

---

# Appendix C.

## Statistical Methodology

---

### THE SCREENING PHASE AND THE MAIL LIST MODEL

The 1997 Census of Agriculture featured a pre-census screening phase that surveyed selected records, by mail or telephone, for presence or absence of agricultural activity. Records selected for screening had a low probability of qualifying as farms. All records responding to the screener and reporting no agricultural activity were removed from the census mail list. Eliminating nonfarm records from the mail list reduced respondent burden and data collection costs.

The screening phase included nearly 500,000 records. Records were selected for screening using one of the following criteria:

- 1) Records on selected agriculture specialty lists that had no other list source,
- 2) Records identified by a mail list model as having a low probability of being a farm.

A mail list model predicted the probability that an addressee on the 1997 preliminary census mail list operated a farm. The model defined groups based on combinations of characteristics such as source(s) of the mail list record, expected value of agricultural production, and geographic location. Farm proportions were estimated for these groups by calculating the proportion of 1992 census respondent records that were farms which exhibited the characteristics defined by the group. This proportion, also called the in-scope rate, provided an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

Each address record on the 1997 preliminary census mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms. Records with a farm probability of approximately 30 percent or less were selected for screening, along with records included on selected agriculture specialty lists as noted above.

Before screening, the preliminary census mail list consisted of 3,314,790 records. There were 478,298 records selected for screening. Of these, 125,570 records were determined to be nonfarms as a result of the screening phase and were removed. These records were removed from the final census mail list. The remaining 3,189,220 records received census report forms.

1997 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

### CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

All name and address records on the final census mail list were designated to receive a 1997 Census of Agriculture report form. Two different types of census report forms, sample and nonsample, were used to collect data. Sections 1 through 20 and 28 through 32 of the sample form were identical to sections on the nonsample census form. Sample form sections 21 through 27 contained additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, farm-related income, and hired workers. There were 11 regional versions of the nonsample form and 13 regional versions of the sample form with listings of crops varying by region. These different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Mail list records were selected into the sample with certainty if they (1) were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) were multi-unit operations (i.e., separate farms producing under one company organization), (3) were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1992, or (4) had other special characteristics. Farms with special characteristics were abnormal farms, such as institutional farms, experimental and research farms, and Indian reservations. Mail list records in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2; records in counties containing 200 to 299 farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 4; and records in counties containing 300 or more farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. The remaining mail list records not chosen to receive the sample form received the nonsample census form. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties.

### EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The census of agriculture complex edit and imputation system is an automated computerized system that performed the following functions:

- Ensured reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, combinations of commodities, and economic interactions.
- Ensured necessary consistencies were present (there were more than 70 distinct consistency requirements).
- Ensured climatic, geographic, legal, and physical constraints were met.

The system performed these and similar functions for more than 900 data key codes for sample records and approximately 850 data key codes for nonsample records.

For the 1997 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data for that record from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known fixed price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships was assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several Standard Industrial Classifications and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for the same sections of the report form was processed by the

computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions. An edit run usually consisted of 10,000 or more records.

After the initial computer edit, all keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data had been keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for 17 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy and to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record re-edited.

## CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1997 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures to account for whole farm nonresponse and sample data collection. The procedures were necessary because some farm operators did not respond to the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and estimates for certain data items were based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

### Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

Whole farm nonresponse to the census occurred when a response was never received for a record. If the record was a large farm, as defined by value of production or acreage, or a unique farm operation, intensive telephone or personal followup was conducted during census processing to obtain a response. If these attempts failed, either the NASS survey database, the census historic database, or other more current sources were used to impute data for the record.

During mail list development, the State Statistical Offices (SSOs), in an effort to reduce respondent burden, identified records that participated in multiple NASS surveys and/or situations where there were special reporting relationships between an enumerator and a respondent. These records were referred to as tagged records. The SSOs had full responsibility for the data collection for these records, including imputation of data for the record if a response was not obtainable.

Whole farm nonresponse that occurred within the remaining universe of records was accounted for by a statistical weighting procedure. The weights of the responding farms were adjusted to account for farms that did not respond. The information needed for this process was obtained from the 1997 Nonresponse Survey. The SSOs conducted the nonresponse survey using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (Blaise-CATI) or personal enumeration when telephone contact was not possible. Alaska and Rhode

Island were not eligible for the survey because all nonrespondents were subject to extensive followup. In these cases, data were collected by telephone or other methods. The nonresponse survey collected information from a sample of census nonrespondents to determine farm status and estimate the proportion of farms in the nonresponse universe. The information was then used to estimate the number of nonresponding farm operations by State and county.

The 1997 Nonresponse Survey consisted of a stratified systematic sample of the nonresponse records within each State. The sample was selected near the end of the census follow-up operations. Five strata were defined to be homogeneous on probability of farm status and were based on screener status, total value produced, and list source(s) of the mail list record.

Based on survey results, estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents operating farms were made for each stratum in the State. The estimates were applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum, providing a State estimate of the number of census nonrespondents that operated farms. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms was then derived for each county by stratum. This estimation procedure assumed that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county was the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. Census respondent farms that were designated as large farms or tagged records or as farms that exhibited "rare" commodities were ineligible to represent nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting procedure. These records were assigned nonresponse weights of 1.0.

