

Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

MAIL LIST MODEL

Classification analysis was performed to predict the probability that an addressee on the 1992 mail list operated a farm, and thereby separated the preliminary mail list into probable farm and probable nonfarm classes. The analysis was used to reduce the preliminary census mail list of 3.78 million records to a final mail list size of 3.55 million records. All 3.55 million addresses on the final mail list received a census of agriculture report form.

Records from the 1987 final census mail list were used to build a 1992 prediction model for the 1992 analysis. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) software analyzed characteristics of known 1987 farm and nonfarm operations to determine which were most useful in predicting farm and nonfarm classes. Record characteristics such as the source of the mail list record, number of source lists on which the record appeared, expected value of agricultural sales, and geographic location were used to separate mail list records into model groups. (Sources included the previous agriculture census mail list, the Internal Revenue Service administrative records, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and special commodity lists.) The proportion of 1987 census farm records in each model group was calculated to provide an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

After the model groups were defined, each address record on the 1992 preliminary mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms according to the classification tree methodology. The model, followed by analyst reviews, was used to remove 229,700 records from the preliminary mail list (those in model groups with the lowest farm probability), and thereby designated the 3.55 million records with the highest farm probability to receive the census report form. This procedure was used to obtain a more complete census enumeration of farm operations without excessive respondent burden and data collection cost.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

Each of the 3.55 million name and address records on the census mail list was designated to receive one of three different types of census report forms. The three forms were the nonsample form, the screener form, and the

sample form. Sections 1 through 20 and 27 through 32 of the sample form are identical to sections on the nonsample form. The sample form, sections 21 through 26, contains additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income. The screener form is identical to the nonsample form with questions added in section 1 to allow quick identification of nonfarm addresses. These three different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Addresses were selected into the sample with certainty (1) if they were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) if they were multiunit operations (i.e., separate farms in more than one location), (3) if they had other special characteristics, or (4) if they were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1987. Other addresses in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and other addresses in counties containing 200 farms or more in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties. When a nonsample large farm was identified during processing, a supplemental form that contained the additional sample data inquiries was mailed.

To determine which mail list records would receive the screener form, all mail list records not designated for the sample were sorted by model group farm probability as specified by the mail list model. The 412,000 mail list records in the model groups with the lowest probability of being farms and with an expected total value of agricultural product sales less than \$25,000 were designated to receive the screener report form. The remaining mail list records received the nonsample report form.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1992 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures. These estimation procedures accounted for nonresponse to the data collection and for the sample data collection. These procedures are necessary because some farm operators never respond to

the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and the estimates for the sample data are based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

A statistical estimation procedure was used to account for nonrespondent farm operators to the census. We excluded large and unique farm operations that received intensive telephone followup during census processing, assuming complete response from them. A stratified systematic sample of remaining census nonrespondents were contacted by enumerators using a computer-assisted telephone interview system. Five sample strata were defined based on expected value of sales, previous census status, and whether the record was identified by the mail list model to receive the screener report form. The nonresponse survey telephone interview was designed to provide sufficient information to determine the farm status of each record.

In situations where the nonresponse survey case could not be contacted, the contact person refused to cooperate, or when no phone number could be obtained, a screener report form was sent by certified mail.

Estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents that operated farms were made for each stratum in the State using survey results and applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms for each county by stratum was then derived. This estimation procedure is based on the assumption that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county is the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Certain census respondent farms which exhibited "rare" commodities were designated as "ineligible" to represent census nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting operation. The procedure explained below was performed with only the eligible respondent cases: Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms to the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight was never greater than 2.0. The noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final weight for the sample items. The noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record for tabulating the complete count items for publication.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in these tables are the percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided

in these tables do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of item nonresponse is discussed in the Census Nonsampling Error section.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1992

Item	Percent of total
Farmsnumber. .	12.5
Land in farms.....acres. .	3.4
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹\$1,000. .	3.2
Market value of agricultural products sold ..\$1,000. .	2.6
Harvested croplandacres. .	4.5
Corn for grain or seedacres. .	8.0
Wheat for grainacres. .	3.1
Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Cattle and calvesnumber. .	5.0
Hogs and pigsnumber. .	6.9
Hens and pullets of laying age.....number. .	.3

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimates the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. The estimates were obtained from a ratio estimation procedure that resulted in the assignment of a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records in the county.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm would be multiplied by 6. The weight assigned to a sample certainty farm was 1.

Other than certainty farms, within a county, the ratio estimation procedure for farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1992 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. Both the second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were as follows:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure was to classify the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial post strata formed by the three sets of groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample weight equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This weight was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure was to combine, if necessary, the 32 initial post strata to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms after nonresponse adjustment or had a weight greater than two times the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2 or 6, depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2 or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the initial 32 post strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each of the final post strata and were used to calculate final sample weights.

The final step consisted of assigning the noninteger final post stratum weight to the sample farm records in each post stratum. The weight is the ratio of total farm count to sample farm count in each final post stratum. The noninteger sample weight, the product of the noninteger final post stratum weight and the nonresponse weight, was randomly rounded to an integer weight for tabulation. If, for example, the final weight for the farms in a particular post stratum was 7.2, then 0.2 or one-fifth of the sample farms in this post stratum were randomly assigned a weight of 8 and the remaining four-fifths received a weight of 7.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1992 Census of Agriculture is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Sample refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive the sample report forms. Estimates derived from all the possible samples would differ from each other only by random variation.

The standard error or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and thus is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as 100 times the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples were surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary for producing a confidence interval for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is .1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94). If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the figure obtained from a complete enumeration. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. Complete count items were asked of all farm operators. Examples of complete count items were land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Sample count items were asked only of a sample of farm operators. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income.

Variability, measured as percent relative standard error, in the estimates of complete count items is due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. Variability in the estimates of sample count items is due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Thus, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county reporting complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard error for estimated number of farms in a county reporting a complete count item and the bottom half a sample count item. These are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used for complete count items and sample count items. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for all counties in the State. For sample count items, only data

from counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 6 are used in the estimation of the regression equation.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1992

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	5.5
50	3.7
75	2.8
100	2.2
150	1.4
2008
3007
5005
7504
1,0004
1,5003
2,0003
SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	35.6
50	25.2
75	20.7
100	17.9
150	14.7
200	12.8
300	10.5
500	8.3
750	6.9
1,000	6.1
1,500	5.2
2,000	4.7

To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1987 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count item estimates comes only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures; thus, the estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the percent standard error for percent change in State totals from 1987 to 1992. The general

purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1992 and the 1987 estimate for that characteristic to the 1987 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The percent standard error of a percent change estimate, then, is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in (1) the total number of farms, (2) the number of large farms included with certainty, (3) the size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) the amount of nonresponse, (5) the general agricultural characteristics, and (6) the specific characteristic being measured.

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts are affected jointly by sampling errors, described in the previous section, and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures on specific operations. Nonsampling errors arise from incompleteness of the census mail list, duplication in the mail list, incorrect data reporting, errors in editing of reported data, and errors in imputation for missing data. These specific nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Evaluation studies will be conducted to measure the extent of certain nonsampling errors such as coverage error and classification error.

Census Coverage

The main objective of the census of agriculture is to obtain a complete and accurate enumeration of U.S. farms with accurate data on all aspects of the agricultural operation. However, the high cost and availability of resources for enumeration place restrictions on feasible data collection methodologies. The past six agriculture censuses have been conducted by mail enumeration with telephone contact for selected nonrespondents. The completeness of such an enumeration thus depends to a large extent on the coverage of farm operations by the census mail list.

The past five censuses of agriculture have included approximately 91 percent of farms in the United States and approximately 96 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by fluctuations in agricultural operations qualifying for enumeration, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used

by an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, the accuracy of data reporting, and other factors. A new mail list is compiled for each census because no current single list of agricultural operations is comprehensive.

An evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. The evaluation provides estimates of the completeness of census farm count and major census data items. In addition, the evaluation helps to identify problems in the census enumeration and provide information that can form the basis for improvements. The results of the 1992 Coverage Evaluation program will be published in volume 2, Subject Series (Part 2): Coverage Evaluation.

The evaluation of coverage for the 1992 census was designed to measure four components of error in the census mail list and in farm classification. Mail list error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms not on the census mail list (undercount) and a measurement of farms enumerated more than once in the census (overcount). Classification error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms classified as nonfarms in the census (undercount) and of nonfarms classified as farms in the census (overcount). Classification error arises from reporting and processing errors. Mail list undercount dominates all coverage errors. Net coverage error is defined as the difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. Measurements of these errors, as well as a description of the complete coverage program, will be available in the Coverage Evaluation report.

Mail List Coverage

A major problem with mail enumeration for the census of agriculture is the difficulty encountered in compiling a complete mail list. The percentage of farms included on the census mail list varies considerably by State. Several reasons have contributed to farm operator names not being included on the census mail list—the operation may have been started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any of the agriculture-related source lists used in compiling the census list, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout. A large proportion of the farms not included on the mail list are small in both acres and sales of agricultural products.

The 1992 Census of Agriculture Coverage Evaluation used the area segment sample of the 1992 June Agricultural Survey (JAS) of the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS) to estimate farms not on the census mail list. The Census Bureau contracted with NASS to augment the JAS data collection. The survey data collected by NASS will be protected under the confidentiality of title 13, U.S. Code. These JAS survey records were matched to the census mail list. Records that did not match were mailed a census of agriculture report form to estimate mail list

coverage. Estimates of farms not on the census mail list are computed using a capture-recapture dual frame estimator which will be described in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Table G provides coverage evaluation estimates for one component of coverage error associated with the census of agriculture; that is, the error due to farms not on the census mail list. Also provided are estimates of selected characteristics of farms not on the mail list, estimates of characteristics of farms not on the mail list as a percentage of total farms in the State, and the percent relative standard error associated with each estimate. The estimate of total farms in the State is based on census farm count plus the estimated number of farms not on the census mail list. This estimate of total farms in the State was not adjusted for the components of error associated with classification and list duplication error. Estimates of these errors will be made at the regional, rather than the State level, and will be provided in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the mailed census report form or to the questions posed by a telephone enumerator introduce error into the census data. Such incorrect information can lead, in some cases, to incorrect classification of farms. This type of reporting error is measured by the Classification Error Survey discussed later in this section. To reduce all types of reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each addressee. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on tests of the census report form and each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency.

Item Nonresponse

As information flows from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses are identified on the report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the report form that logically should be present may create a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. When information from reporting farms is used to edit or impute for item nonresponse, the data may be biased due to characteristics of the nonreporting respondents differing from those reporting the item. Any attempt to correct the data items may not completely reflect this difference either at the element level (individual farm operation) or on the average.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each report form are sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the report forms includes clerical screening for farm activity, computerized check-in of report forms and follow-up of nonrespondents, keying and transmittal of

completed report forms, computerized editing of inconsistent and missing data, review and correction of individual records referred from the computer edit, review and correction of tabulated data, and electronic data processing. These operations undergo a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible, yet some errors are not detected and corrected.

Classification Error

An evaluation study of classification errors was conducted in the 1992 Census of Agriculture as part of the census coverage evaluation program. A sample of census mail list respondents was selected, and these addresses were reenumerated to determine whether they were a farm or nonfarm. A farm status determination was made based on the evaluation report form and compared with the census farm status which was based on the data reported on the report form. Differences in status were reconciled.

In past censuses, the proportion of farms undercounted due to classification errors was higher for farms with small values of sales. For the 1987 census, the classification error rate was higher for (1) farms with small values of sales, (2) farms with a small number of acres, (3) full-owner farms than part-owner or tenant farms, (4) operators with principal occupation other than farming, and (5) males than females. Results from the 1992 Classification Error Survey will be published in the Coverage Evaluation report.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The Census of Agriculture Complex Edit and Imputation System performs the following functions:

- Ensuring reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, and combinations of commodities.
- Ensuring necessary consistencies are present. There are more than 70 distinct consistency requirements.
- Ensuring geographic, legal, and physical constraints are met.

The system must perform these and similar functions for 900 data keycodes for sample records and 850 data keycodes for nonsample records.

For the 1992 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships were assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several standard industrial classification and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State. The computer records were sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for some sections of the report form was processed by the computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions.

