

Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

MAIL LIST MODEL

Classification analysis was performed to predict the probability that an addressee on the 1992 mail list operated a farm, and thereby separated the preliminary mail list into probable farm and probable nonfarm classes. The analysis was used to reduce the preliminary census mail list of 3.78 million records to a final mail list size of 3.55 million records. All 3.55 million addresses on the final mail list received a census of agriculture report form.

Records from the 1987 final census mail list were used to build a 1992 prediction model for the 1992 analysis. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) software analyzed characteristics of known 1987 farm and nonfarm operations to determine which were most useful in predicting farm and nonfarm classes. Record characteristics such as the source of the mail list record, number of source lists on which the record appeared, expected value of agricultural sales, and geographic location were used to separate mail list records into model groups. (Sources included the previous agriculture census mail list, the Internal Revenue Service administrative records, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and special commodity lists.) The proportion of 1987 census farm records in each model group was calculated to provide an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

After the model groups were defined, each address record on the 1992 preliminary mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms according to the classification tree methodology. The model, followed by analyst reviews, was used to remove 229,700 records from the preliminary mail list (those in model groups with the lowest farm probability), and thereby designated the 3.55 million records with the highest farm probability to receive the census report form. This procedure was used to obtain a more complete census enumeration of farm operations without excessive respondent burden and data collection cost.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

Each of the 3.55 million name and address records on the census mail list was designated to receive one of three different types of census report forms. The three forms were the nonsample form, the screener form, and the

sample form. Sections 1 through 20 and 27 through 32 of the sample form are identical to sections on the non-sample form. The sample form, sections 21 through 26, contains additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income. The screener form is identical to the nonsample form with questions added in section 1 to allow quick identification of nonfarm addresses. These three different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Addresses were selected into the sample with certainty (1) if they were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) if they were multiunit operations (i.e., separate farms in more than one location), (3) if they had other special characteristics, or (4) if they were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1987. Other addresses in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and other addresses in counties containing 200 farms or more in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties. When a nonsample large farm was identified during processing, a supplemental form that contained the additional sample data inquiries was mailed.

To determine which mail list records would receive the screener form, all mail list records not designated for the sample were sorted by model group farm probability as specified by the mail list model. The 412,000 mail list records in the model groups with the lowest probability of being farms and with an expected total value of agricultural product sales less than \$25,000 were designated to receive the screener report form. The remaining mail list records received the nonsample report form.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1992 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures. These estimation procedures accounted for nonresponse to the data collection and for the sample data collection. These procedures are necessary because some farm operators never respond to

the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and the estimates for the sample data are based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

A statistical estimation procedure was used to account for nonrespondent farm operators to the census. We excluded large and unique farm operations that received intensive telephone followup during census processing, assuming complete response from them. A stratified systematic sample of remaining census nonrespondents were contacted by enumerators using a computer-assisted telephone interview system. Five sample strata were defined based on expected value of sales, previous census status, and whether the record was identified by the mail list model to receive the screener report form. The nonresponse survey telephone interview was designed to provide sufficient information to determine the farm status of each record.

In situations where the nonresponse survey case could not be contacted, the contact person refused to cooperate, or when no phone number could be obtained, a screener report form was sent by certified mail.

Estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents that operated farms were made for each stratum in the State using survey results and applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms for each county by stratum was then derived. This estimation procedure is based on the assumption that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county is the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Certain census respondent farms which exhibited "rare" commodities were designated as "ineligible" to represent census nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting operation. The procedure explained below was performed with only the eligible respondent cases: Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms to the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight was never greater than 2.0. The noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final weight for the sample items. The noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record for tabulating the complete count items for publication.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in these tables are the percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided

in these tables do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of item nonresponse is discussed in the Census Nonsampling Error section.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1992

Item	Percent of total
Farms	18.4
Land in farms.....	7.4
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹	2.8
Market value of agricultural products sold	3.3
Harvested cropland	4.5
Corn for grain or seed	2.3
Wheat for grain	3.9
Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Cattle and calves	13.2
Hogs and pigs	7.4
Hens and pullets of laying age.....	1.3

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimates the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. The estimates were obtained from a ratio estimation procedure that resulted in the assignment of a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records in the county.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm would be multiplied by 6. The weight assigned to a sample certainty farm was 1.

Other than certainty farms, within a county, the ratio estimation procedure for farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1992 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. Both the second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were as follows:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure was to classify the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial post strata formed by the three sets of groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample weight equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This weight was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure was to combine, if necessary, the 32 initial post strata to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms after nonresponse adjustment or had a weight greater than two times the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2 or 6, depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2 or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the initial 32 post strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each of the final post strata and were used to calculate final sample weights.

The final step consisted of assigning the noninteger final post stratum weight to the sample farm records in each post stratum. The weight is the ratio of total farm count to sample farm count in each final post stratum. The noninteger sample weight, the product of the noninteger final post stratum weight and the nonresponse weight, was randomly rounded to an integer weight for tabulation. If, for example, the final weight for the farms in a particular post stratum was 7.2, then 0.2 or one-fifth of the sample farms in this post stratum were randomly assigned a weight of 8 and the remaining four-fifths received a weight of 7.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1992 Census of Agriculture is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Sample refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive the sample report forms. Estimates derived from all the possible samples would differ from each other only by random variation.

The standard error or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and thus is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as 100 times the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples were surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary for producing a confidence interval for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is .1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94). If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the figure obtained from a complete enumeration. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. Complete count items were asked of all farm operators. Examples of complete count items were land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Sample count items were asked only of a sample of farm operators. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income.

Variability, measured as percent relative standard error, in the estimates of complete count items is due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. Variability in the estimates of sample count items is due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Thus, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county reporting complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard error for estimated number of farms in a county reporting a complete count item and the bottom half a sample count item. These are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used for complete count items and sample count items. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for all counties in the State. For sample count items, only data

from counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 6 are used in the estimation of the regression equation.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1992

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	6.4
50	4.1
75	2.9
100	2.1
1507
2006
3005
5004
7503
1,0003
1,5002
2,000	(X)
SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	36.3
50	26.2
75	21.8
100	19.3
150	16.3
200	14.6
300	12.7
500	10.9
750	9.9
1,000	9.4
1,500	8.8
2,000	(X)

To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1987 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count item estimates comes only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures; thus, the estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the percent standard error for percent change in State totals from 1987 to 1992. The general

purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1992 and the 1987 estimate for that characteristic to the 1987 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The percent standard error of a percent change estimate, then, is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in (1) the total number of farms, (2) the number of large farms included with certainty, (3) the size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) the amount of nonresponse, (5) the general agricultural characteristics, and (6) the specific characteristic being measured.

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts are affected jointly by sampling errors, described in the previous section, and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures on specific operations. Nonsampling errors arise from incompleteness of the census mail list, duplication in the mail list, incorrect data reporting, errors in editing of reported data, and errors in imputation for missing data. These specific nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Evaluation studies will be conducted to measure the extent of certain nonsampling errors such as coverage error and classification error.

Census Coverage

The main objective of the census of agriculture is to obtain a complete and accurate enumeration of U.S. farms with accurate data on all aspects of the agricultural operation. However, the high cost and availability of resources for enumeration place restrictions on feasible data collection methodologies. The past six agriculture censuses have been conducted by mail enumeration with telephone contact for selected nonrespondents. The completeness of such an enumeration thus depends to a large extent on the coverage of farm operations by the census mail list.

The past five censuses of agriculture have included approximately 91 percent of farms in the United States and approximately 96 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by fluctuations in agricultural operations qualifying for enumeration, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used

by an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, the accuracy of data reporting, and other factors. A new mail list is compiled for each census because no current single list of agricultural operations is comprehensive.

An evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. The evaluation provides estimates of the completeness of census farm count and major census data items. In addition, the evaluation helps to identify problems in the census enumeration and provide information that can form the basis for improvements. The results of the 1992 Coverage Evaluation program will be published in volume 2, Subject Series (Part 2): Coverage Evaluation.

The evaluation of coverage for the 1992 census was designed to measure four components of error in the census mail list and in farm classification. Mail list error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms not on the census mail list (undercount) and a measurement of farms enumerated more than once in the census (overcount). Classification error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms classified as nonfarms in the census (undercount) and of nonfarms classified as farms in the census (overcount). Classification error arises from reporting and processing errors. Mail list undercount dominates all coverage errors. Net coverage error is defined as the difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. Measurements of these errors, as well as a description of the complete coverage program, will be available in the Coverage Evaluation report.

Mail List Coverage

A major problem with mail enumeration for the census of agriculture is the difficulty encountered in compiling a complete mail list. The percentage of farms included on the census mail list varies considerably by State. Several reasons have contributed to farm operator names not being included on the census mail list—the operation may have been started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any of the agriculture-related source lists used in compiling the census list, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout. A large proportion of the farms not included on the mail list are small in both acres and sales of agricultural products.

The 1992 Census of Agriculture Coverage Evaluation used the area segment sample of the 1992 June Agricultural Survey (JAS) of the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS) to estimate farms not on the census mail list. The Census Bureau contracted with NASS to augment the JAS data collection. The survey data collected by NASS will be protected under the confidentiality of title 13, U.S. Code. These JAS survey records were matched to the census mail list. Records that did not match were mailed a census of agriculture report form to estimate mail list

coverage. Estimates of farms not on the census mail list are computed using a capture-recapture dual frame estimator which will be described in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Table G provides coverage evaluation estimates for one component of coverage error associated with the census of agriculture; that is, the error due to farms not on the census mail list. Also provided are estimates of selected characteristics of farms not on the mail list, estimates of characteristics of farms not on the mail list as a percentage of total farms in the State, and the percent relative standard error associated with each estimate. The estimate of total farms in the State is based on census farm count plus the estimated number of farms not on the census mail list. This estimate of total farms in the State was not adjusted for the components of error associated with classification and list duplication error. Estimates of these errors will be made at the regional, rather than the State level, and will be provided in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the mailed census report form or to the questions posed by a telephone enumerator introduce error into the census data. Such incorrect information can lead, in some cases, to incorrect classification of farms. This type of reporting error is measured by the Classification Error Survey discussed later in this section. To reduce all types of reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each addressee. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on tests of the census report form and each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency.

Item Nonresponse

As information flows from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses are identified on the report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the report form that logically should be present may create a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. When information from reporting farms is used to edit or impute for item nonresponse, the data may be biased due to characteristics of the nonreporting respondents differing from those reporting the item. Any attempt to correct the data items may not completely reflect this difference either at the element level (individual farm operation) or on the average.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each report form are sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the report forms includes clerical screening for farm activity, computerized check-in of report forms and follow-up of nonrespondents, keying and transmittal of

completed report forms, computerized editing of inconsistent and missing data, review and correction of individual records referred from the computer edit, review and correction of tabulated data, and electronic data processing. These operations undergo a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible, yet some errors are not detected and corrected.

Classification Error

An evaluation study of classification errors was conducted in the 1992 Census of Agriculture as part of the census coverage evaluation program. A sample of census mail list respondents was selected, and these addresses were reenumerated to determine whether they were a farm or nonfarm. A farm status determination was made based on the evaluation report form and compared with the census farm status which was based on the data reported on the report form. Differences in status were reconciled.

In past censuses, the proportion of farms undercounted due to classification errors was higher for farms with small values of sales. For the 1987 census, the classification error rate was higher for (1) farms with small values of sales, (2) farms with a small number of acres, (3) full-owner farms than part-owner or tenant farms, (4) operators with principal occupation other than farming, and (5) males than females. Results from the 1992 Classification Error Survey will be published in the Coverage Evaluation report.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The Census of Agriculture Complex Edit and Imputation System performs the following functions:

- Ensuring reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, and combinations of commodities.
- Ensuring necessary consistencies are present. There are more than 70 distinct consistency requirements.
- Ensuring geographic, legal, and physical constraints are met.

The system must perform these and similar functions for 900 data keycodes for sample records and 850 data keycodes for nonsample records.

For the 1992 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships were assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several standard industrial classification and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State. The computer records were sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for some sections of the report form was processed by the computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions.

After the initial computer edit, keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data were keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for about 25 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record was reedited.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms -----number--	25 652	1.6	Total farm production expenses ----- farms --	25 654	1.7
Land in farms -----acres--	7 837 545	.6	----- \$1,000--	1 309 012	.4
Average size of farm -----acres--	306	1.7	Average per farm -----dollars--	51 026	1.7
MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD			Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms --		
Total sales (see text) ----- farms --	25 652	1.6	----- \$1,000--	63 257	1.4
----- \$1,000--	1 607 511	.2	----- farms --	13 776	2.2
Average per farm -----dollars--	62 666	1.6	----- \$1,000--	171 376	.5
Farms by value of sales:			Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms --	5 111	3.2
Less than \$1,000 (see text) ----- farms --	4 179	2.8	----- \$1,000--	126 901	.5
----- \$1,000--	1 216	2.9	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms --	10 447	1.9
\$1,000 to \$2,499 ----- farms --	3 829	2.6	----- \$1,000--	55 470	1.0
----- \$1,000--	6 358	2.6	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms --	16 983	1.7
\$2,500 to \$4,999 ----- farms --	3 664	2.4	----- \$1,000--	121 097	.7
----- \$1,000--	13 086	2.4	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms --	11 032	1.8
\$5,000 to \$9,999 ----- farms --	3 407	2.0	----- \$1,000--	170 327	.7
----- \$1,000--	24 026	2.0	Petroleum products ----- farms --	24 458	1.7
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms --	2 494	1.8	----- \$1,000--	91 578	.7
----- \$1,000--	34 812	1.8	Electricity ----- farms --	14 701	1.9
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms --	655	2.1	----- \$1,000--	17 560	1.0
----- \$1,000--	14 389	2.1	Hired farm labor ----- farms --	10 361	1.9
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms --	1 130	1.9	Contract labor ----- farms --	146 667	.4
----- \$1,000--	35 490	1.9	----- farms --	3 142	3.5
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms --	463	2.0	Repair and maintenance ----- farms --	11 560	2.6
----- \$1,000--	20 512	2.0	----- \$1,000--	21 050	1.7
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms --	1 670	1.5	----- \$1,000--	118 469	.7
----- \$1,000--	121 389	1.5	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms --	6 619	2.4
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms --	2 401	1.5	----- \$1,000--	40 702	1.2
----- \$1,000--	389 066	1.5	Interest expense ----- farms --	8 696	2.0
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms --	1 144	1.5	----- \$1,000--	70 931	1.0
----- \$1,000--	396 838	1.5	Secured by real estate ----- farms --	4 850	2.8
\$500,000 or more ----- farms --	616	1.5	----- \$1,000--	35 422	1.7
----- \$1,000--	550 328	1.5	Not secured by real estate ----- farms --	5 273	2.3
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			----- \$1,000--	35 510	.9
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms --	10 720	1.2	Cash rent ----- farms --	6 933	2.2
----- \$1,000--	1 111 346	.2	----- \$1,000--	76 189	.8
Grains ----- farms --	5 441	1.0	Property taxes ----- farms --	21 213	1.8
----- \$1,000--	454 443	.3	----- \$1,000--	12 845	1.9
Corn for grain ----- farms --	1 190	.9	All other farm production expenses ----- farms --	21 825	1.7
----- \$1,000--	69 867	.2	----- \$1,000--	140 984	.5
Wheat ----- farms --	677	.9	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹		
----- \$1,000--	14 710	.5	All farms -----number--	25 654	1.7
Soybeans ----- farms --	3 889	.9	----- \$1,000--	268 077	1.2
----- \$1,000--	173 475	.3	Average per farm -----dollars--	10 450	2.1
Sorghum for grain ----- farms --	853	.9	Farms with net gains ² -----number--	12 518	1.8
----- \$1,000--	24 002	.4	----- \$1,000--	351 543	.7
Barley ----- farms --	2	1.0	Average net gain -----dollars--	28 083	2.0
----- \$1,000--	65	3.1	Farms with net losses -----number--	13 136	2.4
Oats ----- farms --	349	2.7	----- \$1,000--	83 466	2.2
----- \$1,000--	2 201	1.0	Average net loss -----dollars--	6 354	3.3
Other grains ----- farms --	172 039	.4	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms --	2 598	.9	Government payments ----- farms --	5 668	1.0
----- \$1,000--	357 269	.2	----- \$1,000--	104 234	.3
Tobacco ----- farms --	2	1.6	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms --	4 177	3.4
----- (D)	2	(D)	----- \$1,000--	41 204	2.9
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms --	2 343	1.9	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms --	1 312	5.9
----- \$1,000--	10 006	1.6	----- \$1,000--	13 930	2.7
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms --	644	2.3	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms --	1 855	5.3
----- \$1,000--	8 604	1.7	----- \$1,000--	16 235	5.7
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms --	466	2.5	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms --	609	9.0
----- \$1,000--	3 305	2.1	----- \$1,000--	6 496	9.2
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms --	547	2.2	Other farm-related income sources ----- farms --	874	5.8
----- \$1,000--	44 676	.6	----- \$1,000--	4 544	3.2
Other crops ----- farms --	1 024	1.0	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
----- (D)	(D)	(D)	Total ----- farms --	2 313	.9
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms --	15 934	1.8	----- \$1,000--	160 023	.2
----- \$1,000--	496 165	.4			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms --	663	1.5			
----- \$1,000--	190 475	(L)			
Dairy products ----- farms --	842	.9			
----- \$1,000--	124 421	.3			
Cattle and calves ----- farms --	14 131	1.8			
----- \$1,000--	134 406	1.0			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms --	534	2.4			
----- \$1,000--	5 711	1.3			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms --	366	2.7			
----- \$1,000--	306	3.8			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms --	1 983	2.0			
----- \$1,000--	40 846	.7			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms --	903	2.3			
----- \$1,000--	2 392	2.5			