The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms, divided by the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight never exceeded 2.0. For the published tabulations of the complete count items, the noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record. For the sample count items, the noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final sample weight.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in this table are percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided in this table do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of this item nonresponse is discussed in the "Census Nonsampling Error" section.

## Sample Estimation

Sample data estimation determined the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 27 of the sample form. The estimates were obtained from a weighting procedure that assigned a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm were multiplied by 6.

The noninteger sample weight is calculated for each respondent sample farm by multiplying the noninteger nonrespondent weight by the sampling factor. For published tabulations of the sample count items, the noninteger sample weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight for each record. For certainty farms, the sampling factor equals 1 so the sample weight is just equal to the nonresponse weight. Sampling factor calculation for non-certainty farms is described below.

Within a county, the weighting procedure for non-certainty farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1997 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. The second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01, 08 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure classified the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial strata formed by the three variable groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample factor equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This factor was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure combined, when necessary, the 32 initial strata to increase the reliability of the weighting procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms or had a factor greater than twice the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2, 4, or 6,



depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2, 1 in 4, or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the 32 initial strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each final strata and used to calculate final sample factors.

The final step calculated the noninteger sample weight as the product of the final sampling factor and the noninteger nonresponse weight. As described previously, the noninteger sample weight for each record is randomly rounded to an integer weight which is used in published tabulations. For example, if the final weight for a farm was 7.2, then the record would be rounded to either 7 or 8.

## CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1997 Census of Agriculture was only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. In this context, "sample" refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive sample forms.

The standard error, or sampling error, of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples. It is a measure of precision - that is, how well an estimate from a particular sample approximates the true population parameter. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate, then multiplied by 100. The true population parameter can be defined or conceptualized several different ways. One way is to think of the true population parameter as the average result of all possible samples (selected using a given sample design). A second way is to think of the true population parameter as the figure obtained from carrying out a complete enumeration of the population.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the true population parameter.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the true population parameter.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary to produce a confidence statement for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is 0.1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94).

If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the true population parameter. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. All farm operators were asked the complete count items. Examples of complete count items were: land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Only a sample of farm operators were asked the sample count items. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 27 of the sample form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, farm-related income, and hired workers.

Variability in the estimates of complete count items was due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. With regard to the estimates of sample count items, variability was due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Therefore, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates. Percent relative standard error is a common measure of variability.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county that reported complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard errors for estimated number of farms in a county that reported a complete count item, and the bottom half relates to sample count items. These reliability estimates are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used to produce each section of table B. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for the appropriate counties in the State. To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1992 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count

item estimates came only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures. The estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Use caution when referring to the "Sample Count Item" section of table B to make inferences on counties. Some counties may have been sampled at the rate of 1 in 2 or 1 in 4, but the reliability estimates shown were computed using only data from counties sampled at the rate of 1 in 6. Therefore, the reliability estimates shown would likely be overstated (or conservative) if the county was actually sampled at a higher rate.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the standard error for percent change in State totals from 1992 to 1997. The general purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1997 and the 1992 estimate for that characteristic to the 1992 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The standard error of a percent change estimate is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in the (1) total number of farms, (2) number of large farms included with certainty, (3) size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) amount of nonresponse, (5) general agricultural characteristics, and (6) specific characteristic being measured.

The farm counts and related estimates displayed in tables A through F relate to unadjusted census totals. These totals are the same as the "Census total" displayed in the first column of table G (which will be discussed later in this appendix).

For most of the tables in this appendix, and also many of the tables throughout the publication, there is a footnote that reads "Data are based on a sample of farms." The table entries that this footnote relate to are estimates of totals. To illustrate, suppose that the entry "other farm-related income" is shown with this footnote and has some number of farms given. This number given would represent an estimated total number of farms with "other farm-related income," based on the farms that were in the sample. This number should not be interpreted as the number of farms in the sample that have "other farm-related income."

## CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts is affected jointly by sampling errors (described in the previous section) and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to

design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures. Nonsampling errors arise from many sources, including respondent or enumerator error or incorrect data keying, editing, or imputing for missing data. These nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Nonsampling error due to mail list incompleteness and duplication as well as misclassification of records on the mail list is called coverage error. The section titled "Coverage Evaluation" discusses the evaluation studies conducted to measure the extent of this error in the census.

## Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the census report form or to the questions posed by an enumerator can introduce error into the census data. To reduce reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each respondent. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on previous tests of the report form. In addition, each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency by the complex edit and imputation system.

## Item Nonresponse

As information flowed from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses were identified on the census report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the census report form that logically should have been present created a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. In this case, information from a similar farm was used to impute for these missing data items. The resulting data may have been biased if the characteristics of the nonreporting respondents were different from those of reporting respondents for those items.