After the initial computer edit, keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data were keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for about 25 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record was reedited.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms -----number--	22 124	.9	Total farm production expenses ----- farms --	22 129	.9
Land in farms -----acres--	13 468 992	.3	----- \$1,000--	2 445 017	.3
Average size of farm -----acres--	609	1.0	Average per farm -----dollars--	110 489	1.0
MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD			Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms --		
Total sales (see text) ----- farms --	22 124	.9	----- \$1,000--	527 312	2.0
----- \$1,000--	2 964 216	.3	Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms --	12 731	.5
Average per farm -----dollars--	133 982	.9	----- \$1,000--	347 903	1.5
Farms by value of sales:			Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms --	4 107	3.1
Less than \$1,000 (see text) ----- farms --	2 503	.9	----- \$1,000--	55 307	1.4
----- \$1,000--	597	1.3	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms --	10 638	1.6
\$1,000 to \$2,499 ----- farms --	2 223	1.0	----- \$1,000--	81 901	.9
----- \$1,000--	3 716	1.0	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms --	11 732	1.5
\$2,500 to \$4,999 ----- farms --	2 186	1.0	----- \$1,000--	190 698	.7
----- \$1,000--	7 866	1.1	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms --	11 435	1.5
\$5,000 to \$9,999 ----- farms --	2 433	1.3	----- \$1,000--	82 374	1.1
----- \$1,000--	17 500	1.3	Petroleum products ----- farms --	20 715	1.0
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms --	2 460	1.5	----- \$1,000--	95 329	.7
----- \$1,000--	34 627	1.6	Electricity ----- farms --	16 250	1.2
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms --	806	1.9	----- \$1,000--	84 103	.8
----- \$1,000--	17 906	1.9	Hired farm labor ----- farms --	10 005	1.6
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms --	1 654	1.7	Contract labor ----- farms --	245 990	.6
----- \$1,000--	52 828	1.7	----- \$1,000--	3 947	2.9
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms --	716	1.9	Repair and maintenance ----- farms --	32 386	1.3
----- \$1,000--	31 880	1.9	----- \$1,000--	18 514	1.1
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms --	2 253	1.5	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms --	133 411	.7
----- \$1,000--	161 692	1.5	----- \$1,000--	9 393	1.8
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms --	2 551	.9	Interest expense ----- farms --	56 439	1.9
----- \$1,000--	405 932	.8	----- \$1,000--	11 980	1.5
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms --	1 239	—	Secured by real estate ----- farms --	157 683	.9
----- \$1,000--	426 871	—	----- \$1,000--	8 521	1.9
\$500,000 or more ----- farms --	1 100	—	Not secured by real estate ----- farms --	90 962	1.3
----- \$1,000--	1 802 801	—	----- \$1,000--	7 532	2.0
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			----- \$1,000--	66 722	1.0
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms --	12 168	1.0	Cash rent ----- farms --	6 082	2.3
----- \$1,000--	1 492 103	.3	----- \$1,000--	100 998	1.2
Grains ----- farms --	8 311	1.0	Property taxes ----- farms --	20 516	1.0
----- \$1,000--	517 822	.4	----- \$1,000--	48 131	1.1
Corn for grain ----- farms --	589	1.5	All other farm production expenses ----- farms --	20 839	1.0
----- \$1,000--	10 176	1.2	----- \$1,000--	260 360	.5
Wheat ----- farms --	6 066	.9	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹		
----- \$1,000--	319 593	.3	All farms -----number--	22 129	.9
Soybeans ----- farms --	—	—	----- \$1,000--	493 797	1.2
----- \$1,000--	—	—	Average per farm -----dollars--	22 314	1.5
Sorghum for grain ----- farms --	3	20.1	Farms with net gains ² -----number--	11 932	1.4
----- \$1,000--	(D)	(D)	----- \$1,000--	575 277	.9
Barley ----- farms --	4 205	1.1	Average net gain -----dollars--	48 213	1.7
----- \$1,000--	117 799	.4	Farms with net losses -----number--	10 197	1.6
Oats ----- farms --	298	1.8	----- \$1,000--	81 480	2.6
----- \$1,000--	(D)	(D)	Average net loss -----dollars--	7 991	3.1
Other grains ----- farms --	2 275	1.1	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
----- \$1,000--	68 936	.7	Government payments ----- farms --	5 698	1.0
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms --	—	—	----- \$1,000--	74 188	.5
----- \$1,000--	—	—	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms --	5 939	2.5
Tobacco ----- farms --	—	—	----- \$1,000--	50 036	3.4
----- \$1,000--	—	—	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms --	2 263	4.3
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms --	6 960	1.0	----- \$1,000--	21 255	5.2
----- \$1,000--	158 792	.7	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms --	2 884	3.9
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms --	789	1.2	----- \$1,000--	21 898	5.1
----- \$1,000--	51 890	.4	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms --	558	8.5
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms --	339	1.6	----- \$1,000--	4 646	8.8
----- \$1,000--	12 424	1.1	Other farm-related income sources ----- farms --	1 298	5.3
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms --	537	1.3	----- \$1,000--	2 236	8.5
----- \$1,000--	31 679	.8	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
Other crops ----- farms --	2 820	.7	Total ----- farms --	797	.9
----- \$1,000--	719 497	.1	----- \$1,000--	23 830	.3
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms --	14 322	1.0			
----- \$1,000--	1 472 113	.3			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms --	409	1.6			
----- \$1,000--	16 312	.5			
Dairy products ----- farms --	1 419	1.3			
----- \$1,000--	334 867	.3			
Cattle and calves ----- farms --	12 230	1.0			
----- \$1,000--	1 036 015	.2			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms --	940	1.3			
----- \$1,000--	9 746	1.3			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms --	1 293	1.2			
----- \$1,000--	19 418	.5			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms --	2 299	1.0			
----- \$1,000--	55 756	.4			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms --	1 120	1.2			
----- \$1,000--	2 107	1.9			

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			TENURE OF OPERATOR		
Total cropland ----- farms ..	19 204	.9	All operators ----- farms ..	22 124	.9
Harvested cropland ----- farms ..	6 301 862	.5	Full owners ----- farms ..	13 468 992	.3
1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	16 023	1.0	Part owners ----- farms ..	13 000	1.0
10 to 19 acres ----- farms ..	4 225 273	.4	Tenants ----- farms ..	4 434 206	.5
20 to 29 acres ----- farms ..	1 825	1.0	Tenants ----- farms ..	6 502	.9
30 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	8 649	1.1	Tenants ----- farms ..	7 670 690	.2
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	1 470	1.2	Tenants ----- farms ..	2 622	1.1
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	19 878	1.2	Tenants ----- farms ..	1 364 096	.5
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	1 178	1.3	OWNED AND RENTED LAND		
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	27 395	1.3	Land owned ----- farms ..	19 592	.9
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 657	1.5	Owned land in farms ----- farms ..	9 165 479	.4
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	61 341	1.5	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	19 502	.9
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	2 414	1.7	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	8 522 632	.4
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	170 487	1.7	Rented or leased land in farms ----- farms ..	9 205	.9
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	2 387	1.6	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	5 235 379	.3
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	335 301	1.6	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	23 104	.8
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	2 747	1.0	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	9 125	.9
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	861 141	.9	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	4 946 360	.3
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	1 384	.4	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	3 170	1.0
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	971 951	.3	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	931 866	.8
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	961	—	OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 769 130	—	Operators by place of residence:		
Cropland:			On farm operated ----- farms ..	16 905	.9
Pasture or grazing only ----- farms ..	9 462	1.1	Not on farm operated ----- farms ..	3 826	1.0
Other cropland ----- farms ..	814 777	1.0	Not reported ----- farms ..	1 393	1.0
Other cropland ----- farms ..	6 896	1.0	Operators by principal occupation:		
Total woodland ----- farms ..	1 261 812	.5	Farming ----- farms ..	13 082	1.0
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured ----- farms ..	2 543	.8	Other ----- farms ..	9 042	.9
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. ----- farms ..	1 033 770	.3	Operators by days worked off farm:		
Irrigated land ----- farms ..	6 247	.9	Any ----- farms ..	11 342	1.0
Acres irrigated:			200 days or more ----- farms ..	7 214	.9
1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	5 811 794	.1	Operators by sex:		
10 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	12 528	1.0	Male ----- farms ..	20 745	.9
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	321 566	.8	Female ----- farms ..	13 006 401	.3
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	15 487	1.0	Female ----- farms ..	1 379	1.1
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	3 260 006	.5	Female ----- farms ..	462 591	.6
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	2 615	1.0	Average age of operator ----- years ..	52.2	1.3
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	12 538	1.0	FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
10 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	4 316	1.1	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	18 534	1.0
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	107 073	1.2	Family held ----- farms ..	6 819 467	.6
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	2 231	1.7	Partnership ----- farms ..	2 074	.9
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	158 107	1.7	Partnership ----- farms ..	2 452 554	.2
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	2 243	1.7	Corporation:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	315 636	1.7	Family held ----- farms ..	1 177	.6
10 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	2 392	1.1	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	2 252 963	.1
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	743 303	1.0	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	40	2.0
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	1 039	.4	Other than family held ----- farms ..	1 137	.7
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	715 560	.3	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	110	2.1
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	651	—	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	111 683	.6
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 207 789	—	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	19	3.5
Harvested cropland irrigated ----- farms ..	12 776	1.0	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	91	2.4
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- farms ..	2 882 199	.5	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	229	1.7
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- farms ..	6 613	1.0	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	1 832 325	.1
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- farms ..	377 807	.8	HIRED FARM LABOR		
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:			Hired workers by days worked:		
Diverted under annual commodity programs ----- farms ..	3 015	.9	150 days or more ----- farms ..	4 947	8.9
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs ----- farms ..	76 255	.3	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	15 453	4.7
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs ----- farms ..	1 919	1.1	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	8 952	14.0
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs ----- farms ..	545 880	.7	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	56 778	6.9
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS ¹			INJURIES AND DEATHS		
Estimated market value of land and buildings ----- farms ..	22 129	.9	Farm-related injuries:		
Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	9 077 459	.9	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	237	1.5
Average per acre ----- dollars ..	410 206	1.3	Hired workers ----- farms ..	280	1.5
Average per acre ----- dollars ..	682	1.2	Hired workers ----- farms ..	354	.7
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT ¹			Hired workers ----- farms ..	637	.5
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ----- farms ..	22 065	.9	Farm-related deaths:		
Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	1 496 916	1.0	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	6	6.0
Average per farm ----- dollars ..	67 841	1.4	Hired workers ----- farms ..	6	6.0
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS ¹			Hired workers ----- farms ..	6	5.8
Commercial fertilizer ----- farms ..	11 701	1.5	Hired workers ----- farms ..	6	5.8
Acres on which used ----- farms ..	3 329 048	.9	Hired workers ----- farms ..	6	5.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS BY SIZE			LIVESTOCK—Con.		
1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	2 785	1.0	Cattle and calves sold ----- farms ..	12 230	1.0
----- acres ..	12 074	1.0	----- number..	1 646 112	.3
10 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	5 017	.9	----- \$1,000..	1 036 015	.2
----- acres ..	126 401	.9	Hogs and pigs inventory ----- farms ..	1 141	1.3
50 to 69 acres ----- farms ..	1 003	1.4	----- number..	67 343	1.5
----- acres ..	58 181	1.4	Hogs and pigs sold ----- farms ..	940	1.3
70 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	1 554	1.5	----- number..	104 723	1.4
----- acres ..	126 231	1.5	----- \$1,000..	9 746	1.3
100 to 139 acres ----- farms ..	1 268	1.6	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory ----- farms ..	1 316	1.2
----- acres ..	147 575	1.6	----- number..	347 678	.4
140 to 179 acres ----- farms ..	1 245	1.6	Sheep and lambs sold ----- farms ..	1 253	1.3
----- acres ..	196 309	1.6	----- number..	282 227	.6
180 to 219 acres ----- farms ..	864	1.9	Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	7 762	.9
----- acres ..	170 752	1.9	----- number..	45 621	1.0
220 to 259 acres ----- farms ..	728	2.0	Horses and ponies sold ----- farms ..	1 801	1.1
----- acres ..	173 980	2.0	----- number..	6 525	5.1
260 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	2 590	1.5	POULTRY		
----- acres ..	942 358	1.5	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory ----- farms ..	1 119	1.2
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	2 270	1.2	----- number..	1 488 472	.1
----- acres ..	1 599 747	1.2	Hens and pullets of laying age ----- farms ..	1 103	1.2
1,000 to 1,999 acres ----- farms ..	1 524	—	----- number..	1 347 715	.1
2,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 098 046	—	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold ----- farms ..	59	3.9
----- acres ..	7 817 338	—	----- number..	27 206	13.2
FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION			CROPS HARVESTED		
Cash grains (011) ----- farms ..	3 398	1.1	Corn for grain or seed ----- farms ..	761	1.4
----- acres ..	2 635 178	.4	----- acres..	38 069	1.1
Field crops, except cash grains (013) ----- farms ..	4 322	.9	----- bushels..	4 547 254	1.0
----- acres ..	2 884 882	.3	Corn for silage or green chop ----- farms ..	1 115	1.2
Vegetables and melons (016) ----- farms ..	202	2.0	----- acres..	58 644	.8
----- acres ..	45 013	1.1	----- tons, green ..	1 240 461	.8
Fruits and tree nuts (017) ----- farms ..	313	1.7	Wheat for grain ----- farms ..	6 106	.9
----- acres ..	23 555	1.1	----- acres..	1 384 893	.3
Horticultural specialties (018) ----- farms ..	238	2.0	----- bushels..	94 094 326	.3
----- acres ..	25 890	2.6	Barley for grain ----- farms ..	5 149	1.1
General farms, primarily crop (019) ----- farms ..	1 231	1.2	----- acres..	691 273	.5
----- acres ..	618 435	.6	Oats for grain ----- farms ..	48 647 384	.5
Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021) ----- farms ..	9 280	1.0	----- acres..	626	1.5
----- acres ..	5 755 888	.4	----- bushels..	20 943	1.5
Dairy farms (024) ----- farms ..	1 169	1.3	----- bushels..	1 403 491	1.6
----- acres ..	352 938	.9	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas ----- farms ..	1 494	1.3
Poultry and eggs (025) ----- farms ..	72	3.5	----- acres..	114 896	1.0
----- acres ..	13 543	1.4	----- cwt..	2 064 725	1.0
Animal specialties (027) ----- farms ..	1 506	1.1	Irish potatoes ----- farms ..	1 616	.6
----- acres ..	122 145	1.6	----- acres..	372 028	.2
General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029) ----- farms ..	393	1.6	Sugar beets for sugar ----- farms ..	119 060 333	.1
----- acres ..	991 525	.3	----- acres..	1 406	.8
LIVESTOCK			----- tons..	202 115	.3
Cattle and calves inventory ----- farms ..	12 527	1.0	----- tons..	4 828 489	.3
----- number..	1 812 720	.5	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) ----- farms ..	11 940	1.1
Beef cows ----- farms ..	8 393	1.1	----- acres..	1 063 292	.8
----- number..	565 016	.7	----- tons, dry ..	3 389 557	.7
Milk cows ----- farms ..	1 990	1.2	Alfalfa hay ----- farms ..	10 337	1.1
----- number..	181 785	.4	----- acres..	834 450	.8
			----- tons, dry ..	2 954 965	.7
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) ----- farms ..	790	1.2
			----- acres..	50 825	.7
			Land in orchards ----- farms ..	472	1.4
			----- acres..	10 939	1.4