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			TENURE OF OPERATOR		
Total cropland ----- farms ..	21 777	1.5	All operators ----- farms ..	25 652	1.6
Harvested cropland ----- farms ..	5 552 733	.5	Full owners ----- farms ..	7 837 545	.6
1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	17 171	1.4	Part owners ----- farms ..	13 751	2.0
10 to 19 acres ----- farms ..	3 810 690	.3	Tenants ----- farms ..	2 176 677	1.0
20 to 29 acres ----- farms ..			landlords ----- farms ..	8 018	1.3
30 to 49 acres ----- farms ..			Rented or leased land in farms ----- farms ..	3 626 012	.5
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..			Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	3 883	1.3
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..			acres ..	2 034 856	.4
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..			OWNED AND RENTED LAND		
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..			Land owned ----- farms ..	21 905	1.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..			Owned land in farms ----- farms ..	4 006 077	.8
acres ..			Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	21 769	1.7
acres ..			acres ..	3 345 228	.8
acres ..			Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	11 992	1.2
acres ..			acres ..	4 591 272	.4
acres ..			landlords ..	37 707	.8
acres ..			Rented or leased land in farms ----- farms ..	11 901	1.2
acres ..			acres ..	4 492 317	.4
acres ..			Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	3 095	1.7
acres ..			acres ..	759 804	1.0
acres ..			OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS		
acres ..			Operators by place of residence:		
acres ..			On farm operated ----- farms ..	16 351	1.6
acres ..			Not on farm operated ----- farms ..	6 732	1.6
acres ..			Not reported ----- farms ..	2 569	1.8
acres ..			Operators by principal occupation:		
acres ..			Farming ----- farms ..	12 931	1.1
acres ..			Other ----- farms ..	12 721	2.2
acres ..			Operators by days worked off farm:		
acres ..			Any ----- farms ..	13 024	2.0
acres ..			200 days or more ----- farms ..	8 594	2.1
acres ..			Operators by sex:		
acres ..			Male ----- farms ..	23 786	1.6
acres ..			Female ----- farms ..	7 499 003	.5
acres ..			acres ..	1 866	2.1
acres ..			acres ..	338 542	1.2
acres ..			Average age of operator ----- years ..	53.5	2.3
acres ..			FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
acres ..			Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	22 310	1.7
acres ..			acres ..	5 237 764	.7
acres ..			Partnership ----- farms ..	2 032	1.4
acres ..			acres ..	1 491 132	.3
acres ..			Corporation:		
acres ..			Family held ----- farms ..	972	1.2
acres ..			acres ..	819 138	.4
acres ..			More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	36	2.0
acres ..			10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	936	1.2
acres ..			Other than family held ----- farms ..	133	2.6
acres ..			acres ..	177 323	.5
acres ..			More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	21	4.5
acres ..			10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	112	2.9
acres ..			Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	205	2.6
acres ..			acres ..	112 188	.7
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS ¹			HIRED FARM LABOR		
Estimated market value of land and buildings ----- farms ..	25 654	1.7	Hired workers by days worked:		
Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	7 473 820	1.1	150 days or more ----- farms ..	5 035	2.1
Average per farm ----- dollars ..	291 332	2.0	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	12 068	1.2
Average per acre ----- dollars ..	972	1.3	workers ..	9 093	2.1
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT ¹			workers ..	29 388	2.1
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ----- farms ..	25 629	1.7	INJURIES AND DEATHS		
Average per farm ----- \$1,000 ..	1 186 601	1.0	Farm-related injuries:		
Average per farm ----- dollars ..	46 299	2.0	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	143	2.5
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS ¹			number ..	166	2.4
Commercial fertilizer ----- farms ..	16 791	1.7	Hired workers ----- farms ..	255	.9
acres on which used ..	3 041 080	.7	number ..	407	.7
			Farm-related deaths:		
			Operator and family members ----- farms ..	2	14.9
			number ..	(D)	(D)
			Hired workers ----- farms ..	6	—
			number ..	10	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS BY SIZE			LIVESTOCK		
1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	1 934	2.8	Cattle and calves inventory ----- farms ..	15 036	1.8
----- acres..	8 621	3.0	----- number..	844 260	1.0
10 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	6 895	2.5	Beef cows ----- farms ..	13 112	1.9
----- acres..	187 413	2.5	----- number..	441 725	1.1
50 to 69 acres ----- farms ..	2 047	2.4	Milk cows ----- farms ..	1 279	1.3
----- acres..	118 420	2.4	----- number..	78 976	.3
70 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	2 188	2.1	Cattle and calves sold ----- farms ..	14 131	1.8
----- acres..	180 233	2.1	----- number..	375 903	1.0
100 to 139 acres ----- farms ..	2 172	1.9	----- \$1,000..	134 406	1.0
----- acres..	250 685	1.9	Hogs and pigs inventory ----- farms ..	844	2.5
			----- number..	37 519	2.2
			Hogs and pigs sold ----- farms ..	534	2.4
			----- number..	57 244	1.4
			----- \$1,000..	5 711	1.3
			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory ----- farms ..	468	2.6
			----- number..	9 244	3.5
140 to 179 acres ----- farms ..	1 484	1.8	Sheep and lambs sold ----- farms ..	322	2.8
----- acres..	233 069	1.8	----- number..	6 458	4.2
180 to 219 acres ----- farms ..	1 106	1.8	Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	5 102	2.0
----- acres..	218 884	1.8	----- number..	27 978	1.8
220 to 259 acres ----- farms ..	766	1.9	Horses and ponies sold ----- farms ..	1 167	2.3
----- acres..	182 659	1.9	----- number..	3 576	2.1
260 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	2 681	1.3	POULTRY		
----- acres..	960 910	1.3	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory ----- farms ..	1 344	2.5
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	2 471	.7	----- number..	2 111 789	.8
----- acres..	1 713 109	.7	Hens and pullets of laying age ----- farms ..	1 315	2.5
			----- number..	1 763 669	.9
1,000 to 1,999 acres ----- farms ..	1 345	—	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold ----- farms ..	313	.6
----- acres..	1 774 428	—	----- number..	115 258 369	(L)
2,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	563	—	CROPS HARVESTED		
----- acres..	2 009 114	—	Corn for grain or seed ----- farms ..	1 452	1.0
			----- acres..	269 642	.3
			----- bushels..	31 066 973	.3
			Sorghum for grain or seed ----- farms ..	875	.9
			----- acres..	179 376	.5
			----- bushels..	11 723 104	.4
			Wheat for grain ----- farms ..	682	.9
			----- acres..	119 304	.5
			----- bushels..	4 432 764	.5
			Rice ----- farms ..	2 197	1.0
			----- acres..	589 752	.4
			----- cwt..	26 906 404	.4
			Cotton ----- farms ..	2 599	.9
			----- acres..	827 792	.3
			----- bales..	1 219 599	.2
			Soybeans for beans ----- farms ..	3 903	.9
			----- acres..	1 112 815	.4
			----- bushels..	33 360 521	.3
			Irish potatoes ----- farms ..	91	4.3
			----- acres..	445	1.7
			----- cwt..	64 091	1.2
			Sweetpotatoes ----- farms ..	192	2.4
			----- acres..	10 548	1.0
			----- bushels..	2 603 557	.8
			Sugarcane for sugar ----- farms ..	755	.8
			----- acres..	356 349	.1
			----- tons..	9 131 174	.1
			Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) ----- farms ..	8 956	1.6
			----- acres..	383 292	1.1
			----- tons, dry..	851 288	1.0
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) ----- farms ..	647	2.3
			----- acres..	8 041	1.6
			Land in orchards ----- farms ..	1 019	2.3
			----- acres..	(D)	(D)
FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION					
Cash grains (011) ----- farms ..	3 860	1.1			
----- acres..	2 617 705	.4			
Field crops, except cash grains (013) ----- farms ..	4 149	1.2			
----- acres..	2 186 815	.3			
Vegetables and melons (016) ----- farms ..	435	2.6			
----- acres..	23 275	2.7			
Fruits and tree nuts (017) ----- farms ..	616	2.9			
----- acres..	29 908	3.0			
Horticultural specialties (018) ----- farms ..	501	2.2			
----- acres..	25 245	2.6			
General farms, primarily crop (019) ----- farms ..	407	2.7			
----- acres..	102 821	1.2			
Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021) ----- farms ..	12 552	2.1			
----- acres..	2 305 859	1.1			
Dairy farms (024) ----- farms ..	789	.9			
----- acres..	219 955	.5			
Poultry and eggs (025) ----- farms ..	442	1.0			
----- acres..	47 912	.6			
Animal specialties (027) ----- farms ..	1 592	2.4			
----- acres..	143 123	1.4			
General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029) ----- farms ..	309	2.7			
----- acres..	134 927	1.6			

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms ----- number ..	10 573	1.0	Total farm production expenses ----- farms ..	10 434	1.2
Land in farms ----- acres ..	6 387 986	.4	----- \$1,000 ..	1 234 205	.4
Average size of farm ----- acres ..	604	1.0	Average per farm ----- dollars ..	118 287	1.2
MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD			NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹		
Total sales (see text) ----- farms ..	10 573	1.0	All farms ----- number ..	10 434	1.2
----- \$1,000 ..	1 562 825	.2	----- \$1,000 ..	296 760	1.0
Average per farm ----- dollars ..	147 813	1.0	Average per farm ----- dollars ..	28 442	1.5
Farms by value of sales:			Farms with net gains ² ----- number ..	7 755	1.6
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms ..	2 494	1.8	----- \$1,000 ..	342 748	.7
----- \$1,000 ..	34 812	1.8	Average net gain ----- dollars ..	44 197	1.8
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms ..	655	2.1	Farms with net losses ----- number ..	2 679	3.3
----- \$1,000 ..	14 389	2.1	----- \$1,000 ..	45 988	2.7
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms ..	1 130	1.9	Average net loss ----- dollars ..	17 166	4.3
----- \$1,000 ..	35 490	1.9	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms ..	463	2.0	Government payments ----- farms ..	4 319	.8
----- \$1,000 ..	20 512	2.0	----- \$1,000 ..	101 284	.3
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms ..	1 670	1.5	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms ..	2 166	3.6
----- \$1,000 ..	121 389	1.5	----- \$1,000 ..	32 837	2.8
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms ..	2 401	—	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms ..	813	6.3
----- \$1,000 ..	389 066	—	----- \$1,000 ..	12 591	2.4
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms ..	1 144	—	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms ..	802	6.8
----- \$1,000 ..	396 838	—	----- \$1,000 ..	13 048	6.5
\$500,000 or more ----- farms ..	616	—	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms ..	190	12.8
----- \$1,000 ..	550 328	—	----- \$1,000 ..	2 953	6.4
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			Other farm-related income sources ----- farms ..	722	5.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms ..	7 213	.9	----- \$1,000 ..	4 244	2.6
----- \$1,000 ..	1 100 363	.2	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
Grains ----- farms ..	4 550	.8	Total ----- farms ..	2 166	.8
----- \$1,000 ..	450 855	.3	----- \$1,000 ..	159 653	.2
Corn for grain ----- farms ..	1 057	.7			
----- \$1,000 ..	69 545	.2			
Wheat ----- farms ..	615	.8			
----- \$1,000 ..	14 505	.5			
Soybeans ----- farms ..	3 410	.8			
----- \$1,000 ..	171 665	.3			
Sorghum for grain ----- farms ..	800	.8			
----- \$1,000 ..	23 807	.4			
Barley ----- farms ..	—	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	—	—			
Oats ----- farms ..	59	3.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	341	2.7			
Other grains ----- farms ..	1 941	.9			
----- \$1,000 ..	170 992	.3			
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms ..	2 337	.8			
----- \$1,000 ..	356 083	.2			
Tobacco ----- farms ..	2	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	(D)	(D)			
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms ..	806	1.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	6 760	1.7			
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms ..	267	2.4			
----- \$1,000 ..	7 495	1.8			
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms ..	152	2.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	(D)	(D)			
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms ..	328	2.0			
----- \$1,000 ..	43 951	.5			
Other crops ----- farms ..	857	.8			
----- \$1,000 ..	232 551	.1			
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms ..	5 249	1.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	462 462	.3			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms ..	401	.7			
----- \$1,000 ..	190 309	.8			
Dairy products ----- farms ..	788	.3			
----- \$1,000 ..	124 243	.3			
Cattle and calves ----- farms ..	4 550	1.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	104 268	.8			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms ..	182	2.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	5 102	1.3			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms ..	81	3.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	99	6.8			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms ..	751	1.6			
----- \$1,000 ..	38 441	.7			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms ..	266	2.3			
----- \$1,000 ..	1 501	3.3			