## Processing Error

All phases of processing for each census report form were potential sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. An automated check-in recorded that the report had been returned and excluded from further followup mailings. Approximately one-third of the mail returns were reviewed to resolve questions dealing with multiple reports, respondent remarks, or no reported data. The remaining mail returns (about two-thirds) were batched and sent directly to data keying, along with some of the reviewed cases containing farm data. Keyed records were transmitted, formatted, and run through the complex edit and imputation system. About one-fifth of all forms edited were clerically reviewed for inconsistencies, omissions, or questionable values. While reviewing these forms, the edit review staff determined if the action taken by the computer edit and imputation system was correct. Edited records were tabulated to the county level. Each county was reviewed and, when necessary, individual records were corrected prior to publication.

Developing accurate processing methods is complicated by the complex structure of agriculture. Among the complexities are the many places to be included, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the continuing changes in the relationship of operators to the farm operated, the expiration of leases and the initiation or renewal of leases, the problem of obtaining a complete list of agriculture operations, the difficulty of contacting and identifying some types of contractor/contractee relationships, the operator's absence from the farm during the data collection period, and the operator's opinion that part or all of the operation does not qualify and should not be included in the census. During data collection and processing of the census, all operations underwent a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible.

## COVERAGE EVALUATION

### Coverage Overview

The primary objectives of the census of agriculture are to accurately count U.S. farms, measure commodity production and sales, and measure demographic characteristics of farm operators. Since 1945, an evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture to provide estimates of the completeness of census farm counts. These results help to identify problems and focus improvements for future censuses.

According to coverage evaluation results, the past five censuses of agriculture included an average of 92 percent of U.S. farms and 98 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used for an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, and the difficulty in classifying those operations just around the \$1,000 sales range. In 1997, extensive efforts were made to compile as complete and accurate a mail list as possible, while reducing the duplication and number of nonfarm operations on the list.

The 1997 coverage evaluation program was designed to measure four components of error in the census farm counts. These components include:

1. Undercount due to farms Not on the Mail List (NML)
2. Overcount due to farms Duplicated or enumerated more than once (DUP)
3. Undercount due to farms Incorrectly Classified as nonfarms (ICU)
4. Overcount due to nonfarms Incorrectly Classified as farms (ICO).

The first component, mail list undercount, is by far the largest component of coverage error. Duplication, though occurring far less frequently, can involve larger farms and have a larger impact on acreage and sales estimates. The

last two components involve the misclassification of either farms or nonfarms. Misclassification can arise from errors in either reporting or processing the data.

Table G - Coverage Estimates - illustrates the effect of coverage adjustments on census farm counts by demographic characteristics, land in farms, and total value of sales. The coverage total is defined as the net difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. The adjusted census total is the sum of the census total and the net coverage total. The relative standard error is shown for the final census coverage adjusted number. This number will be similar to the relative standard error for the census number, except when the coverage total is negative or close to zero. The coverage adjustment percentage shows the coverage total as a percentage of total census adjusted farms for that characteristic.

The 1997 Census of Agriculture is the first census to include all four components of coverage error in table G. Previous publications only included the coverage error component due to farms not on the mail list (NML). Because of this, caution should be taken when comparing coverage estimates from table G with previous years. In addition, the coverage total is a negative number for some characteristics. This means that the number of farms overcounted for this characteristic was greater than the number of farms undercounted.

### Area Frame Surveys to Measure Mail List Undercoverage

Names and addresses collected in the 1997 June Agricultural Survey and 1997 Fall Area Survey were used to estimate the undercount due to farms not on the census mail list (NML). These names were matched to the census mail list, and those that did not match were contacted by telephone or person. The enumerator verified whether the operation had reported in the census, and if not, a census of agriculture report form was completed.

The percentage of farms missed in the census varies considerably by State. In general, farms not on the mail list tended to be small in acreage, production, and sales of agricultural products. Farm operations could be missed for various reasons, including the possibility that the operation started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any agriculture-related source lists, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout.

### Classification Error Survey to Measure Three Types of Coverage Error

The remaining three types of coverage error were measured by the Classification Error Survey. This survey was used to estimate the number of farms counted more than once (DUP), the number of farms misclassified as nonfarms (ICU), and the number of nonfarms misclassified as farms (ICO). A sample of census of agriculture respondents was selected for reinterview to determine their farm/nonfarm status and collect information to identify

potential duplication. The farm classification from this interview was compared with the classification on the census of agriculture report form. Any differences between these two classifications were reconciled to determine the true farm status. Each operation was reviewed for duplication by matching the additional information received from the reinterview (landlords, tenants, other names, etc.) to the list of census respondents. Potential duplication was reviewed and discrepancies reconciled.

In general, the classification error rate is higher for small farms close to the \$1,000 agricultural sales requirement. This rate is also higher for farms with small acreage (less than 49 acres), higher for tenant farms than for full- or part-owner farms, and higher for farms where farming is not the operator's principal occupation.

### **Coverage Estimation**

The adjusted census total, T, is estimated as the census farm count, C, plus undercount and minus overcount adjustments. Undercount includes 1) farms not on the mail

list (NML) and 2) farms incorrectly classified as nonfarms (ICU). Overcount includes 3) nonfarms incorrectly classified as farms (ICO) and 4) farms duplicated in the census (DUP). Altogether, the adjusted census total is:

$$T = C + (NML + ICU) - (ICO + DUP).$$

In some States, estimates of misclassification of farms owned by operators having rare demographic characteristics were based on particularly small sample sizes. Where such small sample sizes occurred, a form of small area estimation was used in which data from similar States contributed to that State's estimates. In these cases, the coverage totals are weighted totals of the direct State estimate and the direct estimate from the region. Direct estimates were used to the largest extent possible, based on the amount of survey cases available for the particular item being estimated.

**Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1997**

Item	Percent of total	Item	Percent of total
Farms .....	11.6	Corn for grain or seed .....	4.2
Land in farms .....	5.0	Wheat for grain .....	3.8
Estimated market value of land and buildings <sup>1</sup> .....	5.9	Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Market value of agricultural products sold .....	4.3	Cattle and calves .....	5.6
Harvested cropland .....	4.5	Hogs and pigs .....	1.9
		Layers 20 weeks old and older .....	.8

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1997**

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>COMPLETE COUNT ITEM</b>		<b>SAMPLE COUNT ITEM</b>	
Number of farms reporting:		Number of farms reporting:	
25 .....	5.7	25 .....	41.4
50 .....	3.6	50 .....	28.5
75 .....	2.6	75 .....	22.7
100 .....	1.9	100 .....	19.1
150 .....	.7	150 .....	14.7
200 .....	.6	200 .....	11.8
300 .....	.5	300 .....	8.1
500 .....	.4	500 .....	2.3
750 .....	.3	750 .....	1.9
1,000 .....	.3	1,000 .....	1.6
1,500 .....	.2	1,500 .....	1.3
2,000 .....	(X)	2,000 .....	(X)





**Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE</b>			<b>TENURE OF OPERATOR</b>		
Total cropland . . . . . farms..	20 669	.4	All operators . . . . . farms..	24 279	.4
Harvested cropland . . . . . farms..	17 854	.3	Full owners . . . . . farms..	58 607 778	.2
Farms by acres harvested:			Part owners . . . . . farms..	16 675 050	.3
1 to 9 acres . . . . . farms..	1 139	1.1	Tenants . . . . . farms..	8 826	.4
10 to 19 acres . . . . . farms..	5 230	1.2	acres..	36 465 040	.3
20 to 29 acres . . . . . farms..	1 210	1.1	acres..	2 884	.7
30 to 49 acres . . . . . farms..	16 151	1.1	acres..	5 467 688	.6
50 to 99 acres . . . . . farms..	885	1.2	<b>OWNED AND RENTED LAND</b>		
100 to 199 acres . . . . . farms..	20 077	1.2	Land owned . . . . . farms..	21 546	.4
200 to 499 acres . . . . . farms..	1 268	1.0	acres..	42 759 041	.3
500 to 999 acres . . . . . farms..	46 890	1.0	Owned land in farms . . . . . farms..	21 395	.4
1,000 acres or more . . . . . farms..	1 625	.9	acres..	38 365 208	.3
Pasture or grazing only . . . . . farms..	7 269	.5	Land rented or leased from others . . . . . farms..	11 798	.4
Other cropland . . . . . farms..	1 607 455	.7	acres..	20 465 635	.3
Total woodland . . . . . farms..	9 534	.5	landlords..	25 992	.4
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured . . . . . farms..	6 621 828	.4	Rented or leased land in farms . . . . . farms..	11 710	.4
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. . . . . farms..	13 941	.4	acres..	20 242 570	.3
Irrigated land . . . . . farms..	37 974 463	.2	Land rented or leased to others . . . . . farms..	3 103	.7
Acres irrigated:			acres..	4 616 898	.6
1 to 9 acres . . . . . farms..	1 045	1.1	<b>OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
10 to 49 acres . . . . . farms..	4 948	1.3	Operators by place of residence:		
50 to 99 acres . . . . . farms..	2 489	.7	On farm operated . . . . .	17 907	.4
100 to 199 acres . . . . . farms..	62 319	.8	Not on farm operated . . . . .	4 615	.7
200 to 499 acres . . . . . farms..	1 225	1.0	Not reported . . . . .	1 757	.7
500 to 999 acres . . . . . farms..	85 706	1.0	Operators by principal occupation:		
1,000 acres or more . . . . . farms..	1 460	.9	Farming . . . . .	15 703	.4
Harvested cropland irrigated . . . . . farms..	200 522	.9	Other . . . . .	8 576	.6
Pasture and other land irrigated . . . . . farms..	1 806	.7	Operators by days worked off farm:		
Land under Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs . . . . . farms..	559 621	.7	Any . . . . .	11 280	.5
acres..	718	.8	200 days or more . . . . .	6 322	.6
acres..	483 817	.7	Operators by sex:		
acres..	316	.7	Male . . . . . farms..	21 951	.4
acres..	597 551	.6	acres..	55 860 330	.2
acres..	7 773	.5	Female . . . . . farms..	2 328	.8
acres..	1 539 009	.3	acres..	2 747 448	.9
acres..	3 866	.6	Average age of operator . . . . . years..	54.0	.6
acres..	455 475	.8	<b>FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION</b>		
Land under Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs . . . . . farms..	4 899	.6	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) . . . . . farms..	18 751	.5
acres..	2 635 081	.6	acres..	29 000 090	.4
<b>VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS<sup>1</sup></b>			Partnership . . . . . farms..	2 065	.7
Estimated market value of land and buildings . . . . . farms..	24 275	.4	acres..	7 792 104	.4
\$1,000 . . . . .	16 969 895	.8	Corporation:		
Average per farm . . . . . dollars..	699 069	1.0	Family held . . . . . farms..	2 998	.5
Average per acre . . . . . dollars..	294	1.1	acres..	16 321 757	.3
<b>VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT<sup>1</sup></b>			More than 10 stockholders . . . . . farms..	55	1.9
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment . . . . . farms..	24 258	.4	10 or less stockholders . . . . . farms..	2 943	.6