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms ----- number ..	12 779	1.1	Total farm production expenses ----- farms ..	12 797	1.0
Land in farms ----- acres ..	11 619 634	.3	Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	2 389 856	.3
Average size of farm ----- acres ..	909	1.1	----- dollars ..	186 751	1.1
MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD			NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹		
Total sales (see text) ----- farms ..	12 779	1.1	All farms ----- number ..	12 797	1.0
Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	2 934 537	.2	Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	519 307	1.2
----- dollars ..	229 637	1.1	----- dollars ..	40 580	1.5
Farms by value of sales:			Farms with net gains ² ----- number ..	9 614	1.4
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms ..	2 460	1.5	----- \$1,000 ..	571 108	.9
----- \$1,000 ..	34 627	1.6	Average net gain ----- dollars ..	59 404	1.7
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms ..	806	1.9	Farms with net losses ----- number ..	3 183	3.3
----- \$1,000 ..	17 906	1.9	----- \$1,000 ..	51 801	3.7
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms ..	1 654	1.7	Average net loss ----- dollars ..	16 274	5.0
----- \$1,000 ..	52 828	1.7	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms ..	716	1.9	Government payments ----- farms ..	4 485	1.0
----- \$1,000 ..	31 880	1.9	----- \$1,000 ..	66 076	.4
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms ..	2 253	1.5	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms ..	3 893	2.9
----- \$1,000 ..	161 692	1.5	----- \$1,000 ..	42 761	3.7
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms ..	2 551	.9	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms ..	1 677	4.6
----- \$1,000 ..	405 932	.8	----- \$1,000 ..	20 180	5.4
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms ..	1 239	—	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms ..	1 654	4.9
----- \$1,000 ..	426 871	—	----- \$1,000 ..	17 827	5.7
\$500,000 or more ----- farms ..	1 100	—	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms ..	276	12.0
----- \$1,000 ..	1 802 801	—	----- \$1,000 ..	3 048	8.6
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			Other farm-related income sources ----- farms ..	1 018	5.5
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms ..	8 977	1.0	----- \$1,000 ..	1 706	5.5
----- \$1,000 ..	1 482 371	.3	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
Grains ----- farms ..	7 276	1.0	Total ----- farms ..	764	.9
----- \$1,000 ..	514 317	.4	----- \$1,000 ..	23 779	.3
Corn for grain ----- farms ..	534	1.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	10 044	1.2			
Wheat ----- farms ..	5 559	.9			
----- \$1,000 ..	317 889	.3			
Soybeans ----- farms ..	—	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	—	—			
Sorghum for grain ----- farms ..	3	20.1			
----- (D) \$1,000 ..	(D)	—			
Barley ----- farms ..	3 719	1.0			
----- \$1,000 ..	116 539	.4			
Oats ----- farms ..	226	1.9			
----- (D) \$1,000 ..	(D)	—			
Other grains ----- farms ..	2 179	1.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	68 612	.7			
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms ..	—	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	—	—			
Tobacco ----- farms ..	—	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	—	—			
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms ..	4 789	1.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	153 736	.7			
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms ..	681	1.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	51 676	.4			
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms ..	157	2.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	12 063	1.2			
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms ..	429	1.4			
----- \$1,000 ..	31 285	.8			
Other crops ----- farms ..	2 755	.7			
----- \$1,000 ..	719 293	.1			
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms ..	8 495	1.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	1 452 167	.2			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms ..	123	2.6			
----- \$1,000 ..	16 208	.5			
Dairy products ----- farms ..	1 387	1.3			
----- \$1,000 ..	334 799	.3			
Cattle and calves ----- farms ..	7 943	1.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	1 020 404	.2			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms ..	483	1.8			
----- \$1,000 ..	9 131	1.4			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms ..	596	1.7			
----- \$1,000 ..	18 409	.5			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms ..	980	1.4			
----- \$1,000 ..	53 215	.4			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms ..	414	1.7			
----- \$1,000 ..	1 291	2.6			

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
Total cropland ----- farms ..	11 806	1.1	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	9 917	1.2
Harvested cropland ----- acres..	5 799 541	.5	Partnership ----- farms ..	5 921 216	.5
Cropland:			Corporation:		
Pasture or grazing only ----- farms ..	5 274	1.3	Family held ----- farms ..	1 063	.6
----- acres..	639 269	1.0	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	2 196 178	.1
Total woodland ----- farms ..	1 182	1.0	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	35	.7
----- acres..	868 339	.2	Other than family held ----- farms ..	93	2.0
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured ----- farms ..	3 792	1.0	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	101 475	.5
----- acres..	4 687 524	.1	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	19	3.5
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. ----- farms ..	7 142	1.2	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	74	2.4
----- acres..	264 230	.7	----- acres..	80	3.0
Irrigated land ----- farms ..	9 961	1.1	----- acres..	1 052 169	.1
Harvested cropland irrigated ----- farms ..	3 120 023	.5			
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- farms ..	9 193	1.1	HIRED FARM LABOR		
----- acres..	2 810 610	.5	Hired workers by days worked:		
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:			150 days or more ----- farms ..	4 327	7.9
Diverted under annual commodity programs ----- farms ..	2 867	.9	----- workers..	14 768	4.3
----- acres..	75 699	.3	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	6 964	13.8
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs ----- farms ..	1 309	.9	----- workers..	52 922	6.4
----- acres..	394 927	.4			
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS ¹			INJURIES AND DEATHS		
Estimated market value of land and buildings ----- farms ..	12 797	1.0	Farm-related injuries:		
----- \$1,000..	7 829 191	1.0	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	187	1.4
Average per farm ----- dollars	611 799	1.4	----- number..	226	1.4
Average per acre ----- dollars	681	1.3	Hired workers ----- farms ..	337	.6
			----- number..	617	.4
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT ¹			Farm-related deaths:		
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ----- farms ..	12 797	1.0	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	5	7.2
----- \$1,000..	1 364 439	1.0	----- number..	(D)	(D)
Average per farm ----- dollars	106 622	1.4	Hired workers ----- farms ..	6	5.8
			----- number..	(D)	(D)
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹			FARMS BY SIZE		
Commercial fertilizer ----- farms ..	8 985	1.5	1 to 9 acres -----	526	1.8
----- acres on which used ..	3 265 156	.9	10 to 49 acres -----	1 181	1.4
			50 to 69 acres -----	458	1.9
TENURE OF OPERATOR			70 to 99 acres -----	969	1.8
All operators ----- farms ..	12 779	1.1	100 to 139 acres -----	858	1.9
----- acres..	11 619 634	.3	140 to 179 acres -----	889	1.8
Full owners ----- farms ..	5 796	1.3	180 to 219 acres -----	651	2.0
----- acres..	3 590 700	.5	220 to 259 acres -----	552	2.1
Part owners ----- farms ..	5 108	.9	260 to 499 acres -----	2 117	1.5
----- acres..	7 026 893	.2	500 to 999 acres -----	1 985	1.2
Tenants ----- farms ..	1 875	1.2	1,000 to 1,999 acres -----	1 416	—
----- acres..	1 002 041	.6	2,000 acres or more -----	1 177	—
OWNED AND RENTED LAND			FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION		
Land owned ----- farms ..	10 969	1.1	Cash grains (011) -----	2 685	1.1
----- acres..	7 882 867	.4	Field crops, except cash grains (013) -----	2 768	.9
Owned land in farms ----- farms ..	10 904	1.1	Vegetables and melons (016) -----	150	2.1
----- acres..	7 438 613	.3	Fruits and tree nuts (017) -----	108	2.5
Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	7 037	.9	Horticultural specialties (018) -----	154	2.4
----- acres..	4 464 373	.3	General farms, primarily crop (019) -----	865	1.4
----- landlords..	19 784	.8	Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021) -----	4 602	1.3
Rented or leased land in farms ----- farms ..	6 984	.9	Dairy farms (024) -----	1 156	1.3
----- acres..	4 181 021	.3	Poultry and eggs (025) -----	18	5.8
Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	1 857	1.1	Animal specialties (027) -----	205	2.4
----- acres..	727 606	.8	General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029) -----	68	3.2
			LIVESTOCK		
OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS			Cattle and calves inventory ----- farms ..	7 827	1.2
Operators by place of residence:			----- number..	1 723 653	.5
On farm operated -----	9 699	1.1	Beef cows ----- farms ..	5 284	1.2
Not on farm operated -----	2 337	1.1	----- farms ..	526 582	.7
Not reported -----	743	1.1	Milk cows ----- farms ..	1 641	1.3
Operators by principal occupation:			----- number..	181 164	.4
Farming -----	10 031	1.0	Cattle and calves sold ----- farms ..	7 943	1.2
Other -----	2 748	1.4	----- number..	1 608 992	.3
Operators by days worked off farm:			----- \$1,000..	1 020 404	.2
Any -----	4 938	1.3	Hogs and pigs inventory ----- farms ..	556	1.7
200 days or more -----	2 411	1.5	----- number..	60 794	1.6
Operators by sex:			Hogs and pigs sold ----- farms ..	483	1.8
Male -----	12 297	1.1	----- number..	95 961	1.5
Female -----	482	1.6	----- \$1,000..	9 131	1.4
Average age of operator ----- years ..	51.4	1.5	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory ----- farms ..	610	1.7
			----- number..	324 559	.4
			Sheep and lambs sold ----- farms ..	584	1.7
			----- number..	263 758	.6
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	3 679	1.2
			----- number..	23 686	1.2
			Horses and ponies sold ----- farms ..	750	1.4
			----- number..	4 189	7.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. **Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
POULTRY			CROPS HARVESTED—Con.		
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory -----farms --	348	1.9	Barley for grain ----- farms --	4 574	1.1
-----number--	1 466 229	.1	-----acres--	678 387	.5
Hens and pullets of laying age -----farms --	344	1.9	-----bushels--	48 025 705	.4
-----number--	1 332 586	.1	Oats for grain ----- farms --	493	1.5
-----farms --			-----acres--	19 066	1.6
-----number--			-----bushels--	1 320 557	1.6
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold -----farms --	23	6.1	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas -----farms --	1 419	1.3
-----number--	17 926	18.6	-----acres--	113 616	1.0
			-----cwt--	2 048 968	1.0
			-----farms --	1 589	.6
			-----acres--	371 762	.1
			-----cwt--	119 050 338	.1
			Sugar beets for sugar ----- farms --	1 381	.8
			-----acres--	201 931	.3
			-----tons--	4 825 521	.3
CROPS HARVESTED			Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass		
Corn for grain or seed -----farms --	691	1.4	silage, green chop, etc. (see text) -----farms --	7 837	1.2
-----acres--	37 254	1.1	-----acres--	964 720	.8
-----bushels--	4 486 934	1.0	-----tons, dry--	3 208 709	.7
Corn for silage or green chop -----farms --	1 052	1.2	Alfalfa hay ----- farms --	7 112	1.2
-----acres--	57 450	.8	-----acres--	767 750	.8
-----tons, green--	1 224 405	.8	-----tons, dry--	2 812 375	.7
Wheat for grain -----farms --	5 582	.9	Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) -----farms --	682	1.2
-----acres--	1 369 677	.3	-----acres--	50 509	.7
-----bushels--	93 535 129	.3	Land in orchards ----- farms --	183	2.0
			-----acres--	9 011	1.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1987 to 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate
Farms..... number..	-8.4	1.0	-6.5	1.1
Land in farms..... acres..	-3.3	.4	-3.5	.3
Average size of farm..... acres..	5.5	1.2	3.2	1.3
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :				
Average per farm.....dollars..	21.9	2.0	20.3	2.1
Average per acre.....dollars..	19.2	2.1	19.7	2.3
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :				
Average per farm.....dollars..	22.6	2.2	23.0	2.4
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres.....	-7.8	1.3	-7.7	2.1
10 to 49 acres.....	-4.8	1.2	17.2	2.0
50 to 179 acres.....	-10.8	1.4	-4.2	1.7
180 to 499 acres.....	-11.3	1.5	-12.9	1.5
500 to 999 acres.....	-7.2	1.2	-5.4	1.2
1,000 to 1,999 acres.....	-10.2	—	-11.1	—
2,000 acres or more.....	-2.5	(L)	-2.3	(L)
Total cropland.....farms..	-8.9	1.0	-7.7	1.1
Harvested cropland.....acres..	-6.5	.5	-6.7	.5
.....farms..	-12.3	1.0	-9.5	1.1
.....acres..	-2.8	.5	-1.8	.5
Irrigated land.....farms..	-6.8	1.0	-5.4	1.2
.....acres..	1.3	.6	2.0	.6
Market value of agricultural products sold.....\$1,000..	30.6	.4	31.2	.4
Average per farm.....dollars..	42.5	1.6	40.3	1.7
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops.....\$1,000..	36.0	.4	36.6	.4
Livestock, poultry, and their products.....\$1,000..	25.6	.3	26.1	.3
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500.....	-11.3	.8	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999.....	-13.2	1.3	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	-7.4	1.4	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999.....	-10.4	1.6	-10.4	1.6
\$25,000 to \$49,999.....	-14.8	1.6	-14.8	1.6
\$50,000 to \$99,999.....	-19.2	1.4	-19.2	1.4
\$100,000 to \$249,999.....	-5.2	.9	-5.2	.9
\$250,000 to \$499,999.....	12.6	—	12.6	—
\$500,000 or more.....	66.2	—	66.2	—
Total farm production expenses ¹\$1,000..	31.3	1.3	32.7	1.4
Average per farm.....dollars..	43.2	1.6	41.8	1.7
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹farms..	-8.3	1.0	-6.4	1.0
.....\$1,000..	27.3	2.6	24.4	2.3
Average per farm.....dollars..	38.9	3.2	32.9	2.9
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming.....	-10.1	1.0	-9.6	1.0
Other.....	-5.7	1.1	6.8	1.8
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any.....	-10.1	4.6	-6.1	4.9
200 days or more.....	-4.5	4.9	8.7	5.7
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory.....farms..	-7.1	1.1	-4.3	1.2
.....number..	2.3	.6	3.1	.5
Beef cows.....farms..	-2.5	1.2	3.3	1.4
.....number..	1.2	.8	1.9	.7
Milk cows.....farms..	-28.1	1.0	-23.0	1.1
.....number..	15.3	.5	16.0	.5
Cattle and calves sold.....farms..	-8.2	1.1	-5.4	1.2
.....number..	9.6	.4	10.4	.4
Hogs and pigs inventory.....farms..	-9.3	1.5	-20.0	1.6
.....number..	-12.4	1.6	-13.0	1.7
Hogs and pigs sold.....farms..	-15.5	1.4	-22.0	1.6
.....number..	-12.1	1.5	-13.1	1.6
Sheep and lambs inventory.....farms..	-10.1	1.4	-7.4	1.8
.....number..	10.0	.6	11.5	.6
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory.....farms..	-39.2	.9	-47.4	1.1
.....number..	4.4	.1	4.7	.1
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold.....farms..	-30.6	3.5	-17.9	6.4
.....number..	211.5	44.8	384.5	93.8
Selected crops harvested:				
Wheat for grain.....farms..	-20.8	.8	-17.5	.8
.....acres..	11.7	.4	12.7	.4
Barley for grain.....bushels..	13.0	.4	13.7	.4
.....farms..	-34.4	.8	-32.7	.8
.....acres..	-17.0	.4	-16.3	.4
Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas.....bushels..	-18.2	.4	-17.5	.4
.....farms..	-26.9	1.1	-25.6	1.1
.....acres..	-28.2	.8	-28.1	.8
Irish potatoes.....cwt..	-33.3	.7	-33.0	.7
.....farms..	-9.8	.7	-9.0	.7
.....acres..	5.5	.2	5.6	.2
Sugar beets for sugar.....cwt..	19.8	.2	19.9	.2
.....farms..	6	1.0	9	1.0
.....acres..	20.1	.4	20.1	.4
.....tons..	11.8	.4	11.9	.4
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text).....farms..	-13.0	1.0	-10.1	1.2
.....acres..	-9.4	.8	-8.1	.8
.....tons, dry..	-4.9	.8	-3.1	.8