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
Total cropland ----- farms ..	9 883	.9	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	8 304	1.0
Harvested cropland ----- acres..	4 904 191	.4	Partnership ----- farms ..	3 969 332	.5
Harvested cropland ----- farms ..	9 205	.9	Partnership ----- farms ..	1 315	1.0
Cropland: ----- acres..	3 633 874	.3	Corporation: ----- farms ..	1 391 975	.3
Pasture or grazing only ----- farms ..	3 508	1.1	Family held ----- farms ..	771	1.0
Pasture or grazing only ----- acres..	505 725	1.0	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	776 889	.3
Total woodland ----- farms ..	3 269	1.0	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	30	—
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured ----- farms ..	1 869	1.0	Other than family held ----- farms ..	741	1.0
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured ----- acres..	711 754	.5	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	155 737	.4
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. ----- farms ..	4 829	1.0	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	16	2.5
Irrigated land ----- farms ..	3 198	.9	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	78	2.5
Harvested cropland irrigated ----- farms ..	883 292	.4	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	89	2.6
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- farms ..	3 169	.9	Pasture and other land irrigated ----- farms ..	94 053	.6
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- acres..	875 597	.4			
Land under federal acreage reduction programs: ----- farms ..	90	2.9	HIRED FARM LABOR		
Diverted under annual commodity programs ----- farms ..	2 157	.8	Hired workers by days worked: ----- farms ..		
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs ----- farms ..	64 071	.2	150 days or more ----- farms ..	3 953	1.8
			Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	10 957	1.1
			Less than 150 days ----- workers..	5 533	1.9
			Less than 150 days ----- workers..	22 397	2.1
			INJURIES AND DEATHS		
			Farm-related injuries: ----- farms ..		
			Operator and family members ----- farms ..	87	1.9
			Hired workers ----- farms ..	106	1.7
			Operator and family members ----- number..	232	.6
			Hired workers ----- number..	380	.4
			Farm-related deaths: ----- farms ..		
			Operator and family members ----- farms ..	2	14.9
			Hired workers ----- farms ..	(D)	(D)
			Operator and family members ----- number..	6	—
			Hired workers ----- number..	(D)	(D)
			FARMS BY SIZE		
			1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	320	2.4
			10 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	802	1.8
			50 to 69 acres ----- farms ..	362	2.3
			70 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	551	2.1
			100 to 139 acres ----- farms ..	766	1.9
			140 to 179 acres ----- farms ..	680	1.9
			180 to 219 acres ----- farms ..	601	1.8
			220 to 259 acres ----- farms ..	462	2.0
			260 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	2 005	1.3
			500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	2 202	.6
			1,000 to 1,999 acres ----- farms ..	1 286	—
			2,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	536	—
			FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION		
			Cash grains (011) ----- farms ..	3 088	1.0
			Field crops, except cash grains (013) ----- farms ..	2 851	.9
			Vegetables and melons (016) ----- farms ..	160	3.1
			Fruits and tree nuts (017) ----- farms ..	59	4.5
			Horticultural specialties (018) ----- farms ..	304	2.0
			General farms, primarily crop (019) ----- farms ..	59	2.9
			Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021) ----- farms ..	2 557	1.5
			Dairy farms (024) ----- farms ..	748	.8
			Poultry and eggs (025) ----- farms ..	360	.4
			Animal specialties (027) ----- farms ..	371	2.1
			General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029) ----- farms ..	16	5.3
			LIVESTOCK		
			Cattle and calves inventory ----- farms ..	4 525	1.1
			Cattle and calves inventory ----- number..	576 155	.7
			Beef cows ----- farms ..	3 640	1.2
			Beef cows ----- number..	283 620	.9
			Milk cows ----- farms ..	857	.9
			Milk cows ----- number..	77 991	.3
			Cattle and calves sold ----- farms ..	4 550	1.1
			Cattle and calves sold ----- number..	280 779	.8
			Hogs and pigs inventory ----- farms ..	104 268	.8
			Hogs and pigs inventory ----- number..	225	2.3
			Hogs and pigs sold ----- farms ..	29 819	1.4
			Hogs and pigs sold ----- number..	182	2.5
			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory ----- farms ..	50 063	1.5
			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory ----- number..	5 102	1.3
			Sheep and lambs sold ----- farms ..	100	3.0
			Sheep and lambs sold ----- number..	2 955	6.6
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	73	3.2
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- number..	2 169	8.8
			Horses and ponies sold ----- farms ..	1 330	1.3
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	9 635	1.3
			Horses and ponies sold ----- farms ..	302	2.1
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	1 587	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1987 to 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate
Farms..... number..	-6.2	1.7	-2	1.2
Land in farms..... acres ..	-2.1	.7	-7	.5
Average size of farm..... acres ..	4.4	2.0	-5	1.4
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :				
Average per farm.....dollars ..	8.5	2.5	5.1	2.1
Average per acre.....dollars ..	3.4	1.9	3.6	1.7
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :				
Average per farm.....dollars ..	20.8	2.8	21.3	2.4
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres.....	-6.4	2.8	17.2	3.3
10 to 49 acres.....	-11.6	2.4	5.0	2.3
50 to 179 acres.....	-4.3	2.0	6.1	2.2
180 to 499 acres.....	-5.4	1.6	-7.3	1.6
500 to 999 acres.....	-5.0	1.0	-4.6	.9
1,000 to 1,999 acres.....	5.2	(L)	6.7	(L)
2,000 acres or more.....	3.3	.1	4.3	.1
Total cropland.....farms ..	-6.4	1.6	-4	1.2
Harvested cropland.....acres ..	-2	.7	1.2	.6
Irrigated land.....farms ..	-7.9	1.4	-1.9	1.2
.....acres ..	5.9	.6	6.9	.5
Market value of agricultural products sold.....\$1,000 ..	19.9	.4	20.9	.4
Average per farm.....dollars ..	27.9	2.3	21.2	1.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops.....\$1,000 ..	19.5	.4	19.9	.4
Livestock, poultry, and their products.....\$1,000 ..	20.9	.6	23.2	.5
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500.....	-13.7	1.9	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999.....	-8.9	2.4	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	-1.2	2.3	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999.....	3.2	3.2	3.2	2.2
\$25,000 to \$49,999.....	-10.3	2.1	-10.3	2.1
\$50,000 to \$99,999.....	-19.0	1.7	-19.0	1.7
\$100,000 to \$249,999.....	2	(L)	2	(L)
\$250,000 to \$499,999.....	23.4	.1	23.4	.1
\$500,000 or more.....	59.6	-	59.6	-
Total farm production expenses ¹\$1,000 ..	28.0	2.3	29.8	1.6
Average per farm.....dollars ..	36.4	2.7	32.3	2.1
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹farms..	-6.2	1.7	-1.9	1.4
.....\$1,000 ..	-7.2	1.7	-5.6	1.5
Average per farm.....dollars ..	-1.1	2.6	-3.8	2.0
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming.....	-4.2	1.3	-3.9	1.1
Other.....	-8.2	2.2	12.6	2.2
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any.....	-9.5	4.9	1.9	5.3
200 days or more.....	-6.6	5.1	7.1	5.6
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory.....farms ..	-6.2	1.9	4.0	1.4
.....number..	3.8	1.2	8.5	1.0
Beef cows.....farms ..	-3.2	2.0	9.8	1.6
.....number..	4.5	1.4	11.2	1.3
Milk cows.....farms ..	-19.1	1.3	-9.5	1.1
.....number..	-5.3	.5	-4.5	.5
Cattle and calves sold.....farms ..	-7.3	1.8	2.9	1.4
.....number..	-6.5	1.1	-1.9	1.0
Hogs and pigs inventory.....farms ..	-33.1	1.9	-26.5	2.2
.....number..	-27.6	1.9	-28.1	1.5
Hogs and pigs sold.....farms ..	-33.8	1.9	-27.5	2.3
.....number..	-21.5	1.4	-19.9	1.5
Sheep and lambs inventory.....farms ..	-25.8	2.2	-23.1	3.1
.....number..	-19.8	3.5	-28.0	6.5
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory.....farms ..	-43.6	1.6	-36.8	1.8
.....number..	40.4	1.7	43.7	1.7
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold.....farms ..	3	1.0	3.1	.6
.....number..	19.9	.1	19.9	.1
Selected crops harvested:				
Sorghum for grain or seed.....farms ..	9.4	1.5	14.4	1.5
.....acres..	47.0	1.3	50.0	1.3
.....bushels..	62.2	1.3	64.0	1.3
Wheat for grain.....farms ..	-36.1	.8	-33.0	.8
.....acres..	(D)	(D)	-19.9	.6
.....bushels..	(D)	(D)	-3.1	.7
Rice.....farms ..	-3.3	1.4	-3.9	1.3
.....acres..	41.3	1.1	41.9	1.0
.....cwt..	49.7	1.0	50.2	1.0
Cotton.....farms ..	-2.8	1.2	1.5	1.2
.....acres..	40.2	.7	40.9	.7
.....bales..	32.3	.5	32.6	.5
Soybeans for beans.....farms ..	-22.2	.9	-15.4	1.0
.....acres..	-27.8	.4	-27.1	.4
.....bushels..	-17.7	.4	-17.3	.4
Sugarcane for sugar.....farms ..	9.9	1.4	9.8	1.3
.....acres..	34.7	.4	34.8	.4
.....tons..	32.8	.3	(D)	(D)
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text).....farms ..	-6.0	1.7	5.4	1.4
.....acres..	11.7	1.4	17.1	1.3
.....tons, dry..	18.6	1.5	22.1	1.4

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	25 652	1.6	7 837 545	.6	306	1.7	291 332	2.0	1 186 601	1.0
Acadia.....	754	2.0	267 511	.9	355	2.2	325 986	5.4	42 869	5.3
Allen.....	357	1.8	117 599	1.2	329	2.2	287 314	12.9	10 129	7.1
Ascension.....	325	2.3	63 446	1.4	195	2.7	431 414	6.7	17 669	8.7
Assumption.....	100	1.5	67 928	.5	679	1.6	654 300	3.5	16 726	.6
Avoyelles.....	953	1.9	256 118	.9	269	2.1	252 799	6.8	36 709	3.3
Beauregard.....	727	2.0	136 534	1.5	188	2.5	170 059	8.1	13 232	6.4
Bienville.....	257	2.4	51 401	2.1	200	3.2	197 710	8.2	6 667	17.4
Bossier.....	389	1.8	110 637	1.0	284	2.1	250 020	5.3	14 176	9.8
Caddo.....	472	1.9	170 353	.9	361	2.1	286 188	5.1	19 720	4.5
Calcasieu.....	724	2.6	327 511	.7	452	2.7	393 047	4.4	22 612	13.9
Caldwell.....	236	1.8	66 380	1.6	281	2.4	240 860	6.3	10 142	3.3
Cameron.....	453	2.4	258 035	.9	570	2.5	438 018	5.1	11 787	8.9
Catahoula.....	421	1.7	250 607	.5	595	1.8	411 287	3.3	27 669	3.9
Claiborne.....	260	1.4	63 674	1.4	245	2.0	185 215	7.2	9 023	4.8
Concordia.....	325	1.2	227 698	.5	701	1.4	476 662	3.2	30 474	3.6
De Soto.....	556	2.5	147 826	1.4	266	2.8	221 428	8.4	13 485	8.0
East Baton Rouge.....	511	2.0	79 150	1.7	155	2.7	375 336	8.0	11 069	7.1
East Carroll.....	258	1.2	194 044	.5	752	1.2	643 117	3.3	35 406	6.0
East Feliciana.....	449	2.0	136 812	1.2	305	2.3	314 861	7.8	9 520	6.2
Evangeline.....	603	2.1	176 952	1.0	293	2.3	266 178	8.0	23 901	4.2
Franklin.....	850	1.9	264 321	.9	311	2.1	206 331	2.7	44 580	2.2
Grant.....	181	1.8	44 490	2.0	246	2.7	196 090	12.4	5 394	8.8
Iberia.....	381	1.5	110 173	.6	289	1.7	498 853	5.1	40 614	2.9
Iberville.....	157	1.5	81 401	.6	518	1.6	690 527	3.8	17 434	.5
Jackson.....	172	1.9	17 086	3.0	99	3.6	116 569	12.6	3 822	11.2
Jefferson.....	58	2.4	4 127	7.3	71	7.7	123 202	14.7	1 092	10.8
Jefferson Davis.....	542	1.3	291 526	.5	538	1.4	419 298	2.9	33 207	2.1
Lafayette.....	677	2.1	87 574	1.2	129	2.4	217 313	11.2	22 186	8.5
Lafourche.....	412	1.2	132 678	.7	322	1.5	372 132	4.7	25 427	2.9
La Salle.....	175	2.4	27 469	1.6	157	2.9	144 079	7.3	3 340	7.4
Lincoln.....	308	1.6	58 730	2.0	191	2.6	207 138	10.4	9 266	6.3
Livingston.....	374	2.1	36 059	2.0	96	2.9	123 283	7.7	5 881	7.6
Madison.....	317	1.3	246 536	.4	778	1.3	496 370	2.7	37 037	2.6
Morehouse.....	413	.9	241 731	.4	585	1.0	469 816	2.0	41 892	.8
Natchitoches.....	559	1.9	182 605	1.0	327	2.2	231 449	5.7	21 190	4.0
Orleans.....	17	3.0	100	11.2	6	11.6	180 471	12.2	246	7.6
Ouachita.....	360	2.0	74 678	1.3	207	2.4	219 005	11.0	13 516	4.2
Plaquemines.....	128	1.7	46 110	1.2	360	2.1	500 597	4.1	3 422	6.6
Pointe Coupee.....	431	2.0	193 137	.7	448	2.1	454 685	6.4	29 746	11.1
Rapides.....	847	1.8	210 570	.8	249	1.9	264 247	8.1	33 305	4.7
Red River.....	229	1.6	97 643	.9	426	1.8	226 223	4.3	7 390	8.6
Richland.....	570	1.2	247 106	.6	434	1.4	349 793	3.0	42 476	2.8
Sabine.....	414	1.3	57 789	2.0	140	2.4	153 296	8.2	11 796	8.7
St. Bernard.....	25	3.0	6 166	1.0	247	3.2	499 591	8.8	508	6.7
St. Charles.....	67	1.7	23 185	2.3	346	2.9	395 885	5.9	2 468	2.7
St. Helena.....	347	1.7	50 319	1.5	145	2.2	149 334	7.0	12 215	5.0
St. James.....	63	1.2	42 922	.2	681	1.2	1 143 781	3.0	12 603	.7
St. John the Baptist.....	26	1.9	17 347	.8	667	2.0	832 603	5.6	3 873	.8
St. Landry.....	1 144	2.0	283 135	.8	247	2.2	222 590	6.9	42 504	6.1
St. Martin.....	274	1.2	70 936	.6	259	1.3	303 909	2.9	19 927	1.7
St. Mary.....	101	.8	81 747	.4	809	.9	1 124 224	2.3	20 658	.4
St. Tammany.....	423	1.7	40 181	1.9	95	2.5	232 983	5.3	9 399	16.4
Tangipahoa.....	988	1.7	126 886	1.2	128	2.1	193 131	5.8	34 292	3.8
Tensas.....	232	.7	245 986	.3	1 060	.8	748 741	1.1	33 876	.9
Terrebonne.....	139	1.3	44 146	1.2	318	1.7	369 509	8.0	9 705	2.9
Union.....	425	1.4	61 883	1.6	146	2.1	166 688	5.6	11 629	3.4
Vermilion.....	1 117	1.5	316 691	.8	284	1.7	281 168	5.2	56 525	6.9
Vernon.....	469	2.4	51 799	2.7	110	3.6	121 447	10.3	7 989	6.0
Washington.....	835	1.8	116 221	1.3	139	2.2	148 916	4.8	25 999	8.7
Webster.....	368	1.8	58 790	2.0	160	2.7	137 566	13.2	6 203	11.2
West Baton Rouge.....	90	2.0	38 566	1.0	429	2.3	635 679	4.1	7 044	1.1
West Carroll.....	493	1.7	126 839	1.2	257	2.0	156 102	6.2	23 777	4.4
West Feliciana.....	175	2.9	86 856	1.1	496	3.1	517 972	6.7	4 807	6.0
Winn.....	149	2.0	23 089	3.2	155	3.8	124 085	7.8	3 357	6.2
Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
							Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	46 299	2.0	1 607 511	.2	62 666	1.6	25 654	1.7	1 309 012	.4
Acadia.....	57 465	5.7	45 107	.7	59 824	2.1	754	1.8	37 691	3.2
Allen.....	28 856	7.5	10 413	1.1	29 168	2.1	357	2.2	9 290	7.0
Ascension.....	54 198	8.9	15 049	.5	46 305	2.3	326	1.7	13 003	3.4
Assumption.....	167 259	2.3	20 395	.4	203 952	1.5	100	2.2	15 441	2.1
Avoyelles.....	38 560	3.9	49 880	.6	52 340	2.0	952	2.0	35 820	1.9
Beauregard.....	18 201	6.7	10 391	1.2	14 293	2.3	727	2.1	9 549	4.6
Bienville.....	26 042	17.6	5 511	1.1	21 445	2.6	256	2.1	4 764	3.6
Bossier.....	36 443	10.0	13 328	.6	34 261	1.9	389	2.0	12 850	4.0
Caddo.....	41 779	4.9	21 901	.5	46 400	1.9	472	2.0	20 437	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Calcasieu	31 232	14.2	15 441	.7	21 327	2.7	724	2.7	14 610	2.7
Caldwell	43 158	3.9	10 409	.9	44 106	2.0	235	2.0	9 313	2.4
Cameron	26 020	9.2	7 362	1.1	16 251	2.6	453	2.3	6 583	6.5
Catahoula	65 723	4.4	47 420	.4	112 637	1.8	421	1.9	35 214	3.5
Claiborne	34 705	5.1	18 564	.4	71 399	1.5	260	1.7	15 169	1.4
Concordia	93 477	3.8	45 062	.4	138 652	1.3	326	1.2	34 766	1.5
De Soto	24 297	8.5	18 655	.6	33 552	2.5	555	3.0	16 981	1.9
East Baton Rouge	21 662	7.4	8 906	1.2	17 429	2.4	511	1.9	8 352	4.5
East Carroll	136 702	6.2	52 324	.3	202 804	1.2	259	1.4	44 931	1.4
East Feliciana	21 249	6.4	8 448	1.2	18 816	2.3	448	1.6	8 511	6.8
Evangeline	39 703	4.7	29 961	.8	49 687	2.2	602	2.0	23 201	2.9
Franklin	52 509	2.9	65 447	.7	76 997	2.0	849	1.9	53 231	1.4
Grant	29 802	9.2	4 535	1.4	25 054	2.3	181	2.6	4 461	13.2
Iberia	106 043	3.3	42 551	.2	111 684	1.6	383	1.6	33 727	1.0
Iberville	111 042	2.4	27 141	.2	172 875	1.5	157	2.4	19 586	.2
Jackson	22 219	11.5	15 254	.2	88 684	2.0	172	2.4	12 304	1.2
Jefferson	18 821	11.7	1 419	2.7	24 458	3.6	58	4.4	994	4.7
Jefferson Davis	61 267	2.5	38 013	.4	70 135	1.3	542	1.4	32 311	1.9
Lafayette	32 771	8.8	18 319	.6	27 059	2.2	677	2.1	14 040	2.3
Lafourche	61 567	3.2	27 078	.4	65 723	1.3	413	1.4	22 152	1.2
La Salle	19 084	7.8	1 372	3.0	7 839	3.8	175	2.3	1 657	8.6
Lincoln	30 083	6.6	25 022	.3	81 240	1.6	308	1.9	21 687	1.3
Livingston	15 725	7.9	9 832	.8	26 290	2.3	374	2.3	8 345	2.5
Madison	116 836	3.0	54 835	.3	172 983	1.3	317	1.5	42 197	1.1
Morehouse	101 188	1.5	72 600	.2	175 787	.9	414	1.2	57 743	2.5
Natchitoches	37 975	4.5	41 687	.3	74 575	1.9	558	2.0	35 258	1.1
Orleans	14 493	10.6	18 437	2.9	25 721	4.2	17	7.4	355	4.2
Ouachita	37 441	4.7	18 330	.4	50 917	2.1	361	2.2	15 492	2.5
Plaquemines	26 731	7.2	2 506	1.8	19 575	2.5	128	2.8	2 253	3.5
Pointe Coupee	68 857	11.3	43 625	.4	101 219	2.0	432	2.2	32 931	2.3
Rapides	39 321	5.0	45 256	.4	53 431	1.8	847	1.8	34 395	2.2
Red River	32 269	8.8	8 456	.8	36 926	1.8	229	1.9	6 936	2.9
Richland	74 389	3.1	58 331	.3	102 336	1.3	571	1.2	49 412	1.1
Sabine	28 494	8.9	37 484	.2	90 540	1.3	414	1.6	30 474	1.0
St. Bernard	20 327	10.0	450	2.9	18 016	4.2	25	7.4	371	4.1
St. Charles	36 843	5.2	4 054	1.2	60 502	2.1	67	4.5	3 007	1.4
St. Helena	35 303	5.3	22 666	.3	65 319	1.7	346	1.8	18 309	1.0
St. James	200 047	3.1	19 525	.1	309 922	1.2	63	3.0	16 169	.2
St. John the Baptist	148 968	5.4	4 459	.3	171 511	1.9	26	5.3	3 876	.2
St. Landry	37 121	6.5	47 656	.6	41 657	2.1	1 145	2.2	35 445	1.5
St. Martin	72 727	2.5	19 793	.4	72 239	1.2	274	1.8	15 221	2.2
St. Mary	204 537	2.2	26 572	.1	263 089	.8	101	2.2	23 286	.2
St. Tammany	22 271	16.5	11 045	.9	26 110	1.9	422	2.0	9 215	4.7
Tangipahoa	34 639	4.2	61 601	.4	62 349	1.7	990	1.8	50 992	1.3
Tensas	146 018	1.1	57 832	.2	249 276	.8	232	.6	48 324	.8
Terrebonne	69 818	3.4	8 297	.4	59 692	1.4	139	1.8	6 692	1.6
Union	27 888	4.0	59 683	.1	140 431	1.4	425	1.4	47 947	.4
Vermilion	50 604	7.0	45 696	.7	40 910	1.6	1 117	1.5	36 391	1.8
Vernon	17 069	6.5	10 437	.9	22 253	2.6	468	2.6	8 714	2.6
Washington	31 137	8.9	38 690	.5	46 336	1.8	835	2.0	28 853	1.6
Webster	16 855	11.4	3 553	2.0	9 655	2.7	368	1.9	3 658	8.9
West Baton Rouge	78 268	4.0	11 849	.3	131 653	2.1	90	3.8	9 556	.4
West Carroll	48 132	4.8	29 823	.7	60 493	1.8	494	1.9	25 472	2.8
West Feliciana	28 111	7.0	6 830	.8	39 030	3.0	174	3.2	6 168	2.1
Winn	22 531	6.8	2 940	1.7	19 730	2.7	149	2.7	2 933	2.9