\$1,000 . . . . .	1 895 934	1.0	Other than family held . . . . . farms..	123	2.5
Average per farm . . . . . dollars..	78 157	1.1	acres..	588 569	1.2
<b>AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS<sup>1</sup></b>			More than 10 stockholders . . . . . farms..	12	6.8
Commercial fertilizer . . . . . farms..	12 284	1.3	10 or less stockholders . . . . . farms..	111	2.6
acres on which used..	6 419 445	1.1	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. . . . . farms..	342	1.6
			acres..	4 905 258	.2
			<b>HIRED FARM LABOR<sup>1</sup></b>		
			Hired workers by days worked:		
			150 days or more . . . . . farms..	4 192	2.2
			workers..	8 229	1.7
			Less than 150 days . . . . . farms..	6 959	2.0
			workers..	17 729	2.3
			<b>INJURIES AND DEATHS</b>		
			Farm-related injuries:		
			Operator and family members . . . . . farms..	388	1.5
			number..	423	1.5
			Hired workers . . . . . farms..	287	1.0
			number..	396	.9
			Farm-related deaths:		
			Operator and family members . . . . . farms..	11	—
			number..	11	—
			Hired workers . . . . . farms..	—	—
			number..	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>FARMS BY SIZE</b>			<b>LIVESTOCK</b>		
1 to 9 acres .....	farms.. 898	1.2	Cattle and calves inventory .....	farms.. 14 216	.4
10 to 49 acres .....	acres.. 3 951	1.4	number.. 2 618 319		.3
50 to 69 acres .....	farms.. 3 570	.8	Beef cows .....	farms.. 12 902	.5
70 to 99 acres .....	acres.. 92 358	.8	number.. 1 558 921		.3
100 to 139 acres .....	farms.. 813	1.3	Milk cows .....	farms.. 721	1.1
	acres.. 47 015	1.3	number.. 18 052		.6
	farms.. 946	1.2	Cattle and calves sold .....	farms.. 14 055	.4
	acres.. 76 859	1.3	number.. 1 654 014		.3
	farms.. 852	1.2	\$1,000.. 834 544		.3
	acres.. 98 255	1.2	Hogs and pigs inventory .....	farms.. 627	1.2
			number.. 177 740		.5
			Hogs and pigs sold .....	farms.. 595	1.3
			number.. 293 161		.6
			\$1,000.. 32 246		.4
			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory .....	farms.. 1 981	.7
			number.. 416 012		.6
			Sheep and lambs sold .....	farms.. 1 999	.7
			number.. 337 280		.7
			Horses and ponies inventory .....	farms.. 10 152	.5
			number.. 71 193		.6
			Horses and ponies sold .....	farms.. 1 884	.8
			number.. 7 840		2.0
			<b>POULTRY</b>		
			Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory		
			(see text) .....	farms.. 1 017	1.0
			number.. 378 562		.1
			Layers 20 weeks old and older .....	farms.. 1 001	1.0
			number.. 294 399		.1
			Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	farms.. 61	3.3
			number.. 112 821		.2
			<b>SELECTED CROPS HARVESTED</b>		
			Corn for grain or seed .....	farms.. 180	1.6
			acres.. 12 925		1.8
			bushels.. 1 616 456		1.4
			Corn for silage or green chop .....	farms.. 422	1.0
			acres.. 36 644		.9
			tons, green.. 736 202		.8
			Wheat for grain .....	farms.. 7 932	.4
			acres.. 5 602 336		.2
			bushels.. 172 214 482		.2
			Barley for grain .....	farms.. 4 423	.5
			acres.. 1 093 414		.3
			bushels.. 55 236 960		.3
			Oats for grain .....	farms.. 1 251	.8
			acres.. 66 331		.8
			bushels.. 3 501 669		.8
			Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas .....	farms.. 98	2.3
			acres.. 7 528		2.0
			cwt.. 152 454		2.0
			Potatoes, excluding sweetpotatoes .....	farms.. 134	1.7
			acres.. 10 504		.7
			cwt.. 3 382 085		.5
			Sugar beets for sugar .....	farms.. 415	1.1
			acres.. 59 345		.6
			tons.. 1 243 622		.6
			Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass		
			silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	farms.. 13 536	.4
			acres.. 2 528 517		.3
			tons, dry.. 4 745 596		.3
			Alfalfa hay .....	farms.. 10 386	.5
			acres.. 1 556 067		.4
			tons, dry.. 3 323 933		.4
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) .....	farms.. 125	2.6
			acres.. 756		3.9
			Land in orchards .....	farms.. 261	1.9
			acres.. 1 236		4.4
<b>FARMS BY NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM</b>					
Oilseed and grain farming (1111) .....	farms.. 6 748	.5			
acres.. 17 500 685		.3			
Vegetable and melon farming (1112) .....	farms.. 114	2.3			
acres.. 55 878		2.7			
Fruit and tree nut farming (1113) .....	farms.. 195	2.3			
acres.. 4 519		6.8			
Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production (1114) .....	farms.. 301	1.8			
acres.. 15 170		3.2			
Other crop farming (1119) .....	farms.. 3 216	.7			
acres.. 2 912 313		.7			
Beef cattle ranching and farming (112111) .....	farms.. 10 373	.5			
acres.. 34 141 080		.3			
Cattle feedlots (112112) .....	farms.. 265	1.9			
acres.. 477 781		1.6			
Dairy cattle and milk production (11212) .....	farms.. 128	1.7			
acres.. 131 316		1.0			
Hog and pig farming (1122) .....	farms.. 163	2.5			
acres.. 184 454		1.0			
Poultry and egg production (1123) .....	farms.. 68	3.9			
acres.. 10 407		7.9			
Sheep and goat farming (1124) .....	farms.. 726	1.2			
acres.. 975 711		1.0			
Animal aquaculture and other animal production (1125, 1129) .....	farms.. 1 982	.9			
acres.. 2 198 464		.6			