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho -----	22 124	.9	13 468 992	.3	609	1.0	410 206	1.3	1 496 916	1.0
Ada -----	1 174	.8	232 879	.6	198	1.0	270 110	4.2	43 452	4.2
Adams -----	271	.6	221 209	.4	816	.7	354 016	6.4	7 262	7.2
Bannock -----	588	1.0	325 338	.5	553	1.2	240 450	5.4	23 999	8.6
Bear Lake -----	415	1.0	269 435	.7	649	1.2	229 211	4.8	17 651	12.1
Benewah -----	195	.8	111 510	.8	572	1.1	461 280	5.1	12 295	15.5
Bingham -----	1 282	.8	1 371 605	.1	1 070	.8	549 450	1.7	122 215	2.3
Blaine -----	221	1.0	266 293	.4	1 205	1.1	879 552	8.9	11 036	5.9
Boise -----	67	.6	80 333	.6	1 199	.8	796 002	3.4	2 249	4.4
Bonner -----	476	.7	150 021	.8	315	1.1	333 200	6.5	11 840	13.6
Bonneville -----	775	.8	453 647	.4	585	.9	469 111	4.1	57 601	2.3
Boundary -----	285	.6	72 664	1.2	255	1.4	303 875	15.8	10 579	9.1
Butte -----	188	1.0	159 358	.7	848	1.2	397 417	8.9	14 818	14.8
Camas -----	93	.8	129 490	.5	1 392	1.0	526 685	3.9	5 514	5.1
Canyon -----	1 873	.8	391 050	.4	209	.9	300 649	2.7	119 785	3.5
Caribou -----	384	.9	587 693	.3	1 530	.9	446 064	4.1	35 647	11.0
Cassia -----	788	1.0	666 342	.3	846	1.1	584 604	2.6	91 915	1.6
Clark -----	85	1.0	286 711	.2	3 373	1.0	1 075 882	2.1	10 396	1.8
Clearwater -----	210	.7	103 246	.9	492	1.1	255 149	6.5	6 058	12.4
Custer -----	267	1.4	140 701	1.0	527	1.8	423 414	18.7	16 104	21.7
Elmore -----	285	.8	353 528	.3	1 240	.8	561 410	3.1	29 010	1.7
Franklin -----	619	1.2	230 086	.9	372	1.5	229 503	5.9	35 268	9.3
Fremont -----	495	1.2	380 928	.5	770	1.3	482 637	3.5	49 817	3.5
Gem -----	531	.7	197 176	.5	371	.9	329 565	12.1	17 706	7.0
Gooding -----	683	1.1	227 114	.7	333	1.3	348 302	3.0	54 183	3.1
Idaho -----	662	.7	744 295	.3	1 124	.8	528 794	5.1	34 432	9.0
Jefferson -----	766	1.0	311 296	.5	406	1.1	355 240	3.9	46 503	5.5
Jerome -----	815	1.2	207 552	.8	255	1.5	342 216	2.6	64 102	3.8
Kootenai -----	541	.6	131 281	1.1	243	1.2	363 930	16.3	20 774	8.8
Latah -----	610	.5	347 293	.5	569	.7	409 108	3.8	32 727	5.2
Lemhi -----	333	1.0	193 908	.8	582	1.2	359 086	6.3	15 249	8.1
Lewis -----	177	.7	211 039	.4	1 192	.8	736 744	2.7	21 192	3.5
Lincoln -----	302	1.6	132 429	1.4	439	2.1	287 007	7.1	18 055	5.2
Madison -----	505	1.3	224 369	.6	444	1.4	545 740	2.6	56 307	3.1
Minidoka -----	774	.6	208 161	.4	269	.7	336 218	2.5	76 764	2.6
Nez Perce -----	345	.6	477 839	.3	1 385	.7	721 748	5.8	32 610	9.1
Oneida -----	313	1.4	271 143	.8	866	1.6	294 233	8.4	16 355	11.7
Owyhee -----	561	1.1	752 032	.2	1 341	1.1	540 485	7.1	40 388	3.9
Payette -----	562	.6	148 776	.4	265	.7	230 254	4.1	23 088	4.7
Power -----	292	.4	435 069	.2	1 490	.4	874 825	3.5	58 535	5.6
Shoshone -----	42	.6	4 428	2.0	105	2.1	196 119	4.4	939	4.4
Teton -----	257	.9	134 788	.8	524	1.2	531 242	6.7	17 470	14.5
Twin Falls -----	1 457	1.0	489 993	.6	336	1.1	338 149	4.0	89 237	3.6
Valley -----	107	1.0	78 813	.8	737	1.3	632 268	7.7	4 092	16.3
Washington -----	453	.8	556 131	.2	1 228	.8	638 659	3.3	21 695	6.0
	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
							Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho -----	67 841	1.4	2 964 216	.3	133 982	.9	22 129	.9	2 445 017	.3
Ada -----	37 394	4.3	97 173	.3	82 771	.9	1 174	.9	85 706	1.5
Adams -----	28 256	8.1	10 747	.9	39 657	1.0	271	.9	8 609	5.4
Bannock -----	40 815	8.8	25 913	.6	44 069	1.2	588	1.5	19 074	3.4
Bear Lake -----	42 532	12.2	14 310	.8	34 483	1.3	415	1.1	12 544	7.6
Benewah -----	63 053	15.5	12 579	.9	64 507	1.2	195	1.3	11 769	9.1
Bingham -----	95 705	2.5	215 446	.2	168 055	.8	1 283	.8	164 239	.7
Blaine -----	49 936	6.2	26 587	.5	120 301	1.2	221	1.8	22 425	2.2
Boise -----	33 569	5.3	3 558	1.8	53 100	1.9	67	3.0	3 193	1.5
Bonner -----	24 822	13.6	6 025	2.1	12 657	2.2	477	.9	5 054	7.7
Bonneville -----	74 324	2.5	101 701	.3	131 226	.9	775	.8	79 734	1.3
Boundary -----	37 118	9.2	11 900	1.0	41 756	1.2	285	1.2	9 238	3.2
Butte -----	78 818	15.2	19 380	1.0	103 083	1.4	188	3.6	17 026	10.0
Camas -----	59 295	5.6	4 280	1.2	46 027	1.4	93	2.4	5 111	4.4
Canyon -----	63 988	3.6	262 178	.2	139 978	.8	1 872	.7	217 396	.6
Caribou -----	92 591	11.1	36 781	.6	95 784	1.1	385	1.3	28 698	3.0
Cassia -----	116 643	2.3	284 333	.2	360 829	1.1	788	1.6	250 144	.4
Clark -----	122 305	2.5	36 718	.1	431 976	1.0	85	1.7	27 221	1.1
Clearwater -----	28 711	12.4	4 604	2.1	21 923	2.2	211	1.1	4 143	18.9
Custer -----	60 315	21.7	14 085	1.4	52 754	2.0	268	1.4	11 802	11.6
Elmore -----	101 789	2.4	265 116	.1	930 231	.8	285	1.7	249 361	.2
Franklin -----	57 068	9.3	45 001	.9	72 700	1.5	618	1.2	34 625	3.9
Fremont -----	102 293	4.1	86 126	.4	173 992	1.2	495	1.7	69 750	1.8
Gem -----	33 281	7.1	29 510	.6	55 574	.9	532	.9	25 834	3.3
Gooding -----	79 331	3.3	201 918	.2	295 635	1.1	683	1.2	161 715	.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	52 012	9.0	29 906	.5	45 175	.9	662	.8	27 711	3.2
Jefferson	60 630	5.6	91 177	.4	119 030	1.1	767	1.1	74 340	1.7
Jerome	78 653	4.0	174 324	.3	213 895	1.3	815	1.0	140 185	1.3
Kootenai	38 398	8.8	17 037	1.0	31 492	1.2	541	.9	14 516	6.0
Latah	53 651	5.3	39 662	.6	65 020	.8	610	.7	28 681	4.3
Lemhi	45 794	8.3	18 656	.9	56 025	1.3	333	1.8	13 888	5.1
Lewis	119 729	3.9	19 525	.4	110 312	.8	177	1.6	16 141	1.5
Lincoln	59 983	5.5	38 158	.9	126 350	1.8	302	1.8	32 172	2.7
Madison	111 279	3.3	73 198	.4	144 947	1.4	506	1.2	55 784	2.2
Minidoka	99 307	2.8	129 253	.3	166 994	.7	773	1.1	101 533	1.2
Nez Perce	94 523	9.2	33 917	.5	98 310	.8	345	.9	28 935	3.2
Oneida	52 930	11.9	13 188	1.3	42 135	1.9	313	1.6	11 856	13.7
Owyhee	71 993	4.0	96 557	.3	172 116	1.1	561	1.0	81 419	1.1
Payette	41 155	4.8	43 223	.5	76 910	.8	561	.9	34 213	1.5
Power	200 464	5.6	98 443	.1	337 135	.4	292	.7	77 738	1.7
Shoshone	22 356	5.4	359	2.0	8 546	2.1	42	3.2	427	2.9
Teton	67 978	14.6	20 193	.8	78 573	1.2	257	1.5	14 751	3.7
Twin Falls	62 013	3.9	170 499	.5	117 020	1.1	1 457	1.3	130 987	1.1
Valley	38 238	16.4	6 511	1.2	60 847	1.6	107	1.5	6 183	6.6
Washington	47 787	6.2	34 459	.5	76 069	.9	454	1.3	29 145	4.0

Farm production expenses¹—Con.