Farm production expenses¹—Con.

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	6 643	3.0	63 257	1.4	13 776	2.2	171 376	.5	10 447	1.9	55 470	1.0
Acadia	141	18.0	550	24.1	260	10.9	446	17.3	481	4.6	3 793	4.7
Allen	97	21.3	191	24.9	218	10.4	386	14.1	110	12.4	769	11.2
Ascension	124	15.7	467	15.2	230	7.5	360	18.8	106	17.3	861	4.0
Assumption	19	34.0	147	44.9	25	21.9	184	53.2	49	13.4	149	3.6
Avoyelles	193	14.3	788	11.3	413	7.9	720	6.6	542	6.4	2 804	7.6
Beauregard	205	13.2	980	21.4	557	5.4	1 538	6.6	198	15.4	280	8.5
Bienville	54	20.8	283	9.3	181	11.4	1 693	2.7	73	28.0	27	24.7
Bossier	123	17.5	1 085	10.9	255	7.9	3 010	2.4	91	16.1	346	5.4
Caddo	131	20.9	1 209	13.6	227	13.0	1 098	4.3	126	12.5	743	5.0
Calcasieu	161	21.0	466	19.1	435	8.9	810	10.2	222	13.8	1 071	5.8
Caldwell	63	25.4	213	9.0	130	14.2	258	18.3	117	15.6	342	6.8
Cameron	111	20.1	301	19.9	285	7.7	547	7.9	150	14.9	356	6.2
Catahoula	58	36.0	248	48.4	115	25.6	506	7.4	291	9.8	2 180	5.0
Claiborne	83	19.9	3 337	3.1	177	10.0	6 688	.9	54	28.5	150	6.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms	Value		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Concordia	45	32.8	873	16.4	91	20.9	856	12.0	261	6.6	2 780	2.8
De Soto	197	15.9	1 448	14.9	447	6.3	6 006	1.5	78	23.6	82	21.0
East Baton Rouge	160	16.2	879	21.8	338	8.6	1 542	5.8	100	22.6	109	9.1
East Carroll	4	—	(D)	(D)	8	—	(D)	(D)	258	1.4	2 455	5.5
East Feliciana	189	12.2	1 528	25.4	317	7.3	1 340	6.5	109	17.3	125	14.8
Evangeline	90	23.3	260	18.4	260	7.7	958	5.3	309	8.3	1 955	7.5
Franklin	141	18.8	1 051	19.0	290	10.9	2 750	8.9	591	5.3	2 163	8.3
Grant	30	29.9	68	39.5	105	13.5	224	33.2	47	25.2	133	17.4
Iberia	64	25.2	126	22.5	158	13.7	466	19.5	160	10.5	884	1.9
Iberville	53	10.8	155	10.4	87	7.5	182	4.3	56	8.5	507	.8
Jackson	71	20.3	1 777	1.9	105	14.3	7 891	.5	32	37.7	9	14.3
Jefferson	13	27.5	31	31.2	29	14.2	34	21.6	47	21.0	26	8.7
Jefferson Davis	118	20.6	576	38.3	227	12.3	457	14.8	317	5.8	2 983	2.6
Lafayette	140	17.7	344	15.2	344	9.4	490	11.5	164	14.0	672	8.4
Lafourche	132	14.3	467	19.3	209	10.0	482	17.2	120	12.4	514	3.0
La Salle	84	15.8	240	24.7	141	9.2	264	14.8	44	29.3	42	17.5
Lincoln	152	15.2	4 853	1.8	246	7.2	10 439	.5	50	30.3	157	5.5
Livingston	154	14.3	1 476	5.1	293	6.5	3 762	1.0	94	21.1	163	13.8
Madison	38	47.0	100	16.9	64	39.2	233	11.0	263	9.6	3 006	1.7
Morehouse	73	23.7	230	28.7	113	18.6	439	21.7	303	6.9	2 482	4.4
Natchitoches	118	19.5	7 795	1.0	367	7.9	7 998	1.0	170	15.7	644	2.9
Orleans	1	36.0	(D)	(D)	3	20.4	(D)	(D)	6	11.5	(D)	(D)
Ouachita	128	18.7	890	22.4	226	7.9	1 792	2.8	83	18.6	328	1.4
Plaquemines	17	22.3	125	10.9	33	12.7	182	9.1	36	15.0	121	4.3
Pointe Coupee	127	20.4	493	11.6	240	9.8	606	20.5	230	10.8	2 341	4.9
Rapides	212	16.2	791	11.5	334	11.2	1 716	4.2	352	10.7	1 957	4.6
Red River	75	19.0	258	30.5	148	10.3	284	10.5	45	24.9	236	.6
Richland	85	22.9	568	10.8	159	16.5	704	10.0	435	5.1	1 696	1.2
Sabine	194	10.7	4 597	3.7	343	5.1	19 134	.4	56	27.9	15	22.7
St. Bernard	8	12.7	(D)	(D)	12	10.4	88	1.9	10	9.9	8	25.9
St. Charles	22	7.9	133	5.3	46	5.4	412	1.5	14	8.4	(D)	(D)
St. Helena	154	13.5	2 329	3.4	254	8.4	7 588	.5	113	17.5	89	13.1
St. James	3	21.5	(D)	(D)	11	10.0	(D)	(D)	33	4.7	163	1.0
St. John the Baptist	3	21.5	(D)	(D)	12	9.4	27	5.7	8	9.3	16	1.0
St. Landry	231	14.8	686	16.8	588	6.4	1 558	6.6	485	6.1	3 254	1.7
St. Martin	31	45.2	38	69.8	111	17.5	891	6.0	109	16.5	371	8.5
St. Mary	7	12.3	13	10.9	21	7.8	36	7.7	31	3.2	345	.3
St. Tammany	99	19.7	1 142	14.3	281	7.5	1 386	10.4	123	19.5	162	6.7
Tangipahoa	424	8.7	3 735	3.2	718	4.4	20 478	2.1	311	10.5	530	6.9
Tensas	16	—	37	—	50	27.0	127	20.3	179	7.5	2 538	1.1
Terrebonne	36	18.3	145	17.2	77	8.1	231	15.2	44	12.2	112	5.1
Union	218	8.7	6 820	.8	343	5.6	29 970	.3	60	24.1	10	13.5
Vermilion	151	17.6	469	10.1	487	8.1	966	11.8	572	5.3	3 077	2.6
Vernon	148	15.1	961	6.0	323	8.2	4 130	1.3	75	29.2	20	24.8
Washington	293	9.9	1 754	6.1	551	5.8	9 632	1.8	366	8.6	322	4.6
Webster	101	22.9	328	24.0	261	8.4	1 126	13.3	40	35.7	23	49.1
West Baton Rouge	23	6.9	997	.4	41	5.7	918	.4	36	4.1	274	.3
West Carroll	107	24.7	486	21.7	127	21.6	592	12.7	342	7.1	1 214	10.5
West Feliciana	54	14.3	399	22.4	112	5.6	644	3.7	71	12.8	268	2.4
Winn	46	14.7	292	10.1	117	5.6	1 016	1.3	29	21.8	(D)	(D)

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms	Value		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	16 983	1.7	121 097	.7	11 032	1.8	170 327	.7	24 458	1.7	91 578	.7
Acadia	596	3.8	6 328	5.2	387	6.8	4 887	3.9	745	2.0	4 131	3.0
Allen	273	6.4	1 689	9.5	107	16.5	990	10.9	312	3.0	1 091	6.9
Ascension	220	8.5	1 021	8.2	80	19.8	1 249	1.5	318	2.5	979	12.3
Assumption	72	7.7	944	5.1	69	2.4	2 103	1.2	96	4.2	1 215	2.0
Avoyelles	681	5.2	3 866	1.9	542	7.1	5 225	2.5	910	2.7	2 794	2.6
Beauregard	517	6.2	1 423	6.9	167	14.2	384	5.8	682	3.1	744	7.6
Bienville	185	8.5	381	15.6	69	25.5	122	22.7	247	2.7	309	10.6
Bossier	196	9.7	879	7.3	97	18.7	862	12.8	344	4.9	748	7.6
Caddo	177	14.9	1 370	4.5	193	12.0	4 150	1.6	446	3.8	1 361	3.2
Calcasieu	407	8.8	1 840	4.6	198	14.2	1 217	4.1	668	3.9	1 779	7.2
Caldwell	149	7.9	1 101	6.3	134	10.6	1 865	2.7	226	3.8	713	3.3
Cameron	173	13.9	690	14.9	164	14.9	585	16.0	410	3.5	673	8.7
Catahoula	305	9.2	5 516	1.5	257	10.7	7 779	8.9	408	3.0	2 527	4.7
Claiborne	148	11.8	421	15.0	49	19.1	59	6.0	244	4.9	456	4.4
Concordia	211	9.0	2 522	2.6	247	6.9	8 033	2.7	312	3.6	2 589	2.1
De Soto	304	10.8	1 117	6.3	138	17.2	351	8.5	530	3.4	818	4.4
East Baton Rouge	265	11.4	649	9.7	121	16.6	185	5.6	497	3.2	583	6.8
East Carroll	231	6.1	3 162	2.2	239	4.8	11 617	1.5	259	1.4	3 115	2.2
East Feliciana	300	7.0	893	10.4	106	18.9	100	4.5	416	3.4	595	8.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Evangeline	419	6.3	3 212	7.8	270	9.1	2 706	2.9	572	3.4	2 548	3.7
Franklin	651	4.9	5 869	3.9	619	4.5	11 800	1.6	809	2.6	4 141	2.8
Grant	97	14.9	452	7.5	44	27.2	1 057	17.3	180	2.6	316	12.9
Iberia	268	6.7	3 878	2.3	205	9.7	2 951	1.9	374	2.4	2 747	3.3
Iberville	92	5.3	1 950	.6	85	5.0	3 189	.2	146	3.6	1 366	.8
Jackson	94	14.7	146	41.0	50	23.9	9	18.7	156	5.5	219	9.9
Jefferson	26	15.3	31	11.3	10	25.1	15	72.4	48	7.5	73	25.9
Jefferson Davis	398	6.8	4 977	3.0	305	7.7	4 012	3.5	511	3.6	3 710	2.6
Lafayette	414	8.2	1 523	5.9	182	14.3	1 170	5.6	633	3.2	1 391	3.7
Lafourche	226	8.7	1 668	1.7	194	7.9	2 210	1.1	391	2.5	1 874	2.5
La Salle	155	6.3	166	7.9	59	22.8	114	3.2	168	4.4	174	16.9
Lincoln	166	13.8	337	12.8	80	20.6	84	3.8	308	1.9	669	6.1
Livingston	185	12.5	315	28.6	79	22.5	60	22.2	348	4.4	380	7.4
Madison	260	9.7	3 890	1.1	243	6.0	9 624	.7	314	1.5	2 940	2.5
Morehouse	363	5.3	5 873	4.3	318	7.5	13 713	3.1	408	1.8	3 496	4.1
Natchitoches	277	11.3	1 851	7.4	244	12.9	2 756	1.2	537	3.1	1 509	3.5
Orleans	7	9.8	3	13.3	5	11.7	1	12.0	15	8.0	33	6.7
Ouachita	181	11.4	1 090	3.5	149	13.6	2 537	1.7	348	2.5	822	5.0
Plaquemines	89	6.4	96	7.8	86	7.1	69	9.2	128	2.8	229	6.7
Pointe Coupee	313	6.5	3 844	3.4	204	10.4	5 316	4.6	426	2.4	2 509	3.3
Rapides	582	5.1	3 242	4.0	455	6.9	5 645	5.2	810	2.5	2 490	3.8
Red River	140	9.3	773	3.5	88	17.9	1 029	1.3	228	1.9	618	7.8
Richland	486	4.6	5 483	2.1	405	5.2	12 490	1.6	570	1.2	3 422	1.9
Sabine	237	8.2	286	19.6	69	18.0	21	8.5	392	3.0	739	3.5
St. Bernard	13	9.2	3	9.2	10	10.9	4	11.4	22	7.3	16	9.0
St. Charles	34	6.0	58	1.9	26	6.9	183	.6	67	4.5	129	3.4
St. Helena	280	6.8	959	4.4	103	19.6	44	19.9	346	1.8	506	3.8
St. James	56	3.0	1 251	.3	46	3.0	1 640	.2	63	3.0	1 126	.4
St. John the Baptist	21	5.3	183	.6	11	5.4	373	.1	26	5.3	256	.4
St. Landry	630	5.2	5 248	2.1	466	6.7	4 971	4.2	1 036	3.0	3 351	2.3
St. Martin	200	8.2	1 946	2.3	151	11.2	1 330	1.7	266	3.0	1 751	2.3
St. Mary	83	1.9	2 420	.3	74	1.5	2 395	.1	99	2.1	1 653	.3
St. Tammany	224	10.2	450	7.7	97	19.0	84	3.9	388	4.2	467	7.1
Tangipahoa	787	4.1	3 586	5.2	385	8.7	339	6.1	913	2.8	1 845	4.0
Tensas	190	7.1	3 544	1.3	206	5.4	14 062	.8	232	.6	3 121	1.1
Terrebonne	88	6.2	552	2.1	69	9.5	676	2.5	132	2.4	648	1.8
Union	202	10.9	273	16.4	119	15.0	81	47.7	409	2.7	1 198	3.5
Vermilion	773	4.4	5 618	2.5	523	7.0	3 912	2.7	1 081	1.9	4 515	2.6
Vernon	355	7.1	622	15.5	73	31.3	22	37.0	468	2.6	477	10.5
Washington	601	4.6	2 640	3.1	306	9.6	306	6.1	785	2.8	1 360	4.1
Webster	217	10.4	305	16.3	96	22.4	58	30.5	361	2.4	326	14.0
West Baton Rouge	58	4.0	908	.3	41	3.8	1 073	.5	89	3.8	635	.6
West Carroll	417	4.7	2 772	4.7	325	7.9	4 019	3.8	482	2.3	2 015	5.6
West Feliciana	116	6.7	838	2.9	51	16.0	401	.7	159	3.9	385	2.5
Winn	102	7.0	153	12.8	42	15.3	14	38.6	144	3.0	160	6.0