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

<sup>2</sup>Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS</b>			<b>FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES<sup>1</sup></b>		
Farms .....	14 951	.4	Total farm production expenses .....	15 000	.4
Land in farms .....	52 310 738	.2	Average per farm .....	96 823	.7
Average size of farm .....	3 499	.5	Livestock and poultry purchased .....	6 445	1.8
<b>MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD</b>			<b>NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)<sup>1</sup></b>		
Total sales (see text) .....	14 951	.4	All farms .....	15 000	.4
Average per farm .....	123 299	.5	Average per farm .....	24 583	1.8
Farms by value of sales:			Farms with net gains <sup>2</sup> .....	10 407	1.1
\$10,000 to \$19,999 .....	2 502	.8	Average net gain .....	43 861	1.6
\$1,000 .....	35 723	.8	Farms with net losses .....	4 593	2.5
\$20,000 to \$24,999 .....	913	1.2	Average net loss .....	19 098	3.5
\$1,000 .....	20 242	1.2	<b>GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME</b>		
\$25,000 to \$39,999 .....	1 857	.9	Government payments .....	9 522	.4
\$1,000 .....	59 096	.9	Other farm-related income <sup>1</sup> .....	145 173	.4
\$40,000 to \$49,999 .....	982	1.2	Customwork and other agricultural services .....	41 536	4.3
\$1,000 .....	43 718	1.2	Gross cash rent or share payments .....	14 706	8.1
\$50,000 to \$99,999 .....	3 340	.7	Forest products, excluding Christmas trees and maple products .....	327	11.1
\$1,000 .....	240 368	.7	Other farm-related income sources .....	5 674	3.1
\$100,000 to \$249,999 .....	3 696	.4	<b>COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS</b>		
\$1,000 .....	576 605	.3	Total .....	1 789	.6
\$250,000 to \$499,999 .....	1 182	—	Total .....	67 676	.4
\$1,000 .....	394 603	—			
\$500,000 or more .....	479	—			
Sales by commodity or commodity group:					
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops .....	10 223	.4			
Grains .....	894 914	.2			
Corn for grain .....	132	2.1			
Wheat .....	7 466	.4			
Soybeans .....	568 135	.2			
Sorghum for grain .....	—	—			
Barley .....	3 531	.5			
Oats .....	425	1.3			
Other grains .....	479	.8			
Cotton and cottonseed .....	—	—			
Tobacco .....	—	—			
Hay, silage, and field seeds .....	3 911	.6			
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons .....	73	3.1			
Fruits, nuts, and berries .....	47	4.8			
Nursery and greenhouse crops .....	185	2.1			
Other crops .....	595	.8			
Livestock, poultry, and their products .....	11 143	.5			
Poultry and poultry products .....	181	1.9			
Dairy products .....	193	1.3			
Cattle and calves .....	36 150	.6			
Hogs and pigs .....	10 550	.5			
Sheep, lambs, and wool .....	820 719	.3			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) .....	29 277	.6			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) .....	416	1.4			
\$1,000 .....	1 466	2.2			

