

Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

MAIL LIST MODEL

Classification analysis was performed to predict the probability that an addressee on the 1992 mail list operated a farm, and thereby separated the preliminary mail list into probable farm and probable nonfarm classes. The analysis was used to reduce the preliminary census mail list of 3.78 million records to a final mail list size of 3.55 million records. All 3.55 million addresses on the final mail list received a census of agriculture report form.

Records from the 1987 final census mail list were used to build a 1992 prediction model for the 1992 analysis. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) software analyzed characteristics of known 1987 farm and nonfarm operations to determine which were most useful in predicting farm and nonfarm classes. Record characteristics such as the source of the mail list record, number of source lists on which the record appeared, expected value of agricultural sales, and geographic location were used to separate mail list records into model groups. (Sources included the previous agriculture census mail list, the Internal Revenue Service administrative records, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and special commodity lists.) The proportion of 1987 census farm records in each model group was calculated to provide an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

After the model groups were defined, each address record on the 1992 preliminary mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms according to the classification tree methodology. The model, followed by analyst reviews, was used to remove 229,700 records from the preliminary mail list (those in model groups with the lowest farm probability), and thereby designated the 3.55 million records with the highest farm probability to receive the census report form. This procedure was used to obtain a more complete census enumeration of farm operations without excessive respondent burden and data collection cost.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

Each of the 3.55 million name and address records on the census mail list was designated to receive one of three different types of census report forms. The three forms were the nonsample form, the screener form, and the

sample form. Sections 1 through 20 and 27 through 32 of the sample form are identical to sections on the nonsample form. The sample form, sections 21 through 26, contains additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income. The screener form is identical to the nonsample form with questions added in section 1 to allow quick identification of nonfarm addresses. These three different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Addresses were selected into the sample with certainty (1) if they were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) if they were multiunit operations (i.e., separate farms in more than one location), (3) if they had other special characteristics, or (4) if they were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1987. Other addresses in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and other addresses in counties containing 200 farms or more in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties. When a nonsample large farm was identified during processing, a supplemental form that contained the additional sample data inquiries was mailed.

To determine which mail list records would receive the screener form, all mail list records not designated for the sample were sorted by model group farm probability as specified by the mail list model. The 412,000 mail list records in the model groups with the lowest probability of being farms and with an expected total value of agricultural product sales less than \$25,000 were designated to receive the screener report form. The remaining mail list records received the nonsample report form.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1992 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures. These estimation procedures accounted for nonresponse to the data collection and for the sample data collection. These procedures are necessary because some farm operators never respond to

the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and the estimates for the sample data are based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

A statistical estimation procedure was used to account for nonrespondent farm operators to the census. We excluded large and unique farm operations that received intensive telephone followup during census processing, assuming complete response from them. A stratified systematic sample of remaining census nonrespondents were contacted by enumerators using a computer-assisted telephone interview system. Five sample strata were defined based on expected value of sales, previous census status, and whether the record was identified by the mail list model to receive the screener report form. The nonresponse survey telephone interview was designed to provide sufficient information to determine the farm status of each record.

In situations where the nonresponse survey case could not be contacted, the contact person refused to cooperate, or when no phone number could be obtained, a screener report form was sent by certified mail.

Estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents that operated farms were made for each stratum in the State using survey results and applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms for each county by stratum was then derived. This estimation procedure is based on the assumption that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county is the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Certain census respondent farms which exhibited "rare" commodities were designated as "ineligible" to represent census nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting operation. The procedure explained below was performed with only the eligible respondent cases: Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms to the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight was never greater than 2.0. The noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final weight for the sample items. The noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record for tabulating the complete count items for publication.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in these tables are the percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided

in these tables do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of item nonresponse is discussed in the Census Nonsampling Error section.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1992

Item	Percent of total
Farmsnumber. .	17.9
Land in farms.....acres. .	9.3
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹\$1,000. .	3.6
Market value of agricultural products sold ..\$1,000. .	2.8
Harvested croplandacres. .	5.0
Corn for grain or seedacres. .	5.0
Wheat for grainacres. .	3.0
Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Cattle and calvesnumber. .	13.4
Hogs and pigsnumber. .	6.5
Hens and pullets of laying age.....number. .	3.3

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimates the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. The estimates were obtained from a ratio estimation procedure that resulted in the assignment of a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records in the county.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm would be multiplied by 6. The weight assigned to a sample certainty farm was 1.

Other than certainty farms, within a county, the ratio estimation procedure for farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1992 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. Both the second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were as follows:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure was to classify the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial post strata formed by the three sets of groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample weight equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This weight was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure was to combine, if necessary, the 32 initial post strata to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms after nonresponse adjustment or had a weight greater than two times the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2 or 6, depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2 or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the initial 32 post strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each of the final post strata and were used to calculate final sample weights.

The final step consisted of assigning the noninteger final post stratum weight to the sample farm records in each post stratum. The weight is the ratio of total farm count to sample farm count in each final post stratum. The noninteger sample weight, the product of the noninteger final post stratum weight and the nonresponse weight, was randomly rounded to an integer weight for tabulation. If, for example, the final weight for the farms in a particular post stratum was 7.2, then 0.2 or one-fifth of the sample farms in this post stratum were randomly assigned a weight of 8 and the remaining four-fifths received a weight of 7.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1992 Census of Agriculture is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Sample refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive the sample report forms. Estimates derived from all the possible samples would differ from each other only by random variation.

The standard error or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and thus is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as 100 times the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples were surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary for producing a confidence interval for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is .1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94). If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the figure obtained from a complete enumeration. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. Complete count items were asked of all farm operators. Examples of complete count items were land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Sample count items were asked only of a sample of farm operators. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income.

Variability, measured as percent relative standard error, in the estimates of complete count items is due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. Variability in the estimates of sample count items is due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Thus, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county reporting complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard error for estimated number of farms in a county reporting a complete count item and the bottom half a sample count item. These are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used for complete count items and sample count items. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for all counties in the State. For sample count items, only data

from counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 6 are used in the estimation of the regression equation.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1992

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	6.6
50	4.5
75	3.6
100	3.0
150	2.2
200	1.7
300	1.0
5008
7506
1,0006
1,500	(X)
2,000	(X)
SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	34.8
50	26.3
75	22.7
100	20.7
150	18.5
200	17.3
300	16.0
500	14.8
750	14.2
1,000	13.9
1,500	(X)
2,000	(X)

To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1987 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count item estimates comes only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures; thus, the estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the percent standard error for percent change in State totals from 1987 to 1992. The general

purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1992 and the 1987 estimate for that characteristic to the 1987 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The percent standard error of a percent change estimate, then, is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in (1) the total number of farms, (2) the number of large farms included with certainty, (3) the size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) the amount of nonresponse, (5) the general agricultural characteristics, and (6) the specific characteristic being measured.

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts are affected jointly by sampling errors, described in the previous section, and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures on specific operations. Nonsampling errors arise from incompleteness of the census mail list, duplication in the mail list, incorrect data reporting, errors in editing of reported data, and errors in imputation for missing data. These specific nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Evaluation studies will be conducted to measure the extent of certain nonsampling errors such as coverage error and classification error.

Census Coverage

The main objective of the census of agriculture is to obtain a complete and accurate enumeration of U.S. farms with accurate data on all aspects of the agricultural operation. However, the high cost and availability of resources for enumeration place restrictions on feasible data collection methodologies. The past six agriculture censuses have been conducted by mail enumeration with telephone contact for selected nonrespondents. The completeness of such an enumeration thus depends to a large extent on the coverage of farm operations by the census mail list.

The past five censuses of agriculture have included approximately 91 percent of farms in the United States and approximately 96 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by fluctuations in agricultural operations qualifying for enumeration, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used

by an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, the accuracy of data reporting, and other factors. A new mail list is compiled for each census because no current single list of agricultural operations is comprehensive.

An evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. The evaluation provides estimates of the completeness of census farm count and major census data items. In addition, the evaluation helps to identify problems in the census enumeration and provide information that can form the basis for improvements. The results of the 1992 Coverage Evaluation program will be published in volume 2, Subject Series (Part 2): Coverage Evaluation.

The evaluation of coverage for the 1992 census was designed to measure four components of error in the census mail list and in farm classification. Mail list error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms not on the census mail list (undercount) and a measurement of farms enumerated more than once in the census (overcount). Classification error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms classified as nonfarms in the census (undercount) and of nonfarms classified as farms in the census (overcount). Classification error arises from reporting and processing errors. Mail list undercount dominates all coverage errors. Net coverage error is defined as the difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. Measurements of these errors, as well as a description of the complete coverage program, will be available in the Coverage Evaluation report.

Mail List Coverage

A major problem with mail enumeration for the census of agriculture is the difficulty encountered in compiling a complete mail list. The percentage of farms included on the census mail list varies considerably by State. Several reasons have contributed to farm operator names not being included on the census mail list—the operation may have been started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any of the agriculture-related source lists used in compiling the census list, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout. A large proportion of the farms not included on the mail list are small in both acres and sales of agricultural products.

The 1992 Census of Agriculture Coverage Evaluation used the area segment sample of the 1992 June Agricultural Survey (JAS) of the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS) to estimate farms not on the census mail list. The Census Bureau contracted with NASS to augment the JAS data collection. The survey data collected by NASS will be protected under the confidentiality of title 13, U.S. Code. These JAS survey records were matched to the census mail list. Records that did not match were mailed a census of agriculture report form to estimate mail list

coverage. Estimates of farms not on the census mail list are computed using a capture-recapture dual frame estimator which will be described in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Table G provides coverage evaluation estimates for one component of coverage error associated with the census of agriculture; that is, the error due to farms not on the census mail list. Also provided are estimates of selected characteristics of farms not on the mail list, estimates of characteristics of farms not on the mail list as a percentage of total farms in the State, and the percent relative standard error associated with each estimate. The estimate of total farms in the State is based on census farm count plus the estimated number of farms not on the census mail list. This estimate of total farms in the State was not adjusted for the components of error associated with classification and list duplication error. Estimates of these errors will be made at the regional, rather than the State level, and will be provided in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the mailed census report form or to the questions posed by a telephone enumerator introduce error into the census data. Such incorrect information can lead, in some cases, to incorrect classification of farms. This type of reporting error is measured by the Classification Error Survey discussed later in this section. To reduce all types of reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each addressee. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on tests of the census report form and each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency.

Item Nonresponse

As information flows from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses are identified on the report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the report form that logically should be present may create a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. When information from reporting farms is used to edit or impute for item nonresponse, the data may be biased due to characteristics of the nonreporting respondents differing from those reporting the item. Any attempt to correct the data items may not completely reflect this difference either at the element level (individual farm operation) or on the average.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each report form are sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the report forms includes clerical screening for farm activity, computerized check-in of report forms and follow-up of nonrespondents, keying and transmittal of

completed report forms, computerized editing of inconsistent and missing data, review and correction of individual records referred from the computer edit, review and correction of tabulated data, and electronic data processing. These operations undergo a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible, yet some errors are not detected and corrected.

Classification Error

An evaluation study of classification errors was conducted in the 1992 Census of Agriculture as part of the census coverage evaluation program. A sample of census mail list respondents was selected, and these addresses were reenumerated to determine whether they were a farm or nonfarm. A farm status determination was made based on the evaluation report form and compared with the census farm status which was based on the data reported on the report form. Differences in status were reconciled.

In past censuses, the proportion of farms undercounted due to classification errors was higher for farms with small values of sales. For the 1987 census, the classification error rate was higher for (1) farms with small values of sales, (2) farms with a small number of acres, (3) full-owner farms than part-owner or tenant farms, (4) operators with principal occupation other than farming, and (5) males than females. Results from the 1992 Classification Error Survey will be published in the Coverage Evaluation report.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The Census of Agriculture Complex Edit and Imputation System performs the following functions:

- Ensuring reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, and combinations of commodities.
- Ensuring necessary consistencies are present. There are more than 70 distinct consistency requirements.
- Ensuring geographic, legal, and physical constraints are met.

The system must perform these and similar functions for 900 data keycodes for sample records and 850 data keycodes for nonsample records.

For the 1992 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships were assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several standard industrial classification and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State. The computer records were sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for some sections of the report form was processed by the computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions.

After the initial computer edit, keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data were keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for about 25 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record was reedited.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms -----number--	31 998	1.7	Total farm production expenses ----- farms --	32 003	1.7
Land in farms -----acres--	10 188 362	.8	-----\$1,000--	1 954 337	.4
Average size of farm -----acres--	318	1.9	Average per farm -----dollars--	61 067	1.8
MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD			Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms --		
Total sales (see text) ----- farms --	31 998	1.7	-----\$1,000--	170 363	.8
-----\$1,000--	2 336 737	.3	Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms --	19 301	2.0
Average per farm -----dollars--	73 028	1.7	-----\$1,000--	458 354	2.0
Farms by value of sales:			Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms --	7 684	2.7
Less than \$1,000 (see text) ----- farms --	4 876	2.5	-----\$1,000--	361 083	.4
\$1,000 to \$2,499 ----- farms --	1 432	2.6	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms --	12 797	2.1
\$2,500 to \$4,999 ----- farms --	4 984	2.4	-----\$1,000--	46 998	.9
\$5,000 to \$9,999 ----- farms --	8 407	2.4	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms --	21 490	1.9
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms --	5 417	2.3	-----\$1,000--	124 296	.9
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms --	19 461	2.3	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms --	11 979	2.0
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms --	5 049	2.1	Petroleum products ----- farms --	30 774	1.7
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms --	35 489	2.1	-----\$1,000--	101 058	.7
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms --	3 330	2.0	Electricity ----- farms --	14 358	1.9
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms --	46 245	2.0	-----\$1,000--	28 120	.7
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms --	789	2.2	Hired farm labor ----- farms --	11 373	2.0
\$500,000 or more ----- farms --	17 431	2.2	-----\$1,000--	168 464	.4
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			Contract labor ----- farms --	3 293	3.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms --	11 445	1.5	-----\$1,000--	16 705	1.7
Grains ----- farms --	1 146 450	.3	Repair and maintenance ----- farms --	26 115	1.8
Corn for grain ----- farms --	5 975	1.3	-----\$1,000--	120 269	.7
Wheat ----- farms --	473 632	.3	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms --	8 455	2.5
Soybeans ----- farms --	2 074	1.3	-----\$1,000--	52 013	.9
Sorghum for grain ----- farms --	47 839	.5	Interest expense ----- farms --	12 145	2.1
Barley ----- farms --	1 051	1.1	-----\$1,000--	97 991	.9
Oats ----- farms --	21 086	.4	Secured by real estate ----- farms --	8 314	2.5
Other grains ----- farms --	4 617	1.2	-----\$1,000--	57 036	1.3
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms --	279 951	.4	Not secured by real estate ----- farms --	6 234	2.6
Tobacco ----- farms --	599	1.1	-----\$1,000--	40 955	.9
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms --	14 318	.5	Cash rent ----- farms --	8 098	2.4
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms --	852	2.3	-----\$1,000--	138 331	.5
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms --	9 609	1.6	Property taxes ----- farms --	28 742	1.8
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms --	470	2.4	-----\$1,000--	28 683	1.4
Other crops ----- farms --	3 295	3.6	All other farm production expenses ----- farms --	26 896	1.7
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms --	22 610	1.8	-----\$1,000--	186 430	.4
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms --	1 190 287	.3	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹		
Dairy products ----- farms --	1 731	.7	All farms -----number--	32 003	1.7
Cattle and calves ----- farms --	635 259	.1	Average per farm -----dollars--	319 662	1.2
Hogs and pigs ----- farms --	835	1.4	Farms with net gains ² -----number--	15 152	2.0
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms --	104 034	.6	Average net gain -----dollars--	413 728	.8
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms --	20 282	1.8	Farms with net losses -----number--	16 851	2.3
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms --	236 678	1.0	Average net loss -----dollars--	94 066	2.3
	907	2.4		5 582	3.2
	2 530	1.9	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
			Government payments ----- farms --	7 300	1.3
			-----\$1,000--	137 095	.4
			Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms --	6 547	2.9
			-----\$1,000--	53 820	2.5
			Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms --	1 973	4.9
			-----\$1,000--	15 288	2.8
			Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms --	2 009	5.1
			-----\$1,000--	16 098	4.9
			Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms --	1 765	5.6
			-----\$1,000--	14 400	6.2
			Other farm-related income sources ----- farms --	2 185	4.4
			-----\$1,000--	8 033	1.7
			COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
			Total ----- farms --	1 775	1.0
			-----\$1,000--	194 454	.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			TENURE OF OPERATOR		
Total cropland ----- farms ..	27 625	1.7	All operators ----- farms ..	31 998	1.7
Harvested cropland ----- farms ..	6 518 288	.7	Full owners ----- farms ..	10 188 362	.8
1 to 9 acres ----- farms ..	22 245	1.6	Part owners ----- farms ..	20 168	1.9
10 to 19 acres ----- farms ..	4 404 612	.5	Tenants ----- farms ..	3 783 419	1.3
20 to 29 acres ----- farms ..	3 330	2.3	Tenants ----- farms ..	8 856	1.5
30 to 49 acres ----- farms ..	15 896	2.4	Tenants ----- farms ..	4 308 071	.7
50 to 99 acres ----- farms ..	4 024	2.2	Tenants ----- farms ..	2 974	1.6
100 to 199 acres ----- farms ..	52 540	2.2	Tenants ----- farms ..	2 096 872	.5
200 to 499 acres ----- farms ..	3 009	2.0	OWNED AND RENTED LAND		
500 to 999 acres ----- farms ..	67 371	2.0	Land owned ----- farms ..	29 110	1.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	3 361	1.9	Owned land in farms ----- farms ..	6 285 408	1.1
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	29 024	1.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	5 756 380	1.1
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	11 907	1.5
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	4 546 324	.6
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	29 303	1.1
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	11 830	1.5
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6	Land rented or leased from others ----- farms ..	4 431 982	.6
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	3 167	1.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5	Land rented or leased to others ----- farms ..	643 370	1.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5	OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—	Operators by place of residence:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9	On farm operated ----- farms ..	21 521	1.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8	Not on farm operated ----- farms ..	7 252	1.8
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8	Not reported ----- farms ..	3 225	1.8
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0	Operators by principal occupation:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0	Farming ----- farms ..	14 463	1.4
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6	Other ----- farms ..	17 535	2.1
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5	Operators by days worked off farm:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5	Any ----- farms ..	17 241	1.9
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5	200 days or more ----- farms ..	12 119	2.0
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—	Operators by sex:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9	Male ----- farms ..	29 479	1.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8	Female ----- farms ..	9 707 990	.8
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8	Female ----- farms ..	2 519	2.0
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0	Female ----- farms ..	480 372	1.5
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0	Average age of operator ----- years ..	55.3	2.4
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6	FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	28 263	1.8
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	6 337 918	1.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5	Partnership ----- farms ..	2 754	1.3
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—	Partnership ----- farms ..	2 911 635	.3
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9	Partnership ----- farms ..	2 911 635	.3
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8	Corporation:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8	Family held ----- farms ..	722	1.6
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0	Family held ----- farms ..	752 941	.5
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	22	3.5
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	700	1.6
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5	Other than family held ----- farms ..	128	2.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	96 292	1.0
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	17	4.8
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	111	2.4
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	131	3.1
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	89 576	1.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8	HIRED FARM LABOR		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0	Hired workers by days worked:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0	150 days or more ----- farms ..	5 028	2.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6	150 days or more ----- farms ..	14 353	1.0
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	9 980	2.3
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	26 732	2.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5	INJURIES AND DEATHS		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—	Farm-related injuries:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	185	2.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	213	2.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8	Hired workers ----- farms ..	189	1.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0	Hired workers ----- farms ..	357	.7
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0	Farm-related deaths:		
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	3	25.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	3	25.2
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5	Hired workers ----- farms ..	1	—
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5	Hired workers ----- farms ..	(D)	(D)
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 581	1.6			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	499 418	1.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 029	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	723 604	.5			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 185	—			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 493 639	1.9			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	2 893	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	190 249	1.8			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	1 833	2.0			
1,000 acres or more ----- farms ..	239 969	2.0			