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	14 701	1.9	17 560	1.0	10 361	1.9	146 667	.4	3 142	3.5	11 560	2.6
Acadia	418	6.8	508	4.3	306	8.5	2 957	5.2	102	21.6	484	19.5
Allen	137	14.9	124	10.6	114	15.3	713	8.9	19	58.0	17	21.7
Ascension	179	10.9	174	3.9	95	17.2	2 455	2.9	16	40.5	91	1.3
Assumption	91	2.4	105	2.1	72	6.7	4 203	1.7	12	25.3	91	13.4
Avoyelles	514	8.0	272	3.2	468	9.2	4 352	1.3	57	22.6	157	5.0
Beauregard	361	8.7	236	10.2	169	16.4	451	5.3	89	21.1	121	25.3
Bienville	96	21.6	63	26.2	59	28.6	302	5.9	50	33.8	106	34.3
Bossier	236	9.0	249	7.1	129	14.7	1 331	5.0	40	32.1	113	20.5
Caddo	275	10.2	248	3.5	163	13.3	2 769	2.0	77	20.8	259	4.6
Calcasieu	323	10.4	364	10.1	124	18.1	916	2.7	84	28.8	225	33.1
Caldwell	107	15.9	66	9.6	111	16.3	978	5.5	43	20.7	183	4.8
Cameron	224	12.2	125	5.7	116	18.4	313	2.4	36	35.7	36	6.7
Catahoula	309	7.4	298	11.0	211	13.3	2 689	1.4	76	26.6	315	3.7
Claiborne	82	20.0	219	3.9	87	19.1	867	4.5	46	27.0	137	8.5
Concordia	216	8.6	319	10.9	170	8.9	2 892	3.1	53	19.0	220	19.6
De Soto	276	11.8	342	6.7	183	15.3	1 696	12.4	72	23.4	290	17.0
East Baton Rouge	274	11.1	312	17.4	140	16.3	909	8.2	69	24.6	248	47.1
East Carroll	168	6.7	323	1.3	173	8.8	4 761	2.5	65	18.5	326	6.5
East Feliciana	228	10.3	154	7.8	174	13.6	617	9.5	75	20.9	127	41.6
Evangeline	381	8.1	329	2.7	261	11.2	1 869	5.1	57	26.2	324	3.4
Franklin	601	5.5	779	2.2	457	6.9	4 618	3.2	148	15.7	625	7.3
Grant	131	11.0	50	9.4	44	27.2	310	12.2	34	31.5	87	56.9
Iberia	213	11.3	228	4.5	165	11.0	9 817	1.9	54	18.5	485	27.6
Iberville	96	6.7	110	1.8	85	6.3	3 584	.1	24	2.0	80	.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jackson	78	17.2	94	3.2	43	28.2	277	1.3	16	52.4	30	11.8
Jefferson	22	18.2	18	6.8	6	7.6	431	2.0	4	40.7	(D)	(D)
Jefferson Davis	317	7.1	572	10.4	284	8.5	2 258	1.2	59	23.0	254	11.7
Lafayette	424	7.8	358	8.6	141	16.9	2 128	7.9	47	30.2	206	20.6
Lafourche	207	10.1	152	8.4	139	11.3	5 084	1.5	37	20.5	99	6.6
La Salle	95	14.2	19	20.5	47	29.3	21	28.6	14	57.1	7	58.2
Lincoln	134	15.3	343	3.0	137	15.7	1 257	3.6	57	31.7	121	24.1
Livingston	175	13.3	254	3.6	83	20.7	316	11.5	22	39.5	29	12.6
Madison	249	7.3	306	5.3	176	10.0	4 205	3.1	67	26.3	396	16.2
Morehouse	274	6.1	740	2.1	297	7.2	6 621	2.4	100	18.8	560	18.8
Natchitoches	325	9.3	572	5.1	214	12.2	2 205	1.3	79	22.6	375	2.5
Orleans	13	7.8	10	6.5	5	9.2	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Ouachita	208	10.0	213	12.4	153	12.5	1 709	4.3	25	25.5	95	2.2
Plaquemines	61	8.9	47	9.6	52	10.6	378	1.7	10	27.8	24	16.1
Pointe Coupee	243	10.6	258	10.4	235	12.1	3 611	.6	22	—	194	—
Rapides	549	7.2	470	3.1	362	10.5	5 266	1.5	87	17.0	475	4.1
Red River	121	12.7	66	4.9	109	14.6	798	1.5	20	37.5	47	14.2
Richland	441	4.1	325	6.4	431	5.4	4 207	3.3	121	14.0	662	10.5
Sabine	224	9.7	343	2.7	138	13.1	456	8.2	85	17.8	116	10.0
St. Bernard	8	12.5	(D)	(D)	6	12.5	(D)	(D)	2	23.0	(D)	(D)
St. Charles	26	7.0	38	10.2	29	6.4	899	1.1	3	10.2	7	8.6
St. Helena	194	10.4	447	3.2	147	14.2	1 625	1.3	19	31.2	50	14.9
St. James	49	2.9	96	1.3	50	2.7	5 202	.1	12	5.4	162	1.3
St. John the Baptist	13	6.2	21	1.2	13	5.1	1 123	.1	1	34.2	(D)	(D)
St. Landry	623	5.9	455	4.6	421	7.5	3 525	3.0	97	21.1	277	5.3
St. Martin	149	13.1	198	15.7	128	13.5	2 632	3.0	40	28.0	210	2.7
St. Mary	72	1.8	125	1.2	80	1.8	5 538	.1	22	3.1	102	2.4
St. Tammany	248	9.1	273	9.3	135	15.8	2 181	2.7	45	26.9	109	18.9
Tangipahoa	731	4.7	1 452	2.4	432	7.2	4 596	1.8	137	16.8	334	10.4
Tensas	191	5.8	335	2.0	184	7.3	6 961	.4	53	20.8	334	2.3
Terrebonne	75	8.2	75	8.7	68	10.4	1 484	1.1	26	22.7	42	18.2
Union	248	9.7	556	1.1	172	12.6	850	1.7	99	19.2	237	13.3
Vermilion	513	7.0	525	5.1	350	8.7	3 238	2.7	37	25.5	220	10.2
Vernon	240	12.8	152	9.7	150	17.7	335	7.3	37	38.1	34	7.5
Washington	550	6.0	830	2.5	371	8.5	3 464	1.7	74	21.7	123	7.0
Webster	178	14.0	83	17.7	72	26.9	205	15.9	38	38.0	45	33.4
West Baton Rouge	50	3.6	93	.7	53	3.8	989	.3	20	4.1	112	1.3
West Carroll	298	8.8	286	21.5	262	9.2	2 795	5.2	81	27.8	218	8.6
West Feliciana	60	11.0	53	7.9	78	9.0	1 139	1.3	28	24.4	64	23.4
Winn	89	7.1	(D)	(D)	32	19.7	158	3.4	—	—	—	—

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	21 050	1.7	118 469	.7	6 619	2.4	40 702	1.2	8 696	2.0	70 931	1.0
Acadia	598	4.6	4 427	3.9	255	10.0	1 846	15.3	326	8.9	1 902	5.5
Allen	249	8.8	1 156	7.9	67	25.6	216	17.3	64	24.2	383	12.8
Ascension	293	4.1	1 649	10.1	61	25.9	148	25.7	53	24.2	792	4.5
Assumption	94	3.8	2 304	2.2	38	13.2	179	11.7	48	7.4	591	3.2
Avoyelles	744	4.3	3 782	2.9	339	9.7	1 090	3.7	371	10.1	2 519	6.1
Beauregard	554	4.8	994	7.4	137	17.7	247	12.2	185	15.7	646	15.4
Bienville	204	6.5	340	21.9	32	45.4	46	46.3	74	26.8	331	9.3
Bossier	316	6.4	1 144	9.0	87	18.0	327	7.0	68	18.2	665	7.3
Caddo	397	6.0	1 728	5.7	87	21.9	508	7.8	148	14.2	1 230	7.4
Calcasieu	615	5.3	1 703	6.0	112	21.3	411	5.4	148	14.9	996	11.5
Caldwell	192	8.7	849	10.4	48	27.1	228	6.1	94	15.8	541	5.1
Cameron	325	6.1	896	5.6	59	27.2	266	35.2	66	21.8	370	2.9
Catahoula	372	6.2	3 099	5.4	192	15.2	1 459	4.8	248	8.2	2 361	6.8
Claiborne	232	5.6	492	8.3	24	32.6	77	16.2	72	18.8	387	5.2
Concordia	262	6.6	3 291	2.5	148	11.8	1 699	3.3	205	7.4	2 128	4.3
De Soto	444	6.7	1 240	5.2	94	26.6	290	5.9	199	13.6	809	8.2
East Baton Rouge	451	5.1	859	7.2	80	18.6	86	24.9	79	17.5	511	25.2
East Carroll	214	7.2	2 927	3.3	149	8.7	2 553	5.0	198	6.9	2 385	5.6
East Feliciana	382	4.3	982	8.3	139	16.8	191	14.7	160	14.2	511	13.5
Evangeline	504	5.1	2 594	2.5	149	15.3	709	2.9	182	11.2	1 411	7.1
Franklin	731	3.8	5 223	3.9	351	8.6	2 100	5.2	440	6.1	3 109	2.9
Grant	165	5.5	467	16.6	17	34.7	78	.1	41	28.8	422	27.2
Iberia	315	6.3	3 584	1.7	66	7.7	385	.8	140	12.0	1 300	8.0
Iberville	133	3.9	2 032	.8	46	7.3	426	1.3	51	6.4	844	.2
Jackson	142	8.0	394	7.3	28	36.1	25	38.3	62	21.9	263	9.7
Jefferson	34	12.2	63	12.0	4	46.2	(D)	(D)	19	19.8	80	25.5
Jefferson Davis	444	5.5	4 197	4.3	181	9.7	1 756	4.2	271	8.8	2 035	3.5
Lafayette	578	4.6	2 079	4.4	88	22.5	261	7.7	86	14.9	867	5.1
Lafourche	329	5.3	2 810	2.0	71	15.4	294	2.3	102	12.2	903	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
La Salle -----	123	10.0	248	21.7	22	49.4	41	30.9	45	31.8	54	31.5
Lincoln -----	233	8.4	568	4.8	34	32.6	153	32.3	102	18.1	751	19.0
Livingston -----	263	8.7	360	12.9	58	29.5	53	15.4	62	22.3	205	10.3
Madison -----	263	6.8	3 893	2.7	176	13.0	2 776	1.4	206	12.2	3 008	4.0
Morehouse -----	331	5.2	4 730	4.4	259	8.2	4 062	3.6	233	7.9	2 912	1.4
Natchitoches -----	439	6.6	1 783	5.4	92	19.4	660	3.4	225	13.0	1 334	6.8
Orleans -----	14	8.3	35	5.0	3	13.7	(D)	(D)	4	12.2	(D)	(D)
Ouachita -----	324	4.3	1 301	6.6	84	20.0	936	1.8	136	15.3	698	7.9
Plaquemines -----	102	5.0	261	7.8	17	22.8	13	21.6	30	14.8	140	15.9
Pointe Coupee -----	397	3.6	3 449	4.5	95	17.6	1 012	4.8	157	15.1	2 293	3.7
Rapides -----	757	3.5	3 024	4.7	215	16.0	884	11.9	218	11.1	1 696	5.5
Red River -----	197	6.2	729	5.4	55	19.3	403	2.3	90	17.3	437	7.7
Richland -----	508	3.4	4 466	2.3	321	7.0	3 127	3.4	331	6.4	3 116	3.5
Sabine -----	377	3.8	792	5.3	74	20.2	98	5.5	94	14.6	712	4.0
St. Bernard -----	17	8.1	22	17.0	1	42.3	(D)	(D)	5	12.5	(D)	(D)
St. Charles -----	52	4.8	179	3.3	4	18.8	(D)	(D)	24	6.6	158	1.5
St. Helena -----	277	6.6	796	4.8	71	25.2	201	8.0	88	15.1	679	1.0
St. James -----	63	3.0	2 084	.3	16	5.8	150	.1	36	2.2	885	.3
St. John the Baptist -----	23	5.3	744	.2	6	7.4	78	.3	9	5.0	158	.1
St. Landry -----	832	4.6	3 745	3.8	243	12.8	859	5.4	245	10.1	1 822	4.3
St. Martin -----	224	7.4	2 581	2.0	86	18.3	383	25.4	72	15.0	763	11.3
St. Mary -----	96	2.1	3 443	.1	38	2.3	357	.9	69	1.9	973	.5
St. Tammany -----	327	6.7	931	6.1	37	33.4	29	12.5	98	21.3	758	10.4
Tangipahoa -----	828	3.6	3 365	4.8	272	10.9	584	3.5	357	8.7	3 240	6.2
Tensas -----	201	5.5	3 962	2.2	111	9.9	1 753	.1	161	6.8	3 396	1.4
Terrebonne -----	123	4.3	847	2.2	33	14.3	153	3.2	36	10.6	330	9.3
Union -----	347	5.0	1 119	4.2	83	20.7	123	7.0	162	12.0	1 205	3.8
Vermilion -----	862	4.0	5 164	3.7	260	10.6	1 533	5.6	367	8.9	1 860	5.4
Vernon -----	375	6.6	517	10.1	51	30.5	92	36.1	58	25.1	354	12.3
Washington -----	685	4.2	1 914	2.6	282	10.0	538	6.0	282	10.2	1 737	4.4
Webster -----	311	5.6	408	13.7	54	29.4	47	37.1	70	28.3	160	28.4
West Baton Rouge -----	77	3.8	706	.8	21	4.9	228	.3	33	4.0	395	1.6
West Carroll -----	436	3.0	2 418	4.1	229	11.9	1 290	6.3	289	6.4	2 455	11.1
West Feliciana -----	137	5.0	397	6.6	34	20.6	125	5.0	47	17.1	662	8.0
Winn -----	116	5.3	180	9.5	33	21.4	30	26.9	55	14.4	256	12.3