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE</b>			<b>FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION</b>		
Total cropland . . . . . farms . . . . .	13 839	.4	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) . . . . . farms . . . . .	10 530	.5
Harvested cropland . . . . . acres . . . . .	16 376 158	.3	Partnership . . . . . farms . . . . .	26 708 744	.4
Cropland: . . . . . acres . . . . .	13 003	.4	Corporation: . . . . . acres . . . . .	7 481 752	.4
Pasture or grazing only . . . . . farms . . . . .	4 307	.6	Family held . . . . . farms . . . . .	2 641	.5
Total woodland . . . . . acres . . . . .	1 359 127	.8	More than 10 stockholders . . . . . acres . . . . .	15 980 551	.3
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured . . . . . farms . . . . .	9 861	.4	10 or less stockholders . . . . . farms . . . . .	50	1.6
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. . . . . farms . . . . .	33 622 733	.3	Other than family held . . . . . farms . . . . .	2 591	.5
Irrigated land . . . . . acres . . . . .	8 665	.4	More than 10 stockholders . . . . . acres . . . . .	81	2.5
Harvested cropland irrigated . . . . . farms . . . . .	770 005	.9	10 or less stockholders . . . . . farms . . . . .	534 888	1.1
Pasture and other land irrigated . . . . . acres . . . . .	6 035	.5	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. . . . . farms . . . . .	72	4.5
Land under Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs . . . . . farms . . . . .	1 889 222	.5	1 604 803	151	2.4
Reserve Programs . . . . . acres . . . . .	5 606	.5		1 604 803	.6
	1 487 676	.3	<b>HIRED FARM LABOR<sup>1</sup></b>		
	2 273	.7	Hired workers by days worked:		
	401 546	.8	150 days or more . . . . . farms . . . . .	3 824	2.2
			workers . . . . .	7 840	1.7
			Less than 150 days . . . . . farms . . . . .	5 606	2.0
			workers . . . . .	15 076	2.2
			<b>INJURIES AND DEATHS</b>		
			Farm-related injuries:		
			Operator and family members . . . . . farms . . . . .	310	1.5
			number . . . . .	343	1.6
			Hired workers . . . . . farms . . . . .	268	.9
			number . . . . .	368	.7
			Farm-related deaths:		
			Operator and family members . . . . . farms . . . . .	10	—
			number . . . . .	(D)	(D)
			Hired workers . . . . . farms . . . . .	—	—
			number . . . . .	—	—
			<b>FARMS BY SIZE</b>		
			1 to 9 acres . . . . .	188	2.3
			10 to 49 acres . . . . .	425	1.5
			50 to 69 acres . . . . .	168	2.5
			70 to 99 acres . . . . .	283	2.0
			100 to 139 acres . . . . .	321	1.8
			140 to 179 acres . . . . .	387	1.6
			180 to 219 acres . . . . .	284	2.0
			220 to 259 acres . . . . .	274	1.9
			260 to 499 acres . . . . .	1 380	1.0
			500 to 999 acres . . . . .	1 902	.9
			1,000 to 1,999 acres . . . . .	2 615	.8
			2,000 acres or more . . . . .	6 724	.4
			<b>FARMS BY NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM</b>		
			Oilseed and grain farming (1111) . . . . .	5 225	.4
			Vegetable and melon farming (112) . . . . .	87	2.3
			Fruit and tree nut farming (113) . . . . .	32	6.1
			Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production (114) . . . . .	150	2.3
			Other crop farming (119) . . . . .	1 489	.8
			Beef cattle ranching and farming (12111) . . . . .	7 020	.5
			Cattle feedlots (1212) . . . . .	133	2.0
			Dairy cattle and milk production (1212) . . . . .	127	1.7
			Hog and pig farming (122) . . . . .	78	3.3
			Poultry and egg production (123) . . . . .	19	6.1
			Sheep and goat farming (124) . . . . .	267	1.8
			Animal aquaculture and other animal production (125, 1129) . . . . .	324	1.7
			<b>LIVESTOCK</b>		
			Cattle and calves inventory . . . . . farms . . . . .	10 419	.5
			number . . . . .	2 542 226	.3
			Beef cows . . . . . farms . . . . .	9 799	.5
			number . . . . .	1 515 010	.3
			Milk cows . . . . . farms . . . . .	501	1.1
			number . . . . .	17 685	.6
			Cattle and calves sold . . . . . farms . . . . .	10 550	.5
			number . . . . .	1 620 743	.3
			\$1,000 . . . . .	820 719	.3
			Hogs and pigs inventory . . . . . farms . . . . .	428	1.4
			number . . . . .	174 503	.5
			Hogs and pigs sold . . . . . farms . . . . .	406	1.4
			number . . . . .	290 311	.5
			\$1,000 . . . . .	31 975	.4
			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory . . . . . farms . . . . .	1 304	.8
			number . . . . .	391 443	.6
			Sheep and lambs sold . . . . . farms . . . . .	1 325	.8
			number . . . . .	317 358	.7
			Horses and ponies inventory . . . . . farms . . . . .	6 157	.5
			number . . . . .	45 269	.6
			Horses and ponies sold . . . . . farms . . . . .	1 002	1.0
			number . . . . .	5 859	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. **Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>POULTRY</b>			<b>SELECTED CROPS HARVESTED—Con.</b>		
Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory (see text) .....	farms.. 494	1.3	Barley for grain .....	farms.. 4 243	.5
Layers 20 weeks old and older .....	number.. 368 322	.1	.....	acres.. 1 088 260	.3
.....	farms.. 489	1.3	Oats for grain .....	bushels.. 55 037 875	.3
.....	number.. 285 554	.1	.....	farms.. 1 157	.8
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	farms.. 31	2.9	.....	acres.. 64 760	.8
.....	number.. 110 993	.1	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas .....	bushels.. 3 425 270	.8
<b>SELECTED CROPS HARVESTED</b>			.....	farms.. 96	2.3
Corn for grain or seed .....	farms.. 177	1.7	.....	acres.. (D)	(D)
.....	acres.. 12 901	1.8	Potatoes, excluding sweetpotatoes .....	(D)	(D)
.....	bushels.. 1 614 575	1.5	.....	farms.. 123	1.7
Corn for silage or green chop .....	farms.. 411	1.0	.....	cwt.. 10 497	.7
.....	acres.. 36 484	.9	Sugar beets for sugar .....	acres.. 3 381 020	.5
.....	tons, green.. 733 712	.8	.....	cwt.. 409	1.1
Wheat for grain .....	farms.. 7 489	.4	.....	farms.. 59 293	.6
.....	acres.. 5 569 597	.2	.....	acres.. 1 242 475	.6
.....	bushels.. 171 517 584	.2	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	farms.. 9 715	.5
			.....	acres.. 2 385 738	.3
			.....	tons, dry.. 4 537 219	.3
			Alfalfa hay .....	farms.. 7 952	.5
			.....	acres.. 1 478 342	.4
			.....	tons, dry.. 3 190 842	.4
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) .....	farms.. 73	3.1
			.....	acres.. 689	4.4
			Land in orchards .....	farms.. 58	4.2
			.....	acres.. 537	9.3