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms ----- number ..	11 672	1.2	Total farm production expenses ----- farms ..	11 393	1.4
Land in farms ----- acres ..	7 468 952	.5	----- \$1,000 ..	1 852 548	.3
Average size of farm ----- acres ..	640	1.3	Average per farm ----- dollars ..	162 604	1.4
MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD			NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹		
Total sales (see text) ----- farms ..	11 672	1.2	All farms ----- number ..	11 393	1.4
----- \$1,000 ..	2 271 949	.2	----- \$1,000 ..	353 960	1.0
Average per farm ----- dollars ..	194 649	1.2	Average per farm ----- dollars ..	31 068	1.7
Farms by value of sales:			Farms with net gains ² ----- number ..	8 301	1.7
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms ..	3 330	2.0	----- \$1,000 ..	400 087	.8
----- \$1,000 ..	46 245	2.0	Average net gain ----- dollars ..	48 197	1.9
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms ..	789	2.2	Farms with net losses ----- number ..	3 092	3.5
----- \$1,000 ..	17 431	2.2	----- \$1,000 ..	46 127	2.8
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms ..	1 244	2.1	Average net loss ----- dollars ..	14 918	4.5
----- \$1,000 ..	39 046	2.1	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms ..	437	2.3	Government payments ----- farms ..	4 552	1.0
----- \$1,000 ..	19 364	2.3	----- \$1,000 ..	128 990	.3
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms ..	1 248	1.9	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms ..	3 141	3.2
----- \$1,000 ..	90 386	1.9	----- \$1,000 ..	41 435	2.3
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms ..	1 983	.7	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms ..	1 119	5.4
----- \$1,000 ..	327 090	.6	----- \$1,000 ..	13 107	2.5
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms ..	1 506	—	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms ..	905	6.5
----- \$1,000 ..	535 107	—	----- \$1,000 ..	13 387	5.4
\$500,000 or more ----- farms ..	1 135	—	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms ..	608	8.0
----- \$1,000 ..	1 197 281	—	----- \$1,000 ..	7 351	7.2
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			Other farm-related income sources ----- farms ..	1 384	4.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms ..	6 519	1.2	----- \$1,000 ..	7 590	1.5
----- \$1,000 ..	1 132 394	.3	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
Grains ----- farms ..	4 426	1.1	Total ----- farms ..	1 617	1.0
----- \$1,000 ..	468 738	.3	----- \$1,000 ..	194 205	.2
Corn for grain ----- farms ..	1 429	1.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	46 607	.5			
Wheat ----- farms ..	939	1.0			
----- \$1,000 ..	20 847	.4			
Soybeans ----- farms ..	3 732	1.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	276 702	.3			
Sorghum for grain ----- farms ..	558	1.0			
----- \$1,000 ..	14 229	.5			
Barley ----- farms ..	—	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	—	—			
Oats ----- farms ..	58	3.0			
----- \$1,000 ..	322	3.7			
Other grains ----- farms ..	746	.9			
----- \$1,000 ..	110 030	.4			
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms ..	2 996	.9			
----- \$1,000 ..	608 823	.2			
Tobacco ----- farms ..	—	—			
----- \$1,000 ..	—	—			
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms ..	1 403	1.6			
----- \$1,000 ..	10 172	1.6			
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms ..	347	2.2			
----- \$1,000 ..	8 458	1.7			
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms ..	150	2.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	2 724	4.1			
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms ..	229	2.1			
----- \$1,000 ..	24 918	.7			
Other crops ----- farms ..	134	2.4			
----- \$1,000 ..	8 562	.9			
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms ..	7 815	1.3			
----- \$1,000 ..	1 139 555	.2			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms ..	1 488	.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	635 112	.1			
Dairy products ----- farms ..	753	1.4			
----- \$1,000 ..	103 688	.6			
Cattle and calves ----- farms ..	6 545	1.4			
----- \$1,000 ..	189 403	.8			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms ..	442	1.9			
----- \$1,000 ..	24 515	.8			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms ..	63	3.6			
----- \$1,000 ..	145	3.8			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms ..	734	1.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	186 692	.2			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms ..	268	2.5			
----- \$1,000 ..	1 767	2.0			

