreement to share contributions, decisionmaking, profits, and liabilities exists. Producing products under contract or under a share rental agreement does not constitute a partnership.

Corporation — Defined as a legal entity or artificial person created under the laws of a State to carry on a business. This definition does not include cooperatives.

Other — Such as cooperative (defined as an incorporated or unincorporated enterprise or association created and formed jointly by the members), estate or trust (defined as fund of money or property administered for the benefit of another individual or organization), prison farm, grazing association, Indian reservation, etc.

# Section 20 - OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS AND OCCUPATION

This section applies to the characteristics and occupation of the individual owner, operator, senior partner, or person in charge for the type of organization reported in section 19 of the form.

For Individual or Family Operation (Sole Proprietorship) — Complete this section for the operator.

For Pertnership Operations — Complete items 1 through 5 and 7 (of this section) for the "Senior Partner." The "Senior Partner." is the individual who is mainly responsible for the agricultural operations on this place. Consider the oldest partner as the "Senior Partner" if each shares equally in the day-to-day management decisions. For item 6 (Principal Occupation) consider all members of the partnership together. Please include as "Farming" worktime at all types of agricultural enterprises, including specialties, such as greenhouses, nurseries, mushrooms, ranching, feed lots, broiler feeding, etc.

For Incorporated and Other Operations (Cooperatives, Extates, etc.) — Complete section 20 for the person in charge, such as hired manager, business manager, or other person primarily responsible for the on-site, day-to-day operation of the farm or ranch business.

# Section 22 - COMMERCIAL FERTILIZER

Report acres on which commercial fertilizer was applied during 1978. If some acres where fertilized more than once, report acres ONLY ONCE.

### Section 24 - MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

The estimated market value in item 1 refers to ALL machinery and equipment kept on this place and used for the farm business. The value should be an estimate of what the machinery and equipment would sell for in its present condition, not the replacement or depreciated value.

# Section 26 - SELECTED PRODUCTION EXPENSES

Include expenses paid by you and by anyone else for the production of crops, poultry, livestock, and other agricultural products on this place. Also include expenses incurred even if payment was not made in 1978. Please give estimates if you do not know the exact figures. For example, if you grew broilers or fed cattle for others, include in item 1, as purchases, your best estimate of the value of the baby chicks or cattle at the time they were brought to this place. Also include in item 2, the value and amount of all feed purchased by you or someone else for use on this place.

Do not include expenses related to nonfarm activities (trading and speculation, or livestock dealer activities).

Hired Labor — Expenditures for hired labor should include gross wages or salaries, commissions, paid bonuses, and leave pay before deductions, plus the value of benefits paid by you — Social Security taxes, unemployment insurance, etc. Do not include room and board, house rent, or other items paid in kind.

	ARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	THE	CENSUS BU	Form Approved: O.M.B. No. nee to this inquiry is required by faw (fitte 13 U.S. Code). By the same law YOUR REPOIREAU IS CONFIDENTIAL. It may be seen only by sworn Census employees and may be it purposes. Your report CANNOT be used for purposes of taxation, investigation, or regulates that copies retained in your files are immune from legal process.						
CENSE		G35   G3B			CENSUS U	SE ONLY	Įōs.			
	F AGRICULTURE	039			040	041	04	1		
AREA AREA	SAMPLE	Key ide	intification da	ita						
Part I - IDENTIFICATION		1		TB	I – TYPE OF ORGAN	ZATION	I Castinged			
	apy from A3 Recard Book				pe C - INCORPORATED UNI		Name			
1. State 2. County	3. Segment numb	er 4. A3	Line number	3	STATE LAW		Mailing address - Ro	ute/Box/Stree	t	
5. Name - First, Middle Initial, Last				1	What is the current name		Post Office	State	ZIP code	
6. Mailing   a. Route/Box/Street				1	and mailing address of this corporation?		r ost office	State	211 0000	
b. Post Office		Staté	ZIP code		is this a family-held corporali			∏ NO □ No		
7. What is your (the person listed in item 5) telephane number	8. In what you beg operate of this	gin to any part	Year 060	4.	Are there more than 10 stockh Does this corporation have of [_] YES — Enter location(s) at	her separati	and distinct form and	이 일반하고 없는데 다	uns?	
9. Do you have a Federal YES - Enter to		<u> </u>		1 [	1) Location (State and county)		Name			
Employer Identification Number for this farm or ranch operation?				1	2) Location (State and county)		Name .			
Part II - TYPE OF ORGANIZATI	ON			1 L 5.	During the past two years,		Nama		2	
Mark (X) type of organization as shown in colum for that type. Complete only one part of this pa	ge. Date reported for subse				has this farm or ranch used any name or address other than the current	P-12	Name			
of questionnaire relate only to the specific oper  Type A - INDIVIDUAL OR FAMILY	Name			1	corporation name and mailing address?	∐ NO	Mailing address — Ro	ute/Box/Stree		
OPERATION (Sale proprietorship)  1. For business purposes, YES	Mailing address - Route/	Box/Stree	i	-			Post Office	State	ZIP code	
is this farm or ranch operated under any name   NO	Post Office	State	ZIP code	6.	Does this farm or ranch emplo	y a hired				
other than your own?	T dat diffice	June			manager who is primarily in ci the form or ranch operations?	narge vi	*[ ] YES - Go to Item 7	2 [_] NO	SKIP to Item B	
2. During the past two YES	Name			1 "	Who is the hired manager?  [ ] Person named in part 1,					
mail at any address NO	Mailing address - Routell	Box/Stree	ı	1	item 5 - SKIP to page 2 Other - Specify and		Name			
I have listed?  3. Does this farm or ranch employ a hired	Post Office			and interview 8. Who is primarily in charg			Mailing address — Route/Box/Street			
manager who is primarily in charge of the farm or ranch operations?	1[   YES - Go to 2				the farm or ranch operations? Person named in part 1,		Post Office	State	ZIP code	
	Name	P	age 2	1	item 5 - SKIP to page 2   Other - Specify and end interview	,	-			
4. Who is the hired manager?  ( Person named in part 1,	Mailing address - Route/I	Box/Stree	7	<del>                                     </del>	pe D - OTHER ORGANIZATI	ONS				
item 5 - SKIP to page 2 Other - Specify and SKIP	Post Office	State	ZIP code	1.	What type of organization open	rates or cor	strois the operations o	f this farm or	ranch unit?	
to page 2		State	211 code		ı (_) Estate	4 🗀 State Gover		Other - Speci	יעי	
Type B - PARTNERSHIP OPERATIONS (Include family pertnerships)  1. For business purposes,	Name	2[_] (3 <b>05</b> )					ederal Government			
what name and mulling address is used for this	Mailing address - Route/	Box/Street		2. What is the name and			Name			
partnership?	Post Office	State	ZIP code		mailing address of this organization?	<del>&gt;</del>	Mailing address - R	ess - Route i Box/Street		
2. How many partners are there?	Partners						Post Office	State	ZIP code	
3. What is the name and mailing address of Person named in part 1, item 5 - Do				1			Name			
Other - Specify (Use "Remarks" if				3.	What is the name and mailing address used for this farm or ranch operation?		Mailing address - R	oute/Box/Stre	et	
Name	Name	····		1			Post Office	State	ZIP code	
Mailing address - Route/Box/Street	Mailing address - Ro	oute/Box/.	Street	1						
Post Office State ZIP cod	e Post Office	State	ZIP code	4.	During the past two years, has this farm or ranch		Name			
4. Does this partnership employ a hired ma	nager who is 1171 YES	S - Go to	item 5	1	used any other name or mailing address?	_] NO	Mailing address - R	oute/Box/Stre	el	
primarity in charge of the farm or ranch  5. Who is the hired manager?	operations?	- SKIP to		╛.	flore this principality and	قدرارا د	Post Office	State	ZIP code	
Person named in part 1, item 5 - SKIP to page 2	Name			•.	Does this organization employ manager who is primarily in ci the farm or ranch operations?	harge of	1   YES - Go to	2 [] NC	- SKIP to	
( ) Other — Specify and end Interview—	Mailing address — Route/E	Box/Stree	t	6.	Who is the hired manager?		item 6		Item 7	
6, Which partner is primarily in charge of the farm or ranch operations?	Post Office	State	ZIP code	7	Person named in part 1, item 5 - SKIP to page 2				Ž.	
Person named in part 1, item 5 SKIP to page 2		1	l	1	Other - Specify and end interview -		Name		<u></u>	
Other partner - Specify and end Inter None - Go to item 7	VI BW			7:	Who is primarily in charge of farm or ranch operations?	the	Mailing address - Re	oute / Rox / Stro		
7, Who is the eidest partner? [7] Person named in part 1,	Name			_	Person named in part 1, item 5 - Go to page 2 Other - Specify and	<b>7</b>	Post Office	State	ZIP code	
item 5 — SKIP to page 2  Other — Specify and and interview >	<i>x</i>			1	end interview		Lost Office	State	211 0000	