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	8 621	2.0	527 312	.5	12 731	1.5	347 903	.7	10 638	1.6	81 901	.9
Ada	479	7.2	28 235	3.0	674	4.8	16 239	1.7	433	7.4	1 160	6.7
Adams	140	11.7	2 443	12.6	199	6.7	1 265	6.1	99	15.1	56	16.3
Bannock	270	11.5	1 821	28.1	351	9.3	1 983	14.2	207	14.2	955	5.6
Bear Lake	166	13.8	1 886	19.3	258	7.7	2 341	19.3	213	9.5	219	18.3
Benewah	34	34.6	150	15.9	107	11.1	415	17.8	80	18.3	444	20.4
Bingham	534	7.0	14 385	3.7	807	4.3	10 459	4.4	585	5.2	10 414	2.4
Blaine	86	22.0	2 707	5.6	125	15.8	2 203	4.8	103	14.0	1 144	3.0
Boise	22	6.0	508	1.6	40	4.1	404	1.4	17	4.8	186	.3
Bonner	137	17.8	411	25.3	307	6.4	697	18.3	122	19.7	105	16.2
Bonneville	236	10.9	11 382	3.6	394	7.9	4 246	3.4	415	6.4	4 788	5.0
Boundary	124	13.2	655	17.1	186	8.2	851	5.7	105	11.2	452	4.6
Butte	83	18.9	796	52.6	115	12.6	1 247	23.2	121	14.5	642	14.1
Camas	23	15.5	1 846	1.4	36	10.2	316	9.7	43	8.3	89	7.8
Canyon	637	6.8	49 562	1.1	855	5.4	29 519	3.3	923	4.3	5 325	4.8
Caribou	147	15.6	2 278	14.8	246	10.2	2 676	15.3	157	13.4	1 160	2.6
Cassia	288	11.4	96 805	.3	392	7.5	32 998	1.0	510	5.6	6 608	.5
Clark	37	11.1	2 502	11.4	60	4.3	1 445	5.7	26	13.0	1 242	.4
Clearwater	73	20.6	275	19.3	144	10.2	236	10.7	64	15.3	173	21.4
Custer	95	21.8	2 239	38.6	168	10.2	1 223	11.3	83	24.2	117	25.6
Elmore	103	17.9	(D)	(D)	188	10.0	(D)	(D)	130	15.2	2 197	1.5
Franklin	283	9.7	4 057	16.1	347	7.1	9 978	3.1	283	9.1	511	11.9
Fremont	230	12.5	7 528	8.7	278	10.0	4 664	9.1	227	11.4	4 357	4.2
Gem	266	9.8	3 106	14.2	323	8.2	5 725	3.2	219	12.0	405	8.5
Gooding	351	7.4	43 915	1.9	453	5.4	45 076	1.6	343	7.7	2 793	3.8
Idaho	228	11.1	3 410	9.9	438	6.1	4 184	11.1	307	7.7	1 178	9.0
Jefferson	321	8.7	15 516	1.6	453	6.4	6 115	8.2	437	6.0	2 712	4.7
Jerome	436	8.2	18 138	3.8	482	7.6	34 830	.6	490	4.8	4 515	2.6
Kootenai	163	13.3	352	24.0	312	7.4	487	14.5	135	14.7	490	11.4
Latah	128	14.4	417	10.3	300	7.4	1 305	18.4	271	5.1	1 595	6.1
Lemhi	161	11.6	1 244	22.2	282	5.5	2 496	9.4	84	16.3	112	20.1
Lewis	42	9.8	188	5.0	88	7.4	319	7.7	118	3.7	1 072	3.2
Lincoln	149	13.3	4 504	9.0	210	9.0	5 202	6.9	226	8.4	1 056	4.8
Madison	204	11.9	1 498	13.2	239	9.4	1 587	3.2	341	4.3	4 122	3.5
Minidoka	301	10.6	7 786	9.8	381	7.5	6 803	8.8	481	5.2	5 039	2.0
Nez Perce	95	17.9	2 789	18.5	166	12.4	1 449	14.0	225	7.7	1 500	7.4
Oneida	138	16.4	893	34.5	194	10.9	1 292	27.3	156	14.5	178	17.0
Owyhee	222	13.5	20 241	1.9	354	7.2	12 968	2.8	260	8.1	1 951	5.1
Payette	257	9.9	2 967	5.0	284	8.7	5 389	6.8	200	8.7	891	3.0
Power	92	18.9	(D)	(D)	143	10.1	4 968	4.2	145	10.5	3 832	1.3
Shoshone	19	5.1	47	8.6	30	3.8	(D)	(D)	6	8.3	1	7.7
Teton	81	24.2	569	16.9	157	12.5	1 268	31.3	95	18.6	916	4.8
Twin Falls	554	8.1	22 114	3.9	836	4.8	23 275	3.7	921	3.9	4 292	3.8
Valley	36	13.3	1 996	7.4	62	9.2	845	10.4	16	27.1	40	33.3
Washington	150	13.3	3 615	10.5	267	7.0	4 082	10.0	216	9.1	863	24.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	11 732	1.5	190 698	.7	11 435	1.5	82 374	1.1	20 715	1.0	95 329	.7
Ada	454	7.4	3 636	9.3	575	6.0	1 258	4.0	1 075	2.2	2 646	4.0
Adams	74	20.4	180	25.8	109	15.2	67	21.9	257	2.5	471	5.9
Bannock	220	14.3	1 714	4.9	253	12.0	796	15.6	544	3.4	1 209	3.7
Bear Lake	104	20.6	215	19.9	161	14.9	274	22.4	378	3.4	1 214	6.5
Benewah	114	12.2	2 175	15.5	106	10.8	1 919	16.7	195	1.3	755	6.2
Bingham	694	4.5	23 161	.8	671	4.8	7 913	1.3	1 167	2.0	7 572	1.6
Blaine	84	17.2	940	5.3	77	21.4	659	1.7	1 175	8.7	1 043	4.4
Boise	19	6.1	49	10.4	23	4.2	29	5.3	63	3.1	133	2.5
Bonner	215	12.4	222	9.0	103	17.3	51	10.1	444	2.7	439	11.7
Bonneville	534	5.3	9 139	2.3	453	6.0	3 989	3.3	736	2.1	3 731	2.1
Boundary	165	8.6	728	3.2	112	11.1	378	12.3	263	2.9	517	4.8
Butte	112	15.6	1 247	11.8	96	17.5	446	17.5	187	3.6	914	8.5
Camas	17	16.9	229	22.2	35	13.5	65	25.4	81	3.5	223	5.5
Canyon	956	4.4	16 004	2.3	985	4.4	8 186	2.6	1 721	1.6	8 079	1.8
Caribou	167	14.7	2 752	4.9	194	13.4	1 319	8.5	348	3.5	1 946	5.0
Cassia	513	5.1	15 909	1.4	537	6.1	6 067	2.3	776	1.9	6 246	2.6
Clark	35	13.1	4 034	.2	31	15.6	867	1.0	80	1.7	994	1.3
Clearwater	95	14.0	498	26.4	87	16.0	372	29.2	203	3.2	344	11.7
Custer	108	19.3	595	22.8	84	20.8	119	25.5	228	7.4	935	12.4
Elmore	149	13.2	5 172	1.4	89	15.7	2 767	1.8	264	5.1	2 482	1.5
Franklin	257	9.3	837	13.2	398	7.1	594	14.3	577	2.8	1 878	5.1
Fremont	244	11.0	8 249	3.3	203	12.6	3 864	3.9	467	3.2	3 142	4.2
Gem	259	10.1	1 179	9.0	240	10.7	523	16.5	492	3.0	955	7.2
Gooding	346	8.3	4 167	3.5	318	8.3	1 969	5.0	640	2.4	3 163	3.5
Idaho	430	5.1	2 875	6.6	310	7.7	1 671	8.0	642	1.8	1 931	3.8
Jefferson	502	6.0	7 170	3.2	388	7.5	2 415	2.9	746	1.8	3 357	3.7
Jerome	537	4.7	7 852	3.4	503	5.4	3 459	7.7	775	2.2	4 235	2.9
Kootenai	209	10.6	2 164	9.0	168	13.4	1 001	16.4	497	3.1	946	6.3
Latah	386	5.7	4 854	6.3	361	6.5	3 653	7.0	567	2.5	2 115	4.8
Lemhi	159	10.8	599	7.6	57	23.4	116	37.6	319	2.6	929	5.1
Lewis	143	2.8	3 250	2.0	127	3.2	1 794	3.4	168	2.3	1 056	2.4
Lincoln	145	14.4	1 868	5.5	110	15.4	813	2.3	289	3.7	1 632	3.4
Madison	351	5.3	9 068	2.0	296	6.4	2 569	8.5	459	2.6	2 781	2.9
Minidoka	514	5.4	12 899	2.2	514	5.5	4 857	2.2	737	2.4	4 982	1.7
Nez Perce	236	6.9	4 446	5.4	251	4.6	3 294	11.6	322	3.7	1 906	3.9
Oneida	96	21.4	1 143	31.2	182	11.9	450	18.7	310	1.9	1 025	14.1
Owyhee	348	7.5	4 598	4.1	338	8.0	1 969	6.4	556	1.0	3 394	3.3
Payette	234	7.8	2 749	3.5	315	7.4	1 410	4.2	525	3.1	1 535	2.9
Power	197	9.4	9 379	3.4	181	9.6	3 217	2.2	292	.7	3 175	1.5
Shoshone	13	5.5	10	4.8	16	4.7	6	5.5	40	3.2	40	3.3
Teton	149	10.3	1 877	6.3	109	13.8	495	7.1	216	5.7	1 001	6.5
Twin Falls	934	4.3	8 780	4.1	971	3.7	3 571	3.1	1 377	1.8	6 348	3.2
Valley	36	17.2	219	22.8	21	21.7	34	53.7	98	3.0	248	9.8
Washington	178	11.8	1 867	8.8	277	8.7	1 089	5.9	419	3.2	1 656	4.9