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1992—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
Total cropland ----- farms ..	10 614	1.2	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) ----- farms ..	9 087	1.3
Harvested cropland ----- acres..	5 425 660	.5	Partnership ----- farms ..	3 853 590	.9
Cropland: ----- farms ..	9 648	1.2	Corporation: ----- farms ..	1 825	.2
Pasture or grazing only ----- acres..	4 092 600	.4	Family held ----- farms ..	2 737 950	.2
Total woodland ----- farms ..	5 030	1.4	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	597	1.4
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and ----- acres..	702 910	1.3	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	710 112	.4
woodland pastured ----- farms ..	6 499	1.3	Other than family held ----- farms ..	16	3.1
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and ----- acres..	1 149 264	.8	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	581	1.5
woodland pastured ----- farms ..	2 509	1.2	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	105	2.0
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. ----- farms ..	626 193	.6	Other ----- farms ..	90 580	.9
Irrigated land ----- acres..	6 244	1.2	More than 10 stockholders ----- farms ..	16	5.1
Harvested cropland irrigated ----- farms ..	267 835	.6	10 or less stockholders ----- farms ..	89	2.1
Pasture and other land irrigated ----- acres..	1 642	.8	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. ----- farms ..	58	3.1
Land under federal acreage reduction programs: ----- farms ..	879 311	.2	Programs ----- acres..	76 720	.9
Diverted under annual commodity programs ----- farms ..	1 637	.8			
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve ----- acres..	875 992	.2			
Programs ----- farms ..	27	4.8			
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve ----- acres..	3 319	5.2			
Programs ----- farms ..	2 710	.9			
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve ----- acres..	108 501	.2			
Programs ----- farms ..	1 574	1.2			
Programs ----- acres..	189 117	.9			
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS ¹			HIRED FARM LABOR		
Estimated market value of land and buildings ----- farms ..	11 393	1.4	Hired workers by days worked: ----- farms ..		
Average per farm ----- \$1,000..	5 566 277	.9	150 days or more ----- farms ..	3 962	1.8
Average per farm ----- dollars	488 570	1.7	Less than 150 days ----- workers..	13 243	.9
Average per acre ----- dollars	747	1.2	Less than 150 days ----- farms ..	5 510	2.1
			Less than 150 days ----- workers..	17 761	2.0
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT ¹			INJURIES AND DEATHS		
Estimated market value of all machinery and ----- farms ..	11 384	1.4	Farm-related injuries: ----- farms ..	96	2.6
equipment ----- \$1,000..	1 008 392	.8	Operator and family members ----- farms ..	114	2.5
Average per farm ----- dollars	88 580	1.6	Hired workers ----- farms ..	173	1.0
			Hired workers ----- number..	339	.5
			Farm-related deaths: ----- farms ..	1	46.5
			Operator and family members ----- number..	(D)	(D)
			Hired workers ----- farms ..	1	—
			Hired workers ----- number..	(D)	(D)
			FARMS BY SIZE		
			1 to 9 acres -----	225	2.5
			10 to 49 acres -----	835	1.5
			50 to 69 acres -----	426	1.9
			70 to 99 acres -----	684	1.7
			100 to 139 acres -----	921	1.9
			140 to 179 acres -----	810	2.0
			180 to 219 acres -----	738	2.1
			220 to 259 acres -----	610	2.1
			260 to 499 acres -----	2 364	1.8
			500 to 999 acres -----	2 006	1.3
			1,000 to 1,999 acres -----	1 280	—
			2,000 acres or more -----	773	—
			FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION		
			Cash grains (011) -----	2 121	1.5
			Field crops, except cash grains (013) -----	2 504	1.0
			Vegetables and melons (016) -----	171	3.2
			Fruits and tree nuts (017) -----	52	4.3
			Horticultural specialties (018) -----	197	2.2
			General farms, primarily crop (019) -----	155	2.4
			Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties -----		
			(021) -----	3 944	1.7
			Dairy farms (024) -----	686	1.4
			Poultry and eggs (025) -----	1 436	.5
			Animal specialties (027) -----	388	1.6
			General farms, primarily livestock and animal -----		
			specialties (029) -----	18	6.3
			LIVESTOCK		
			Cattle and calves inventory ----- farms ..	6 479	1.4
			Beef cows ----- number..	751 838	1.0
			Milk cows ----- farms ..	5 564	1.4
			Milk cows ----- number..	355 055	1.1
			Cattle and calves sold ----- farms ..	803	1.4
			Hogs and pigs inventory ----- farms ..	63 228	.7
			Hogs and pigs sold ----- farms ..	6 545	1.4
			Sheep and lambs inventory ----- number..	435 849	.9
			Sheep and lambs sold ----- \$1,000..	189 403	.8
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	481	1.9
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	149 158	1.0
			Sheep and lambs inventory ----- farms ..	442	1.9
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	264 027	1.1
			Sheep and lambs inventory ----- \$1,000..	24 515	.8
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	87	3.3
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	4 289	4.1
			Sheep and lambs inventory ----- farms ..	59	3.7
			Sheep and lambs sold ----- number..	2 326	4.0
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	1 395	1.5
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	7 286	1.9
			Horses and ponies inventory ----- farms ..	287	2.3
			Horses and ponies sold ----- number..	1 253	3.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1987 to 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate
Farms..... number..	-6.1	1.7	-2.5	1.3
Land in farms..... acres..	-5.2	.9	-5.7	.6
Average size of farm..... acres..	1.0	2.1	-3.3	1.4
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :				
Average per farm..... dollars..	15.5	2.6	9.4	2.0
Average per acre..... dollars..	11.5	2.0	10.2	1.7
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :				
Average per farm..... dollars..	16.4	2.6	18.1	2.3
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres.....	-19.1	2.3	-17.9	2.4
10 to 49 acres.....	-7.1	2.4	20.8	2.1
50 to 179 acres.....	-5.2	2.1	8.1	1.9
180 to 499 acres.....	-2.3	1.9	-3.2	1.9
500 to 999 acres.....	-12.5	1.4	-15.6	1.2
1,000 to 1,999 acres.....	-5.5	-	-5.4	-
2,000 acres or more.....	-4.3	-	-4.7	-
Total cropland..... farms..	-7.3	1.7	-3.8	1.3
Harvested cropland..... acres..	-3.4	.7	-3.0	.5
Irrigated land..... farms..	-8.5	1.6	-4.7	1.3
..... acres..	3.1	.5	4.5	.5
Market value of agricultural products sold..... \$1,000..	25.4	.4	26.6	.3
Average per farm..... dollars..	33.6	2.5	29.8	1.8
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops..... \$1,000..	25.4	.4	26.1	.4
Livestock, poultry, and their products..... \$1,000..	25.4	.4	27.1	.3
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500.....	-11.2	1.8	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999.....	-9.5	2.2	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	.7	2.3	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999.....	1.2	2.1	1.2	2.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999.....	-5.1	2.2	-5.1	2.2
\$50,000 to \$99,999.....	-27.0	1.6	-27.0	1.6
\$100,000 to \$249,999.....	-17.5	.6	-17.5	.6
\$250,000 to \$499,999.....	14.3	-	14.3	-
\$500,000 or more.....	63.5	-	63.5	-
Total farm production expenses ¹ \$1,000..	33.4	2.3	35.1	1.9
Average per farm..... dollars..	42.0	2.7	38.8	2.2
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹ farms..	-6.1	1.7	-2.6	1.5
..... \$1,000..	-3.8	1.5	-1.0	1.3
Average per farm..... dollars..	2.4	2.5	1.7	2.1
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming.....	-4.3	1.4	-5.8	1.1
Other.....	-7.5	2.1	5.7	2.0
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any.....	-11.2	4.8	-5.4	5.0
200 days or more.....	-10.3	4.8	-1.9	5.2
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory..... farms..	-6.3	1.8	1.8	1.5
..... number..	.4	1.3	.8	1.1
Beef cows..... farms..	-8	1.9	6.1	1.7
..... number..	1.7	1.5	3.6	1.3
Milk cows..... farms..	-26.0	1.3	-13.0	1.4
..... number..	-10.2	.7	-8.9	.7
Cattle and calves sold..... farms..	-8.2	1.8	-1.0	1.5
..... number..	-9.1	1.1	-5.1	1.0
Hogs and pigs inventory..... farms..	-43.2	1.3	-34.5	1.5
..... number..	-10.1	1.1	-7.4	1.2
Hogs and pigs sold..... farms..	-42.4	1.3	-34.3	1.5
..... number..	-2.1	1.2	.1	1.3
Sheep and lambs inventory..... farms..	6.7	4.0	35.9	6.1
..... number..	19.5	6.1	64.1	9.3
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory..... farms..	-43.0	1.5	-22.0	1.9
..... number..	-2.6	.8	-2.4	.8
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold..... farms..	7.3	.5	7.5	.5
..... number..	40.3	.1	40.3	.1
Selected crops harvested:				
Corn for grain or seed..... farms..	-16.0	1.4	20.6	1.8
..... acres..	123.6	1.9	155.6	2.2
..... bushels..	154.8	2.0	176.3	2.2
Sorghum for grain or seed..... farms..	-53.2	.6	-50.3	.6
..... acres..	-5.5	.7	-3.0	.7
..... bushels..	6.1	.7	8.2	.7
Wheat for grain..... farms..	-46.6	.7	-43.7	.6
..... acres..	-36.2	.4	-35.1	.4
..... bushels..	-30.2	.4	-29.4	.4
Rice..... farms..	-6.8	1.0	-6.6	1.0
..... acres..	38.4	.7	38.4	.7
..... cwt..	49.3	.7	49.4	.7
Cotton..... farms..	-20.9	.9	-16.2	.9
..... acres..	29.6	.4	30.2	.4
..... bales..	25.4	.3	25.7	.3
Soybeans for beans..... farms..	-28.3	.9	-20.7	1.0
..... acres..	-17.6	.4	-16.0	.4
..... bushels..	29.6	.6	31.3	.6
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)..... farms..	-2.5	1.8	2.8	1.6
..... acres..	9.2	1.6	8.3	1.3
..... tons, dry..	20.6	1.7	21.2	1.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	31 998	1.7	10 188 362	.8	318	1.9	248 479	2.1	1 294 742	1.0
Adams	136	2.3	79 981	.9	588	2.5	401 602	4.2	5 559	6.2
Alcorn	392	2.2	78 653	2.3	201	3.2	153 309	7.1	7 863	9.4
Amite	439	1.8	112 896	1.5	257	2.3	207 557	6.0	10 761	4.2
Attala	379	1.6	107 526	1.3	284	2.0	138 610	6.2	8 551	5.1
Benton	212	1.7	91 367	1.1	431	2.0	227 543	8.3	8 080	6.8
Bolivar	454	1.5	426 584	.5	940	1.5	684 029	2.0	60 228	1.6
Calhoun	399	2.0	127 351	1.5	319	2.5	184 976	6.4	18 070	6.4
Carroll	394	1.7	151 743	1.0	385	2.0	235 645	7.9	13 577	6.3
Chickasaw	450	2.3	149 027	1.5	331	2.8	179 771	8.5	13 274	8.4
Choctaw	195	1.4	42 712	1.8	219	2.3	109 475	8.9	3 954	6.6
Claiborne	190	2.5	88 522	1.8	466	3.1	272 497	6.4	5 284	5.9
Clarke	320	2.5	68 663	2.3	215	3.4	143 998	8.9	6 175	13.3
Clay	364	2.1	126 352	1.5	347	2.6	209 471	8.6	9 155	6.6
Coahoma	230	1.0	294 547	.2	1 281	1.0	1 210 171	2.3	43 350	1.8
Copiah	490	1.7	126 613	1.5	258	2.3	174 813	7.5	10 124	5.1
Covington	482	2.0	79 962	2.4	166	3.1	199 016	10.1	11 287	8.9
De Soto	488	1.6	139 591	.7	286	1.8	311 552	9.3	18 166	3.7
Forrest	233	1.8	37 147	1.9	159	2.7	172 574	6.6	5 425	7.0
Franklin	175	1.9	46 532	2.0	266	2.8	175 311	7.4	3 453	4.3
George	381	2.6	43 498	2.6	114	3.6	113 895	7.2	8 632	9.1
Greene	300	2.4	49 282	2.7	164	3.6	146 955	9.8	6 885	8.0
Grenada	212	1.4	99 726	1.2	470	1.8	232 618	7.3	9 081	4.2
Hancock	173	1.6	30 050	1.8	174	2.4	188 647	21.2	2 949	21.0
Harrison	258	2.1	16 665	3.1	65	3.8	168 913	16.5	2 777	8.7
Hinds	740	2.0	230 838	1.0	312	2.2	295 826	7.3	26 974	4.5
Holmes	426	2.2	223 406	.9	524	2.4	401 929	3.4	29 995	3.3
Humphreys	261	1.0	180 102	.5	690	1.1	555 596	1.8	41 157	4.4
Issaquena	109	1.4	113 734	.7	1 043	1.5	703 605	2.5	14 907	2.1
Itawamba	417	2.0	76 673	2.4	184	3.1	117 909	7.8	10 005	7.9
Jackson	246	2.1	24 845	2.9	101	3.6	158 097	10.9	9 670	6.4
Jasper	385	2.0	89 168	2.0	232	2.9	126 295	10.3	9 727	10.3
Jefferson	182	2.3	66 257	1.7	364	2.9	183 579	15.8	3 514	24.0
Jefferson Davis	425	2.1	80 902	2.1	190	3.0	159 037	15.7	10 791	6.8
Jones	822	1.7	96 919	1.7	118	2.4	145 654	7.2	18 537	9.0
Kemper	375	2.3	93 352	2.3	249	3.2	133 683	13.4	5 835	6.5
Lafayette	370	1.6	98 816	1.5	267	2.2	155 842	5.6	5 339	5.4
Lamar	362	2.5	53 401	2.9	148	3.8	191 525	12.9	8 001	8.8
Lauderdale	407	2.3	80 683	2.0	198	3.1	155 722	10.8	6 173	7.1
Lawrence	295	2.3	62 833	2.0	213	3.1	131 527	10.9	7 066	13.3
Leake	600	1.6	95 736	1.7	160	2.3	158 207	7.5	18 264	5.6
Lee	534	1.9	140 209	1.2	263	2.2	192 566	5.4	18 345	8.3
Leflore	261	.8	262 371	.3	1 005	.9	866 348	1.8	48 997	.7
Lincoln	522	1.8	98 914	1.7	189	2.5	146 746	7.9	11 378	8.7
Lowndes	342	1.4	125 713	1.2	368	1.9	234 984	9.0	13 666	7.2
Madison	454	1.8	198 955	.9	438	2.0	485 675	5.5	21 345	3.5
Marion	493	2.2	89 816	2.0	182	3.0	136 106	7.0	9 668	7.1
Marshall	527	2.4	182 009	1.6	345	2.9	313 158	12.1	15 480	10.5
Monroe	512	1.3	175 231	1.1	342	1.7	219 050	8.2	19 560	3.1
Montgomery	290	2.1	80 272	1.9	277	2.8	136 422	8.7	7 402	6.9
Neshoba	700	1.6	137 267	1.3	196	2.1	159 214	12.5	15 034	5.6
Newton	578	1.8	96 474	2.2	167	2.9	106 246	5.5	13 115	6.5
Noxubee	426	1.6	201 759	.9	474	1.9	271 292	4.4	16 351	4.2
Okfuskee	339	2.0	80 761	1.9	238	2.8	181 436	14.2	9 553	7.9
Panola	577	2.0	218 154	1.1	378	2.2	255 759	5.5	23 737	3.7
Pearl River	554	2.1	93 180	1.5	168	2.5	182 956	9.5	11 523	8.3
Perry	226	1.7	31 587	2.8	140	3.3	128 091	8.4	5 119	11.1
Pike	459	2.3	80 342	2.3	175	3.2	179 590	13.1	10 458	6.9
Pontotoc	549	1.8	124 202	1.9	226	2.6	159 099	6.8	12 275	5.9
Prentiss	374	1.9	86 096	1.7	230	2.6	150 896	10.1	8 667	6.0
Quitman	219	1.9	186 297	.8	851	2.1	707 826	6.8	22 271	6.3
Rankin	538	1.6	118 651	1.3	221	2.1	193 590	7.6	13 969	5.5
Scott	687	1.4	110 124	1.5	160	2.1	171 132	5.0	30 366	2.0
Sharkey	125	.9	181 946	.3	1 456	1.0	1 180 497	1.9	27 602	1.4
Simpson	587	2.0	96 540	2.3	164	3.1	183 459	7.2	21 074	4.7
Smith	661	1.6	95 121	2.1	144	2.6	181 642	10.0	15 941	4.5
Stone	222	2.3	32 666	2.9	147	3.7	182 174	6.5	3 748	11.7
Sunflower	375	1.1	361 003	.4	963	1.1	706 392	1.4	65 512	2.1
Tallahatchie	386	1.3	273 117	.7	708	1.5	508 615	2.9	37 264	4.3
Tate	544	1.7	141 245	1.2	260	2.0	194 562	6.3	17 003	5.1
Tippah	551	1.8	108 314	1.7	197	2.4	128 828	8.0	10 649	7.4
Tishomingo	269	2.0	40 676	2.5	151	3.2	105 142	14.3	4 047	14.5
Tunica	121	.8	230 524	.3	1 905	.8	1 605 943	1.6	27 351	.6
Union	540	1.5	100 433	1.4	186	2.0	129 243	7.2	11 579	7.0
Walthall	563	1.7	108 236	1.3	192	2.2	175 093	6.4	16 951	9.5
Warren	193	2.2	114 083	1.2	591	2.5	451 281	3.3	12 007	5.5
Washington	344	1.0	342 237	.4	995	1.1	874 809	1.6	63 620	1.0
Wayne	449	1.8	72 515	1.8	162	2.5	148 374	9.8	9 166	8.8
Webster	270	2.1	75 551	2.1	280	3.0	141 737	9.3	7 825	5.4
Wilkinson	189	2.3	89 807	1.5	475	2.7	278 379	4.9	5 193	8.3
Winston	486	2.1	83 445	2.2	172	3.0	112 621	8.0	9 219	7.0
Yalobusha	263	1.7	78 230	1.5	297	2.2	153 471	6.8	7 895	5.1
Yazoo	531	1.2	361 634	.5	681	1.3	459 849	6.0	44 020	2.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi ----	40 616	2.0	2 336 737	.3	73 028	1.7	32 003	1.7	1 954 337	.4
Adams -----	41 177	6.7	7 460	.4	54 850	2.3	135	2.6	6 312	1.3
Alcorn -----	20 059	9.7	6 449	2.2	16 452	3.1	392	2.3	4 866	4.4
Amite -----	24 512	4.6	10 866	1.0	24 752	2.1	439	2.0	9 484	2.4
Attala -----	22 561	5.4	8 977	1.0	23 686	1.8	379	1.6	8 134	4.2
Benton -----	38 115	7.1	13 295	.9	62 714	1.9	212	1.9	12 230	5.1
Bolivar -----	132 954	2.2	116 112	.3	255 754	1.5	454	1.5	91 190	1.1
Calhoun -----	45 175	6.7	18 455	1.1	46 252	2.3	400	1.8	14 865	4.4
Carroll -----	35 549	6.7	26 571	.4	67 439	1.7	394	1.7	20 619	2.3
Chickasaw -----	29 964	8.8	24 167	.9	53 704	2.5	451	2.3	20 060	2.1
Choctaw -----	20 275	6.8	5 327	1.2	27 319	1.9	195	1.6	4 063	2.4
Claiborne -----	27 956	6.4	8 078	1.3	42 517	2.8	189	2.4	7 731	3.1
Clarke -----	19 237	13.5	3 222	2.5	10 070	3.5	321	2.2	2 474	9.0
Clay -----	25 789	7.5	15 316	1.1	42 078	2.4	364	2.3	12 755	4.2
Coahoma -----	195 272	4.4	88 909	.2	386 560	1.0	230	1.3	76 318	.8
Copiah -----	20 662	5.4	27 598	.4	56 322	1.8	490	1.8	24 094	1.6
Covington -----	23 416	9.1	27 721	.8	57 512	2.2	482	2.2	23 970	1.9
De Soto -----	37 379	4.1	24 175	.5	49 539	1.7	488	1.8	21 018	2.6
Forrest -----	23 283	7.3	8 554	.9	36 711	2.1	233	2.3	7 389	4.2
Franklin -----	19 731	4.9	2 571	1.9	14 693	2.7	175	2.5	2 518	5.4
George -----	23 392	10.0	6 897	1.6	18 103	3.1	380	2.6	6 064	6.5
Greene -----	22 950	8.3	10 124	.7	33 748	2.5	300	2.3	8 117	2.4
Grenada -----	42 634	4.5	14 661	.7	69 156	1.5	213	1.7	12 672	3.4
Hancock -----	17 045	21.0	2 264	4.0	13 089	4.3	173	1.9	1 654	5.5
Harrison -----	10 721	8.9	1 951	2.5	7 562	3.3	259	2.2	1 820	7.9
Hinds -----	36 403	4.9	35 316	.4	47 725	2.1	741	2.1	32 501	1.2
Holmes -----	70 412	4.0	38 962	.6	91 460	2.3	426	2.3	35 593	2.1
Humphreys -----	157 089	1.7	91 186	.2	349 370	1.0	262	1.6	77 698	.2
Issaquena -----	135 519	3.0	27 941	.4	256 336	1.4	110	2.1	24 080	1.2
Itawamba -----	23 935	8.2	20 382	.6	48 878	2.1	418	2.1	16 014	1.1
Jackson -----	39 310	6.8	4 902	1.8	19 928	2.7	246	2.3	3 723	6.0
Jasper -----	25 266	10.6	15 491	.8	40 236	2.2	385	2.2	12 766	4.5
Jefferson -----	19 307	24.1	4 881	1.5	26 820	2.8	182	2.6	4 526	4.9
Jefferson Davis -----	25 817	7.4	9 098	1.3	21 407	2.5	427	2.1	8 018	7.0
Jones -----	22 578	9.2	66 923	.4	81 415	1.7	821	1.9	53 533	1.9
Kemper -----	15 518	7.0	4 420	2.0	11 788	3.0	376	2.4	3 397	4.9
Lafayette -----	14 430	5.7	4 479	2.1	12 105	2.6	370	1.8	4 262	7.3
Lamar -----	22 103	9.1	13 389	1.4	36 985	2.8	362	2.6	11 908	4.9
Lauderdale -----	15 166	7.5	5 154	1.9	12 664	3.0	407	2.3	4 126	7.7
Lawrence -----	23 870	13.5	6 929	1.6	23 489	2.8	296	2.6	6 219	6.3
Leake -----	30 389	5.9	52 226	.3	87 043	1.7	601	1.8	42 753	.8
Lee -----	34 355	8.5	20 054	.8	37 554	2.0	534	2.0	15 939	2.9
Leflore -----	188 450	1.4	90 900	.1	348 275	.8	261	1.2	78 118	.5
Lincoln -----	21 796	9.0	15 217	1.0	29 151	2.1	522	2.2	13 767	4.2
Lowndes -----	39 958	7.4	16 335	1.1	47 763	1.8	342	1.7	12 693	4.1
Madison -----	46 913	4.0	25 995	.6	57 259	1.9	455	1.9	23 324	2.9
Marion -----	19 934	7.6	9 605	1.1	19 483	2.5	492	2.5	8 351	5.2
Marshall -----	32 590	11.5	15 726	1.2	29 840	2.7	527	2.6	14 419	5.6
Monroe -----	38 278	3.4	20 424	.8	39 891	1.5	511	1.4	16 074	2.4
Montgomery -----	25 611	7.3	8 045	1.9	27 742	2.8	289	2.5	5 715	8.0
Neshoba -----	21 508	5.8	41 322	.4	59 032	1.6	699	1.6	33 672	1.7
Newton -----	22 729	6.8	35 094	.8	60 716	2.0	577	2.0	29 101	3.7
Noxubee -----	38 384	4.6	27 036	.9	63 466	1.8	426	1.8	20 448	3.0
Oktibbeha -----	28 264	8.1	9 504	1.1	28 034	2.3	338	2.0	8 474	5.2
Panola -----	41 139	4.2	31 584	.6	54 738	2.0	577	2.0	25 228	2.3
Pearl River -----	20 838	8.6	9 961	1.1	17 980	2.4	554	2.3	9 456	6.2
Perry -----	22 648	11.3	7 985	.8	35 334	1.9	226	2.0	6 773	3.1
Pike -----	22 835	7.3	17 222	1.3	37 522	2.6	458	2.4	13 692	3.1
Pontotoc -----	22 732	6.4	12 401	1.9	22 588	2.6	549	2.0	10 269	4.5
Prentiss -----	23 174	6.4	8 209	1.2	21 949	2.2	374	2.0	6 588	5.3
Quitman -----	102 160	6.7	41 258	.5	188 391	2.0	218	2.4	33 618	2.7
Rankin -----	26 013	5.7	40 000	.3	74 349	1.6	537	1.8	33 040	2.6
Scott -----	44 265	2.5	135 074	.1	196 614	1.4	686	1.5	107 217	.2
Sharkey -----	219 065	2.1	59 607	.2	476 855	.9	126	1.5	48 772	.5
Simpson -----	35 901	5.2	84 177	.3	143 402	2.1	587	2.1	67 009	.5
Smith -----	24 117	4.9	89 535	.3	135 454	1.6	661	1.7	73 040	.9
Stone -----	16 806	12.0	4 530	2.2	20 406	3.2	223	2.4	3 484	3.7
Sunflower -----	174 700	2.5	129 348	.2	344 929	1.1	375	1.2	113 527	.6
Tallahatchie -----	96 289	4.7	61 889	.3	160 333	1.3	387	1.8	52 005	1.8
Tate -----	31 256	5.5	20 692	.9	38 036	1.9	544	1.9	16 362	2.7
Tippah -----	19 291	7.7	9 957	1.4	18 072	2.3	552	2.1	7 890	5.4
Tishomingo -----	15 101	14.7	1 926	3.1	7 160	3.7	268	2.3	1 686	8.8
Tunica -----	227 929	1.5	62 913	.1	519 946	.8	120	1.4	52 104	.5
Union -----	21 482	7.2	11 170	1.1	20 686	1.9	539	1.6	9 180	5.4
Walthall -----	30 055	9.6	21 132	.8	37 535	1.9	564	1.6	17 024	3.8
Warren -----	61 575	5.9	15 841	.8	82 077	2.3	195	2.1	13 648	1.8
Washington -----	184 943	1.6	113 736	.2	330 629	1.0	344	1.3	99 154	.5
Wayne -----	20 370	9.1	28 230	.3	62 873	1.8	450	2.1	20 866	3.0
Webster -----	28 982	5.8	8 619	1.5	31 924	2.6	270	2.2	6 808	6.7
Wilkinson -----	27 479	8.8	5 247	1.6	27 764	2.8	189	3.0	5 046	7.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹					
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses					
							Farms		Value			
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
Winston	19 008	7.3	6 281	2.0	12 924	2.9	485	2.1	6 881	6.0		
Yalobusha	30 018	5.5	6 823	1.0	25 944	1.9	263	2.0	6 045	4.8		
Yazoo	82 901	2.7	74 731	.2	140 737	1.3	531	1.2	66 299	.4		
Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.												
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	9 260	2.5	170 363	.8	19 301	2.0	458 354	.4	12 797	2.1	46 998	.9
Adams	17	22.4	90	11.2	95	5.4	207	15.3	40	11.3	371	.3
Alcorn	68	29.6	226	48.8	227	11.7	345	16.0	192	13.3	202	7.1
Amite	121	14.6	449	12.1	301	6.1	2 967	2.7	110	15.4	113	5.5
Attala	108	17.8	290	22.3	261	9.0	617	9.4	127	18.2	158	5.8
Benton	78	22.5	(D)	(D)	103	16.8	667	5.5	86	19.7	301	3.1
Bolivar	22	41.8	1 028	1.2	48	27.5	2 466	.3	414	3.4	4 430	4.4
Calhoun	82	14.3	317	14.8	211	10.5	667	18.1	202	10.7	675	7.0
Carroll	121	18.7	2 816	2.5	232	10.1	3 983	3.1	140	10.9	557	10.2
Chickasaw	103	20.3	5 799	2.3	262	10.7	5 077	1.8	170	15.0	525	19.4
Choctaw	45	24.7	(D)	(D)	89	17.9	1 039	3.1	70	24.3	53	21.1
Claiborne	47	32.6	1 142	3.1	124	13.9	1 237	3.2	43	30.8	170	5.7
Clarke	92	23.6	400	40.0	209	9.8	429	13.6	79	22.5	28	20.9
Clay	121	21.1	3 749	10.5	247	9.2	1 653	5.7	118	19.8	324	2.7
Coahoma	1	—	(D)	(D)	14	50.0	(D)	(D)	206	4.1	2 792	2.0
Copiah	145	14.9	6 305	2.2	367	5.1	8 081	1.1	147	15.2	249	21.4
Covington	205	14.8	6 921	1.0	306	9.9	8 199	1.2	211	14.3	224	8.1
De Soto	171	16.0	1 420	19.0	328	8.0	1 586	13.0	160	15.1	832	7.4
Forrest	65	26.3	951	9.9	149	12.5	2 656	1.9	61	28.1	138	16.7
Franklin	43	16.3	352	4.7	107	7.2	366	4.8	66	11.6	73	9.9
George	109	18.2	388	15.9	192	12.2	604	9.2	169	14.7	261	20.3
Greene	65	23.2	996	3.6	232	7.4	3 952	.7	153	12.9	138	12.9
Grenada	51	22.4	1 642	3.2	115	12.4	2 409	1.2	58	9.1	232	9.0
Hancock	23	2.7	(D)	(D)	104	18.7	409	9.0	47	35.0	38	27.5
Harrison	29	52.5	81	80.5	141	9.5	236	13.3	83	20.2	24	21.8
Hinds	231	13.1	3 009	6.0	523	6.3	10 133	1.7	233	12.1	617	4.8
Holmes	87	24.9	400	13.8	203	12.0	471	8.2	205	10.7	1 091	3.3
Humphreys	41	1.1	3 053	.1	74	6.6	19 424	.2	165	12.6	1 575	.9
Issaquena	7	18.7	174	1.3	14	12.5	868	.2	108	2.1	1 354	1.4
Itawamba	120	19.4	(D)	(D)	227	10.1	8 203	.5	216	12.2	274	5.6
Jackson	62	29.8	357	14.4	164	13.7	789	13.5	97	23.4	66	24.7
Jasper	152	16.2	1 754	8.0	307	7.5	6 531	6.3	76	20.6	49	34.1
Jefferson	61	21.1	401	23.2	139	10.6	621	8.7	27	42.2	135	1.7
Jefferson Davis	111	17.8	697	6.3	279	8.2	2 703	1.4	199	11.0	122	19.4
Jones	358	8.2	12 629	2.2	634	4.4	27 591	2.2	199	14.3	103	22.6
Kemper	69	29.5	415	9.7	293	6.6	796	7.7	60	25.7	54	20.1
Lafayette	126	18.0	242	23.4	260	7.0	343	12.8	113	17.2	119	25.4
Lamar	153	13.7	2 301	7.8	241	8.7	4 571	1.3	132	15.7	146	26.3
Lauderdale	67	26.9	181	39.5	261	8.4	484	16.4	96	24.4	139	4.4
Lawrence	96	22.9	636	13.6	210	9.7	2 143	4.5	116	16.8	170	9.1
Leake	248	8.6	6 487	2.0	459	5.5	23 239	.4	135	17.4	115	15.3
Lee	118	18.5	1 033	14.9	339	9.0	2 487	3.3	267	10.3	676	5.1
Leflore	57	26.1	1 383	9.3	74	21.3	10 625	2.1	189	10.2	1 982	1.1
Lincoln	200	14.9	2 085	4.9	405	6.1	5 126	10.1	148	18.4	139	14.4
Lowndes	101	19.1	1 104	5.2	174	12.3	1 793	6.0	180	9.9	569	16.0
Madison	124	14.3	840	9.7	274	9.0	942	17.9	180	13.3	732	2.4
Marion	154	18.3	521	23.2	343	8.0	2 243	7.7	148	15.6	171	14.6
Marshall	190	14.7	947	28.2	348	9.1	1 837	21.3	267	9.9	540	10.4
Monroe	148	15.2	962	12.2	220	11.1	1 384	14.5	276	9.0	805	8.7
Montgomery	81	26.6	260	16.1	168	12.3	414	21.7	115	18.4	145	9.5
Neshoba	240	11.3	7 503	3.6	515	5.3	15 994	1.7	179	13.8	121	13.3
Newton	268	9.8	4 835	10.4	439	5.1	15 427	2.4	200	15.5	128	8.7
Noxubee	122	22.1	1 296	5.1	200	14.5	3 400	8.1	218	14.0	994	8.3
Okfuskee	87	27.4	851	39.2	210	12.4	2 609	2.8	66	29.9	234	27.7
Panola	118	23.8	917	7.2	384	9.4	1 061	9.1	202	17.6	818	3.0
Pearl River	174	15.5	1 698	11.7	381	7.3	1 276	6.8	178	15.1	311	9.6
Perry	56	23.2	816	2.4	135	12.6	3 474	.9	90	19.7	101	30.7
Pike	151	16.5	1 302	14.6	299	9.5	4 304	1.5	111	19.0	170	20.1
Pontotoc	110	22.2	444	15.8	292	10.1	1 608	15.9	241	11.9	396	5.2
Prentiss	133	19.3	325	28.3	198	14.7	564	14.6	178	14.9	293	10.1
Quitman	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)	206	3.5	1 748	3.9
Rankin	160	12.8	6 246	1.5	361	7.2	15 065	.6	141	14.7	245	17.7
Scott	327	8.3	25 145	.3	499	4.9	57 096	.1	125	19.0	87	7.6
Sharkey	3	—	(D)	(D)	12	21.3	3 092	.2	108	4.1	1 800	.9
Simpson	231	11.7	9 071	1.1	377	8.1	37 837	.3	172	16.1	104	22.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Smith	381	6.5	11 301	1.8	529	4.8	46 408	.6	169	14.4	67	10.2
Stone	62	27.4	216	8.6	139	11.9	892	5.0	83	22.7	74	12.4
Sunflower	50	27.6	2 693	3.4	88	15.7	18 053	.4	277	6.6	3 205	1.7
Tallahatchie	50	34.4	501	5.2	110	18.5	1 258	1.5	260	8.4	2 011	1.8
Tate	172	13.6	1 265	11.1	390	6.5	2 850	3.9	230	11.1	457	5.8
Tippah	164	14.4	399	19.1	373	7.7	1 288	14.0	238	11.5	336	8.7
Tishomingo	59	32.7	239	48.4	110	19.0	192	28.9	110	20.7	56	12.1
Tunica	3	—	(D)	(D)	10	29.1	3 514	.1	111	3.0	1 970	.7
Union	187	14.0	731	5.6	336	8.0	1 303	2.7	315	7.0	318	13.1
Walshall	182	15.0	1 359	12.5	395	6.8	5 024	7.9	216	13.6	366	8.7
Warren	51	35.4	109	19.5	142	9.1	497	29.4	78	20.1	437	2.0
Washington	28	34.0	1 786	3.3	46	20.7	7 998	.1	297	4.3	2 772	1.1
Wayne	171	10.9	2 789	1.1	286	7.8	11 523	.7	215	11.0	146	14.9
Webster	42	32.5	145	38.4	99	17.4	209	9.5	132	13.5	309	23.0
Wilkinson	61	27.2	502	35.2	124	13.5	679	8.1	55	23.6	89	5.8
Winston	169	15.7	712	14.7	319	8.6	1 672	7.4	146	18.5	81	17.1
Yalobusha	33	39.6	62	20.5	111	18.8	602	16.6	87	20.4	187	9.3
Yazoo	120	15.2	730	8.0	293	7.7	5 564	2.1	264	6.0	1 728	.6