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana-----	6 933	2.2	76 189	.8	21 213	1.8	12 845	1.9	21 825	1.7	140 984	.5
Acadia -----	168	12.5	1 437	7.1	587	4.6	226	5.8	683	2.8	3 768	7.6
Allen -----	82	17.1	505	20.6	317	4.6	213	12.8	314	4.9	844	12.5
Ascension -----	94	18.6	791	2.2	272	6.1	195	27.7	303	3.7	1 769	2.0
Assumption -----	51	7.0	1 271	4.0	54	8.0	96	5.5	97	3.6	1 861	1.7
Avoyelles -----	356	10.0	3 307	2.8	768	4.5	273	15.9	803	4.0	3 871	.9
Beauregard -----	161	16.1	232	17.7	626	3.4	321	13.0	555	5.6	954	7.0
Bienville -----	31	45.4	79	17.8	223	6.6	73	8.6	212	6.9	610	4.1
Bossier -----	108	18.5	695	8.5	322	5.9	232	9.1	292	6.1	1 163	3.3
Caddo -----	171	12.9	1 223	4.3	384	5.8	445	14.2	394	6.5	2 096	1.6
Calcasieu -----	126	18.8	794	4.7	626	4.6	405	8.1	592	5.7	1 611	5.8
Caldwell -----	69	12.1	1 073	1.6	201	6.3	143	18.0	193	7.4	760	3.6
Cameron -----	102	20.6	234	5.1	356	6.4	415	9.5	321	6.4	775	9.8
Catahoula -----	148	14.9	3 381	4.2	336	6.9	237	7.2	388	4.1	2 621	3.8
Claiborne -----	38	32.9	52	27.2	237	5.6	83	14.7	225	5.0	1 741	1.8
Concordia -----	148	10.7	3 110	2.9	235	7.0	361	10.6	299	4.0	3 092	3.4
De Soto -----	127	21.1	414	4.3	457	5.4	257	8.8	421	6.7	1 820	3.4
East Baton Rouge -----	102	20.0	126	10.2	421	6.3	187	12.1	436	5.5	1 166	11.6
East Carroll -----	159	8.2	5 008	1.6	210	1.7	263	6.0	233	6.0	5 851	.9
East Feliciana -----	115	15.9	201	16.7	415	3.5	232	15.0	408	3.8	913	13.3
Evangeline -----	163	13.3	1 040	1.9	509	4.5	180	9.5	473	5.3	3 107	2.6
Franklin -----	332	8.9	4 342	8.0	645	4.9	243	6.2	789	2.9	4 418	2.4
Grant -----	37	24.2	268	25.2	172	5.0	86	20.7	157	7.3	443	13.6
Iberia -----	145	12.2	1 425	4.8	314	5.0	189	9.0	343	4.3	5 260	2.3
Iberville -----	74	7.4	3 033	.3	110	4.7	94	2.2	149	2.5	2 035	.6
Jackson -----	19	46.8	23	14.7	123	11.0	42	7.8	115	11.8	1 103	1.1
Jefferson -----	11	25.9	18	36.7	45	8.9	40	15.9	45	8.9	111	6.1
Jefferson Davis -----	162	12.8	1 215	4.2	440	4.1	311	8.3	453	4.3	2 996	2.2
Lafayette -----	110	19.4	789	1.7	599	3.7	280	11.4	530	5.4	1 481	2.7
Lafourche -----	154	11.4	2 828	3.1	297	6.3	303	11.6	368	4.1	2 463	2.4
La Salle -----	24	39.1	22	45.6	158	6.5	73	23.2	142	8.8	172	17.5
Lincoln -----	35	31.1	89	20.0	285	4.8	179	20.3	266	6.8	1 689	2.4
Livingston -----	45	30.0	59	44.6	330	5.0	109	15.5	279	7.8	805	6.2
Madison -----	129	11.3	3 517	.1	263	5.7	466	7.9	300	5.0	3 836	.8
Morehouse -----	190	10.7	5 683	4.5	266	7.7	298	3.8	382	2.7	5 906	2.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Natchitoches -----	200	12.8	2 004	3.2	461	5.5	288	12.0	477	5.3	3 487	2.0
Orleans -----	5	14.3	3	16.3	12	8.3	19	9.7	16	7.5	54	2.9
Ouachita -----	38	13.0	1 204	.1	282	6.4	222	11.5	329	4.5	1 655	1.5
Plaquemines -----	17	20.5	57	23.6	94	5.9	119	13.5	111	4.1	391	3.7
Pointe Coupee -----	188	13.2	3 026	5.3	354	4.9	310	4.3	410	2.9	3 669	2.4
Rapides -----	201	13.7	2 251	9.6	704	4.8	400	9.7	702	4.3	4 088	2.6
Red River -----	69	18.6	604	1.5	207	4.7	130	7.2	194	6.8	523	3.7
Richland -----	329	7.1	4 453	2.0	486	3.7	491	11.0	554	2.1	4 203	1.6
Sabine -----	84	19.0	111	13.9	365	4.5	118	8.5	367	4.5	2 934	2.2
St. Bernard -----	-	-	-	-	16	8.9	(D)	(D)	24	7.5	58	4.4
St. Charles -----	38	6.0	238	3.0	30	6.1	(D)	(D)	63	4.5	376	2.1
St. Helena -----	59	26.6	68	18.4	319	3.8	97	10.2	284	6.7	2 831	1.3
St. James -----	39	2.2	1 236	.2	40	4.1	45	1.3	63	3.0	2 097	.4
St. John the Baptist -----	10	5.6	290	1.4	19	6.4	58	1.2	23	5.5	495	.4
St. Landry -----	328	8.5	2 367	5.7	883	4.5	367	7.2	926	3.8	2 961	2.0
St. Martin -----	106	14.9	431	8.8	210	5.5	105	6.7	246	4.7	1 591	1.9
St. Mary -----	60	2.4	2 260	.3	54	3.4	77	1.2	97	2.1	3 548	.1
St. Tammany -----	38	32.6	162	18.3	330	6.1	154	12.4	299	7.2	929	4.6
Tangipahoa -----	220	11.6	405	6.4	859	3.2	373	12.5	876	3.3	6 130	2.2
Tensas -----	126	8.8	2 711	1.1	169	8.0	263	1.2	231	.6	5 181	1.0
Terrebonne -----	33	11.2	353	3.1	115	3.5	71	9.6	122	4.2	972	1.9
Union -----	85	21.2	203	4.2	392	3.3	104	13.0	375	4.1	5 197	.8
Vermilion -----	169	13.7	1 064	3.9	940	3.4	396	7.1	933	3.6	3 835	4.2
Vernon -----	50	31.2	76	13.9	439	3.9	166	27.5	352	7.7	757	4.6
Washington -----	170	11.8	347	6.0	743	3.3	240	11.9	715	3.9	3 646	2.7
Webster -----	59	27.1	75	38.1	297	7.2	104	18.1	310	5.1	364	11.8
West Baton Rouge -----	41	4.3	460	.9	69	4.2	51	2.0	79	3.7	1 716	.4
West Carroll -----	126	18.0	1 344	2.4	437	3.7	260	22.2	435	4.0	3 309	5.0
West Feliciana -----	36	15.4	68	4.8	153	4.0	127	16.3	136	5.2	597	5.0
Winn -----	23	23.5	33	26.3	131	4.7	47	6.2	116	5.9	282	4.9
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland				
Geographic area	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Louisiana -----	25 654	1.7	268 077	1.2	21 777	1.5	5 552 733	.5	17 171	1.4	3 810 690
Acadia -----	754	1.8	7 142	9.8	658	2.0	239 179	.8	534	2.0	176 189	.8
Allen -----	357	2.2	2 390	34.5	299	2.0	72 849	1.3	216	2.2	38 911	1.3
Ascension -----	326	1.7	2 623	17.5	246	2.4	41 218	1.2	152	2.6	23 749	.9
Assumption -----	100	2.2	5 063	2.9	85	1.9	51 487	.7	75	1.9	34 991	.4
Avoyelles -----	952	2.0	10 740	4.6	869	1.9	214 743	.8	765	1.8	179 180	.7
Beauregard -----	727	2.1	1 232	34.3	608	2.0	63 146	1.7	418	2.1	23 981	1.5
Bienville -----	256	2.1	572	61.0	220	2.4	20 075	2.5	167	2.7	6 616	2.8
Bossier -----	389	2.0	-1 058	37.9	311	1.8	61 669	1.3	219	2.0	30 396	1.0
Caddo -----	472	2.0	877	36.9	366	1.9	90 621	1.0	289	1.9	52 748	.8
Calcasieu -----	724	2.7	506	79.3	574	2.5	160 176	.9	379	2.4	59 796	.8
Caldwell -----	235	2.0	365	56.5	196	2.0	48 349	1.8	169	2.2	30 402	1.2
Cameron -----	453	2.3	593	19.6	319	2.5	63 210	2.1	197	2.8	18 836	1.6
Catahoula -----	421	1.9	10 260	4.0	370	1.8	214 908	.5	333	1.8	185 244	.5
Claiborne -----	260	1.7	2 582	7.5	219	1.6	27 262	1.7	148	2.1	6 931	1.8
Concordia -----	326	1.2	9 126	5.4	309	1.3	204 704	.5	287	1.3	188 161	.6
De Soto -----	555	3.0	1 576	35.8	455	2.4	62 613	1.5	326	2.5	19 860	1.7
East Baton Rouge -----	511	1.9	810	49.8	387	2.1	44 649	2.0	268	2.2	16 263	2.7
East Carroll -----	259	1.4	8 649	5.2	248	1.2	178 640	.4	244	1.2	164 802	.4
East Feliciana -----	448	1.6	-95	(H)	374	2.1	51 756	1.9	286	2.2	19 370	1.9
Evangeline -----	602	2.0	5 030	9.7	498	2.1	155 922	1.0	381	2.2	111 362	.9
Franklin -----	849	1.9	11 606	5.0	773	1.9	221 330	.9	719	1.9	174 781	.8
Grant -----	181	2.6	207	78.6	142	2.2	29 902	1.9	105	2.7	16 760	1.8
Iberia -----	383	1.6	10 488	8.6	325	1.5	94 023	.5	257	1.6	68 780	.4
Iberville -----	157	2.4	7 035	1.0	125	1.8	63 341	.5	94	2.1	47 135	.3
Jackson -----	172	2.4	2 209	5.9	131	2.3	5 757	3.5	101	2.7	1 874	2.8
Jefferson -----	58	4.4	397	19.8	36	4.4	2 281	9.9	20	6.7	313	9.7
Jefferson Davis -----	542	1.4	3 269	11.2	489	1.3	264 370	.5	398	1.2	150 582	.4
Lafayette -----	677	2.1	4 131	9.3	560	2.1	75 676	1.2	409	2.1	53 304	1.1
Lafourche -----	413	1.4	5 480	9.4	345	1.4	80 627	.9	275	1.6	50 761	.5
La Salle -----	175	2.3	-370	33.9	151	2.7	12 681	2.4	126	2.9	5 084	3.3
Lincoln -----	308	1.9	2 809	9.4	250	1.8	21 292	2.4	184	2.1	7 567	3.3
Livingston -----	374	2.3	1 261	16.1	279	2.3	14 945	3.2	181	2.7	4 392	2.9
Madison -----	317	1.5	10 996	2.7	307	1.2	227 151	.4	288	1.3	209 540	.4
Morehouse -----	414	1.2	12 759	1.5	396	.9	221 722	.4	373	1.0	179 521	.3
Natchitoches -----	558	2.0	6 059	6.2	451	1.9	108 831	.8	362	1.8	61 007	.5
Orleans -----	17	7.4	83	15.8	7	9.8	7	9.8	7	9.8	7	9.8
Ouachita -----	361	2.2	2 184	14.2	295	2.1	52 215	1.0	227	2.1	35 035	.7
Plaquemines -----	128	2.8	300	24.3	110	2.2	6 895	2.9	92	2.7	3 923	2.1
Pointe Coupee -----	432	2.2	10 492	3.9	366	2.0	156 045	.7	315	2.0	130 949	.5
Rapides -----	847	1.8	10 214	9.6	696	1.7	138 074	.6	550	1.6	97 338	.6
Red River -----	229	1.9	1 037	20.2	189	1.8	55 463	1.1	145	2.1	27 743	1.2
Richland -----	571	1.2	9 740	4.4	534	1.2	212 921	.5	490	1.3	157 259	.4
Sabine -----	414	1.6	5 679	4.1	333	1.5	25 349	2.1	249	1.8	8 668	2.4
St. Bernard -----	25	7.4	80	12.7	25	3.0	661	8.0	20	4.6	184	6.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
St. Charles	67	4.5	1 047	2.9	60	2.2	10 554	4.5	49	3.2	4 018	1.5
St. Helena	346	1.8	3 893	6.1	313	1.8	28 384	1.6	230	1.9	11 247	2.2
St. James	63	3.0	3 356	.5	60	1.4	40 575	.2	52	1.1	30 577	.1
St. John the Baptist	26	5.3	584	1.5	24	2.6	11 183	1.1	19	2.8	8 218	.3
St. Landry	1 145	2.2	9 161	6.5	946	2.0	240 669	.8	725	1.9	197 068	.7
St. Martin	274	1.8	3 632	7.7	236	1.3	64 981	.5	195	1.5	42 652	.5
St. Mary	101	2.2	3 286	.4	90	1.2	71 074	.3	86	1.4	51 634	.2
St. Tammany	422	2.0	1 691	35.3	323	1.9	18 032	3.1	231	2.1	8 208	3.9
Tangipahoa	990	1.8	10 198	6.5	870	1.7	84 183	1.1	637	1.7	34 075	1.1
Tensas	232	.6	8 177	2.0	229	.8	206 996	.3	220	.8	176 988	.3
Terrebonne	139	1.8	1 782	11.3	114	1.7	27 581	1.4	98	2.0	14 502	.6
Union	425	1.4	10 327	2.4	339	1.5	25 931	1.4	258	1.7	9 620	1.5
Vermilion	1 117	1.5	8 692	7.8	978	1.5	252 673	.8	746	1.5	162 620	.7
Vernon	468	2.6	1 409	19.1	412	2.4	24 075	2.6	316	2.6	9 126	2.8
Washington	835	2.0	8 228	4.7	740	1.7	68 702	1.1	564	1.7	30 702	1.2
Webster	368	1.9	-395	76.9	306	1.9	27 382	2.5	228	2.1	10 005	2.7
West Baton Rouge	90	3.8	2 292	.7	82	2.3	32 563	1.0	59	3.0	22 907	.4
West Carroll	494	1.9	3 324	19.7	469	1.7	110 745	1.2	423	1.8	84 359	1.1
West Feliciana	174	3.2	419	33.7	135	3.0	38 219	1.4	99	2.9	18 223	1.3
Winn	149	2.7	-150	54.7	125	2.4	9 496	4.6	96	3.0	3 646	5.0
Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry								
Geographic area	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	4 064	1.1	897 641	.4	15 036	1.8	844 260	1.0	13 112	1.9	441 725	1.1
Acadia	424	2.1	102 094	.9	292	2.9	9 463	3.1	272	2.9	5 361	3.2
Allen	84	2.6	27 452	1.7	243	2.4	11 643	2.3	224	2.4	7 365	2.4
Ascension	13	8.7	52	12.5	239	2.7	10 891	2.3	213	2.9	6 346	2.7
Assumption	3	16.6	(D)	(D)	19	6.8	671	7.4	17	7.3	378	7.7
Avoyelles	53	3.6	12 489	1.0	450	2.5	21 083	2.4	408	2.6	12 230	2.6
Beauregard	31	5.6	3 709	.8	581	2.0	28 460	1.9	517	2.1	16 888	2.2
Bienville	9	12.8	(D)	(D)	193	2.7	8 415	2.3	170	2.9	4 517	2.7
Bossier	15	7.5	608	1.2	258	2.2	21 974	1.1	228	2.2	(D)	(D)
Caddo	35	4.2	3 142	.1	282	2.3	21 745	1.6	248	2.4	10 858	1.9
Calcasieu	140	2.0	35 185	.7	539	2.8	27 941	1.8	484	2.8	18 182	1.7
Caldwell	35	4.0	7 390	2.2	121	3.0	5 501	2.9	106	3.3	3 140	3.3
Cameron	47	4.1	12 299	2.2	388	2.5	30 415	1.4	364	2.6	20 266	1.5
Catahoula	39	3.6	11 048	1.1	131	3.2	5 979	3.3	119	3.3	3 669	3.5
Claiborne	6	14.3	11	15.9	207	1.7	12 590	1.5	188	1.9	6 058	2.0
Concordia	28	2.9	7 652	.1	58	3.4	3 228	3.9	47	3.7	1 920	4.4
De Soto	31	6.5	277	13.6	448	2.5	34 115	1.2	372	2.7	12 296	1.9
East Baton Rouge	22	7.1	86	14.1	361	2.2	21 270	1.9	312	2.3	11 157	2.2
East Carroll	91	1.3	39 631	.3	23	5.5	2 954	2.5	21	5.6	1 628	2.5
East Feliciana	8	11.6	186	24.7	342	2.0	27 313	1.5	296	2.1	15 379	1.8
Evangeline	227	2.1	54 237	.9	355	2.4	12 780	2.3	326	2.4	7 919	2.1
Franklin	321	1.9	62 017	.7	312	2.5	15 679	2.4	277	2.5	8 406	2.7
Grant	5	19.0	11	23.2	116	2.7	5 247	3.6	106	2.9	3 358	3.7
Iberia	38	4.1	3 759	2.8	188	2.5	6 298	2.7	179	2.5	3 800	2.9
Iberville	4	12.3	141	17.5	74	3.1	7 795	2.8	68	3.3	5 415	3.4
Jackson	4	16.4	(D)	(D)	132	2.4	3 798	2.6	118	2.6	2 226	2.6
Jefferson	9	8.9	53	17.5	28	5.6	819	7.9	28	5.6	478	7.9
Jefferson Davis	320	1.1	103 837	.5	240	2.2	12 230	2.4	227	2.3	7 892	2.4
Lafayette	67	3.5	9 848	1.3	441	2.5	11 542	2.4	404	2.6	6 449	2.5
Lafourche	20	6.0	1 954	5.0	277	1.6	19 792	1.7	259	1.6	12 824	1.7
La Salle	2	32.8	(D)	(D)	134	2.8	5 529	2.9	126	2.9	(D)	(D)
Lincoln	16	6.6	336	2.8	232	1.9	13 447	1.9	213	2.0	7 504	2.2
Livingston	34	6.3	218	5.2	272	2.5	8 902	2.2	227	2.6	4 255	2.9
Madison	57	1.7	23 091	.1	41	4.7	2 766	2.7	38	4.9	1 718	3.4
Morehouse	229	1.1	99 115	.4	88	3.2	4 792	3.9	74	3.6	(D)	(D)
Natchitoches	25	4.2	4 394	1.5	395	2.2	30 005	1.3	373	2.2	17 237	1.5
Orleans	6	10.3	6	10.3	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	1	40.0	(D)	(D)
Ouachita	40	4.1	7 143	1.1	168	2.9	7 459	2.8	144	3.1	(D)	(D)
Plaquemines	38	5.3	181	6.7	35	4.9	5 685	2.3	34	4.9	3 555	2.1
Pointe Coupee	12	9.4	2 475	3.7	253	2.8	19 926	2.1	240	2.8	12 868	2.1
Rapides	133	2.6	8 412	.3	475	2.2	22 686	1.6	430	2.2	12 994	1.8
Red River	6	5.9	1 084	.7	162	2.1	13 420	1.9	147	2.2	(D)	(D)
Richland	239	1.3	67 802	.5	208	2.2	15 497	1.8	191	2.3	(D)	(D)
Sabine	4	16.5	5	13.2	337	1.5	15 858	2.0	302	1.6	8 601	2.2
St. Bernard	3	22.1	5	20.5	10	9.9	(D)	(D)	10	9.9	603	2.1
St. Charles	2	15.7	(D)	(D)	54	2.6	4 330	3.7	53	2.7	2 998	4.3
St. Helena	11	10.6	28	10.6	289	1.9	16 683	1.1	202	2.4	4 237	2.8
St. James	4	15.6	(D)	(D)	8	10.1	355	7.4	8	10.1	223	6.4
St. John the Baptist	2	23.7	(D)	(D)	14	4.1	978	3.5	14	4.1	(D)	(D)
St. Landry	123	2.6	26 406	1.2	667	2.5	27 927	2.1	608	2.6	17 026	2.2
St. Martin	26	4.3	4 641	3.0	92	3.0	2 484	3.6	90	3.0	1 367	4.0
St. Mary	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	21	5.9	1 204	8.7	19	6.3	797	8.6
St. Tammany	62	4.2	483	2.6	226	2.2	8 082	2.7	191	2.4	3 987	3.2
Tangipahoa	126	3.3	1 536	3.1	723	1.7	56 800	.8	386	2.5	8 430	2.4
Tensas	43	2.4	19 000	.4	25	5.2	1 767	4.0	23	5.3	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Terrebonne -----	6	10.4	33	5.2	71	2.7	6 148	2.2	69	2.7	3 950	2.2
Union -----	12	10.0	48	14.8	280	1.7	15 118	1.2	246	1.7	7 828	1.4
Vermilion -----	508	1.7	104 623	.9	631	1.9	31 497	2.0	595	1.9	21 381	2.0
Vernon -----	10	12.4	32	15.6	374	2.4	15 536	2.3	320	2.6	7 642	2.7
Washington -----	26	6.6	744	2.6	670	1.7	42 262	1.0	446	2.2	9 715	2.3
Webster -----	9	11.6	30	15.4	274	2.0	11 982	2.4	251	2.1	6 663	2.6
West Baton Rouge -----	1	46.4	(D)	(D)	48	3.6	4 004	1.6	46	3.7	(D)	(D)
West Carroll -----	112	2.5	25 569	1.2	162	2.8	9 263	3.0	156	2.9	5 812	3.0
West Feliciana -----	6	15.1	16	17.1	129	3.1	13 697	1.8	119	3.1	(D)	(D)
Winn -----	—	—	—	—	128	2.4	5 366	3.7	122	2.5	3 118	3.9
Livestock and poultry — Con.												
Geographic area	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana -----	1 279	1.3	78 976	.3	844	2.5	37 519	2.2	468	2.6	9 244	3.5
Acadia -----	17	8.6	46	8.3	26	7.5	582	3.7	47	5.3	748	5.1
Allen -----	14	9.6	202	8.2	11	10.9	187	19.0	17	9.2	680	15.8