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	16 250	1.2	84 103	.8	10 005	1.6	245 990	.6	3 947	2.9	32 386	1.3
Ada	785	4.7	1 612	5.2	386	8.6	7 632	2.8	177	14.1	1 162	5.7
Adams	233	4.7	157	7.1	93	15.3	630	17.2	37	30.0	74	9.3
Bannock	415	6.6	662	6.8	228	13.6	2 026	4.1	116	19.3	342	21.6
Bear Lake	279	6.9	384	16.9	190	11.6	735	11.6	70	16.5	104	39.6
Benewah	133	9.1	76	11.0	82	16.6	1 157	10.5	12	40.6	116	3.4
Bingham	989	3.2	9 201	1.8	632	5.7	17 485	.9	184	13.4	1 087	5.5
Blaine	157	5.3	1 299	6.0	113	15.6	2 598	.6	24	19.9	431	.3
Boise	49	3.6	79	5.4	30	4.3	611	1.2	12	4.9	56	1.2
Bonner	333	8.0	162	20.4	130	18.6	251	13.9	39	31.8	136	74.5
Bonneville	587	4.9	3 958	3.1	387	7.0	10 389	1.0	135	17.1	671	7.4
Boundary	192	6.3	145	5.3	129	12.6	1 355	3.2	27	26.1	95	16.3
Butte	148	9.5	1 877	15.8	115	12.6	1 710	13.7	31	38.5	131	9.9
Camas	61	6.7	165	12.2	42	7.8	464	6.3	9	24.9	13	37.5
Canyon	1 351	3.2	4 558	1.9	840	4.9	26 811	1.8	517	7.4	6 445	3.6
Caribou	281	6.6	906	6.8	224	9.3	3 149	9.5	38	22.0	245	6.8
Cassia	647	4.2	9 659	1.8	463	4.9	15 715	1.0	250	9.8	3 089	2.6
Clark	51	7.9	1 164	.8	45	11.1	6 956	.3	23	17.5	70	7.7
Clearwater	178	5.8	77	18.2	72	20.1	315	26.4	1	1.9	(D)	(D)
Custer	200	6.0	741	22.9	97	21.6	1 095	15.2	76	26.6	161	29.7
Elmore	228	8.0	4 411	.5	130	12.8	11 992	1.1	60	20.5	1 660	4.6
Franklin	452	6.0	851	5.3	301	8.4	3 939	2.5	52	26.2	251	17.7
Fremont	401	6.1	2 310	3.7	285	7.8	10 134	2.0	56	30.2	429	8.8
Gem	395	6.6	461	7.8	249	8.9	4 337	7.3	82	22.7	152	11.7
Gooding	539	4.3	4 023	2.1	295	8.7	18 513	1.2	128	14.6	882	4.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	449	6.1	310	7.6	239	9.8	1 590	13.9	105	18.9	310	21.1
Jefferson	606	4.0	3 093	5.6	372	7.9	6 594	5.0	110	19.4	402	12.8
Jerome	616	4.5	5 819	4.6	322	7.5	15 980	2.5	178	14.5	2 483	3.7
Kootenai	400	5.5	736	8.2	200	12.8	1 649	10.0	54	26.4	52	20.2
Latah	414	5.8	361	6.7	227	8.7	1 971	4.8	43	30.8	66	35.0
Lemhi	207	8.6	473	18.5	128	14.4	1 663	8.8	70	20.5	160	17.9
Lewis	119	4.4	146	4.1	107	4.5	889	4.1	17	19.4	70	3.2
Lincoln	281	4.8	1 868	4.4	166	12.4	3 309	4.0	80	20.0	469	6.0
Madison	404	5.0	3 259	1.7	278	7.8	7 866	2.6	68	18.0	791	4.3
Minidoka	655	3.7	5 732	2.8	418	6.3	11 623	2.7	317	8.7	2 890	4.2
Nez Perce	211	8.4	299	10.7	176	10.6	2 466	10.4	27	38.5	90	25.2
Oneida	251	4.1	369	16.2	155	14.3	1 206	38.7	18	29.8	67	27.1
Owyhee	370	8.0	2 428	5.2	244	6.8	8 287	3.2	138	15.8	1 381	5.6
Payette	336	7.2	714	7.2	228	8.9	4 826	3.8	104	16.2	915	9.9
Power	262	4.4	4 205	3.2	176	7.8	6 752	2.6	74	14.3	(D)	(D)
Shoshone	29	3.5	17	1.9	16	4.5	12	3.7	4	11.2	(D)	(D)
Teton	157	9.3	662	3.7	114	14.4	1 593	8.4	23	42.3	65	13.0
Twin Falls	990	4.3	3 944	3.2	644	5.9	13 624	3.0	267	10.5	2 533	4.8
Valley	72	7.1	97	25.8	40	15.3	343	17.2	20	23.7	90	9.0
Washington	337	5.7	634	7.7	197	8.1	3 746	6.4	74	14.4	713	10.0
	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
Geographic area	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Idaho	18 514	1.1	133 411	.7	9 393	1.8	56 439	1.9	11 980	1.5	157 683
Ada	946	3.2	3 890	4.2	514	6.7	1 759	6.4	490	7.4	4 467	5.0
Adams	232	5.4	596	8.4	108	13.6	141	15.9	144	10.2	706	11.5
Bannock	480	4.7	1 397	5.3	148	16.7	280	15.1	270	11.9	1 627	10.1
Bear Lake	350	4.7	1 084	12.5	173	12.0	312	17.3	197	10.1	1 243	13.0
Benewah	174	5.1	1 148	9.6	69	18.0	831	52.2	99	13.0	764	8.3
Bingham	1 073	2.9	11 922	1.3	526	6.9	3 780	4.3	708	5.0	14 020	1.8
Blaine	187	7.0	1 650	4.7	69	24.4	668	4.9	135	10.9	1 672	5.2
Boise	63	2.9	189	2.7	15	4.7	30	2.2	29	3.8	283	2.3
Bonner	412	3.5	814	12.6	73	24.5	333	5.7	123	18.6	243	13.6
Bonneville	642	3.9	5 742	2.1	297	9.6	1 470	4.4	419	5.9	6 672	2.8
Boundary	227	5.9	726	12.5	91	17.2	149	27.9	133	11.2	656	9.9
Butte	161	8.0	1 538	11.9	78	20.1	519	27.5	148	9.5	2 274	18.2
Camas	63	4.7	359	9.0	41	9.9	348	21.3	53	8.0	298	8.9
Canyon	1 496	2.7	10 398	2.2	1 070	4.3	7 633	3.3	889	5.1	9 930	3.1
Caribou	339	4.9	2 350	6.4	114	17.8	553	28.5	274	9.2	3 222	8.3
Cassia	677	3.7	9 784	.8	391	7.6	5 116	5.4	567	5.1	12 324	2.4
Clark	68	4.4	1 374	.7	30	11.9	993	.4	46	11.0	1 059	2.9
Clearwater	151	9.5	414	19.4	51	20.5	346	71.5	65	22.2	272	32.5
Custer	200	8.3	979	13.7	87	23.7	99	20.7	152	8.6	1 090	21.2
Elmore	232	5.4	3 683	1.4	110	18.0	2 122	2.9	117	11.8	9 565	.4
Franklin	563	3.2	2 400	6.0	249	11.7	470	12.1	317	8.3	2 957	10.6
Fremont	443	4.1	3 598	7.0	167	16.3	905	5.5	286	8.3	5 481	4.0
Gem	461	2.9	1 352	8.3	288	9.6	704	18.1	267	9.0	1 944	10.7
Gooding	585	3.6	5 857	3.3	365	7.4	2 520	7.9	408	5.8	6 901	3.8
Idaho	521	4.2	1 918	8.2	226	11.2	492	10.2	349	7.3	2 501	9.1
Jefferson	695	2.7	4 538	2.9	357	8.2	1 613	12.2	524	5.0	6 412	4.7
Jerome	663	4.0	7 215	1.9	400	7.6	3 620	5.3	447	6.9	8 286	3.4
Kootenai	450	3.6	1 046	10.9	142	14.4	204	18.7	164	12.3	1 333	14.6
Latah	500	4.1	2 480	5.1	157	11.9	1 507	33.6	330	7.1	2 834	8.4
Lemhi	298	4.6	1 161	10.2	84	20.1	142	26.7	162	11.9	1 599	14.8
Lewis	159	3.2	1 275	3.1	99	6.2	593	7.2	118	5.1	1 454	5.2
Lincoln	255	7.3	2 019	7.1	129	13.1	1 117	8.1	209	9.4	2 932	7.8
Madison	429	3.7	5 021	1.7	220	10.2	1 253	24.9	263	7.6	4 365	5.1
Minidoka	676	3.6	7 593	1.9	388	7.4	2 312	5.9	552	5.1	7 929	3.4
Nez Perce	315	3.5	2 495	2.0	129	14.7	759	6.6	150	11.6	1 952	8.5
Oneida	259	5.8	781	15.9	131	17.4	442	28.5	185	11.9	1 525	21.1
Owyhee	508	3.8	4 323	4.9	291	9.0	2 180	4.7	385	6.4	4 970	5.8
Payette	410	5.3	2 670	3.7	220	7.6	1 273	8.7	297	6.6	1 970	5.6
Power	265	4.1	4 533	2.1	120	13.0	1 161	14.4	205	8.4	4 648	2.7
Shoshone	33	3.4	53	3.2	5	8.9	6	8.2	14	5.4	41	6.1
Teton	199	7.4	1 321	5.6	81	23.2	279	15.1	136	10.7	1 551	6.2
Twin Falls	1 254	2.9	7 419	3.6	855	5.0	4 506	4.4	851	4.8	8 616	3.8
Valley	87	3.9	410	13.6	31	17.2	132	39.3	44	12.0	574	15.5
Washington	313	7.1	1 897	7.6	224	9.5	768	13.8	259	9.2	2 521	6.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	6 082	2.3	100 998	1.2	20 516	1.0	48 131	1.1	20 839	1.0	260 360	.5
Ada	248	10.9	2 352	6.2	1 124	1.4	1 599	4.4	1 095	2.0	8 061	3.6
Adams	57	23.3	297	18.9	264	2.3	284	4.2	239	4.3	1 242	5.2
Bannock	165	17.2	870	6.4	544	3.3	911	5.5	533	3.5	2 481	3.2
Bear Lake	121	16.7	548	15.1	391	3.1	554	11.4	394	2.6	1 430	9.6
Benewah	55	22.8	330	13.1	179	4.5	368	10.0	189	3.2	1 122	9.1
Bingham	426	7.8	10 944	2.9	1 158	2.3	4 111	2.9	1 236	1.4	17 785	1.2
Blaine	46	31.4	1 333	2.4	201	5.5	817	25.8	189	4.6	3 262	3.3
Boise	9	10.3	46	26.8	64	2.9	107	1.5	66	3.0	486	1.4
Bonner	31	22.3	112	2.6	452	2.6	457	8.1	444	2.7	620	10.8
Bonneville	218	10.1	3 891	7.2	764	1.0	2 143	3.0	720	2.6	7 523	2.3
Boundary	73	17.0	805	4.8	276	1.9	393	5.8	266	3.5	1 333	3.0
Butte	43	36.1	840	25.0	162	7.9	539	11.5	175	6.1	2 306	9.6
Camas	12	18.7	185	28.7	83	3.4	208	5.2	78	4.6	303	6.2
Canyon	531	6.7	8 694	3.7	1 704	1.8	3 612	2.5	1 788	1.4	22 639	1.3
Caribou	90	21.6	1 276	20.9	361	3.6	1 187	3.4	373	1.3	3 680	3.4
Cassia	270	8.8	8 122	4.7	708	3.2	2 774	2.3	746	2.8	18 927	1.3
Clark	14	24.1	158	4.1	79	2.8	382	1.5	82	2.7	3 980	.9
Clearwater	36	30.2	(D)	(D)	209	1.1	280	7.8	180	6.2	365	17.9
Custer	27	42.8	64	14.7	245	6.0	394	11.7	261	2.1	1 952	5.4
Elmore	72	11.9	2 604	1.0	256	4.7	752	3.1	246	6.0	23 802	.8
Franklin	225	12.1	827	16.0	563	3.1	1 267	11.7	564	3.3	3 808	4.8
Fremont	166	15.5	3 525	5.0	474	2.7	1 484	6.8	476	2.8	10 082	1.2
Gem	112	17.0	408	15.3	516	1.6	924	8.6	491	3.1	3 659	4.4
Gooding	197	13.3	3 698	6.5	638	2.7	1 643	3.7	651	2.0	16 595	1.8
Idaho	233	9.9	1 616	8.0	582	3.4	907	5.6	580	3.1	2 817	6.1
Jefferson	208	13.3	3 941	4.7	726	2.4	1 587	3.9	755	1.5	8 874	2.4
Jerome	214	12.9	5 173	5.6	749	2.9	1 845	3.8	797	1.5	16 733	2.3
Kootenai	97	15.3	1 385	22.4	518	2.1	753	6.5	472	3.6	1 916	8.7
Latah	160	11.5	1 851	12.2	540	3.1	1 150	7.8	565	2.1	2 521	5.2
Lemhi	54	24.9	356	14.5	319	3.4	496	10.3	326	2.4	2 340	7.4
Lewis	100	6.4	1 814	4.5	160	3.5	700	8.6	163	2.2	1 521	3.6
Lincoln	93	16.6	1 362	9.2	283	4.2	621	6.4	291	3.7	3 401	6.5
Madison	192	10.5	3 682	4.9	486	2.6	1 617	3.1	488	1.9	6 304	1.5
Minidoka	324	8.8	8 737	3.7	672	3.7	1 744	2.8	756	1.9	10 608	2.0
Nez Perce	140	14.6	2 035	8.3	310	3.3	821	7.3	325	3.7	2 634	7.4
Oneida	56	22.4	307	37.9	295	3.6	574	9.2	291	3.0	1 606	19.5
Owyhee	192	12.7	3 304	10.3	515	3.6	1 382	2.7	560	1.0	8 044	2.5
Payette	127	12.4	1 716	5.5	543	1.5	930	4.7	504	3.6	4 255	2.5
Power	113	13.9	5 686	6.0	249	5.8	1 476	5.5	283	2.8	7 520	2.7
Shoshone	5	4.8	(D)	(D)	39	3.4	47	3.7	38	3.4	61	2.5
Teton	92	21.5	701	12.6	248	3.3	432	7.2	235	4.6	2 020	6.5
Twin Falls	341	10.3	4 225	4.8	1 338	2.3	2 509	5.0	1 401	1.8	15 233	2.5
Valley	38	14.4	284	25.8	106	1.5	359	11.3	97	4.3	511	10.7
Washington	59	19.9	708	18.6	423	3.0	989	6.4	430	3.1	3 997	6.6
	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	22 129	.9	493 797	1.2	19 204	.9	6 301 862	.5	16 023	1.0	4 225 273	.4
Ada	1 174	.9	7 453	13.4	1 001	.8	99 890	.8	760	1.0	64 754	.9
Adams	271	.9	1 542	19.0	221	.9	43 770	1.6	169	1.4	16 319	1.4
Bannock	588	1.5	4 510	15.2	497	1.1	182 706	.7	395	1.3	91 821	.6
Bear Lake	415	1.1	1 803	24.7	383	1.1	126 557	1.1	353	1.1	62 342	1.0
Benewah	195	1.3	3 133	23.6	159	1.4	74 508	1.0	135	1.7	58 066	1.0
Bingham	283	.8	48 579	2.4	1 083	.9	(D)	(D)	847	.9	297 491	.3
Blaine	221	1.8	1 695	19.9	182	1.4	75 250	1.0	149	1.7	42 814	.9
Boise	67	3.0	(D)	(D)	53	1.6	7 478	5.5	33	3.3	1 926	10.4
Bonner	477	.9	(D)	(D)	414	.8	42 641	1.4	331	1.1	21 358	1.5
Bonneville	775	.8	22 148	5.5	682	.9	303 987	.5	575	1.0	199 650	.5
Boundary	285	1.2	1 704	17.9	250	.9	46 721	1.2	225	1.1	36 732	1.2
Butte	188	3.6	4 515	10.1	158	1.4	(D)	(D)	135	1.6	48 199	1.3
Camas	93	2.4	(D)	(D)	80	1.3	(D)	(D)	61	1.9	25 221	1.4
Canyon	1 872	.7	45 098	3.0	1 644	.8	245 963	.6	1 347	.8	197 067	.5
Caribou	385	1.3	7 386	10.9	327	1.0	242 310	.5	278	1.2	140 089	.5
Cassia	788	1.6	34 508	3.7	685	1.1	(D)	(D)	583	1.2	261 246	.4
Clark	85	1.7	10 027	2.8	65	2.1	(D)	(D)	48	2.6	51 841	.4
Clearwater	211	1.1	1 339	26.8	185	1.0	36 552	1.7	139	1.6	24 186	2.1
Custer	268	1.4	2 314	40.3	235	1.6	67 964	1.5	186	2.0	33 011	1.9
Elmore	285	1.7	14 163	4.8	237	1.1	111 390	.6	174	1.6	71 855	.5
Franklin	618	1.2	8 907	7.4	555	1.2	141 099	1.0	498	1.3	76 751	1.1
Fremont	495	1.7	15 875	11.7	422	1.3	197 105	.7	355	1.4	161 960	.6
Gem	532	.9	2 438	22.5	473	.8	51 439	1.4	369	1.0	27 874	1.0
Gooding	683	1.2	39 456	4.0	585	1.3	139 225	.9	479	1.4	97 409	.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	662	.8	3 912	29.4	566	.8	225 536	.8	495	.9	138 675	.8
Jefferson	767	1.1	19 138	4.5	677	1.1	210 541	.7	569	1.2	173 329	.7
Jerome	815	1.0	32 533	5.4	705	1.3	165 898	.8	600	1.3	142 125	.7
Kootenai	541	.9	1 817	28.5	467	.8	78 383	1.2	372	1.0	57 605	1.2
Latah	610	.7	7 748	9.6	548	.6	246 148	.6	492	.7	200 033	.6
Lemhi	333	1.8	3 599	13.6	275	1.3	84 859	1.2	216	1.5	41 837	1.2
Lewis	177	1.6	2 859	8.1	150	1.0	150 451	.5	143	1.0	116 593	.5
Lincoln	302	1.8	6 508	8.9	278	1.7	(D)	(D)	232	1.9	50 960	1.3
Madison	506	1.2	15 012	6.7	464	1.4	177 049	.7	396	1.4	144 280	.5
Minidoka	773	1.1	26 287	4.0	698	.7	(D)	(D)	618	.7	174 157	.4
Nez Perce	345	.9	5 348	20.0	291	.9	214 633	.5	249	1.1	165 179	.6
Oneida	313	1.6	2 284	56.4	285	1.5	177 482	1.0	259	1.6	75 862	1.2
Owyhee	561	1.0	13 200	7.2	469	1.2	(D)	(D)	410	1.3	85 528	.8
Payette	561	.9	6 913	8.3	514	.7	(D)	(D)	428	.8	43 329	.8
Power	292	.7	17 528	2.8	268	.5	312 574	.2	237	.7	157 365	.2
Shoshone	42	3.2	(D)	(D)	23	3.1	1 036	4.1	19	3.7	459	5.4
Teton	257	1.5	6 278	21.2	230	1.1	108 283	.8	197	1.3	71 504	.8
Twin Falls	1 457	1.3	38 302	3.5	1 232	1.0	292 686	.9	1 082	1.1	217 434	.9
Valley	107	1.5	985	20.2	88	1.7	27 443	2.1	41	4.2	6 990	4.9
Washington	454	1.3	5 516	13.3	400	.9	112 734	.8	344	1.0	52 047	.7
Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	15 487	1.0	3 260 006	.5	12 527	1.0	1 812 720	.5	8 393	1.1	565 016	.7
Ada	980	.9	73 794	.9	628	1.1	73 402	.6	345	1.5	13 136	1.5
Adams	180	1.3	22 417	1.3	206	1.1	26 778	.9	163	1.4	11 640	1.2
Bannock	410	1.3	39 574	1.1	343	1.5	23 510	1.6	219	1.9	10 465	1.9
Bear Lake	293	1.3	42 617	1.1	270	1.3	28 655	.9	213	1.6	14 482	1.0
Benewah	11	9.0	1 293	.9	94	2.2	4 225	2.7	77	2.7	1 916	3.3
Bingham	1 065	.8	307 812	.4	764	1.0	92 102	.7	479	1.2	29 376	1.1
Blaine	179	1.4	64 283	.8	124	2.1	29 527	1.7	90	2.5	13 220	1.4
Boise	41	2.6	2 954	6.2	42	2.4	5 159	1.6	36	2.7	(D)	(D)
Bonner	81	3.1	2 617	4.8	274	1.3	13 828	1.6	226	1.5	6 475	1.7
Bonneville	596	1.0	153 314	.5	368	1.3	42 845	.9	264	1.6	15 550	1.2
Boundary	36	4.4	1 399	1.5	138	1.8	7 335	2.4	118	2.0	3 760	2.7
Butte	147	1.5	56 134	1.1	109	2.0	20 418	1.2	82	2.5	10 532	1.4
Camas	28	3.5	7 486	2.1	40	2.9	7 878	1.3	29	3.8	(D)	(D)
Canyon	1 645	.8	215 279	.5	963	1.0	130 789	.4	588	1.3	18 882	1.4
Caribou	206	1.5	70 201	.9	205	1.5	30 108	1.6	138	2.0	15 284	1.8
Cassia	641	1.1	252 012	.4	436	1.3	134 228	.4	273	1.6	30 873	.8
Clark	38	2.5	48 428	.1	54	2.3	14 822	1.3	46	2.9	6 781	1.4
Clearwater	12	8.7	316	20.7	127	1.8	5 921	1.9	108	2.1	3 265	2.3
Custer	228	1.7	58 436	1.5	185	2.0	36 956	1.9	168	2.1	(D)	(D)
Elmore	202	1.4	75 108	.5	170	1.6	94 298	.4	134	1.9	16 352	1.3
Franklin	437	1.5	50 901	1.4	384	1.5	39 527	1.1	159	2.4	6 953	2.0
Fremont	372	1.4	130 845	.7	240	1.9	30 974	1.4	168	2.3	8 760	2.4
Gem	463	.8	38 677	1.4	323	1.1	36 054	1.1	202	1.5	13 608	1.5
Gooding	581	1.3	115 398	.7	465	1.2	113 347	.6	251	1.8	19 397	2.0
Idaho	53	3.1	2 418	6.0	460	.9	45 261	.5	393	1.0	23 656	.6
Jefferson	675	1.1	183 956	.7	481	1.3	73 301	.8	324	1.6	20 587	1.5
Jerome	695	1.3	150 444	.7	460	1.4	89 656	.7	203	2.0	10 643	2.0
Kootenai	168	1.9	18 723	2.4	253	1.4	8 544	2.1	205	1.7	4 122	2.4
Latah	33	3.8	2 060	3.7	258	1.3	12 415	1.4	230	1.4	6 458	1.5
Lemhi	280	1.2	70 300	1.1	244	1.4	55 422	1.1	220	1.5	35 552	1.1
Lewis	6	4.2	337	1.5	87	1.6	7 040	1.5	78	1.7	3 545	1.4
Lincoln	257	1.8	59 694	1.3	215	2.1	27 535	1.9	114	3.2	6 240	3.5
Madison	449	1.4	127 851	.6	226	1.7	20 952	1.4	148	2.2	7 824	1.9
Minidoka	696	.7	177 516	.4	388	1.1	33 270	.8	170	1.9	7 303	1.7
Nez Perce	68	3.2	2 277	1.4	178	1.5	17 048	.9	159	1.6	8 407	.9
Oneida	201	1.9	28 906	2.3	219	1.8	26 045	2.1	184	2.1	14 105	2.3
Owyhee	469	1.2	100 449	.8	339	1.3	109 884	.5	244	1.6	40 393	.7
Payette	494	.7	56 592	.7	289	1.2	30 235	.8	168	1.7	8 046	1.4
Power	210	.8	102 892	.3	118	1.4	31 890	.2	95	1.7	8 614	.6
Shoshone	6	6.7	217	5.2	25	2.9	798	2.7	19	3.7	524	2.2
Teton	190	1.4	51 358	1.2	138	1.9	16 809	2.4	100	2.5	6 598	2.9
Twin Falls	1 243	1.0	231 351	.9	869	1.1	106 847	.8	505	1.5	27 702	1.7
Valley	81	2.1	21 143	2.1	67	2.7	10 484	1.7	42	4.0	3 968	3.2
Washington	341	1.0	40 227	.8	261	1.2	46 598	.6	216	1.4	21 131	.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry – Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	1 990	1.2	181 785	.4	1 141	1.3	67 343	1.5	1 316	1.2	347 678	.4
Ada	103	2.3	9 433	.8	75	3.2	5 509	2.1	66	3.6	1 943	5.9
Adams	30	4.5	278	5.9	10	8.2	171	9.4	25	5.1	1 017	10.7
Bannock	46	4.5	1 666	2.6	44	4.6	1 018	3.7	42	5.1	4 378	3.8
Bear Lake	46	3.2	2 022	2.1	9	7.9	208	16.8	31	4.5	16 636	1.6
Benewah	6	11.9	16	19.0	16	7.7	1 529	1.0	10	9.2	407	3.5
Bingham	121	2.4	8 996	1.1	62	3.7	5 075	4.3	75	3.2	14 486	1.1
Blaine	19	5.7	828	4.0	10	8.9	482	10.7	20	5.9	32 956	.4
Boise	2	24.1	(D)	(D)	3	18.7	(D)	(D)	1	48.1	(D)	(D)
Bonner	30	5.4	566	9.7	35	5.2	255	10.7	35	5.2	1 177	9.7
Bonneville	37	4.6	1 630	3.2	41	4.8	1 921	9.4	48	4.5	5 934	4.1
Boundary	18	6.1	445	6.8	21	6.2	6 465	3.3	27	4.8	1 194	7.2
Butte	19	6.6	598	4.6	14	8.3	2 013	25.6	15	7.6	11 300	.9
Camas	1	43.3	(D)	(D)	4	12.1	25	11.6	2	–	(D)	(D)
Canyon	154	2.2	14 014	.7	73	3.9	2 827	9.4	101	3.1	16 128	1.1
Caribou	33	3.9	2 011	2.7	8	11.8	653	29.1	28	4.7	16 359	1.7
Cassia	92	2.8	8 040	1.3	58	3.9	2 108	6.4	29	5.2	7 166	.5
Clark	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	7.6	6 650	.2
Clearwater	9	10.7	130	3.8	12	9.2	939	16.9	7	12.3	163	25.5
Custer	10	10.5	(D)	(D)	6	12.5	28	8.8	28	6.8	2 318	13.0
Elmore	19	7.7	297	11.5	17	7.9	122	11.2	19	7.0	(D)	(D)
Franklin	139	2.4	11 434	1.4	45	5.1	1 916	10.1	37	5.3	2 712	8.9
Fremont	39	4.6	1 470	4.1	22	6.4	151	7.3	42	4.8	33 414	.5
Gem	52	2.9	2 940	1.9	32	5.5	555	13.2	39	4.3	2 210	7.5
Gooding	126	2.0	32 481	.3	45	4.4	3 549	3.7	37	4.6	15 885	.6
Idaho	40	3.7	370	5.2	42	3.7	8 963	2.6	41	3.8	11 426	.9
Jefferson	73	3.2	4 081	2.1	51	4.4	2 596	3.2	54	4.1	22 154	.7
Jerome	92	2.5	32 041	.2	39	5.0	3 038	2.5	26	5.9	10 316	1.1
Kootenai	19	7.0	70	9.6	28	6.0	169	8.4	35	4.8	1 288	22.8
Latah	17	6.2	174	4.8	27	4.9	3 841	3.6	22	5.1	3 377	1.4
Lemhi	30	4.6	672	4.1	11	9.0	80	14.3	45	4.1	4 499	5.4
Lewis	11	5.1	28	15.2	11	3.8	855	6.0	3	12.4	90	26.5
Lincoln	86	3.5	5 537	2.3	24	7.1	828	15.4	23	8.0	1 054	20.6
Madison	36	3.9	1 715	2.6	28	5.5	953	6.0	19	7.0	3 254	6.0
Minidoka	70	2.8	5 213	1.3	42	4.0	2 225	1.7	26	6.0	35 213	.7
Nez Perce	13	8.1	90	1.8	16	7.0	534	10.9	11	7.7	121	8.5
Oneida	20	6.6	729	3.8	10	9.7	457	28.6	19	7.7	2 249	7.7
Owyhee	54	2.9	4 665	1.0	24	6.5	422	11.9	32	4.9	12 189	.7
Payette	67	2.8	5 147	1.6	24	5.7	520	15.2	44	4.0	6 655	5.1
Power	11	5.7	552	.3	5	10.5	15	11.1	12	7.2	1 646	3.2
Shoshone	4	8.5	5	6.8	2	12.5	(D)	(D)	1	–	(D)	(D)
Teton	27	5.4	1 323	4.7	6	15.3	34	22.0	5	13.3	(D)	(D)
Twin Falls	122	2.1	18 301	.5	71	3.7	3 266	7.3	88	3.2	12 879	2.4
Valley	3	27.1	3	27.1	4	18.9	13	22.6	7	13.3	276	11.4
Washington	44	3.2	1 593	1.2	14	7.0	771	13.8	29	4.9	17 233	.5