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	21 490	1.9	124 296	.9	11 979	2.0	216 262	.5	30 774	1.7	101 058	.7
Adams	64	10.1	293	1.3	34	8.8	1 127	.2	123	4.4	469	1.6
Alcorn	308	7.4	728	5.2	138	16.1	465	4.5	371	4.0	482	7.3
Amite	262	7.5	1 026	4.9	86	15.3	77	5.6	413	3.4	527	4.6
Attala	228	9.6	1 011	10.4	114	18.2	1 000	8.4	366	3.0	587	4.7
Benton	121	14.4	1 330	9.6	78	20.3	950	6.2	212	1.9	541	8.4
Bolivar	356	5.2	6 391	1.7	398	3.3	19 365	1.5	452	1.5	6 527	2.0
Calhoun	324	5.2	2 150	6.3	187	9.1	2 217	2.3	382	2.9	1 255	5.5
Carroll	272	7.9	1 508	8.5	123	14.4	1 633	3.6	372	3.2	1 138	4.2
Chickasaw	260	8.9	1 247	7.8	174	11.9	900	16.4	435	3.1	990	9.1
Choctaw	99	18.9	224	11.1	32	32.0	193	5.8	188	4.2	215	6.5
Claiborne	136	13.1	849	3.9	45	29.3	824	1.1	180	4.9	387	7.3
Clarke	225	9.9	288	16.0	53	27.7	32	17.2	305	3.8	207	13.0
Clay	221	11.3	879	5.8	101	20.7	685	1.9	355	3.3	751	5.0
Coahoma	197	5.9	4 817	.9	202	3.7	17 772	.3	222	3.4	4 488	.8
Copiah	315	7.9	836	7.5	81	17.3	207	5.6	478	2.3	1 005	4.7
Covington	413	5.2	1 228	10.6	97	17.2	203	5.3	466	3.4	724	6.2
De Soto	274	9.2	1 945	7.3	139	15.0	2 427	1.5	473	2.2	1 210	5.4
Forrest	157	11.5	412	17.3	74	23.9	224	20.5	216	5.1	247	10.9
Franklin	110	7.5	229	5.7	52	13.6	170	18.2	166	3.1	190	7.9
George	311	7.3	1 053	10.2	107	17.9	181	24.0	368	4.0	394	15.3
Greene	257	5.4	619	11.3	57	20.8	59	18.7	300	2.3	264	9.6
Grenada	122	10.7	1 043	5.0	59	8.1	1 121	6.5	195	4.9	660	5.5
Hancock	118	17.7	170	10.8	58	35.0	38	7.7	173	1.9	164	7.3
Harrison	184	10.7	211	30.2	117	18.1	34	17.9	228	6.7	129	10.8
Hinds	453	7.1	1 718	5.0	274	11.1	2 230	2.1	727	2.3	1 350	3.3
Holmes	310	8.5	3 633	9.3	255	9.3	7 627	3.2	408	3.6	2 182	2.2
Humphreys	134	10.7	3 114	1.0	189	8.2	8 553	.4	261	1.6	4 496	.3
Issaquena	97	2.9	1 696	1.7	105	2.4	6 313	1.4	110	2.1	1 530	1.0
Itawamba	326	7.2	650	10.4	182	13.6	406	4.1	408	2.8	527	3.0
Jackson	141	14.0	277	12.1	86	18.8	35	13.9	239	3.2	201	11.7
Jasper	253	10.5	622	27.7	86	24.0	39	36.3	358	4.5	459	9.2
Jefferson	68	22.9	381	14.8	23	36.9	593	(L)	174	5.2	339	6.7
Jefferson Davis	300	7.5	887	20.6	100	19.2	94	14.3	416	2.6	447	10.4
Jones	483	7.0	690	11.0	233	11.5	81	19.6	818	1.9	1 409	3.2
Kemper	262	8.6	438	9.3	70	23.9	32	22.5	368	2.7	282	9.8
Lafayette	240	8.7	621	15.6	127	15.4	313	16.1	332	3.3	452	10.3
Lamar	275	6.6	763	11.5	121	16.4	99	20.1	335	4.1	384	11.9
Lauderdale	322	6.5	415	13.3	69	25.9	34	47.8	382	3.9	361	10.0
Lawrence	250	7.1	772	15.8	67	27.0	273	25.9	296	2.6	388	11.1
Leake	347	8.1	498	10.5	198	12.2	255	8.4	554	2.9	1 133	3.8
Lee	397	6.6	1 982	7.4	235	10.3	1 790	8.9	514	2.5	1 050	5.2
Leflore	212	7.6	4 962	.4	212	7.2	12 970	.3	259	1.2	4 349	.6
Lincoln	327	9.5	947	9.2	159	17.5	81	14.0	516	2.4	567	7.6
Lowndes	244	8.0	1 370	6.7	178	10.5	990	6.7	326	2.9	927	7.9
Madison	319	6.2	2 799	2.0	183	12.5	4 744	3.8	423	3.5	1 543	4.9
Marion	373	7.2	1 154	8.3	95	21.8	123	39.7	480	3.1	525	6.9
Marshall	345	7.8	1 758	5.2	173	15.3	1 661	6.0	510	2.9	1 096	5.1
Monroe	389	5.5	2 035	3.0	277	9.2	2 167	8.3	506	1.8	1 348	4.5
Montgomery	205	7.3	616	7.2	136	18.6	926	8.1	281	3.6	445	5.3
Neshoba	511	5.0	1 120	9.3	147	13.7	75	19.8	647	2.5	976	6.0
Newton	374	7.9	792	9.7	116	16.8	126	7.8	566	2.2	806	5.3
Noxubee	252	10.3	2 523	7.0	200	12.5	2 345	4.5	415	3.1	1 202	4.0
Oktibbeha	177	15.7	467	8.1	104	24.7	198	13.7	307	5.7	510	4.4
Panola	321	12.5	3 013	3.1	344	9.3	4 366	1.1	564	2.9	1 980	3.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Pearl River	409	6.9	1 211	8.1	115	17.1	266	31.6	535	3.3	655	8.2
Perry	156	7.8	375	14.7	43	28.0	109	18.3	218	3.2	223	8.1
Pike	256	10.7	1 086	5.8	129	17.7	88	9.0	424	3.8	573	5.1
Pontotoc	355	8.7	1 195	5.7	251	12.3	1 192	11.4	499	3.5	766	4.7
Prentiss	305	6.9	1 101	7.0	183	14.6	784	8.1	361	3.8	493	7.4
Quitman	186	7.9	2 595	4.5	195	7.0	6 802	3.4	218	2.4	2 491	4.1
Rankin	314	7.6	1 029	9.7	190	10.2	956	24.3	509	2.8	919	4.0
Scott	303	9.5	494	10.0	188	14.9	340	16.2	658	2.5	1 812	2.4
Sharkey	97	4.1	3 301	1.7	114	3.6	9 953	.7	123	2.6	2 651	.9
Simpson	352	9.0	578	11.0	177	15.3	179	20.8	574	2.6	1 737	3.0
Smith	323	7.8	443	10.3	206	11.1	84	10.7	642	1.9	1 427	2.0
Stone	183	8.3	433	9.2	41	32.3	71	5.8	215	4.3	273	6.1
Sunflower	265	5.3	6 098	.8	299	5.8	19 529	.8	374	1.2	7 094	1.7
Tallahatchie	254	9.0	4 694	2.8	278	8.1	11 378	3.5	361	4.3	3 482	2.4
Tate	268	9.8	1 537	3.8	188	12.5	1 454	4.4	537	2.3	1 185	7.9
Tippah	402	6.7	1 114	11.4	164	15.8	359	9.7	512	3.1	626	6.8
Tishomingo	189	12.0	235	9.2	68	27.0	84	5.0	268	2.3	143	10.1
Tunica	85	5.6	3 491	.2	112	2.9	9 532	.7	120	1.4	3 386	1.6
Union	408	5.3	1 468	5.5	258	8.6	771	13.8	519	2.5	717	11.2
Walthall	430	5.7	2 008	4.5	179	13.8	232	13.9	530	2.8	775	4.4
Warren	119	15.6	1 040	4.4	89	20.3	3 464	2.4	195	2.1	856	3.5
Washington	278	4.9	5 739	.8	297	4.7	19 451	.7	343	1.3	5 665	1.0
Wayne	350	5.7	638	8.6	147	14.2	84	13.6	446	2.2	727	8.9
Webster	189	8.4	1 258	7.6	112	16.0	1 335	10.1	223	4.8	496	6.1
Wilkinson	105	15.1	516	5.8	52	24.2	211	.9	181	5.0	294	6.8
Winston	384	5.0	789	14.3	134	17.5	264	30.9	468	2.5	532	9.4
Yalobusha	152	13.9	772	6.8	81	20.7	1 017	12.7	255	3.8	556	8.3
Yazoo	332	6.3	5 853	1.1	339	6.8	14 829	.8	527	1.2	3 684	.9

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	14 358	1.9	28 120	.7	11 373	2.0	168 464	.4	3 293	3.6	16 705	1.7
Adams	44	12.0	52	6.3	53	10.7	827	1.1	26	11.6	64	15.7
Alcorn	179	14.8	62	9.9	61	22.6	241	4.0	3	—	19	—
Amite	203	9.6	215	4.9	143	12.9	755	3.2	46	25.5	143	25.4
Attala	136	16.7	86	6.4	57	25.3	908	1.0	22	37.9	39	8.2
Benton	121	13.5	77	17.0	84	18.5	1 384	5.0	14	52.0	(D)	(D)
Bolivar	334	5.9	2 258	2.9	346	6.0	10 389	1.4	85	13.4	987	.5
Calhoun	180	13.4	117	5.8	142	13.9	1 327	3.7	33	44.6	117	48.2
Carroll	220	9.7	184	4.0	141	12.9	1 891	2.2	29	37.1	226	35.3
Chickasaw	208	10.5	170	6.8	135	16.7	910	3.8	62	33.7	173	21.9
Choctaw	45	20.9	39	9.3	30	33.5	395	1.2	4	—	(D)	(D)
Claiborne	65	23.0	32	9.2	70	22.7	646	7.9	20	51.2	31	28.5
Clarke	95	20.1	37	22.4	120	18.4	123	34.4	43	37.4	26	40.6
Clay	135	15.8	89	6.2	137	17.7	885	1.2	23	41.7	61	7.9
Coahoma	184	7.9	596	1.7	186	7.7	9 032	1.7	86	14.7	1 057	1.3
Copiah	188	11.9	216	5.3	180	13.9	1 224	8.3	56	28.4	168	13.0
Covington	172	16.5	228	2.9	172	15.6	1 315	8.7	59	33.2	109	10.0
De Soto	271	9.0	272	7.8	173	14.6	2 430	3.9	45	36.4	209	6.2
Forrest	151	11.6	114	7.2	66	24.2	379	8.3	35	38.5	67	25.1
Franklin	51	11.1	23	8.5	33	20.7	164	15.2	16	22.6	31	27.0
George	183	12.8	96	5.0	90	19.0	906	4.2	33	31.1	110	30.9
Greene	117	15.2	80	16.6	87	20.8	132	16.7	39	37.1	44	34.9
Grenada	71	17.6	131	7.5	66	15.9	1 379	3.1	9	54.6	49	20.9
Hancock	65	29.4	28	17.4	48	35.1	49	25.9	1	—	(D)	(D)
Harrison	83	15.7	44	10.3	36	31.9	254	3.8	26	48.6	12	18.3
Hinds	294	10.0	448	3.9	210	13.5	4 974	.8	66	22.9	1 140	3.1
Holmes	254	10.8	276	18.5	257	8.8	4 345	2.3	41	32.4	161	5.2
Humphreys	194	7.5	2 178	2.6	202	10.3	9 012	.4	61	1.2	831	.1
Issaquena	81	4.6	272	.7	83	6.0	3 021	.6	31	13.8	446	10.6
Itawamba	143	16.2	120	5.2	58	29.0	251	.8	3	—	(D)	(D)
Jackson	118	17.8	222	2.8	59	29.5	447	2.6	7	5.5	15	5.6
Jasper	123	18.9	117	7.2	106	17.6	388	11.6	51	30.6	104	13.3
Jefferson	59	26.4	20	10.1	95	19.8	386	3.6	21	54.3	37	33.8
Jefferson Davis	190	11.0	90	14.9	106	15.9	354	14.2	72	26.4	237	64.1
Jones	387	8.1	610	2.7	265	10.5	1 679	6.3	93	22.8	142	15.9
Kemper	116	19.8	37	15.7	117	20.1	249	13.7	5	—	14	—
Lafayette	163	13.8	52	19.0	98	18.5	302	9.0	40	35.7	48	19.8
Lamar	145	12.3	79	7.5	119	16.8	403	5.8	69	19.0	170	19.5
Lauderdale	129	17.7	54	19.7	100	21.8	624	3.1	40	36.5	65	38.8
Lawrence	108	18.2	61	13.1	89	21.4	163	28.3	17	72.0	21	46.6
Leake	294	9.1	591	1.4	205	11.5	1 946	1.3	42	26.3	234	10.3
Lee	276	11.2	152	5.1	175	14.2	1 140	7.3	61	32.2	105	13.7
Leflore	174	10.6	1 514	.2	186	4.9	9 880	.2	51	23.0	397	2.2
Lincoln	215	11.3	285	8.2	149	13.6	899	3.2	93	22.1	111	11.6
Lowndes	179	10.3	208	3.9	139	13.4	1 416	5.4	41	24.6	93	14.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Madison	153	15.1	130	6.6	171	12.1	2 713	4.6	42	29.3	301	21.8
Marion	129	16.1	129	7.2	142	18.3	614	6.5	53	33.0	113	13.3
Marshall	194	15.7	154	7.7	192	16.4	1 412	8.2	50	38.1	25	24.5
Monroe	256	9.0	206	6.7	137	14.9	1 280	1.8	27	29.5	118	14.3
Montgomery	98	22.2	51	22.2	80	22.3	301	5.7	13	45.1	41	1.7
Neshoba	292	8.8	375	2.5	170	14.3	674	8.1	41	28.3	114	22.1
Newton	213	12.5	320	3.9	201	14.8	1 157	7.7	28	29.8	75	4.6
Noxubee	237	10.8	287	6.7	177	14.1	1 667	2.3	56	31.5	217	2.0
Oktibbeha	139	19.5	219	4.3	155	15.8	886	3.2	30	50.2	28	54.2
Panola	249	13.6	206	16.7	379	8.8	2 661	2.7	15	52.3	102	7.5
Pearl River	214	11.5	147	11.8	159	16.6	664	12.4	57	27.0	199	20.5
Perry	84	20.8	60	23.5	61	26.1	146	11.4	11	61.0	15	40.2
Pike	280	9.2	361	9.0	117	16.4	1 227	2.6	33	41.0	132	48.8
Pontotoc	163	11.8	115	11.1	128	18.6	782	8.2	44	42.4	19	25.5
Prentiss	132	18.1	54	13.3	109	18.8	348	7.1	17	39.6	42	7.3
Quitman	168	7.2	409	22.6	125	12.6	4 029	.7	25	21.2	278	3.1
Rankin	230	10.1	305	3.1	151	11.3	1 237	13.7	39	26.6	78	8.7
Scott	340	8.1	1 279	1.0	269	8.4	2 717	1.6	69	15.4	269	4.4
Sharkey	96	5.2	730	1.1	95	4.2	6 657	.2	31	8.3	(D)	(D)
Simpson	336	9.0	1 019	2.2	266	10.9	4 433	1.9	78	23.4	195	5.9
Smith	324	7.2	686	4.1	224	9.8	680	7.9	53	24.1	128	7.1
Stone	87	20.7	53	10.2	63	26.9	251	8.1	40	35.0	79	25.5
Sunflower	308	3.8	2 742	1.8	275	5.2	11 537	1.2	124	12.9	1 495	1.3
Tallahatchie	198	11.1	455	3.1	226	11.0	5 873	1.3	35	.8	634	.1
Tate	192	13.2	244	12.7	153	15.2	1 560	3.3	46	28.8	80	28.0
Tippah	207	13.3	100	13.2	119	18.7	666	11.7	41	32.4	45	24.5
Tishomingo	77	26.8	23	15.3	61	32.9	83	23.4	12	85.7	20	49.8
Tunica	97	5.4	852	.7	91	5.2	7 431	.4	30	1.7	(D)	(D)
Union	251	11.2	98	11.3	117	17.1	382	2.6	15	48.9	18	24.6
Walthall	209	10.5	327	4.9	139	11.8	1 702	1.5	80	26.5	241	11.9
Warren	101	17.0	109	4.0	97	12.4	1 288	4.1	18	39.2	140	3.5
Washington	243	5.6	2 145	.3	264	4.6	12 047	.5	111	12.0	1 362	2.4
Wayne	208	8.7	189	6.6	121	17.6	370	19.3	44	22.8	279	43.1
Webster	109	13.6	49	18.7	79	19.6	546	8.4	14	54.5	29	20.2
Wilkinson	74	18.0	57	9.0	57	26.2	497	9.6	36	32.7	88	28.8
Winston	146	16.5	86	11.7	112	17.8	303	8.2	21	54.7	24	28.9
Yalobusha	53	11.2	46	12.5	100	15.0	462	2.5	26	48.5	45	36.8
Yazoo	328	7.1	671	2.7	317	6.3	7 356	.5	68	10.5	492	1.5