Ascension -----	12	10.8	26	8.9	13	10.1	70	11.7	6	15.0	16	18.9
Assumption -----	2	24.8	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoyelles -----	30	7.5	398	3.4	41	6.6	488	9.4	6	11.4	38	13.1
Beauregard -----	27	4.8	1 500	1.2	42	5.5	1 361	1.2	44	5.8	1 098	8.1
Bienville -----	14	8.0	745	1.9	14	10.2	35	12.2	2	33.9	(D)	(D)
Bossier -----	7	10.9	(D)	(D)	10	13.3	115	21.1	2	30.4	(D)	(D)
Caddo -----	13	8.4	353	3.3	19	9.1	359	17.1	1	44.4	(D)	(D)
Calcasieu -----	24	8.4	35	10.1	35	6.9	371	12.7	19	9.2	249	13.4
Caldwell -----	3	21.8	6	29.5	7	14.9	382	23.4	1	42.5	(D)	(D)
Cameron -----	17	8.8	31	11.6	26	8.0	327	30.1	7	15.7	85	19.9
Catahoula -----	4	15.7	4	15.7	10	10.9	73	17.2	—	—	—	—
Claiborne -----	15	6.5	1 391	.2	14	8.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Concordia -----	—	—	—	—	2	24.1	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
De Soto -----	68	2.6	8 806	.5	10	10.9	94	11.0	6	16.5	92	15.3
East Baton Rouge -----	33	5.3	1 738	1.0	18	8.1	1 431	4.0	4	15.6	270	7.2
East Carroll -----	3	16.5	3	16.5	4	17.4	92	19.9	2	24.8	(D)	(D)
East Feliciana -----	27	5.9	1 115	3.8	13	8.9	1 799	3.1	3	19.6	11	20.2
Evangeline -----	23	7.0	229	4.9	14	7.6	102	7.2	16	8.2	289	8.4
Franklin -----	19	7.4	153	3.7	10	11.3	196	26.3	2	24.8	(D)	(D)
Grant -----	3	21.2	3	21.2	7	15.8	58	21.5	2	21.0	(D)	(D)
Iberia -----	6	16.5	58	5.4	19	8.0	165	15.6	15	8.1	197	10.1
Iberville -----	—	—	—	—	5	16.6	63	24.3	2	—	(D)	(D)
Jackson -----	9	9.9	35	16.4	11	10.3	678	38.5	1	—	(D)	(D)
Jefferson -----	—	—	—	—	1	41.2	(D)	(D)	3	19.2	8	22.9
Jefferson Davis -----	9	12.3	13	12.2	21	8.2	372	14.5	26	6.9	427	10.8
Lafayette -----	27	6.5	402	6.7	20	8.2	289	15.6	35	6.8	718	8.6
Lafourche -----	15	8.7	26	10.6	7	11.8	79	11.1	9	9.0	154	12.1
La Salle -----	1	46.5	(D)	(D)	9	13.2	632	9.8	2	32.7	(D)	(D)
Lincoln -----	12	7.2	810	.8	5	17.6	(D)	(D)	4	19.4	(D)	(D)
Livingston -----	16	9.1	371	5.5	33	6.7	2 389	7.0	4	19.0	(D)	(D)
Madison -----	—	—	—	—	5	13.8	(D)	(D)	2	24.1	(D)	(D)
Morehouse -----	4	12.5	(D)	(D)	5	8.7	184	11.8	—	—	—	—
Natchitoches -----	12	10.8	45	13.5	12	11.3	453	23.7	4	18.5	45	20.8
Orleans -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ouachita -----	3	20.9	(D)	(D)	6	18.0	118	23.3	1	45.4	(D)	(D)
Plaquemines -----	—	—	—	—	1	33.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Pointe Coupee -----	4	17.6	8	19.6	9	11.0	1 023	6.9	2	24.8	(D)	(D)
Rapides -----	28	6.3	562	3.2	28	6.7	586	3.5	6	15.8	40	20.6
Red River -----	2	30.5	(D)	(D)	5	17.2	33	29.8	3	20.4	(D)	(D)
Richland -----	3	14.7	(D)	(D)	4	18.9	46	25.7	1	43.5	(D)	(D)
Sabine -----	20	6.2	894	.6	9	8.1	44	3.5	1	37.0	(D)	(D)
St. Bernard -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Charles -----	3	14.4	5	13.8	3	14.4	44	14.4	1	47.1	(D)	(D)
St. Helena -----	76	1.9	6 350	.5	15	8.2	95	14.9	5	14.6	10	15.6
St. James -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	23.6	(D)	(D)
St. John the Baptist -----	1	49.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Landry -----	26	8.2	407	1.9	52	5.8	2 207	29.5	32	7.2	335	9.7
St. Martin -----	6	8.6	147	1.5	6	11.5	202	24.5	9	11.5	176	11.6
St. Mary -----	—	—	—	—	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
St. Tammany -----	18	5.3	1 013	.3	21	7.4	438	12.4	6	12.0	68	14.1
Tangipahoa -----	293	1.2	27 735	.5	38	5.7	382	9.3	13	8.3	1 480	12.9
Tensas -----	1	44.8	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Terrebonne -----	3	19.6	11	19.3	4	15.3	30	23.7	2	25.0	(D)	(D)
Union -----	11	8.1	1 022	.2	22	5.4	3 238	4.2	8	11.3	56	19.2
Vermilion -----	29	7.1	248	6.6	16	9.2	69	12.1	52	5.1	604	5.8
Vernon -----	26	6.1	1 394	3.3	30	6.9	(D)	(D)	8	15.7	281	32.6
Washington -----	207	1.4	17 055	.5	36	7.0	291	9.4	6	15.8	437	17.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry – Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Webster	12	8.9	651	2.9	11	10.1	265	4.8	5	15.8	42	24.7
West Baton Rouge	1	–	(D)	(D)	1	–	(D)	(D)	1	47.1	(D)	(D)
West Carroll	8	12.5	178	5.8	7	11.1	(D)	(D)	6	13.2	53	7.9
West Feliciana	9	9.0	(D)	(D)	9	11.8	79	8.2	2	–	(D)	(D)
Winn	3	24.7	10	25.4	9	10.9	365	16.7	1	39.7	(D)	(D)
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry – Con.											
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory					Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold						
	Farms		Total			Farms			Total			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana.....	1 315	2.5	1 763 669	.9	313	.6	115 258 369	–	–	–	–	
Acadia	47	5.6	699	7.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Allen	36	6.3	708	6.9	1	40.8	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Ascension	15	10.6	507	16.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Assumption	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Avoyelles	50	6.2	1 691	10.9	1	47.2	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Beauregard	66	4.9	1 311	5.8	4	19.0	76	22.5	–	–	–	
Bienville	17	8.2	64 781	(L)	2	–	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Bossier	23	8.3	413	13.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Caddo	19	8.4	214	10.1	2	22.2	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Calcasieu	34	7.1	767	8.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Caldwell	17	8.8	255	9.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Cameron	24	8.4	437	9.6	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Catahoula	15	7.9	334	9.5	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Claiborne	8	12.4	(D)	(D)	18	2.1	6 871 229	.3	–	–	–	
Concordia	6	15.7	146	19.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
De Soto	33	7.0	640	8.8	1	46.7	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
East Baton Rouge	34	6.9	4 215	1.3	3	14.6	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
East Carroll	4	12.4	95	10.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
East Feliciana	23	7.8	411	8.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Evangeline	26	7.4	449	8.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Franklin	16	10.1	296	16.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Grant	7	12.6	153	15.9	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Iberia	19	8.7	414	10.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Iberville	5	14.0	180	21.9	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Jackson	11	9.1	(D)	(D)	22	1.3	10 469 926	.1	–	–	–	
Jefferson	4	20.0	80	27.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Jefferson Davis	15	10.0	277	12.3	1	45.8	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Lafayette	58	5.3	1 319	6.9	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Lafourche	14	8.2	265	11.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
La Salle	12	10.6	219	11.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Lincoln	16	7.9	66 324	12.4	28	–	12 976 200	–	–	–	–	
Livingston	26	7.9	907	16.0	7	–	2 917 200	–	–	–	–	
Madison	2	24.8	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Morehouse	4	16.5	54	20.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Natchitoches	30	6.5	185 315	(L)	26	–	8 443 173	–	–	–	–	
Orleans	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Ouachita	15	10.3	(D)	(D)	4	11.3	1 553 525	(L)	–	–	–	
Plaquemines	3	17.4	135	24.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Pointe Coupee	14	10.8	224	14.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Rapides	46	5.7	(D)	(D)	1	35.1	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Red River	9	12.1	121	15.7	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Richland	12	10.3	175	12.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Sabine	20	7.0	108 122	9.3	81	.8	25 399 676	.1	–	–	–	
St. Bernard	5	14.8	83	15.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
St. Charles	2	15.7	(D)	(D)	1	–	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
St. Helena	17	8.7	(D)	(D)	1	42.7	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
St. James	3	16.7	42	14.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
St. John the Baptist	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
St. Landry	72	5.4	1 348	6.9	1	45.7	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
St. Martin	11	9.6	188	13.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
St. Mary	3	21.9	47	21.9	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
St. Tammany	31	6.5	898	8.5	2	23.8	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	
Tangipahoa	53	5.2	165 566	.1	4	–	1 973 400	–	–	–	–	
Tensas	6	13.4	112	26.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Terrebonne	5	14.0	62	13.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Union	27	5.8	241 784	3.1	89	–	38 699 506	–	–	–	–	
Vermilion	76	4.6	1 936	8.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Vernon	35	6.8	957	9.0	12	4.1	3 879 949	(L)	–	–	–	
Washington	51	5.6	858	5.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Webster	11	10.8	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
West Baton Rouge	3	–	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
West Carroll	20	7.8	594	9.7	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
West Feliciana	18	8.3	198	9.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Winn	11	9.4	(D)	(D)	1	–	(D)	(D)	–	–	–	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested										
	Sorghum for grain or seed					Wheat for grain					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	875	.9	179 376	.5	11 723 104	.4	682	.9	119 304	.5	4 432 764
Acadia.....	33	2.4	6 168	.4	473 741	.4	42	2.6	3 833	1.5	128 042
Allen.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	9.9	660	1.9	13 165
Ascension.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	(D)	(D)	16 360
Assumption.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Avoyelles.....	144	2.3	23 797	1.2	1 910 523	1.2	72	3.0	11 851	2.0	476 800
Beauregard.....	1	41.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7	10.3	970	8.5	26 106
Bienville.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bossier.....	9	—	1 922	—	88 885	—	6	—	2 061	—	68 307
Caddo.....	5	9.9	591	9.2	22 932	7.7	11	4.5	1 821	1.0	51 188
Calcasieu.....	13	3.7	3 194	1.7	137 042	2.2	16	—	3 344	—	47 152
Caldwell.....	8	—	2 392	—	142 875	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cameron.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—
Catahoula.....	105	1.8	37 550	.7	2 677 539	.5	22	4.7	2 999	2.8	122 334
Claiborne.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Concordia.....	62	1.9	16 468	1.8	1 046 181	1.4	33	3.1	3 459	1.0	112 835
De Soto.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	—	727	—	30 534
East Baton Rouge.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	11.9	490	18.5	16 910
East Carroll.....	37	2.2	8 883	1.2	602 016	1.3	31	3.4	5 275	1.1	215 882
East Feliciana.....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	11.5	542	12.7	21 900
Evangeline.....	25	2.5	3 717	.9	176 988	.8	36	2.5	6 109	1.6	179 332
Franklin.....	40	2.5	5 861	2.4	326 781	2.2	19	4.6	2 396	1.6	115 347
Grant.....	7	—	1 860	—	88 826	—	5	12.7	824	18.7	20 193
Iberia.....	2	22.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	—	525	—	(D)
Iberville.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	—	576	—	26 522
Jackson.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Davis.....	28	2.8	3 868	1.4	149 857	1.5	19	3.7	2 377	1.0	55 592
Lafayette.....	19	4.9	3 735	2.1	267 483	1.2	16	4.8	3 639	1.2	166 486
Lafourche.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
La Salle.....	2	29.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lincoln.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	24.2	(D)	(D)	(D)
Livingston.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison.....	54	2.7	8 962	1.3	665 538	1.3	28	2.4	7 013	.8	345 496
Morehouse.....	24	3.3	7 579	1.0	402 576	.9	16	3.1	4 662	.3	183 791
Natchitoches.....	15	—	2 994	—	178 915	—	15	3.0	3 579	1.1	74 781
Orleans.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ouachita.....	5	9.1	745	2.5	41 525	3.3	6	—	640	—	19 300
Plaquemines.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pointe Coupee.....	12	5.9	1 403	5.7	104 179	1.5	70	2.3	16 171	.8	711 643
Rapides.....	25	3.0	5 431	2.6	298 835	2.8	20	4.3	3 669	2.0	90 431
Red River.....	10	4.1	2 241	2.2	88 227	.3	10	4.1	3 012	4.2	59 529
Richland.....	13	6.5	2 040	4.6	93 369	6.6	6	10.3	720	14.2	13 137
Sabine.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Bernard.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Charles.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Helena.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	34.4	(D)	(D)	(D)
St. James.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	11.8	656	6.8	(D)
St. John the Baptist.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Landry.....	57	3.2	8 779	2.4	511 528	2.5	54	3.2	10 274	2.2	457 025
St. Martin.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	6.9	939	2.5	34 845
St. Mary.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Tammany.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tangipahoa.....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Tensas.....	46	1.9	9 312	.5	789 450	.5	19	4.2	1 592	2.1	75 665
Terrebonne.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Union.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Vermilion.....	16	5.6	2 227	1.0	123 760	.8	12	6.3	1 560	1.4	40 842
Vernon.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	45.2	(D)	(D)	(D)
Washington.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	14.1	947	14.3	33 125
Webster.....	3	17.9	305	17.0	4 919	20.3	2	19.1	(D)	(D)	(D)
West Baton Rouge.....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	—	1 671	—	87 146
West Carroll.....	41	4.4	4 555	4.1	202 935	3.9	23	5.7	2 756	4.4	115 678
West Feliciana.....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Winn.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	50.0	(D)	(D)	(D)