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry – Con.							
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	1 103	1.2	1 347 715	.1	59	3.9	27 206	13.2
Ada	88	3.0	1 405	5.8	6	13.3	424	12.8
Adams	26	5.4	407	8.3	–	–	–	–
Bannock	38	5.0	(D)	(D)	1	36.5	(D)	(D)
Bear Lake	6	12.5	142	14.3	–	–	–	–
Benewah	9	12.1	550	15.3	3	18.3	(D)	(D)
Bingham	40	4.7	681	6.4	5	15.5	11 090	21.8
Blaine	8	10.2	202	12.6	–	–	–	–
Boise	5	9.9	111	8.3	–	–	–	–
Bonner	53	4.0	1 022	5.0	2	24.9	(D)	(D)
Bonneville	27	6.2	456	7.8	2	21.0	(D)	(D)
Boundary	28	5.0	1 327	11.2	3	13.1	75	13.4
Butte	10	8.4	194	9.6	–	–	–	–
Camas	3	21.7	81	18.4	–	–	–	–
Canyon	104	3.2	7 524	7.5	5	10.7	11 675	22.5
Caribou	3	21.8	52	22.8	–	–	–	–
Cassia	26	6.2	411	6.8	–	–	–	–
Clark	1	–	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	–
Clearwater	13	8.2	200	12.1	–	–	–	–
Custer	17	8.3	422	10.8	2	24.9	(D)	(D)
Elmore	23	6.7	492	7.5	–	–	–	–
Franklin	21	7.6	(D)	(D)	2	21.3	(D)	(D)
Fremont	18	7.5	417	12.5	1	40.6	(D)	(D)
Gem	32	4.7	(D)	(D)	2	15.8	(D)	(D)
Gooding	43	4.4	831	7.0	2	18.9	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Livestock and poultry — Con.										
Geographic area	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold					
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	36	4.9	1 195	8.3	4	13.0	76	4.9		
Jefferson	29	6.2	687	10.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jerome	25	6.4	415	7.5	2	19.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kootenai	50	3.9	756	4.9	8	10.6	1 216	26.4		
Latah	27	5.1	2 684	22.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lemhi	8	10.5	1 194	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lewis	6	9.5	85	9.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lincoln	18	8.5	407	11.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison	10	8.6	283	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Minidoka	25	5.7	(D)	(D)	3	13.0	1 280	2.4		
Nez Perce	23	6.3	534	10.9	1	37.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Oneida	13	9.6	197	12.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Owyhee	27	5.6	598	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Payette	28	5.0	419	5.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Power	4	8.8	88	7.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shoshone	4	11.0	103	12.3	1	24.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Teton	8	8.7	147	8.8	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Twin Falls	80	3.4	1 825	3.7	3	18.2	175	21.0		
Valley	6	16.4	122	17.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington	34	4.8	405	6.0	—	—	—	—	—	—