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	26 115	1.8	120 269	.7	8 455	2.5	52 013	.9	12 145	2.1	97 991	.9
Adams	98	6.5	767	4.4	31	12.2	519	.8	34	12.1	698	1.9
Alcorn	349	4.3	664	8.7	98	22.8	120	22.7	150	17.2	442	15.0
Armita	344	5.9	948	5.5	102	17.7	116	21.0	128	13.8	558	7.8
Attala	286	7.4	672	8.1	38	30.9	92	7.6	107	17.8	649	8.8
Benton	195	5.6	825	6.7	70	22.6	241	26.2	95	18.4	1 099	5.4
Bolivar	418	3.5	6 248	1.1	267	7.2	4 435	3.6	256	8.4	4 485	1.0
Calhoun	336	4.2	1 474	6.5	114	18.6	249	3.8	209	11.7	1 730	10.1
Carroll	336	5.4	1 619	6.6	89	20.4	436	11.0	183	13.1	1 002	11.3
Chickasaw	331	6.1	952	8.0	168	16.6	332	14.7	184	14.8	862	4.8
Choctaw	156	9.3	191	9.2	26	40.5	77	38.8	54	26.3	328	13.8
Claiborne	138	10.2	567	4.1	63	27.1	275	5.1	64	24.1	613	17.2
Clarke	274	6.0	260	13.6	55	32.1	19	57.5	98	20.8	119	17.9
Clay	302	6.9	758	5.0	52	19.9	206	19.3	157	14.4	976	7.0
Coahoma	215	4.1	5 628	.8	131	11.0	3 498	.7	153	9.4	3 674	3.4
Copiah	386	5.4	1 048	6.9	84	21.3	164	10.0	184	12.8	1 196	5.8
Covington	356	8.4	895	8.9	132	16.7	191	17.8	261	12.1	1 263	7.4
De Soto	373	6.7	1 508	5.5	63	26.8	477	1.3	142	12.3	774	6.6
Forrest	211	6.1	475	13.9	81	22.8	49	7.6	62	24.8	460	11.4
Franklin	129	5.9	268	9.5	44	16.5	45	17.2	32	16.3	153	24.9
George	329	6.3	486	8.9	125	18.0	106	20.3	176	14.7	395	15.0
Greene	261	5.1	367	11.4	56	27.3	37	36.6	98	18.2	371	13.6
Grenada	188	5.9	903	4.9	36	23.0	495	11.1	73	18.1	732	16.0
Hancock	142	10.5	237	14.1	26	56.4	52	22.1	6	6.9	75	4.2
Harrison	186	11.1	199	20.9	28	33.1	70	57.3	37	35.8	61	36.0
Hinds	554	5.4	2 211	3.8	126	19.1	419	9.8	154	14.6	717	8.2
Holmes	323	6.3	2 563	1.9	172	16.0	1 957	5.3	189	12.5	2 134	5.3
Humphreys	223	9.3	5 611	.4	131	10.9	2 100	.7	162	8.8	5 292	.1
Issaquena	99	3.8	1 527	1.4	62	7.2	920	7.0	74	6.7	1 261	2.7
Itawamba	299	8.0	420	9.5	102	23.9	67	24.1	161	16.8	507	12.9
Jackson	215	6.8	355	11.3	28	35.5	8	45.3	69	27.4	258	18.1
Jasper	314	4.6	577	11.3	75	26.0	75	27.8	91	20.2	430	16.3
Jefferson	151	9.5	326	6.1	54	29.3	124	15.0	68	24.6	407	29.6
Jefferson Davis	389	4.3	708	8.9	79	20.4	75	20.5	140	14.2	480	12.7
Jones	730	3.4	1 552	4.7	208	14.8	275	9.1	323	10.9	1 686	11.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Kemper	285	7.6	306	10.4	94	19.0	64	15.3	62	28.7	124	39.7
Lafayette	271	7.1	349	9.9	108	18.5	141	23.3	119	17.4	559	14.5
Lamar	269	7.3	605	11.3	81	21.3	68	12.8	119	15.8	593	15.5
Lauderdale	273	7.7	243	17.0	85	23.7	89	28.3	71	27.7	364	38.7
Lawrence	263	6.0	355	7.6	58	31.9	117	46.1	100	22.4	264	24.3
Leake	493	4.5	1 273	4.1	150	17.7	170	11.7	267	8.7	1 326	6.1
Lee	438	5.5	1 243	9.0	176	15.3	205	14.8	238	12.5	1 088	6.2
Leflore	210	9.2	5 075	.4	132	11.3	3 081	1.4	188	9.9	4 215	1.3
Lincoln	420	6.5	673	7.7	141	19.9	199	11.9	183	15.4	806	11.2
Lowndes	267	6.3	789	6.7	88	22.0	240	17.1	156	12.5	943	13.8
Madison	396	5.0	1 912	6.4	165	11.6	1 184	12.3	170	12.0	1 175	4.4
Marion	406	5.5	778	7.3	88	26.1	83	24.2	196	13.3	655	15.9
Marshall	435	5.5	1 188	6.5	72	29.6	198	9.6	140	20.3	794	16.0
Monroe	451	3.9	1 655	3.5	102	19.6	354	14.7	164	14.9	923	6.2
Montgomery	244	6.2	599	7.7	76	24.2	132	5.9	130	15.0	738	31.6
Neshoba	526	4.8	1 081	8.3	98	20.2	116	23.0	273	9.9	1 032	7.0
Newton	469	5.6	984	5.4	94	22.1	157	16.0	169	15.4	998	14.8
Noxubee	356	6.6	1 389	7.0	131	19.9	421	14.6	214	13.1	1 745	3.8
Oktibbeha	266	9.1	767	13.7	96	26.2	149	26.4	162	16.5	420	8.4
Panola	528	4.5	2 267	5.3	149	19.2	1 051	6.2	217	15.2	1 538	6.2
Pearl River	441	6.5	776	10.4	121	16.5	107	14.8	131	17.6	708	19.3
Perry	165	9.4	266	13.7	65	23.5	99	24.0	78	19.8	283	17.3
Pike	348	8.1	948	10.2	110	21.2	219	9.6	97	20.2	798	7.0
Pontotoc	390	6.6	1 066	8.5	109	20.3	175	9.1	189	15.2	848	7.3
Prentiss	282	7.8	690	17.5	113	21.3	189	14.6	136	19.7	516	11.8
Quitman	192	6.1	2 928	2.6	77	10.9	1 699	.8	131	10.7	1 609	1.0
Rankin	453	4.5	1 048	8.1	97	18.0	254	20.7	160	11.9	970	12.4
Scott	528	5.7	1 653	5.1	178	13.6	261	11.9	255	9.2	2 617	1.6
Sharkey	111	4.3	3 672	.7	81	5.9	1 835	1.8	107	4.2	1 998	2.5
Simpson	542	3.7	1 559	3.5	155	16.2	163	18.7	258	9.1	2 512	2.1
Smith	550	4.0	1 123	3.2	134	14.4	207	16.8	274	8.7	2 336	5.6
Stone	177	8.3	294	11.9	54	24.2	59	24.2	44	25.1	271	4.7
Sunflower	344	4.5	7 999	.8	245	8.1	5 317	1.8	266	6.1	6 560	1.0
Tallahatchie	330	5.9	4 405	1.8	128	12.0	2 251	4.6	227	10.5	3 041	3.1
Tate	478	4.4	1 409	5.0	121	17.0	408	12.9	220	11.7	1 168	12.2
Tippah	453	5.3	668	7.8	155	14.4	135	13.3	171	14.6	722	15.3
Tishomingo	194	10.3	176	17.6	56	31.3	37	25.9	75	26.1	135	23.4
Tunica	100	4.8	2 973	.3	70	7.5	1 796	1.6	102	3.9	2 200	.7
Union	480	3.8	913	11.9	148	16.9	125	13.5	208	12.0	641	8.4
Walthall	412	6.3	1 217	6.3	123	17.5	234	6.0	177	10.3	1 018	10.1
Warren	186	4.3	1 166	6.2	56	25.0	1 352	1.6	67	21.4	491	8.7
Washington	317	3.8	8 062	1.0	185	7.8	4 355	3.2	212	5.8	4 588	2.1
Wayne	381	5.3	733	17.0	138	15.9	135	23.1	136	15.3	758	29.8
Webster	173	10.6	646	8.1	36	35.8	227	13.2	64	20.4	429	12.6
Wilkinson	162	7.8	558	7.9	58	25.4	125	21.1	74	14.2	478	13.6
Winston	363	6.5	588	13.5	139	15.6	146	18.8	224	12.1	686	16.8
Yalobusha	218	7.7	724	10.0	73	23.2	173	16.8	95	17.3	455	6.5
Yazoo	443	4.4	4 666	1.3	230	8.8	3 392	.7	255	6.1	2 993	2.4

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	8 098	2.4	138 331	.5	28 742	1.8	28 683	1.4	26 896	1.7	186 430	.4
Adams	22	18.4	200	2.0	105	5.3	192	4.3	120	4.4	433	3.1
Alcorn	75	25.1	302	9.6	367	3.6	180	7.7	302	8.0	386	4.4
Amite	96	17.4	215	15.7	433	2.3	246	5.0	382	4.3	1 128	4.1
Attala	89	22.2	453	26.0	338	4.8	262	8.3	299	7.2	1 310	4.2
Benton	57	22.7	681	2.7	186	6.7	216	9.2	160	9.6	828	8.1
Bolivar	324	6.6	12 940	3.2	287	7.3	1 227	5.2	433	2.8	8 013	2.6
Calhoun	111	13.7	743	3.9	376	2.8	356	9.8	352	4.5	1 470	8.1
Carroll	103	18.1	1 056	4.4	348	4.3	320	7.9	345	4.2	2 250	2.4
Chickasaw	119	19.6	616	15.3	441	2.3	441	14.9	394	4.2	1 065	6.0
Choctaw	17	30.3	88	7.7	188	3.9	142	18.6	117	12.5	290	3.9
Claiborne	33	35.4	376	5.8	168	7.6	164	15.4	170	7.5	420	12.3
Clarke	78	22.1	92	35.0	298	4.5	185	11.9	235	8.3	228	17.7
Clay	108	18.9	451	7.6	318	5.5	343	18.6	295	7.1	945	5.9
Coahoma	156	9.2	14 004	.2	144	8.8	502	4.9	229	1.3	8 235	2.0
Copiah	114	17.1	268	10.3	439	3.9	389	7.3	400	5.0	2 739	2.1
Covington	103	18.9	282	6.9	433	5.2	309	11.2	406	6.6	1 878	4.5
De Soto	174	12.7	2 460	1.3	426	5.0	458	15.6	400	6.2	3 011	1.9
Forrest	15	44.2	105	18.0	223	4.3	150	16.6	183	9.6	962	3.5
Franklin	28	17.6	186	2.8	159	4.4	113	7.1	127	5.6	154	10.2
George	57	27.8	88	36.5	347	5.5	187	8.5	307	7.1	811	3.5
Greene	68	25.2	65	35.2	294	2.8	187	14.3	247	6.0	806	3.4
Grenada	50	19.6	537	2.4	201	4.2	314	9.4	166	6.4	1 024	2.7
Hancock	37	45.6	17	22.8	151	9.9	79	19.2	140	10.5	247	11.8
Harrison	12	58.8	24	35.2	257	2.2	155	13.3	194	9.1	284	12.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Hinds	192	14.3	1 349	3.2	634	4.1	569	6.3	623	4.3	1 619	3.2
Holmes	164	11.7	4 951	3.7	376	4.5	692	6.7	393	4.4	3 109	2.0
Humphreys	161	12.9	5 050	.6	187	11.1	656	1.4	260	1.6	6 751	.3
Issaquena	72	6.3	2 259	2.1	98	2.3	321	1.1	110	2.1	2 116	1.4
Itawamba	65	27.3	130	12.2	390	4.1	195	12.3	331	7.1	2 245	1.1
Jackson	16	44.1	49	19.5	240	3.0	183	8.5	207	7.1	463	6.2
Jasper	48	32.0	17	22.7	342	4.2	237	11.1	295	7.2	1 365	6.2
Jefferson	42	38.0	352	2.9	154	8.4	161	13.7	142	11.5	242	15.9
Jefferson Davis	63	26.1	101	35.6	407	3.2	262	9.6	378	4.2	762	4.7
Jones	69	24.7	168	16.5	797	2.4	488	8.0	669	4.5	4 429	1.4
Kemper	78	22.6	102	27.5	336	5.3	173	10.7	307	6.6	311	11.2
Lafayette	65	26.0	158	27.2	351	2.9	235	8.6	297	5.5	328	13.8
Lamar	88	21.8	130	9.8	330	4.4	203	10.8	314	5.4	1 394	7.5
Lauderdale	67	28.9	61	30.4	395	3.0	312	14.2	339	6.0	700	8.6
Lawrence	33	48.8	171	2.9	266	6.9	157	14.4	247	7.9	529	6.0
Leake	102	20.2	190	4.4	556	3.5	420	7.4	489	4.9	4 876	1.6
Lee	186	14.6	1 350	3.6	442	5.5	282	4.5	464	4.6	1 357	3.3
Leflore	148	10.0	9 471	.2	168	9.1	685	3.9	240	6.0	7 528	.6
Lincoln	131	20.8	219	14.7	511	2.6	275	7.5	467	4.1	1 355	3.7
Lowndes	138	16.1	807	15.4	291	5.3	300	13.7	288	5.4	1 144	12.6
Madison	132	14.2	1 778	7.1	389	4.6	471	8.4	421	3.8	2 058	2.4
Marion	95	22.3	97	14.2	445	4.5	351	12.6	397	6.1	796	7.2
Marshall	173	15.1	1 196	5.6	513	3.1	505	9.9	438	5.4	1 109	3.9
Monroe	143	15.9	1 047	9.2	439	4.9	294	5.9	429	4.7	1 454	2.9
Montgomery	73	26.8	320	9.3	252	6.4	164	17.0	256	5.9	564	10.6
Neshoba	95	20.5	176	14.3	670	2.3	414	13.1	578	4.0	3 900	1.3
Newton	68	28.1	58	12.0	556	3.0	355	6.6	497	3.9	2 884	5.0
Noxubee	142	16.5	908	8.2	364	6.2	314	8.4	413	3.1	1 738	7.1
Oktoberbeha	48	26.7	156	7.8	311	5.7	183	14.3	245	10.9	797	7.0
Panola	195	14.1	2 332	1.4	545	2.9	668	9.8	537	3.9	2 247	2.4
Pearl River	73	25.6	97	18.2	512	3.8	329	10.4	400	7.0	1 012	9.3
Perry	19	55.1	85	34.2	205	5.3	87	9.9	170	9.0	635	4.3
Pike	101	22.7	222	12.6	434	4.0	360	15.4	384	5.8	1 902	4.0
Pontotoc	112	18.9	347	6.2	526	3.1	334	24.9	395	7.5	982	7.8
Prentiss	92	23.7	533	7.0	325	6.4	206	9.8	276	8.6	450	6.0
Quitman	176	6.9	5 622	4.2	157	9.7	334	3.3	216	2.4	2 835	4.5
Rankin	122	13.0	520	29.8	500	3.4	365	5.7	445	4.5	3 804	6.3
Scott	73	18.3	180	8.6	659	2.4	689	4.2	541	5.5	12 580	.3
Sharkey	79	4.1	6 715	1.0	102	3.1	509	1.3	122	2.6	5 218	.6
Simpson	145	17.2	268	13.4	587	2.1	595	7.2	547	4.0	6 759	.7
Smith	70	24.1	116	10.6	590	3.4	387	10.1	567	3.6	7 648	.8
Stone	14	55.9	21	18.3	205	5.8	106	12.8	162	9.4	389	9.7
Sunflower	234	8.8	11 375	1.1	268	6.1	963	5.4	363	3.3	8 866	7.1
Tallahatchie	169	13.5	7 839	1.1	310	5.7	663	5.6	355	4.7	3 521	2.7
Tate	132	15.9	735	6.1	467	4.2	364	5.6	495	3.5	1 645	5.4
Tippah	87	19.4	265	12.1	503	4.0	325	13.5	470	4.6	843	4.8
Tishomingo	64	31.1	83	16.3	230	6.2	69	17.3	181	10.5	114	9.9
Tunica	87	6.0	8 356	.9	79	6.1	425	.9	112	3.4	4 673	.3
Union	127	17.2	633	13.2	519	2.7	277	7.7	469	4.1	784	9.6
Walthall	115	16.6	200	6.5	513	3.7	434	14.6	440	6.0	1 889	1.7
Warren	65	22.1	1 198	1.1	169	7.2	242	4.4	170	7.9	1 259	1.9
Washington	232	6.6	12 674	.7	232	6.8	1 032	1.6	335	2.5	9 478	.6
Wayne	65	25.2	83	28.7	424	3.4	225	10.7	358	5.7	2 184	3.4
Webster	42	27.6	288	3.2	240	5.2	210	7.8	200	8.6	631	10.8
Wilkinson	45	29.5	262	24.1	174	5.6	204	23.7	172	7.0	486	7.0
Winston	83	23.0	77	19.7	464	3.4	296	10.5	392	5.6	625	10.4
Yalobusha	88	18.1	342	13.4	225	6.4	137	11.1	209	8.1	465	8.9
Yazoo	249	8.7	7 794	.5	441	3.8	874	2.8	476	3.4	5 671	.9