Selected crops harvested — Con.

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.										
	Rice					Cotton					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	2 197	1.0	589 752	.4	26 906 404	.4	2 599	.9	827 792	.3	1 219 599
Acadia.....	409	2.1	97 731	.9	4 463 276	.8	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)
Allen.....	75	2.6	26 615	1.7	1 065 279	1.4	—	—	—	—	—
Ascension.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Assumption.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Rice						Cotton					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Avoyelles	32	3.4	11 206	1.0	601 541	.9	69	2.7	13 288	1.6	19 615	1.2
Beauregard	8	5.2	3 338	.7	139 152	.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bienville	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bossier	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	21	3.1	6 050	1.3	7 393	1.1
Caddo	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	57	2.5	24 972	.5	37 034	.3
Calcasieu	119	1.9	34 143	.7	1 274 592	.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Caldwell	13	5.0	4 633	2.8	211 172	3.0	68	3.3	15 325	1.5	21 739	1.2
Cameron	35	3.5	11 147	2.2	411 798	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Catahoula	11	7.0	2 577	3.5	148 008	2.6	135	1.8	42 018	.4	65 825	.3
Claiborne	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Concordia	18	—	5 564	—	234 886	—	104	1.7	33 017	.5	54 494	.6
De Soto	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	5.8	1 589	3.5	2 162	1.3
East Baton Rouge	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
East Carroll	54	1.3	17 542	.5	1 060 626	.5	183	1.4	62 230	.5	95 305	.4
East Feliciana	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Evangeline	208	2.2	53 112	.9	2 455 600	.8	5	6.8	400	3.3	690	3.4
Franklin	10	4.3	1 758	2.4	94 398	2.3	533	1.9	129 430	.8	166 426	.7
Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—	19	4.3	6 863	.9	9 795	1.0
Iberia	20	5.7	2 592	3.9	98 481	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Iberville	2	24.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jackson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Davis	304	1.1	99 582	.5	4 396 099	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lafayette	43	3.8	9 549	1.2	443 393	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lafourche	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
La Salle	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	16.6	750	15.4	1 089	12.1
Lincoln	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Livingston	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison	20	—	6 223	—	341 583	—	150	1.4	47 409	.6	67 493	.4
Morehouse	119	1.5	39 199	.8	2 168 343	.7	195	1.2	92 846	.4	155 112	.3
Natchitoches	6	—	2 015	—	92 572	—	60	2.4	19 696	.4	28 061	.4
Orleans	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ouachita	6	—	2 535	—	112 809	—	59	2.5	20 307	.7	33 646	.4
Plaquemines	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pointe Coupee	6	8.3	2 323	3.8	128 489	4.0	25	2.0	16 593	.1	21 918	(L)
Rapides	11	—	7 285	—	317 087	—	107	1.6	30 304	.3	51 453	.3
Red River	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	23	3.5	7 422	1.4	9 318	.8
Richland	46	2.8	15 925	1.4	893 471	1.2	366	1.3	116 727	.4	157 802	.4
Sabine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Bernard	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Charles	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Helena	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. James	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. John the Baptist	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Landry	96	2.6	24 054	1.3	999 305	1.4	13	6.4	3 066	3.2	3 435	3.6
St. Martin	19	4.3	3 888	3.0	163 229	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Mary	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
St. Tammany	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tangipahoa	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tensas	13	3.5	3 095	1.8	164 917	1.4	172	1.0	88 048	.3	143 426	.2
Terrebonne	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Union	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vermilion	462	1.7	96 906	.9	4 163 692	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vernon	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Webster	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
West Baton Rouge	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
West Carroll	29	4.1	4 755	2.9	246 151	2.4	220	2.1	46 584	1.2	62 583	1.0
West Feliciana	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Winn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Soybeans for beans						Sugarcane for sugar					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	3 903	.9	1 112 815	.4	33 360 521	.3	755	.8	356 349	.1	9 131 174	.1
Acadia	275	1.8	67 375	.8	1 798 775	.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Allen	21	3.8	7 826	.7	147 991	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ascension	4	12.3	1 380	4.1	26 000	5.5	24	3.4	17 554	.9	418 803	.5
Assumption	4	—	514	—	13 840	—	68	1.8	31 971	.4	786 307	.4
Avoyelles	361	1.9	82 879	1.0	2 704 360	1.0	23	2.2	10 627	(L)	343 868	(L)
Beauregard	26	4.2	6 677	1.5	207 087	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bienville	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bossier	24	3.0	5 343	2.0	134 703	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Caddo	43	3.2	9 643	2.4	301 295	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Soybeans for beans					Sugarcane for sugar						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Calcasieu	41	3.2	12 266	2.1	289 502	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Caldwell	26	5.4	4 361	3.9	69 070	4.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cameron	14	4.8	3 336	1.9	85 306	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Catahoula	203	1.9	92 738	.6	3 005 655	.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Claiborne	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Concordia	237	1.4	111 137	.7	3 304 096	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
De Soto	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
East Baton Rouge	9	8.4	3 155	10.1	65 756	7.6	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
East Carroll	177	1.4	61 581	.7	2 062 390	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
East Feliciana	3	15.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Evangeline	171	2.1	44 006	1.0	1 189 947	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Franklin	209	2.0	26 884	1.8	716 660	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grant	22	5.1	4 100	4.8	113 052	4.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Iberia	19	5.1	1 480	1.4	31 661	2.0	146	1.8	58 426	.2	1 516 283	.2
Iberville	18	3.7	6 425	1.6	244 967	1.0	46	1.6	31 045	.3	859 027	.2
Jackson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Davis	164	1.3	43 829	.5	1 193 623	.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lafayette	81	3.3	21 470	2.5	620 199	2.1	24	5.1	8 951	.5	248 558	.6
Lafourche	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	81	2.0	35 618	.3	891 084	.3
La Salle	9	9.2	738	6.0	26 724	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lincoln	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Livingston	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison	231	1.3	92 176	.6	3 232 625	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Morehouse	150	1.3	35 285	.5	1 173 923	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Natchitoches	59	2.4	13 225	.6	363 353	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Orleans	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ouachita	30	3.2	4 801	1.7	152 867	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Plaquemines	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pointe Coupee	126	1.9	51 938	1.0	1 723 050	.7	25	2.8	12 583	.2	345 051	.3
Rapides	126	1.7	27 876	1.0	847 747	.9	5	—	2 336	—	69 928	—
Red River	14	3.0	5 986	3.4	180 579	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Richland	105	1.8	16 760	.4	390 915	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sabine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Bernard	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. Charles	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
St. Helena	1	34.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
St. James	5	—	1 519	—	54 583	—	42	1.5	26 842	.1	771 232	.1
St. John the Baptist	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	—	6 677	—	179 356	—
St. Landry	289	1.9	94 723	.9	2 738 830	.9	9	9.0	2 979	.8	85 059	1.1
St. Martin	22	5.3	5 083	1.9	119 920	1.7	76	2.1	27 960	.4	690 318	.4
St. Mary	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	71	1.4	47 836	.2	1 065 036	.2
St. Tammany	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tangipahoa	6	10.7	195	4.5	4 246	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tensas	173	1.1	66 039	.6	1 982 755	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Terrebonne	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	26	2.9	10 759	.4	286 683	.4
Union	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vermilion	217	2.0	43 926	1.0	1 098 320	1.1	58	3.7	13 556	.7	316 947	.9
Vernon	1	45.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington	5	13.0	475	1.0	14 642	.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Webster	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
West Baton Rouge	15	—	7 481	—	247 014	—	20	2.6	8 069	.5	195 134	.4
West Carroll	153	2.5	17 922	1.9	454 046	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
West Feliciana	8	9.0	5 990	3.7	158 490	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Winn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Selected crops harvested — Con.

Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)

Geographic area	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Louisiana	8 956	1.6	383 292	1.1	851 288	1.0
Acadia	88	4.1	2 289	4.7	5 714	4.6
Allen	131	3.1	4 244	4.3	8 472	3.2
Ascension	110	3.2	3 509	5.2	8 321	4.5
Assumption	3	19.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Avoyelles	284	2.9	8 965	2.8	19 142	3.6
Beauregard	347	2.3	12 069	2.7	26 724	2.5
Bienville	137	3.0	5 324	3.4	12 261	3.6
Bossier	174	2.3	12 283	2.1	24 845	1.9
Caddo	187	2.5	13 020	1.8	24 220	1.8
Calcasieu	258	3.0	7 702	2.7	13 970	3.0
Caldwell	86	3.7	2 589	6.8	5 492	5.4
Cameron	161	3.2	4 371	2.5	10 060	2.6
Catahoula	82	3.7	2 775	4.0	4 896	5.1
Claiborne	138	2.1	6 363	1.9	17 219	1.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and Parish Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Concordia	28	4.6	1 084	2.3	1 962	2.8
De Soto	297	2.5	17 359	1.9	41 208	1.8
East Baton Rouge	218	2.4	10 219	2.5	22 038	2.4
East Carroll	10	6.6	1 528	3.5	2 222	3.8
East Feliciana	247	2.2	15 677	2.3	29 048	2.5
Evangeline	146	3.2	4 287	2.7	11 033	2.6
Franklin	176	3.0	4 800	3.1	11 396	3.3
Grant	65	3.9	2 909	4.7	5 266	4.5
Iberia	95	3.3	2 578	3.9	6 555	4.7
Iberville	27	6.1	1 640	2.2	3 430	2.0
Jackson	91	2.8	1 721	3.0	4 782	3.8
Jefferson	8	11.7	224	12.8	652	11.9
Jefferson Davis	96	3.6	2 408	4.9	5 073	5.9
Lafayette	258	2.6	5 886	3.1	13 238	3.4
Lafourche	168	2.2	11 399	2.0	25 134	2.2
La Salle	109	3.2	3 215	2.8	7 663	3.5
Lincoln	155	2.3	7 322	3.4	16 614	3.7
Livingston	147	2.9	4 183	3.1	8 389	3.8
Madison	17	5.0	918	1.6	1 520	3.1
Morehouse	74	3.5	2 387	3.7	6 792	4.4
Natchitoches	265	2.2	15 160	1.6	35 079	1.5
Orleans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ouachita	132	3.1	3 724	4.7	8 161	4.6
Plaquemines	5	15.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Pointe Coupee	133	3.3	6 725	2.5	16 931	2.8
Rapides	244	2.3	10 176	2.1	20 309	2.2
Red River	122	2.5	7 048	3.1	17 115	2.9
Richland	139	2.6	5 095	2.6	13 507	3.6
Sabine	240	1.8	8 659	2.4	22 576	2.4
St. Bernard	6	13.6	81	15.9	205	19.7
St. Charles	41	3.8	1 468	4.0	2 803	4.7
St. Helena	199	2.0	10 196	2.1	20 983	2.2
St. James	2	31.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
St. John the Baptist	8	8.5	338	8.4	1 195	11.8
St. Landry	334	2.8	10 590	3.2	23 251	3.4
St. Martin	54	4.2	1 207	4.7	2 231	6.7
St. Mary	9	10.6	397	7.9	1 002	8.2
St. Tammany	148	2.5	6 246	4.7	9 109	4.6
Tangipahoa	482	1.6	28 360	1.3	64 314	1.2
Tensas	17	5.3	566	2.2	893	5.0
Terrebonne	51	3.5	2 439	3.6	4 723	3.2
Union	229	1.7	9 419	1.5	25 164	1.6
Vermilion	223	2.7	7 310	3.2	15 600	3.6
Vernon	286	2.6	8 979	2.8	22 476	3.1
Washington	464	1.6	23 377	1.4	55 798	1.5
Webster	206	2.2	8 979	2.9	17 371	2.9
West Baton Rouge	21	6.5	1 290	4.4	2 993	2.7
West Carroll	114	3.2	5 441	3.8	11 421	4.3
West Feliciana	74	3.3	5 994	2.5	11 456	2.6
Winn	90	3.1	3 475	4.8	8 940	8.2

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table G. State Estimates of the Not on the Mail List Component of Farm Coverage Error: 1992

[Detail may not add to total due to rounding. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census published farms		Not on mail list ¹		Percent not on mail list ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (percent)	Standard error of percent
Farms ----- number	25 652	1.6	4 003	26.9	13.5	3.1
Land in farms ----- acres ..	7 837 545	.6	266 504	30.6	3.3	1.0
Average size of farm ----- acres ..	305.5	1.7	66.6	20.7	(X)	(X)
Farms by size:						
Less than 10 acres -----	1 934	2.8	953	48.2	33.0	10.6
10 to 49 acres -----	6 895	2.5	1 856	32.5	21.2	5.4
Less than 50 acres -----	8 829	2.6	2 809	28.5	24.1	5.2
50 acres or more -----	16 823	1.3	1 194	41.9	6.6	2.6
50 to 99 acres -----	4 235	2.1	433	76.5	9.3	6.4
100 to 179 acres -----	3 656	1.8	611	57.7	14.3	7.0
180 acres or more -----	8 932	.9	149	44.2	1.6	.7
Harvested cropland ----- farms ..	17 171	1.4	966	41.7	5.3	2.1
----- acres ..	3 810 690	.3	54 518	51.6	1.4	.7
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 -----	4 179	2.8	1 899	46.1	31.2	9.8
\$1,000 to \$2,499 -----	3 829	2.6	1 077	43.9	21.9	7.5
Less than \$2,500 -----	8 008	2.7	2 976	35.6	27.1	7.0
\$2,500 or more -----	17 644	1.3	1 027	29.8	5.5	1.5
\$2,500 to \$9,999 -----	7 071	2.2	736	38.0	9.4	3.2
\$10,000 or more -----	10 573	1.0	291	43.5	2.7	1.1
Market value of agricultural products sold -----\$1,000 --	1 607 511	.2	27 283	31.1	1.7	.8
Farms by standard industrial classification:						
Crops (01) -----	9 968	1.2	502	42.0	4.8	1.9
Livestock (02) -----	15 684	1.9	3 501	29.8	18.2	4.4
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family -----	22 310	1.7	3 896	27.6	14.9	3.5
Partnership or corporation -----	3 137	1.2	107	77.0	3.3	2.4
Other -----	205	2.6	--	(X)	--	(X)
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners -----	13 751	2.0	3 041	30.8	18.1	4.5
Part owners and tenants -----	11 901	1.2	962	42.7	7.5	2.9
Part owners -----	8 018	1.3	736	52.1	8.4	4.0
Tenants -----	3 883	1.3	226	59.8	5.5	3.1
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated -----	16 351	1.6	1 579	32.6	8.8	2.6
Not on farm operated -----	6 732	1.6	524	37.0	7.2	2.5
Not reported -----	2 569	1.8	1 899	44.1	42.5	10.6
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming -----	12 931	1.1	1 300	35.5	9.1	2.9
Other -----	12 721	2.2	1 383	33.8	9.8	3.0
Operators by sex:						
Male -----	23 786	1.6	3 871	27.8	14.0	3.3
Female -----	1 866	2.1	132	65.3	6.6	4.0
Operators by race:						
White -----	24 470	1.6	2 378	29.4	8.9	2.4
Black and other races -----	1 182	2.4	305	58.9	20.5	9.7
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less -----	3 497	2.2	758	38.4	17.8	5.6
5 years or more -----	16 402	1.5	1 556	36.8	8.7	2.9
Average years on present farm -----	17.7	2.1	13.0	41.7	(X)	(X)
Not reported -----	5 753	1.9	1 689	45.7	22.7	8.0
Average age of operator -----	53.5	2.3	54.0	25.8	(X)	(X)

Note: These estimates do not account for incorrectly classified farms or farms appearing more than once in the census and are subject to change in the 1992 Coverage Evaluation publication. See appendix C text for further explanation.

¹Estimates are based on a sample survey conducted independently of census data collection.