Selected crops harvested												
Geographic area	Wheat for grain					Barley for grain						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	6 106	.9	1 384 893	.3	94 094 326	.3	5 149	1.1	691 273	.5	48 647 384	.5
Ada	156	1.9	12 373	2.1	1 004 957	2.1	116	2.2	4 658	3.3	335 812	2.2
Adams	7	9.1	141	6.9	5 985	3.1	16	6.4	579	7.7	32 883	7.6
Bannock	119	2.2	54 812	.7	2 509 983	.6	113	2.4	9 804	1.1	497 019	1.0
Bear Lake	67	2.8	8 704	1.7	204 082	2.7	136	2.1	9 727	2.3	435 496	1.9
Benewah	57	2.5	27 106	1.0	1 545 783	1.1	30	3.5	4 661	2.1	259 302	2.3
Bingham	436	1.0	145 119	.4	13 609 331	.3	228	1.4	24 528	.7	2 327 821	.7
Blaine	23	4.7	4 932	1.9	402 791	1.7	57	3.2	14 629	1.2	1 285 540	1.4
Boise	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	14.2	113	21.3	3 632	10.9
Bonner	2	24.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7	11.7	210	8.7	10 974	6.7
Bonneville	259	1.3	72 576	.5	5 220 090	.5	298	1.3	55 747	.8	4 752 936	.9
Boundary	62	2.8	14 324	1.5	896 519	1.4	58	2.9	8 773	2.7	531 195	2.5
Butte	39	3.9	8 207	3.0	609 881	3.2	75	2.4	10 192	1.7	795 679	1.9
Camas	23	3.8	4 120	2.7	65 123	10.7	31	2.9	5 485	1.0	163 749	1.7
Canyon	503	1.1	41 617	.7	3 678 978	.7	224	1.7	9 298	1.3	785 659	1.3
Caribou	122	1.7	34 800	.6	1 441 638	.7	195	1.4	73 692	.7	4 642 834	.7
Cassia	330	1.2	105 671	.4	8 077 910	.4	211	1.5	26 385	.6	2 324 383	.6
Clark	18	—	24 067	—	1 958 887	—	14	6.7	2 590	1.9	199 506	.8
Clearwater	45	3.3	8 926	2.8	417 619	3.0	39	3.4	4 543	2.8	221 639	3.1
Custer	3	23.5	178	24.8	11 080	23.9	21	7.0	1 720	11.8	126 819	12.0
Elmore	48	2.4	16 696	.9	1 309 002	.6	24	4.5	2 077	1.0	128 663	.9
Franklin	161	2.3	20 816	1.5	775 071	1.9	272	1.9	18 873	1.5	1 200 189	1.6
Fremont	189	1.7	35 846	.8	2 800 572	.7	217	1.7	63 835	.8	4 511 366	.8
Gem	62	2.9	2 636	2.8	198 977	3.0	52	3.1	1 210	3.4	92 299	3.3
Gooding	135	2.2	14 721	1.1	1 266 381	1.1	82	2.7	4 623	2.2	383 591	1.8
Idaho	254	1.4	63 977	.8	2 957 770	.8	209	1.5	28 872	1.2	781 588	1.1
Jefferson	218	1.7	39 222	.8	3 295 634	.8	292	1.5	40 280	1.0	3 353 101	1.1
Jerome	304	1.6	33 618	.9	3 033 971	.9	136	2.1	10 585	1.5	930 465	1.5
Kootenai	63	3.0	17 947	1.7	984 869	1.7	29	4.3	3 012	2.8	163 256	2.7
Latah	271	1.1	97 212	.7	5 879 905	.7	205	1.3	26 135	1.1	1 425 651	.9
Lemhi	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	20	4.3	712	5.1	45 433	4.7
Lewis	123	1.1	57 783	.5	2 976 912	.5	104	1.3	24 029	.9	1 100 953	.9
Lincoln	74	3.0	14 721	2.1	1 145 311	1.7	71	3.5	6 140	2.3	464 934	1.7
Madison	212	1.7	37 443	.8	2 924 728	.8	218	1.8	52 421	.6	4 202 800	.6
Minidoka	319	1.0	46 919	.5	4 580 404	.5	276	1.1	32 375	.7	3 279 421	.7
Nez Perce	177	1.2	89 453	.6	4 643 122	.6	124	1.5	22 085	.7	1 107 970	.9
Oneida	139	2.2	38 858	1.4	1 131 017	1.3	130	2.4	12 475	1.8	482 750	2.0
Owyhee	118	2.4	10 788	1.3	786 802	1.4	85	2.6	4 299	1.7	347 358	1.5
Payette	103	2.2	6 237	1.8	476 137	1.7	65	2.8	1 620	2.8	135 859	2.9
Power	179	.8	107 964	.3	6 604 820	.3	48	1.8	4 447	1.3	313 898	1.0
Shoshone	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Teton	33	3.0	9 268	.5	479 374	.4	123	1.9	36 648	1.1	2 172 937	1.4
Twin Falls	511	1.4	42 084	1.0	3 417 747	1.0	430	1.5	23 951	1.2	2 162 084	1.3
Valley	7	8.5	1 448	1.6	78 740	1.6	4	12.1	444	20.5	22 670	19.3
Washington	132	1.8	11 430	1.2	678 468	1.5	59	2.2	2 791	1.6	155 270	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas						Irish potatoes					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	1 494	1.3	114 896	1.0	2 064 725	1.0	1 616	.6	372 028	.2	119 060 333	.1
Ada	26	5.2	1 131	6.0	21 811	6.9	11	5.7	999	1.4	360 456	1.3
Adams	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	34.7	(D)	(D)	—	(D)
Bannock	—	—	—	—	—	—	15	5.0	3 328	1.1	1 133 900	1.1
Bear Lake	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	31.9	(D)	(D)	—	(D)
Benewah	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bingham	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	246	1.1	67 007	.3	21 296 484	.3
Blaine	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	5.2	3 937	(L)	1 325 354	(L)
Boise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bonner	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	17.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bonneville	—	—	—	—	—	—	126	1.5	35 417	.5	9 415 125	.4
Boundary	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	11.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Butte	—	—	—	—	—	—	16	4.8	3 126	1.3	608 060	1.4
Camas	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Canyon	159	2.0	8 488	1.3	169 833	1.3	86	1.8	7 137	.7	2 671 929	.6
Caribou	—	—	—	—	—	—	22	2.3	4 313	.4	1 123 797	.3
Cassia	144	2.2	11 771	2.0	183 366	2.4	148	1.5	32 113	.3	11 442 781	.3
Clark	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	—	11 000	—	4 220 670	—
Clearwater	2	17.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	24.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Custer	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	—	340	—	50 100	—
Elmore	24	4.1	4 080	1.3	80 687	1.7	34	2.2	8 623	.4	3 422 809	.4
Franklin	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	27.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Fremont	—	—	—	—	—	—	139	1.7	38 262	.5	11 341 076	.4
Gem	4	11.5	139	14.8	1 807	14.8	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Gooding	64	3.4	3 794	2.5	70 668	2.3	58	2.6	11 868	.7	4 301 135	.6
Idaho	2	16.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	16.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	—	—	—	—	—	—	63	2.0	16 259	.5	4 395 122	.6
Jerome	241	1.9	20 449	1.6	355 176	1.6	113	1.8	18 071	.4	6 942 361	.4
Kootenai	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	14.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Latah	10	4.7	1 485	3.4	8 291	6.7	2	18.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lemhi	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	40.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lewis	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lincoln	17	7.5	1 027	7.8	17 121	8.3	18	3.9	3 488	.3	1 230 986	.3
Madison	—	—	—	—	—	—	136	1.5	39 402	.4	10 682 805	.5
Minidoka	158	1.8	11 758	1.5	183 604	1.3	114	1.1	18 729	.3	6 882 284	.3
Nez Perce	5	11.3	1 149	2.3	16 679	3.2	3	18.4	3	16.1	124	16.1
Oneida	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Owyhee	38	4.3	2 559	2.6	54 469	2.5	41	2.4	4 948	1.1	1 955 538	.8
Payette	16	5.6	834	5.1	15 391	4.0	18	4.7	1 221	1.4	521 051	1.4
Power	—	—	—	—	—	—	77	.4	25 936	.1	8 540 803	.1
Shoshone	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Teton	—	—	—	—	—	—	25	4.3	5 673	1.2	1 150 140	1.2
Twin Falls	577	1.4	45 272	1.3	870 660	1.2	60	2.3	9 217	.5	3 388 975	.5
Valley	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Washington	3	14.4	72	7.2	(D)	(D)	4	6.4	293	4.9	103 477	2.8

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Sugar beets for sugar						Hay — alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	1 406	.8	202 115	.3	4 828 489	.3	11 940	1.1	1 063 292	.8	3 389 557	.7
Ada	51	2.8	5 331	1.1	135 866	1.1	617	1.0	27 557	.9	111 306	.9
Adams	—	—	—	—	—	—	161	1.5	15 588	1.4	30 947	1.9
Bannock	—	—	—	—	—	—	334	1.5	24 667	1.2	59 860	1.5
Bear Lake	—	—	—	—	—	—	329	1.2	45 079	1.0	60 672	1.2
Benewah	—	—	—	—	—	—	85	2.4	5 164	2.2	6 408	2.6
Bingham	37	1.4	9 975	.3	249 611	.3	571	1.1	50 376	1.1	191 738	1.0
Blaine	3	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	132	1.9	16 814	1.6	52 295	1.8
Boise	—	—	—	—	—	—	25	4.2	1 858	9.6	5 125	15.6
Bonner	—	—	—	—	—	—	285	1.2	20 258	1.5	28 829	1.5
Bonneville	—	—	—	—	—	—	401	1.2	35 457	1.2	103 891	.9
Boundary	—	—	—	—	—	—	161	1.5	9 550	1.9	25 115	2.0
Butte	—	—	—	—	—	—	120	1.8	27 632	1.4	100 357	1.4
Camas	—	—	—	—	—	—	47	2.2	14 984	2.4	17 162	2.7
Canyon	270	1.2	32 464	.6	900 320	.5	867	1.1	32 773	1.4	146 957	1.4
Caribou	—	—	—	—	—	—	194	1.5	29 289	1.4	62 143	1.4
Cassia	206	1.6	32 083	.7	718 338	.6	353	1.3	48 864	1.0	183 778	.8
Clark	—	—	—	—	—	—	39	2.9	14 482	1.3	55 976	.3
Clearwater	—	—	—	—	—	—	116	2.0	7 311	2.3	7 811	3.9
Custer	—	—	—	—	—	—	182	2.0	30 796	1.6	77 466	1.9
Elmore	30	2.8	11 253	.5	247 263	.6	133	1.9	22 785	.9	112 777	1.0
Franklin	—	—	—	—	—	—	424	1.5	35 022	1.4	105 221	1.6
Fremont	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	1.7	24 450	1.4	62 997	1.7
Gem	5	9.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	268	1.3	13 569	1.2	45 211	1.6
Gooding	38	4.3	3 073	2.6	59 780	2.7	388	1.5	36 009	1.1	175 586	1.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Sugar beets for sugar					Hay — alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	Farms		Acres		Quantity		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Idaho	—	—	—	—	—	—	418	1.0	36 233	.9	36 118	1.1
Jefferson	—	—	—	—	—	—	489	1.3	74 185	1.0	303 461	.9
Jerome	93	2.4	12 698	1.1	300 870	1.0	449	1.4	34 364	1.0	179 203	1.0
Kootenai	—	—	—	—	—	—	298	1.2	12 822	2.5	19 466	3.3
Latah	—	—	—	—	—	—	301	1.1	15 176	1.5	18 999	1.7
Lemhi	—	—	—	—	—	—	208	1.5	41 802	1.2	96 639	1.2
Lewis	—	—	—	—	—	—	85	1.5	7 013	1.7	7 417	1.1
Lincoln	33	3.3	7 051	.9	161 581	.6	182	2.3	15 239	2.5	42 017	2.1
Madison	—	—	—	—	—	—	253	1.7	16 179	1.5	52 554	1.7
Minidoka	297	1.0	42 939	.5	1 010 080	.5	365	1.1	17 869	.9	88 065	.9
Nez Perce	—	—	—	—	—	—	133	1.8	7 678	2.1	11 923	2.1
Oneida	—	—	—	—	—	—	213	1.9	25 339	2.0	59 758	2.5
Owyhee	75	2.8	11 107	.8	210 929	.7	317	1.5	40 331	1.2	166 582	1.5
Payette	41	2.8	5 360	1.0	155 253	.8	287	1.2	15 083	1.3	61 761	1.5
Power	35	.4	9 255	.3	227 099	.3	106	1.5	8 474	.6	29 294	.4
Shoshone	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	3.8	524	6.8	647	6.2
Teton	—	—	—	—	—	—	153	1.7	20 014	1.7	43 938	2.0
Twin Falls	149	2.1	14 249	1.2	311 003	1.2	891	1.2	51 185	1.1	257 448	1.1
Valley	—	—	—	—	—	—	36	4.7	2 944	5.1	5 653	6.0
Washington	43	3.1	2 635	1.8	72 995	1.7	266	1.2	30 504	.9	78 986	1.1

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table G. State Estimates of the Not on the Mail List Component of Farm Coverage Error: 1992

[Detail may not add to total due to rounding. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census published farms		Not on mail list ¹		Percent not on mail list ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (percent)	Standard error of percent
Farms ----- number	22 124	.8	2 364	25.4	9.7	2.2
Land in farms ----- acres	13 468 992	.3	70 591	39.7	.5	.2
Average size of farm ----- acres	608.8	.6	29.9	41.8	(X)	(X)
Farms by size:						
Less than 10 acres -----	2 785	1.1	1 366	43.6	32.9	9.6
10 to 49 acres -----	5 017	1.0	759	28.4	13.1	3.2
Less than 50 acres -----	7 802	1.0	2 125	27.8	21.4	4.7
50 acres or more -----	14 322	.8	239	55.1	1.6	.9
50 to 99 acres -----	2 557	1.2	61	99.9	2.3	2.3
100 to 179 acres -----	2 513	1.2	16	77.6	.6	.5
180 acres or more -----	9 252	.7	162	71.4	1.7	1.2
Harvested cropland ----- farms	16 023	.8	1 456	23.4	8.3	1.8
----- acres	4 225 273	.4	21 078	39.1	.5	.2
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 -----	2 503	1.1	1 375	39.5	35.5	9.0
\$1,000 to \$2,499 -----	2 223	1.1	663	43.2	23.0	7.7
Less than \$2,500 -----	4 726	1.0	2 038	29.7	30.1	6.3
\$2,500 or more -----	17 398	.8	326	44.4	1.8	.8
\$2,500 to \$9,999 -----	4 619	1.0	186	48.0	3.9	1.8
\$10,000 or more -----	12 779	.9	140	81.9	1.1	.9
Market value of agricultural products sold -----\$1,000 ----	2 964 216	.2	9 771	66.1	.3	.2
Farms by standard industrial classification:						
Crops (01) -----	9 704	.8	808	28.2	7.7	2.0
Livestock (02) -----	12 420	.8	1 556	36.4	11.1	3.6
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family -----	18 534	.8	2 236	26.5	10.8	2.5
Partnership or corporation -----	3 361	.8	127	71.1	3.7	2.5
Other -----	229	1.8	-	(X)	-	(X)
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners -----	13 000	.8	2 247	26.7	14.7	3.4
Part owners and tenants -----	9 124	.8	117	67.6	1.3	.8
Part owners -----	6 502	.7	16	74.8	.2	.2
Tenants -----	2 622	1.3	101	77.4	3.7	2.8
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated -----	16 905	.7	2 353	25.5	12.2	2.7
Not on farm operated -----	3 826	1.1	-	(X)	-	(X)
Not reported -----	1 393	1.0	11	100.0	.8	.8
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming -----	13 082	.7	846	61.0	6.1	3.5
Other -----	9 042	1.0	1 518	22.7	14.4	2.8
Operators by sex:						
Male -----	20 745	.8	1 716	21.7	7.6	1.5
Female -----	1 379	1.1	648	76.6	32.0	16.7
Operators by race:						
White -----	21 802	.8	2 296	26.1	9.5	2.2
Black and other races -----	322	1.8	68	99.9	17.4	14.4
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less -----	3 254	1.3	789	24.8	19.5	3.9
5 years or more -----	15 903	.7	1 498	38.0	8.6	3.0
Average years on present farm -----	18.3	.2	8.3	18.5	(X)	(X)
Not reported -----	2 967	.9	77	87.3	2.5	2.2
Average age of operator -----	52.2	.1	44.9	6.4	(X)	(X)

NOTE: These estimates do not account for incorrectly classified farms or farms appearing more than once in the census and are subject to change in the 1992 Coverage Evaluation publication. See appendix C text for further explanation.

¹Estimates are based on a sample survey conducted independently of census data collection.