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Mississippi	32 003	1.7	319 662	1.2	27 625	1.7	6 518 288	.7	22 245	1.6	4 404 612
Adams	135	2.6	930	6.9	95	2.7	38 195	.8	77	3.2	29 132	.3
Alcorn	392	2.3	727	40.2	361	2.2	43 471	2.4	312	2.4	27 748	2.1
Amite	439	2.0	1 184	14.9	381	1.8	52 255	1.7	283	2.0	19 283	1.6
Attala	379	1.6	78	(H)	308	1.7	42 227	1.5	258	1.8	21 538	1.4
Benton	212	1.9	-198	(H)	187	1.9	43 047	1.8	156	2.1	30 205	1.8
Bolivar	454	1.5	22 399	6.3	435	1.5	399 420	.5	426	1.5	365 811	.5
Calhoun	400	1.8	3 522	20.9	370	2.1	81 614	1.5	334	2.2	53 473	1.2
Carroll	394	1.7	5 324	8.0	335	1.7	75 922	1.1	274	1.8	40 638	1.1
Chickasaw	451	2.3	4 637	17.7	409	2.3	95 244	1.7	329	2.4	54 338	1.5
Choctaw	195	1.6	846	9.7	168	1.7	19 141	2.0	147	1.9	9 408	2.2
Claiborne	189	2.4	283	59.5	149	2.9	35 904	2.2	96	3.6	15 527	2.0
Clarke	321	2.2	82	(H)	283	2.6	25 324	2.5	217	2.8	7 899	2.6
Clay	364	2.3	2 749	12.5	297	2.3	68 915	1.7	228	2.6	36 584	1.3
Coahoma	230	1.3	13 049	4.3	218	1.1	278 909	.2	213	1.1	242 592	.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 – Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Copiah	490	1.8	3 531	9.5	400	1.8	54 036	1.6	287	1.9	19 830	1.7
Covington	482	2.2	2 796	13.1	437	2.1	41 068	2.7	321	2.4	15 336	2.9
De Soto	488	1.8	4 560	21.2	397	1.7	106 584	.6	308	1.8	74 658	.4
Forrest	233	2.3	763	36.1	194	2.1	16 723	2.3	135	2.5	7 730	3.1
Franklin	175	2.5	179	81.4	135	2.3	17 037	2.1	102	2.6	7 206	3.9
George	380	2.6	780	29.5	349	2.6	21 879	2.8	281	2.7	10 791	2.8
Greene	300	2.3	1 044	10.6	258	2.6	17 247	2.5	210	2.7	7 576	2.6
Grenada	213	1.7	1 152	11.2	187	1.6	46 400	1.2	152	1.9	31 530	1.3
Hancock	173	1.9	-3	(H)	146	1.9	15 765	2.3	94	2.8	3 966	4.3
Harrison	259	2.2	-251	62.0	232	2.2	8 430	2.9	153	2.7	2 081	3.1
Hinds	741	2.1	1 142	31.9	591	2.0	111 458	1.1	408	2.1	47 538	.9
Holmes	426	2.3	3 008	7.3	354	2.3	153 235	.8	304	2.3	114 197	.8
Humphreys	262	1.6	12 104	3.4	202	1.3	139 746	.6	190	1.4	116 571	.6
Issaquena	110	2.1	3 937	2.9	106	1.5	100 801	.6	106	1.5	93 153	.9
Itawamba	418	2.1	2 801	7.5	376	2.1	41 688	2.3	308	2.3	25 446	1.7
Jackson	246	2.3	1 080	33.5	217	2.2	11 561	3.0	149	2.7	4 930	4.2
Jasper	385	2.2	1 201	26.3	299	2.2	34 314	2.6	238	2.3	9 934	2.9
Jefferson	182	2.6	111	(H)	147	2.6	25 923	2.0	101	2.9	12 052	2.2
Jefferson Davis	427	2.1	585	71.8	375	2.2	41 928	2.6	299	2.4	12 887	2.6
Jones	821	1.9	9 258	3.5	653	1.7	43 635	1.8	473	1.9	15 289	1.9
Kemper	376	2.4	394	52.0	314	2.4	34 806	2.5	265	2.5	11 301	2.3
Lafayette	370	1.8	296	59.3	308	1.7	38 124	2.0	258	1.9	17 805	2.2
Lamar	362	2.6	1 235	38.7	317	2.6	26 091	3.6	240	2.8	9 262	4.6
Lauderdale	407	2.3	575	77.2	352	2.4	29 719	2.6	247	2.6	9 221	2.3
Lawrence	296	2.6	1 428	36.0	269	2.5	28 837	2.4	215	2.7	13 333	2.2
Leake	601	1.8	6 338	4.3	486	1.7	45 686	1.8	382	1.8	16 372	1.9
Lee	534	2.0	3 810	17.7	463	1.9	101 294	1.2	414	1.9	72 368	1.1
Leflore	261	1.2	9 942	2.8	244	.9	228 459	.3	233	1.0	199 045	.3
Lincoln	522	2.2	2 017	29.8	436	1.9	45 867	1.8	320	2.0	15 409	2.1
Lowndes	342	1.7	2 335	16.6	301	1.5	79 481	1.4	250	1.7	55 257	1.6
Madison	455	1.9	2 399	16.8	385	1.9	117 023	.9	308	2.0	67 977	.7
Marion	492	2.5	784	31.3	434	2.3	43 025	2.0	328	2.3	14 294	1.9
Marshall	527	2.6	750	65.0	428	2.6	93 074	1.7	345	2.7	52 178	1.4
Monroe	511	1.4	5 179	13.2	464	1.4	116 303	1.2	411	1.5	80 037	1.1
Montgomery	289	2.5	1 775	32.9	235	2.3	40 763	2.0	201	2.4	21 018	1.9
Neshoba	699	1.6	4 959	7.6	622	1.6	56 340	1.6	501	1.8	20 422	1.5
Newton	577	2.0	5 058	7.9	487	2.0	47 199	2.5	370	2.2	16 841	2.8
Noxubee	426	1.8	6 073	10.7	379	1.7	126 041	1.1	309	1.8	83 545	1.1
Oktoberbeha	338	2.0	1 532	31.6	283	2.2	45 625	2.0	227	2.5	22 881	2.0
Panola	577	2.0	4 972	12.3	483	2.0	147 039	.9	408	2.0	98 261	.8
Pearl River	554	2.3	648	85.1	490	2.1	47 661	1.5	296	2.2	18 083	1.5
Perry	226	2.0	885	14.5	197	1.9	14 343	2.7	140	2.3	6 309	4.1
Pike	458	2.4	2 644	14.0	401	2.3	47 964	2.3	302	2.5	17 740	2.5
Pontotoc	549	2.0	1 168	29.1	498	1.9	81 967	2.0	414	2.1	50 363	2.3
Prentiss	374	2.0	1 163	21.0	341	2.0	54 098	1.7	281	2.2	38 187	1.8
Quitman	218	2.4	7 415	3.6	214	1.9	175 010	.8	212	1.9	153 844	.7
Rankin	537	1.8	5 769	5.5	448	1.7	58 998	1.5	351	1.8	22 900	1.9
Scott	686	1.5	25 819	1.1	558	1.6	56 393	1.7	425	1.7	21 324	1.7
Sharkey	126	1.5	10 730	1.4	116	1.1	167 339	.3	116	1.1	149 146	.3
Simpson	587	2.1	13 927	3.9	492	2.2	45 375	2.5	365	2.4	12 859	2.4
Smith	661	1.7	14 328	1.1	557	1.8	45 442	2.3	410	2.0	12 790	2.2
Stone	223	2.4	247	59.8	201	2.4	17 729	2.8	148	2.9	6 620	3.2
Sunflower	375	1.2	13 052	6.4	321	1.2	322 698	.4	314	1.2	267 837	.3
Tallahatchie	387	1.8	8 460	5.8	360	1.4	228 030	.6	327	1.5	187 000	.5
Tate	544	1.9	3 572	10.6	446	1.8	83 894	1.2	347	1.9	49 574	1.1
Tippah	552	2.1	1 410	20.4	506	1.8	53 134	1.8	428	1.9	29 113	1.5
Tishomingo	268	2.3	-114	(H)	244	2.1	19 743	2.6	206	2.4	11 071	3.1
Tunica	120	1.4	9 507	1.8	114	1.0	215 373	.3	114	1.0	202 609	.3
Union	539	1.6	1 057	24.4	482	1.6	63 499	1.4	438	1.6	43 029	1.3
Walthall	564	1.6	4 330	9.4	505	1.7	65 204	1.3	413	1.8	27 070	1.3
Warren	195	2.1	1 574	18.7	163	2.4	66 033	1.4	125	2.6	46 995	1.3
Washington	344	1.3	12 290	2.4	328	1.1	310 305	.4	319	1.1	267 415	.3
Wayne	450	2.1	3 633	10.0	396	1.9	30 706	2.1	307	2.1	11 535	2.0
Webster	270	2.2	2 018	15.3	242	2.3	41 792	2.0	215	2.4	26 065	1.7
Wilkinson	189	3.0	551	73.6	151	2.5	28 748	2.0	116	2.8	12 369	1.9
Winston	485	2.1	78	(H)	441	2.1	41 382	2.4	350	2.2	13 741	2.7
Yalobusha	263	2.0	975	18.1	228	1.8	43 525	1.6	194	2.1	23 936	1.2
Yazoo	531	1.2	5 287	6.4	444	1.3	252 061	.4	371	1.3	191 384	.3

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	2 127	1.0	882 976	.2	21 070	1.8	1 152 331	1.2	18 855	1.8	588 920	1.4
Adams	4	12.4	20	5.0	85	3.4	3 949	3.2	78	3.6	(D)	(D)
Alcorn	7	13.8	583	6.9	255	2.6	8 376	3.1	237	2.7	4 637	3.2
Amite	5	16.5	33	26.2	369	1.9	23 947	1.7	309	2.1	10 663	1.8
Attala	8	9.4	88	12.6	286	1.8	12 254	2.3	264	1.9	7 737	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Benton	2	30.4	(D)	(D)	143	2.2	11 376	1.3	134	2.3	6 065	1.7
Bolivar	254	1.3	144 712	.5	21	6.6	1 345	3.7	21	6.6	(D)	(D)
Calhoun	2	20.2	(D)	(D)	254	2.5	13 443	2.6	240	2.6	7 473	2.6
Carroll	15	5.3	3 572	1.9	276	2.1	26 505	1.1	254	2.2	11 557	1.7
Chickasaw	5	15.7	(D)	(D)	277	2.4	22 696	1.6	243	2.5	10 485	2.0
Choctaw	3	16.9	14	22.7	132	2.2	6 849	1.8	122	2.3	(D)	(D)
Claiborne	8	10.8	(D)	(D)	152	2.8	17 614	1.8	143	2.9	(D)	(D)
Clarke	22	6.4	625	6.4	246	2.8	10 745	2.4	235	2.8	6 823	2.5
Clay	9	12.6	99	13.8	293	2.3	25 371	2.0	221	2.7	9 533	2.5
Coahoma	99	1.3	109 961	.1	15	8.2	1 140	8.4	14	8.0	615	7.9
Copiah	6	11.6	(D)	(D)	382	1.9	21 063	1.7	351	2.0	11 657	1.9
Covington	22	8.0	714	6.1	372	2.3	20 866	3.0	329	2.4	9 199	3.3
De Soto	22	5.4	4 556	.1	314	2.0	14 321	1.7	269	2.1	8 250	2.0
Forrest	13	9.3	(D)	(D)	162	2.4	5 516	2.9	149	2.5	3 061	3.9
Franklin	10	8.9	181	3.6	142	2.3	6 806	1.9	135	2.4	3 177	2.2
George	45	4.6	669	2.6	248	3.0	9 112	2.8	217	3.1	4 746	3.7
Greene	6	16.3	(D)	(D)	227	2.7	8 831	2.9	206	2.8	5 003	3.0
Grenada	7	8.9	1 507	6.9	132	2.2	12 968	1.4	125	2.2	6 717	1.8
Hancock	11	11.1	154	17.2	132	2.1	5 373	2.4	111	2.5	2 533	3.3
Harrison	22	7.6	74	11.2	147	2.8	3 528	3.3	123	3.1	1 816	3.9
Hinds	16	7.1	88	8.2	527	2.2	38 454	1.2	492	2.2	(D)	(D)
Holmes	43	2.4	40 113	.4	241	2.8	14 624	2.3	217	2.9	9 386	2.3
Humphreys	78	1.6	32 809	.6	10	9.8	417	2.6	10	9.8	250	2.7
Issaquena	13	3.8	6 711	1.0	14	6.4	1 318	1.9	11	6.6	720	.9
Itawamba	3	20.3	(D)	(D)	270	2.4	9 649	3.5	240	2.6	5 338	3.8
Jackson	21	7.7	137	6.9	137	2.9	5 923	3.9	127	3.0	(D)	(D)
Jasper	6	14.2	35	12.4	318	2.2	15 168	2.5	297	2.2	8 319	2.5
Jefferson	2	24.4	(D)	(D)	144	2.7	6 943	2.5	132	2.8	(D)	(D)
Jefferson Davis	18	7.1	333	6.9	335	2.3	17 885	2.4	313	2.4	9 938	2.3
Jones	29	6.0	198	8.0	592	1.8	26 967	1.6	529	1.9	14 247	1.8
Kemper	8	13.9	133	21.8	314	2.4	15 493	2.2	295	2.5	9 483	2.4
Lafayette	8	8.8	151	10.0	272	1.9	11 591	2.0	257	1.9	6 962	2.0
Lamar	14	10.8	146	10.0	255	2.9	12 197	3.8	223	3.0	5 351	5.1
Lauderdale	12	10.6	192	18.8	305	2.5	11 387	2.4	279	2.6	6 525	2.4
Lawrence	6	16.1	34	19.4	249	2.5	11 220	2.7	230	2.6	6 674	3.1
Leake	11	9.3	80	5.8	460	1.8	21 813	2.0	418	1.9	11 790	2.1
Lee	11	8.1	162	3.2	352	2.0	18 511	1.6	309	2.1	8 009	2.1
Leflore	130	1.3	78 436	.3	19	7.7	1 460	3.7	15	8.7	657	3.6
Lincoln	12	7.5	88	16.5	450	1.9	27 327	1.8	378	2.0	11 821	2.2
Lowndes	15	6.0	416	8.1	180	2.2	15 675	1.9	158	2.3	7 950	1.9
Madison	14	7.8	1 618	.4	288	2.2	22 993	2.0	262	2.2	12 373	2.0
Marion	19	8.6	128	33.9	390	2.3	19 878	2.3	325	2.5	8 498	2.4
Marshall	7	12.8	36	19.0	385	2.7	22 100	2.3	345	2.8	13 242	2.6
Monroe	18	6.3	556	3.3	292	1.7	19 515	1.6	259	1.9	9 937	2.0
Montgomery	7	13.6	126	24.1	210	2.5	12 844	2.7	201	2.5	7 702	3.0
Neshoba	11	11.4	146	24.9	571	1.7	30 252	1.6	520	1.8	15 682	1.8
Newton	6	14.5	45	3.9	434	2.1	23 400	2.3	382	2.2	10 758	2.7
Noxubee	6	6.2	381	.4	249	2.1	21 307	1.5	192	2.5	9 304	2.3
Oktibbeha	27	5.7	363	5.4	234	2.3	14 966	1.7	194	2.5	6 254	2.2
Panola	23	2.8	11 680	.2	404	2.1	21 811	1.8	374	2.2	13 000	1.9
Pearl River	48	4.8	285	9.3	400	2.3	22 819	1.5	353	2.4	10 423	1.7
Perry	11	10.5	239	3.0	167	2.2	5 818	2.8	156	2.2	3 641	2.8
Pike	6	17.6	41	13.6	390	2.4	25 550	2.3	315	2.7	8 479	3.7
Pontotoc	8	10.3	83	5.4	321	2.3	13 129	2.9	299	2.3	6 775	2.8
Prentiss	3	22.7	24	26.9	214	2.5	7 366	2.6	191	2.6	3 596	3.2
Quitman	86	2.3	36 587	1.1	7	12.1	643	12.6	7	12.1	402	13.0
Rankin	9	10.9	29	7.9	393	1.8	24 607	1.6	357	1.9	13 519	1.7
Scott	17	8.1	143	14.5	501	1.7	25 253	1.6	446	1.7	14 566	1.8
Sharkey	39	1.8	21 403	1.3	4	17.2	134	10.1	3	16.1	75	11.6
Simpson	11	11.4	92	13.1	436	2.4	22 419	2.6	389	2.4	12 623	2.7
Smith	17	8.0	205	5.7	479	1.9	20 863	2.3	443	2.0	12 487	2.4
Stone	8	14.2	81	35.1	159	2.8	6 639	4.1	144	3.0	3 856	4.6
Sunflower	202	1.3	139 004	.3	18	7.2	1 248	9.2	17	7.1	602	8.9
Tallahatchie	94	1.5	73 027	.4	140	2.4	7 895	2.5	132	2.5	4 842	2.7
Tate	10	7.0	487	5.4	430	1.8	28 837	1.5	376	1.9	13 217	1.8
Tippah	4	14.8	(D)	(D)	394	1.9	14 246	2.3	340	2.0	6 792	2.6
Tishomingo	3	21.4	(D)	(D)	174	2.7	4 982	3.6	156	2.8	(D)	(D)
Tunica	67	1.3	49 023	.2	6	10.9	1 547	.3	5	9.9	(D)	(D)
Union	9	10.7	65	13.0	353	1.8	11 856	1.8	322	1.9	6 333	2.0
Walthall	17	6.5	577	2.8	472	1.8	31 674	1.2	362	2.1	9 300	1.9
Warren	7	11.9	(D)	(D)	99	3.3	6 098	2.7	95	3.3	3 929	3.0
Washington	163	1.2	96 632	.3	34	5.2	1 892	4.5	30	5.3	1 045	4.6
Wayne	16	8.7	63	9.3	320	2.1	14 659	2.2	304	2.1	8 264	2.3
Webster	4	11.7	(D)	(D)	183	2.7	8 438	3.1	166	2.8	4 300	3.7
Wilkinson	5	13.0	17	15.8	154	2.6	11 775	1.8	141	2.7	6 189	2.1
Winston	6	14.9	54	17.1	399	2.2	15 880	2.6	359	2.3	8 777	2.6
Yalobusha	8	9.8	103	6.9	189	2.2	8 934	2.5	174	2.3	5 408	3.1
Yazoo	48	2.1	18 901	.2	271	1.9	20 048	1.4	259	2.0	12 007	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry — Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	1 216	1.6	64 510	.7	1 270	2.0	160 917	1.0	237	2.9	6 447	3.4
Adams	1	46.2	(D)	(D)	2	33.9	(D)	(D)	1	46.2	(D)	(D)
Alcorn	16	9.1	315	7.5	21	8.9	2 444	16.6	1	42.7	(D)	(D)
Amite	38	3.6	3 609	1.1	10	9.9	65	11.3	3	11.2	5	13.5
Attala	16	8.2	366	5.5	15	8.0	2 436	.8	4	14.3	55	14.7
Benton	7	13.2	67	21.2	11	8.9	99	11.2	3	17.8	(D)	(D)
Bolivar	2	19.4	(D)	(D)	5	15.4	236	28.4	6	12.5	669	5.1
Calhoun	9	12.6	174	11.5	19	7.8	2 585	4.9	—	—	—	—
Carroll	11	9.6	425	2.8	25	7.0	384	13.1	6	13.0	79	8.2
Chickasaw	23	5.8	933	5.6	29	6.3	9 492	2.7	—	—	—	—
Choctaw	6	13.0	(D)	(D)	17	6.9	3 714	5.9	—	—	—	—
Claiborne	1	—	(D)	(D)	8	11.9	309	4.6	1	—	(D)	(D)
Clarke	8	13.2	134	5.4	13	10.6	1 674	31.2	4	14.9	118	3.1
Clay	19	6.9	805	6.3	19	9.1	925	3.8	1	—	(D)	(D)
Coahoma	—	—	—	—	8	10.8	269	20.2	—	—	—	—
Copiah	15	6.9	1 378	3.1	8	14.9	210	18.4	1	41.0	(D)	(D)
Covington	13	11.1	79	5.9	10	11.3	315	17.3	2	29.9	(D)	(D)
De Soto	21	6.3	816	.6	11	10.8	360	20.3	4	14.9	18	12.6
Forrest	9	11.0	334	11.5	12	10.6	537	26.0	1	44.3	(D)	(D)
Franklin	7	12.6	289	6.5	5	15.2	143	15.6	—	—	—	—
George	21	7.6	448	2.4	16	8.9	2 636	7.8	7	13.1	98	15.4
Greene	9	14.1	43	18.2	21	8.1	675	13.3	7	11.9	456	28.3
Grenada	—	—	—	—	7	12.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Hancock	14	7.9	644	6.7	8	10.7	823	14.9	1	38.8	(D)	(D)
Harrison	11	9.2	180	1.2	8	14.6	447	20.6	8	11.2	296	13.8
Hinds	7	12.3	(D)	(D)	37	6.3	3 890	11.9	7	16.4	55	25.2
Holmes	12	11.3	107	13.6	28	7.2	764	12.1	2	22.9	(D)	(D)
Humphreys	—	—	—	—	5	16.2	288	22.4	—	—	—	—
Issaquena	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Itawamba	14	9.8	199	13.3	20	8.1	1 490	3.9	6	15.5	74	32.3
Jackson	8	12.8	(D)	(D)	21	7.6	186	15.6	4	21.7	30	26.3
Jasper	15	7.7	624	4.3	10	11.4	75	13.1	1	37.9	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	2	19.9	(D)	(D)	6	17.7	31	17.6	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Davis	14	9.2	207	8.7	19	7.9	2 472	2.7	1	26.2	(D)	(D)
Jones	17	7.2	807	4.7	34	5.5	841	4.7	9	11.2	200	12.0
Kemper	8	13.3	200	7.8	9	12.9	647	21.5	3	19.3	(D)	(D)
Lafayette	6	13.8	19	19.3	9	10.7	211	15.6	—	—	—	—
Lamar	13	10.5	405	9.9	8	16.4	105	29.8	1	49.9	(D)	(D)
Lauderdale	6	13.1	229	13.3	20	8.2	206	11.1	4	16.9	22	19.9
Lawrence	17	8.6	447	10.5	3	17.2	(D)	(D)	1	26.3	(D)	(D)
Leake	16	6.1	1 208	1.1	15	7.8	728	21.0	—	—	—	—
Lee	20	4.3	1 646	.6	13	8.0	1 189	1.7	—	—	—	—
Leflore	—	—	—	—	2	18.0	(D)	(D)	1	43.3	(D)	(D)
Lincoln	55	3.3	4 268	1.5	11	8.7	114	15.7	5	16.8	28	30.7
Lowndes	6	13.7	116	2.4	16	7.5	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Madison	8	13.7	98	16.2	20	8.0	1 226	2.2	1	35.3	(D)	(D)
Marion	53	3.4	2 804	1.8	19	8.2	109	14.1	3	16.0	(D)	(D)
Marshall	18	7.3	1 130	1.5	23	8.7	456	21.5	6	12.7	77	28.6
Monroe	19	6.2	739	5.1	9	10.2	6 498	4.2	1	35.2	(D)	(D)
Montgomery	10	10.4	102	5.7	13	9.6	326	2.7	3	14.2	(D)	(D)
Neshoba	20	6.1	1 601	3.1	20	8.3	857	17.0	3	20.2	44	28.4
Newton	29	6.0	2 049	3.2	18	8.0	1 541	17.7	3	25.5	(D)	(D)
Noxubee	33	4.8	2 187	3.0	30	5.4	17 019	1.5	1	37.4	(D)	(D)
Oktibbeha	26	4.4	2 408	.9	16	7.9	3 341	1.1	6	15.3	343	13.1
Panola	11	8.2	167	.7	19	7.7	3 031	1.3	3	20.2	(D)	(D)
Pearl River	39	5.1	625	3.6	25	7.6	445	9.6	13	10.0	277	8.0
Perry	8	13.1	28	25.9	14	10.0	274	22.0	1	—	(D)	(D)
Pike	73	3.0	6 763	1.6	15	10.0	406	15.9	4	20.4	44	20.0
Pontotoc	21	7.5	670	4.8	25	7.3	709	9.4	3	22.6	207	21.6
Prentiss	14	8.5	728	3.6	21	8.1	791	8.6	2	27.6	(D)	(D)
Quitman	—	—	—	—	1	41.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Rankin	12	10.1	585	5.8	16	8.6	7 501	4.3	8	11.0	131	19.2
Scott	21	7.7	616	2.9	24	6.6	652	18.1	3	19.0	11	17.9
Sharkey	—	—	—	—	1	49.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Simpson	20	7.6	328	14.0	20	8.8	8 013	8.0	9	10.5	164	12.6
Smith	12	9.7	389	5.2	23	5.5	973	8.2	2	24.1	(D)	(D)
Stone	7	14.3	36	16.6	17	8.8	2 183	2.6	13	9.4	429	6.6
Sunflower	—	—	—	—	1	41.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Tallahatchie	4	13.9	19	22.4	7	7.9	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Tate	44	4.0	3 417	1.4	27	6.1	1 557	7.3	4	14.7	(D)	(D)
Tippah	27	5.2	945	2.4	38	5.4	1 469	7.0	6	14.3	68	24.8
Tishomingo	4	21.6	(D)	(D)	11	10.5	814	7.9	1	42.1	(D)	(D)
Tunica	1	42.1	(D)	(D)	1	42.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Union	22	6.6	466	5.4	25	5.4	1 711	9.9	4	14.6	549	13.3
Walthall	105	1.7	9 428	.7	35	5.6	3 645	6.3	4	17.2	(D)	(D)
Warren	—	—	—	—	13	8.7	1 712	7.1	5	14.5	122	17.1
Washington	—	—	—	—	4	20.1	182	27.1	2	29.8	(D)	(D)
Wayne	7	10.7	46	11.2	32	5.2	899	9.0	7	13.7	95	23.8
Webster	6	15.5	238	13.5	13	10.4	110	16.1	—	—	—	—
Wilkinson	4	14.6	515	4.2	10	11.0	17	13.0	2	24.7	(D)	(D)
Winston	12	9.5	612	6.2	13	11.3	328	13.0	1	43.2	(D)	(D)
Yalobusha	8	10.6	223	6.0	19	7.6	2 921	2.9	—	—	—	—
Yazoo	5	14.7	152	7.9	38	4.7	13 093	1.6	5	13.6	214	15.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry — Con.							
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi ---	1 400	2.2	5 288 469	.7	1 211	.3	388 128 497	.1
Adams	6	15.8	35	18.6	1	49.7	(D)	(D)
Alcorn	20	8.8	291	10.3	—	—	—	—
Amite	11	9.9	155	12.3	—	—	—	—
Attala	24	7.0	461	10.6	2	—	(D)	(D)
Benton	9	10.4	257	25.5	—	—	—	—
Bolivar	5	15.7	87	16.3	1	—	(D)	(D)
Calhoun	13	11.6	186	13.2	—	—	—	—
Carroll	22	7.8	485	11.7	—	—	—	—
Chickasaw	21	8.6	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Choctaw	5	16.2	81	16.6	—	—	—	—
Claiborne	15	9.9	1 491	3.7	—	—	—	—
Clarke	10	13.5	164	15.7	1	—	(D)	(D)
Clay	15	9.7	276	12.9	—	—	—	—
Coahoma	3	19.0	69	19.4	—	—	—	—
Copiah	15	9.7	690	13.1	25	—	9 386 690	—
Covington	32	6.6	133 633	6.6	28	1.5	9 869 170	(L)
De Soto	29	6.3	566	8.2	3	19.8	10	18.8
Forrest	11	10.7	171	16.1	12	—	3 333 838	—
Franklin	10	12.7	150	13.5	—	—	—	—
George	26	7.8	529	9.8	1	47.8	(D)	(D)
Greene	28	6.7	58 354	2.8	23	2.7	5 530 984	.7
Grenada	10	11.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Hancock	3	16.1	32	19.4	—	—	—	—
Harrison	20	8.3	1 444	4.1	—	—	—	—
Hinds	45	5.8	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Holmes	23	8.1	384	9.6	—	—	—	—
Humphreys	1	49.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Issaquena	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Itawamba	14	9.3	(D)	(D)	23	—	11 168 800	—
Jackson	30	6.8	681	9.7	—	—	—	—
Jasper	14	9.8	(D)	(D)	33	2.8	8 757 964	.8
Jefferson	11	11.6	206	12.0	2	24.2	(D)	(D)
Jefferson Davis	22	8.3	(D)	(D)	11	2.4	2 926 000	.4
Jones	42	4.4	179 083	3.1	150	.8	36 491 075	.3
Kemper	15	10.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lafayette	9	12.4	146	16.2	—	—	—	—
Lamar	26	7.7	(D)	(D)	18	2.6	5 661 467	(L)
Lauderdale	12	9.5	377	13.3	—	—	—	—
Lawrence	13	9.4	(D)	(D)	4	6.6	1 665 600	1.6
Leake	33	5.1	383 820	3.2	109	.8	29 561 970	.2
Lee	23	7.0	(D)	(D)	6	—	1 266 648	—
Leflore	3	23.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lincoln	18	8.5	275	11.3	3	—	1 501 022	—
Lowndes	9	10.7	154	15.3	—	—	—	—
Madison	18	9.5	180	13.4	—	—	—	—
Marion	27	6.7	40 769	8.7	3	10.0	(D)	(D)
Marshall	23	8.6	585	16.3	1	43.8	(D)	(D)
Monroe	9	11.2	182	9.9	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Montgomery	9	14.6	109	16.6	—	—	—	—
Neshoba	18	8.8	56 242	11.0	65	.6	21 167 828	.1
Newton	34	5.6	265 790	6.2	59	2.0	17 366 255	.6
Noxubee	13	10.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Oktibbeha	21	7.8	1 446	4.2	1	—	(D)	(D)
Panola	24	8.3	468	10.6	—	—	—	—
Pearl River	38	6.5	765	9.2	2	22.2	(D)	(D)
Perry	15	9.9	(D)	(D)	16	2.3	4 586 224	.6
Pike	27	7.8	462	9.4	1	—	(D)	(D)
Pontotoc	22	7.9	601	14.8	2	—	(D)	(D)
Prentiss	16	10.4	515	17.2	—	—	—	—
Quitman	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rankin	18	6.8	146 629	1.7	44	—	17 554 489	—
Scott	46	3.7	612 394	1.5	164	.5	73 923 508	.1
Sharkey	2	21.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Simpson	31	4.7	1 478 010	(L)	101	1.0	38 481 870	.2
Smith	22	7.3	168 395	6.6	206	.8	63 212 670	.2
Stone	21	8.6	389	10.4	4	—	969 490	—
Sunflower	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tallahatchie	5	12.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Tate	18	8.0	296	9.9	—	—	—	—
Tippah	25	6.5	1 582	11.6	2	20.8	(D)	(D)
Tishomingo	19	8.6	396	13.5	—	—	—	—
Tunica	3	21.7	21	23.0	—	—	—	—
Union	24	6.8	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)
Walthall	24	6.4	339	6.7	—	—	—	—
Warren	4	15.2	54	15.4	—	—	—	—
Washington	3	12.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Wayne	20	7.4	57 337	4.2	76	.5	17 887 253	.2
Webster	5	19.8	115	23.4	1	42.9	(D)	(D)
Wilkinson	13	10.7	161	13.3	—	—	—	—
Winston	29	6.9	(D)	(D)	3	—	773 200	—
Yalobusha	10	11.3	174	12.3	—	—	—	—
Yazoo	17	8.4	268	8.5	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed					Sorghum for grain or seed						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	3 377	1.5	269 080	.6	23 869 788	.5	662	1.1	105 151	.6	7 172 060	.5
Adams	6	—	1 797	—	178 610	—	1	49.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Alcorn	102	3.8	7 083	3.0	751 481	3.0	20	6.6	2 314	1.7	191 480	1.7
Amite	18	6.8	2 092	1.0	190 990	.8	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Attala	26	6.3	607	2.3	48 168	1.2	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Benton	75	3.3	8 691	2.6	946 425	2.6	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bolivar	12	8.2	1 987	1.0	169 690	1.7	12	4.9	1 489	.6	126 680	.6
Calhoun	72	4.3	2 903	2.6	258 188	2.4	26	6.3	2 217	5.6	145 050	5.4
Carroll	58	3.6	3 524	3.0	355 777	4.1	7	6.2	637	1.4	50 302	.3
Chickasaw	72	3.6	7 690	1.4	701 390	1.5	13	8.7	813	9.4	50 649	9.1
Choctaw	23	6.1	820	4.0	84 227	3.2	3	18.6	281	8.5	(D)	(D)
Claiborne	17	7.6	3 697	1.6	301 351	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clarke	27	7.0	292	7.8	15 515	11.4	2	22.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Clay	29	5.3	4 710	1.6	485 990	.9	5	13.0	511	3.7	40 640	3.6
Coahoma	7	—	5 773	—	708 016	—	20	2.5	3 500	.1	283 597	.1
Copiah	15	7.6	2 281	2.2	187 950	1.6	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Covington	55	5.2	2 089	7.4	132 781	7.2	2	24.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
De Soto	40	3.2	8 319	.4	1 033 050	.4	6	4.7	306	3.8	20 392	2.8
Forrest	15	7.8	1 183	2.7	147 809	1.7	6	11.2	707	8.5	70 135	12.0
Franklin	18	6.0	3 907	6.3	260 163	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
George	67	4.5	2 647	4.0	214 496	3.7	7	6.8	415	2.9	32 806	2.3
Greene	60	4.7	1 547	4.6	95 373	4.3	2	18.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Grenada	18	6.2	1 196	2.2	123 486	2.0	3	11.7	136	12.9	(D)	(D)
Hancock	2	14.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harrison	9	12.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hinds	42	5.6	2 203	1.7	181 168	1.3	7	—	1 601	—	95 289	—
Holmes	59	3.4	4 698	2.2	368 038	1.8	17	3.5	3 264	2.2	228 661	1.8
Humphreys	40	2.4	10 199	1.7	608 109	1.4	13	—	2 439	—	155 837	—
Issaquena	8	6.2	2 021	.3	218 770	.3	17	5.4	4 214	4.1	271 161	2.8
Itawamba	84	4.1	3 637	2.4	281 336	2.6	6	11.6	582	4.9	23 895	4.7
Jackson	15	9.4	596	10.4	45 408	10.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jasper	16	8.2	148	6.3	12 440	4.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson	7	5.7	1 852	3.3	216 416	3.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Davis	54	4.7	2 345	7.4	121 495	6.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jones	31	6.4	439	7.0	17 739	9.6	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kemper	34	6.4	807	6.5	40 989	6.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lafayette	37	5.0	1 301	5.3	94 496	6.5	6	—	98	12.7	5 962	12.0
Lamar	30	7.6	884	10.0	57 095	11.3	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lauderdale	21	8.6	166	9.8	6 590	12.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lawrence	35	5.4	3 111	3.4	225 279	2.7	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Leake	22	6.8	556	10.5	45 517	8.5	3	12.6	110	5.2	(D)	(D)
Lee	95	3.2	9 100	2.1	770 271	2.1	11	7.8	724	4.3	33 731	4.2
Leflore	51	.7	14 145	.1	1 512 547	.1	25	2.7	4 787	.7	379 295	.3
Lincoln	10	8.6	1 311	5.0	79 290	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lowndes	71	3.2	11 978	3.0	849 097	2.9	3	—	240	—	12 100	—
Madison	35	5.4	4 032	1.0	301 267	1.2	6	5.9	690	1.5	58 914	1.2
Marion	44	5.4	1 996	4.2	114 661	6.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marshall	91	3.9	6 497	4.1	693 049	4.2	9	7.4	1 008	1.6	57 415	1.3
Monroe	108	2.8	10 107	1.4	781 510	1.3	2	17.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Montgomery	50	5.0	1 976	2.7	183 971	2.3	3	15.8	200	4.8	10 000	4.8
Neshoba	32	6.0	192	8.3	9 854	8.6	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Newton	27	7.0	271	17.9	16 446	23.3	6	10.6	306	16.1	3 432	14.3
Noxubee	114	2.4	21 970	1.2	1 959 517	1.2	14	6.7	883	10.0	50 860	10.1
Oktibbeha	10	7.3	2 026	.5	132 605	.6	5	5.6	(D)	(D)	26 576	.5
Panola	54	3.7	4 763	.3	527 786	.2	12	3.9	1 898	3.1	109 937	.8
Pearl River	22	6.6	442	3.1	20 122	3.0	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Perry	37	5.4	1 177	8.1	99 994	7.8	3	12.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Pike	24	6.3	1 906	12.4	117 752	11.5	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Pontotoc	86	4.0	3 877	3.2	367 361	2.9	4	24.1	196	31.6	11 220	36.1
Prentiss	69	4.0	4 103	1.8	370 325	1.7	11	10.8	631	11.5	29 998	11.5
Quitman	4	—	444	—	19 595	—	61	3.0	8 523	1.8	582 908	1.9
Rankin	20	6.4	1 799	5.7	133 620	6.1	2	16.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Scott	36	5.9	749	3.7	56 607	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sharkey	14	—	4 555	—	437 660	—	26	1.7	7 610	2.6	592 559	2.9
Simpson	50	5.2	1 503	2.1	112 032	1.4	1	49.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Smith	21	6.9	350	5.0	20 462	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stone	19	8.5	206	10.7	16 312	11.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sunflower	12	4.2	2 172	(L)	139 624	(L)	14	2.9	2 216	1.6	154 536	1.7
Tallahatchie	15	6.2	896	.8	105 192	.3	18	2.7	4 352	.3	213 972	.2
Tate	37	3.6	2 417	.7	287 934	.7	10	3.7	808	4.4	47 417	4.7
Tippah	171	2.6	5 507	2.1	491 271	2.1	6	13.2	219	13.1	14 466	13.4
Tishomingo	69	4.4	1 953	2.6	134 655	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tunica	14	3.0	1 975	(L)	216 900	(L)	27	2.8	5 418	.4	343 650	.5
Union	152	2.4	6 882	2.4	610 479	2.4	14	7.5	469	7.6	29 364	8.3
Walthall	32	5.6	2 187	2.8	122 050	2.9	7	5.6	860	11.4	62 400	12.6
Warren	18	3.0	3 649	2.3	319 282	2.5	5	8.8	991	24.4	63 420	18.1
Washington	23	2.0	2 030	.2	190 326	.2	58	2.2	11 926	1.4	865 263	1.4
Wayne	61	4.3	2 267	3.2	189 511	3.0	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Webster	26	7.4	796	10.3	49 985	8.4	6	11.0	488	15.5	17 040	13.3
Wilkinson	14	8.1	1 333	1.9	147 298	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Winston	34	6.5	308	9.2	17 984	12.6	1	44.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Yalobusha	35	5.1	896	2.8	76 540	1.9	10	5.8	672	.6	46 405	.3
Yazoo	92	2.1	12 192	.8	1 121 899	.7	61	1.9	19 731	.6	1 344 563	.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Wheat for grain					Rice						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	1 064	1.1	180 840	.5	6 749 633	.4	748	.9	270 497	.4	15 630 876	.4
Adams	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alcorn	7	13.4	277	8.0	8 227	6.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Attala	5	9.1	386	2.7	19 720	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Benton	5	6.7	351	3.8	13 440	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bolivar	108	2.2	22 577	1.1	814 668	1.2	209	1.4	80 399	.7	4 690 220	.7
Calhoun	10	8.4	678	6.0	34 854	5.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carroll	11	5.2	876	2.5	53 248	.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Chickasaw	11	5.5	1 237	5.6	47 829	7.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Choctaw	2	25.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Claiborne	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clarke	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clay	5	9.2	807	3.4	42 719	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coahoma	66	2.2	18 239	1.0	714 916	.9	44	1.4	15 965	.7	1 010 195	.7
Copiah	4	17.0	365	19.9	10 300	17.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Covington	5	8.7	902	.2	42 134	.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
De Soto	19	3.4	13 719	.1	432 095	.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Forrest	5	14.7	191	18.6	6 826	18.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Franklin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
George	14	7.9	1 152	6.3	39 164	5.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Greene	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grenada	5	—	921	—	39 570	—	5	12.5	992	10.4	50 868	9.7
Hancock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harrison	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hinds	12	5.4	1 657	1.9	75 135	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Holmes	11	8.2	1 097	5.1	39 526	7.3	7	8.4	2 128	7.0	114 147	6.6
Humphreys	37	3.3	5 466	1.8	208 787	1.7	26	3.2	7 449	2.5	435 311	3.5
Issaquena	22	2.4	4 405	.3	167 370	.4	5	—	3 081	—	170 525	—
Itawamba	7	10.7	855	3.4	19 325	5.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jackson	2	14.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jasper	4	9.5	86	4.4	3 030	5.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson	1	28.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Davis	5	5.2	479	1.1	19 100	.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jones	6	8.3	1 169	6.9	31 944	6.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kemper	4	16.8	126	18.8	3 660	19.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lafayette	2	24.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lamar	6	11.8	978	12.7	37 440	11.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lauderdale	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lawrence	6	10.2	222	6.3	10 040	6.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Leake	4	13.3	157	10.5	5 670	11.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lee	27	4.9	1 703	3.6	37 798	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Leflore	18	2.0	2 031	.1	70 676	.1	44	2.6	12 142	1.3	692 462	1.2
Lincoln	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lowndes	26	5.3	1 871	4.8	67 180	6.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison	9	8.5	1 643	2.8	51 136	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marion	2	14.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marshall	10	6.9	3 030	1.7	44 104	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Monroe	36	4.8	3 742	2.2	114 951	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montgomery	4	16.2	110	17.9	5 400	19.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Neshoba	2	23.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Newton	4	16.0	275	17.4	15 400	18.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Noxubee	41	2.9	6 145	2.2	274 593	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oktibbeha	4	7.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Panola	15	5.8	2 855	2.9	126 203	1.3	9	1.8	1 511	.7	85 232	1.5
Pearl River	9	9.3	1 629	8.9	53 840	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Perry	3	12.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pike	2	23.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pontotoc	14	9.2	931	9.5	24 887	6.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Prentiss	20	6.2	2 753	3.4	95 575	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quitman	52	3.0	6 041	1.6	231 691	2.0	54	2.8	18 007	1.6	971 883	1.2
Rankin	6	11.7	305	14.7	13 995	15.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Scott	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sharkey	30	1.9	6 591	.5	275 240	.5	31	2.1	12 107	2.4	692 276	1.8
Simpson	3	15.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Smith	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stone	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sunflower	68	2.4	8 694	.9	254 631	1.1	124	1.6	42 838	.6	2 376 267	.6
Tallahatchie	22	3.3	2 286	1.5	74 992	1.6	42	1.9	12 246	1.4	762 352	1.1
Tate	10	6.7	1 969	1.0	95 886	.9	5	5.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Tippah	6	11.1	547	6.8	16 446	9.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tishomingo	10	9.9	1 140	8.2	38 886	5.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tunica	49	2.2	12 943	1.0	552 966	.5	49	1.6	22 396	.5	1 152 520	.6
Union	8	10.2	317	10.6	11 813	11.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Walthall	4	—	870	—	13 900	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Warren	13	8.2	1 867	14.7	32 402	6.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington	89	1.7	18 826	.4	797 623	.4	88	1.5	36 520	.5	2 270 560	.4
Wayne	3	8.8	445	4.8	8 730	9.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Webster	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilkinson	3	11.7	237	9.3	7 460	15.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Winston	3	19.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yalobusha	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yazoo	20	1.6	5 750	(L)	243 917	(L)	4	—	1 538	—	88 795	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.											
	Cotton					Soybeans for beans						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi	3 344	1.0	1 332 855	.3	2 075 048	.2	4 644	1.2	1 652 840	.4	53 569 740	.4
Adams	6	—	2 080	—	3 850	—	11	—	22 894	—	858 462	—
Alcorn	17	8.9	2 356	4.9	2 584	4.2	80	4.2	6 902	3.7	164 401	4.0
Amite	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	16.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Attala	50	3.5	11 025	1.4	12 195	1.1	10	4.8	1 357	2.5	38 490	2.4
Benton	58	3.7	12 182	2.5	11 072	2.0	24	5.3	4 857	2.6	159 769	2.5
Bolivar	151	1.7	69 505	.4	117 678	.2	373	1.5	202 508	.6	7 408 100	.6
Calhoun	124	2.8	23 443	1.4	33 084	1.4	78	3.5	12 982	1.7	356 008	1.6
Carroll	61	3.0	16 084	1.4	26 626	1.3	36	3.4	6 559	2.3	168 112	2.1
Chickasaw	18	6.5	7 158	1.5	7 297	1.8	107	3.0	24 168	2.4	641 826	2.4
Choctaw	5	11.4	1 315	3.3	1 527	4.7	7	11.4	685	13.3	22 100	12.6
Claiborne	9	9.6	4 391	2.0	6 112	2.4	4	—	546	—	14 390	—
Clarke	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clay	8	5.7	2 300	.7	2 884	.5	43	4.2	18 107	1.6	541 025	1.4
Coahoma	131	1.4	100 082	.2	177 034	.2	173	1.3	108 519	.3	4 012 696	.3
Copiah	9	7.5	2 152	2.6	3 197	1.7	5	6.2	3 420	2.1	99 130	1.4
Covington	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	6	13.3	1 304	11.1	41 937	6.9
De Soto	38	3.0	16 108	.5	25 137	.3	59	2.7	30 608	.6	972 243	.5
Forrest	—	—	—	—	—	—	10	9.4	2 263	5.4	91 683	5.1
Franklin	3	13.4	297	7.9	307	8.2	1	41.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
George	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	6.7	3 080	5.5	92 077	5.2
Greene	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	12.3	430	9.4	11 528	10.4
Grenada	44	3.7	10 454	1.6	13 520	1.9	31	3.9	11 107	2.1	299 920	2.6
Hancock	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	25.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Harrison	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hinds	44	3.4	16 340	1.2	22 500	1.3	37	3.7	9 919	1.6	293 905	1.5
Holmes	154	2.5	72 634	.8	102 490	.6	79	2.4	24 518	1.1	733 166	.6
Humphreys	141	1.6	57 631	.5	91 535	.3	128	1.7	36 680	1.3	1 271 691	1.4
Issaquena	72	2.0	29 205	.6	45 738	.6	86	1.8	53 742	1.0	1 845 589	.8
Itawamba	41	5.3	4 894	3.1	5 779	2.6	58	4.7	9 427	2.5	232 913	2.6
Jackson	—	—	—	—	—	—	10	11.1	1 531	7.9	45 372	7.8
Jasper	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	12	4.7	3 256	1.3	6 121	1.5	8	3.6	1 917	1.1	68 358	.9
Jefferson Davis	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	14.5	865	15.4	37 147	19.3
Jones	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	10.7	408	10.9	8 245	11.6
Kemper	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	10.0	493	6.6	16 335	5.2
Lafayette	36	4.8	4 779	4.2	5 728	4.1	19	6.8	1 903	4.0	55 873	4.5
Lamar	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	17.5	643	20.0	22 889	20.1
Lauderdale	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lawrence	—	—	—	—	—	—	11	8.9	3 721	3.2	98 584	2.9
Leake	15	7.0	2 326	5.4	3 376	5.1	6	8.9	1 452	9.9	36 650	11.4
Lee	26	4.9	6 204	.4	7 888	.7	170	2.5	43 013	1.2	1 259 775	1.1
Leflore	172	1.2	94 763	1.1	153 088	.3	189	1.1	71 319	.6	2 337 417	.4
Lincoln	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	14.5	235	16.8	5 450	17.3
Lowndes	31	4.4	7 997	3.2	9 799	3.1	67	3.3	24 449	2.2	599 875	2.4
Madison	82	2.2	44 287	.7	61 435	.6	38	3.4	7 743	3.1	221 478	3.8
Marion	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marshall	68	3.8	17 128	1.1	19 823	1.1	54	4.2	13 184	1.5	349 528	1.3
Monroe	54	3.4	13 426	1.2	18 562	1.2	150	2.5	41 506	1.6	1 190 025	1.5
Montgomery	61	3.9	10 946	2.1	16 506	2.4	19	8.1	1 787	7.7	47 378	7.5
Neshoba	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	21.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Newton	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	11.2	2 140	7.1	74 850	6.0
Noxubee	15	5.8	5 655	3.1	8 983	2.7	142	2.3	42 776	1.6	1 219 816	1.6
Oktibbeha	3	9.3	395	7.1	550	4.1	29	5.2	5 488	3.9	122 925	3.8
Panola	105	2.2	41 809	.8	61 431	.8	123	2.6	35 070	1.2	940 415	1.0
Pearl River	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	12	7.0	2 795	2.8	49 429	3.9
Perry	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	11.1	1 749	9.0	51 567	9.4
Pike	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	13.3	364	9.1	14 616	8.4
Pontotoc	56	5.0	8 657	3.7	10 651	3.8	181	2.9	28 523	2.8	764 473	3.1
Prentiss	32	5.3	5 556	1.1	5 501	1.1	115	3.2	21 824	2.6	535 535	2.9
Quitman	107	2.1	40 127	.7	64 116	.5	183	1.8	82 099	.8	2 374 212	.6
Rankin	20	4.3	5 139	2.7	8 402	2.1	28	5.2	3 853	6.5	111 291	6.7
Scott	8	8.2	2 036	6.0	3 018	5.6	14	6.4	3 082	4.5	117 969	4.2
Sharkey	84	1.4	53 475	.2	101 591	.2	104	1.3	67 192	.6	2 366 223	.5
Simpson	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	12.3	410	3.6	16 174	4.1
Smith	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Stone	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	16.3	825	4.2	(D)	(D)
Sunflower	196	1.4	107 920	.3	161 836	.3	251	1.3	106 459	.6	3 702 608	.5
Tallahatchie	158	1.6	72 172	.4	119 559	.3	199	1.7	87 848	.7	2 875 255	.6
Tate	67	3.0	14 059	1.6	19 502	1.5	88	3.1	13 103	1.8	410 631	2.1
Tippah	37	4.5	4 269	2.6	4 842	2.7	90	3.3	9 270	2.2	268 350	2.5
Tishomingo	8	9.4	900	3.1	706	2.4	31	6.4	3 625	8.2	82 055	6.8
Tunica	57	1.8	46 336	.2	83 005	.2	105	1.2	121 384	.4	3 945 292	.3
Union	68	3.2	7 594	1.7	7 836	1.9	156	2.2	19 827	1.6	505 612	1.7
Walthall	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	9.7	(D)	(D)	22 050	2.6
Warren	44	2.9	20 737	.5	28 795	.5	59	3.3	17 291	2.1	620 664	2.7
Washington	193	1.2	96 626	.3	171 531	.3	248	1.2	104 750	.7	3 866 016	.5
Wayne	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	11.5	600	8.8	18 653	8.3
Webster	58	4.4	15 959	1.8	24 395	1.6	20	7.3	2 709	4.2	75 690	3.8
Wilkinson	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	7.0	1 575	6.9	52 132	6.1
Winston	12	9.4	1 498	6.9	2 071	7.2	10	9.7	817	12.9	18 528	17.8
Yalobusha	42	4.3	10 601	1.5	13 982	1.5	33	4.8	4 392	1.6	113 728	1.5
Yazoo	226	1.3	101 104	.4	151 116	.3	139	1.5	46 495	.5	1 407 833	.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 — Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested — Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mississippi ----	15 121	1.7	639 152	1.3	1 317 502	1.3
Adams -----	45	4.7	2 221	4.3	3 236	4.1
Alcorn -----	227	2.8	9 249	3.8	14 896	3.5
Amite -----	266	2.0	16 441	1.8	36 276	1.9
Attala -----	205	2.0	8 328	3.0	18 020	3.2
Benton -----	107	2.7	4 757	2.0	8 416	2.1
Bolivar -----	13	8.5	(D)	(D)	330	10.2
Calhoun -----	214	2.8	9 249	3.2	18 564	3.1
Carroll -----	211	2.2	11 011	2.0	24 742	2.0
Chickasaw -----	231	2.5	13 136	2.2	27 184	2.1
Choctaw -----	121	2.2	4 276	3.6	6 941	4.6
Claiborne -----	79	4.0	5 673	4.8	10 473	4.7
Clarke -----	183	3.0	6 816	2.9	14 904	3.2
Clay -----	183	2.9	10 088	2.9	20 520	2.6
Coahoma -----	9	10.0	522	9.5	601	16.4
Copiah -----	245	2.1	10 377	2.5	21 268	2.6
Covington -----	261	2.7	9 780	3.4	19 377	4.1
De Soto -----	228	2.2	12 170	2.0	26 665	2.2
Forrest -----	99	3.0	2 694	3.7	5 150	5.3
Franklin -----	87	2.9	2 713	2.9	6 038	4.2
George -----	169	3.3	4 010	3.3	8 737	3.4
Greene -----	171	2.9	5 114	2.9	9 451	3.3
Grenada -----	109	2.5	7 018	2.1	15 316	2.2
Hancock -----	73	3.3	3 032	4.6	4 506	5.8
Harrison -----	70	4.2	1 080	4.5	1 694	4.4
Hinds -----	313	2.3	14 420	1.8	32 389	1.9
Holmes -----	146	3.1	8 315	2.7	13 153	3.2
Humphreys -----	10	9.4	420	4.9	563	4.8
Issaquena -----	8	8.7	550	.4	1 385	.6
Itawamba -----	215	2.7	5 655	3.7	14 723	5.1
Jackson -----	76	3.7	2 469	4.7	4 662	7.1
Jasper -----	223	2.3	8 871	3.0	18 609	3.0
Jefferson -----	76	3.5	4 243	5.0	7 887	6.0
Jefferson Davis -----	241	2.7	8 844	2.7	17 944	3.0
Jones -----	383	1.9	12 967	1.9	28 726	1.9
Kemper -----	238	2.5	9 562	2.5	17 780	2.6
Lafayette -----	202	2.3	9 682	2.9	16 521	3.9
Lamar -----	165	3.3	5 261	4.4	12 686	3.9
Lauderdale -----	218	2.7	8 863	2.4	14 198	2.7
Lawrence -----	186	2.8	5 957	3.4	12 836	3.7
Leake -----	345	1.9	11 589	2.0	27 871	2.2
Lee -----	288	2.2	11 738	2.0	23 828	2.0
Leflore -----	10	10.6	477	3.3	1 016	6.3
Lincoln -----	289	2.1	13 025	2.4	26 634	2.6
Lowndes -----	125	2.6	9 114	1.8	16 376	2.3
Madison -----	189	2.7	9 519	2.9	18 507	3.5
Marion -----	263	2.4	10 753	2.2	24 661	2.3
Marshall -----	259	3.0	13 164	3.0	25 183	2.7
Monroe -----	230	2.0	11 033	1.9	23 436	2.2
Montgomery -----	131	3.0	6 321	3.0	11 981	3.3
Neshoba -----	480	1.8	19 094	1.6	38 588	1.8
Newton -----	349	2.3	13 084	2.9	32 416	2.9
Noxubee -----	178	2.5	9 961	2.1	20 280	2.1
Oktibbeha -----	187	2.7	12 818	2.7	24 846	2.8
Panola -----	272	2.3	11 757	3.1	20 591	2.8
Pearl River -----	202	2.6	11 079	1.8	26 998	1.1
Perry -----	101	2.8	2 588	3.7	5 897	4.8
Pike -----	275	2.5	14 553	2.4	30 351	2.6
Pontotoc -----	257	2.5	8 549	3.4	14 937	3.3
Prentiss -----	159	2.9	4 683	3.7	9 744	4.1
Quitman -----	5	12.3	1 040	16.2	660	12.7
Rankin -----	304	1.9	11 016	1.9	25 906	2.2
Scott -----	390	1.7	15 309	1.7	36 417	1.9
Sharkey -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Simpson -----	318	2.5	10 422	2.7	22 925	2.8
Smith -----	380	2.1	10 928	2.5	26 823	2.6
Stone -----	96	3.8	4 293	4.6	9 126	5.5
Sunflower -----	17	7.8	862	7.5	1 204	4.7
Tallahatchie -----	111	2.8	5 922	3.2	11 557	3.2
Tate -----	265	2.1	14 790	1.9	35 132	1.4
Tippah -----	320	2.1	8 856	2.5	16 176	2.8
Tishomingo -----	145	2.9	4 002	4.2	7 549	4.5
Tunica -----	4	12.4	1 917	.5	(D)	(D)
Union -----	315	1.8	8 119	2.1	17 263	2.8
Walthall -----	374	1.8	19 247	1.4	43 929	1.5
Warren -----	54	4.3	2 944	5.0	6 201	4.0
Washington -----	24	5.7	1 706	9.0	1 992	7.8
Wayne -----	255	2.3	8 408	2.4	18 245	2.8
Webster -----	155	2.9	5 866	3.3	9 598	3.2
Wilkinson -----	99	3.1	7 516	2.8	16 727	2.5
Winston -----	306	2.4	10 958	2.9	19 588	3.0
Yalobusha -----	139	2.6	6 818	3.2	12 047	3.2
Yazoo -----	148	2.6	7 003	2.1	13 552	2.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table G. State Estimates of the Not on the Mail List Component of Farm Coverage Error: 1992

[Detail may not add to total due to rounding. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census published farms		Not on mail list ¹		Percent not on mail list ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (percent)	Standard error of percent
Farms ----- number--	31 998	1.7	5 538	17.0	14.8	2.3
Land in farms ----- acres --	10 188 362	.8	334 309	23.4	3.2	.7
Average size of farm ----- acres --	318.4	1.9	60.4	19.9	(X)	(X)
Farms by size:						
Less than 10 acres -----	1 122	2.5	456	50.8	28.9	10.7
10 to 49 acres -----	5 796	2.4	3 218	23.1	35.7	5.4
Less than 50 acres -----	6 918	2.4	3 675	21.0	34.7	5.0
50 acres or more -----	25 080	1.6	1 863	23.9	6.9	1.5
50 to 99 acres -----	6 241	2.1	1 052	30.8	14.4	3.8
100 to 179 acres -----	6 556	2.0	275	63.8	4.0	2.5
180 acres or more -----	12 283	1.3	536	45.6	4.2	1.8
Harvested cropland ----- farms --	22 245	1.6	2 377	21.2	9.7	1.9
----- acres--	4 404 612	.5	100 113	33.0	2.2	.7
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 -----	4 876	2.5	2 334	25.0	32.4	5.4
\$1,000 to \$2,499 -----	4 984	2.4	1 109	35.3	18.2	5.2
Less than \$2,500 -----	9 860	2.4	3 443	21.8	25.9	4.1
\$2,500 or more -----	22 138	1.5	2 095	22.3	8.6	1.7
\$2,500 to \$9,999 -----	10 466	2.2	1 390	27.5	11.7	2.8
\$10,000 or more -----	11 672	1.2	704	37.8	5.7	2.0
Market value of agricultural products sold -----\$1,000 --	2 336 737	.3	20 490	26.4	.9	.4
Farms by standard industrial classification:						
Crops (01) -----	9 769	1.5	1 238	31.0	11.2	3.1
Livestock (02) -----	22 229	1.8	4 300	19.5	16.2	2.8
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family -----	28 263	1.8	5 364	17.1	16.0	2.4
Partnership or corporation -----	3 604	1.2	174	(H)	4.6	4.4
Other -----	131	3.1	--	(X)	--	(X)
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners -----	20 168	1.9	4 686	18.3	18.9	3.0
Part owners and tenants -----	11 830	1.5	851	36.8	6.7	2.3
Part owners -----	8 856	1.5	725	39.7	7.6	2.8
Tenants -----	2 974	1.6	126	96.3	4.1	3.8
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated -----	21 521	1.7	1 981	25.4	8.4	2.0
Not on farm operated -----	7 252	1.8	518	54.1	6.7	3.4
Not reported -----	3 225	1.8	3 039	21.2	48.5	5.6
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming -----	14 463	1.4	653	39.9	4.3	1.7
Other -----	17 535	2.1	2 641	23.8	13.1	2.8
Operators by sex:						
Male -----	29 479	1.7	4 840	17.7	14.1	2.2
Female -----	2 519	2.0	697	44.4	21.7	7.6
Operators by race:						
White -----	29 475	1.7	2 592	23.3	8.1	1.7
Black and other races -----	2 523	2.4	702	45.1	21.8	7.8
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less -----	3 958	2.2	749	39.7	15.9	5.3
5 years or more -----	20 655	1.6	1 220	33.7	5.6	1.8
Average years on present farm -----	19.2	2.3	12.3	34.7	(X)	(X)
Not reported -----	7 385	1.9	3 569	19.8	32.6	4.6
Average age of operator -----	55.3	2.4	57.1	21.0	(X)	(X)

Note: These estimates do not account for incorrectly classified farms or farms appearing more than once in the census and are subject to change in the 1992 Coverage Evaluation publication. See appendix C text for further explanation.

¹Estimates are based on a sample survey conducted independently of census data collection.