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

<sup>2</sup>Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains.

**Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1992 to 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1992 to 1997	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1992 to 1997	Standard error of estimate
Farms .....	6.4	1.1	.7	.9
Land in farms .....	-1.7	.3	-2.5	.3
Average size of farm .....	-7.6	1.0	-3.1	1.0
Estimated market value of land and buildings <sup>1</sup> :				
Average per farm .....	17.5	2.1	23.7	2.4
Average per acre .....	29.5	2.2	28.7	2.4
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment <sup>1</sup> :				
Average per farm .....	17.6	2.0	18.5	2.0
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres .....	-25.7	1.5	-49.6	1.5
10 to 49 acres .....	27.3	2.2	23.9	3.3
50 to 179 acres .....	16.8	1.4	12.2	1.8
180 to 499 acres .....	13.8	1.5	9.7	1.6
500 to 999 acres .....	6.1	1.8	.3	1.8
1,000 to 1,999 acres .....	2.9	1.6	.5	1.6
2,000 acres or more .....	-2.2	.4	-1.7	.4
Total cropland .....	6.3	1.0	1.3	.9
Harvested cropland .....	.8	.5	-1	.5
Irrigated land .....	4.4	1.0	2.9	.9
Irrigated land .....	14.6	.5	15.0	.5
Market value of agricultural products sold .....	8.1	.4	8.1	.4
Average per farm .....	1.6	1.1	7.4	1.1
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops .....	30.6	.5	30.9	.5
Livestock, poultry, and their products .....	-6.9	.3	-7.1	.3
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500 .....	22.7	1.7	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999 .....	14.7	2.1	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999 .....	8.3	1.9	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999 .....	.1	1.5	.1	1.4
\$25,000 to \$49,999 .....	-6.9	1.3	-6.9	1.3
\$50,000 to \$99,999 .....	-5.3	1.1	-5.3	1.1
\$100,000 to \$249,999 .....	5.3	.4	5.3	.4
\$250,000 to \$499,999 .....	20.5	-	20.5	-
\$500,000 or more .....	29.8	-	29.8	-
Total farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> .....	8.1	.7	7.8	.7
Average per farm .....	1.7	1.2	8.2	1.3
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) <sup>1</sup> .....	6.4	1.1	-4	1.0
Average per farm .....	.3	2.4	2.4	2.2
Average per farm .....	-5.7	2.4	2.8	2.4
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming .....	-1.9	.9	-2.7	.8
Other .....	25.8	1.9	20.2	2.0
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any .....	14.7	1.5	9.0	1.5
200 days or more .....	20.2	1.8	15.9	2.0
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory .....	2.9	1.0	.7	.9
Beef cows .....	-1.0	.4	-1.3	.4
Milk cows .....	4.3	1.0	2.4	.9
Milk cows .....	3.5	.5	3.3	.4
Milk cows .....	-34.0	1.0	-38.6	.9
Milk cows .....	-19.4	.6	-19.4	.6
Cattle and calves sold .....	3.1	1.0	.6	.9
Hogs and pigs inventory .....	-1.4	.4	-1.8	.4
Hogs and pigs sold .....	-40.6	1.1	-42.9	1.1
Hogs and pigs sold .....	-20.3	.6	-19.7	.6
Hogs and pigs sold .....	-42.2	1.1	-45.4	1.1
Sheep and lambs inventory .....	-19.3	.7	-18.4	.6
Sheep and lambs inventory .....	-21.0	1.0	-17.8	1.1
Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory (see text) .....	-34.4	.5	-32.7	.5
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	-10.6	1.5	-10.2	1.7
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	-40.4	.2	-40.7	.2
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	29.8	6.8	6.9	5.2
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold .....	137.5	1.2	138.5	1.0
Selected crops harvested:				
Corn for silage or green chop .....	-13.7	1.1	-13.3	1.1
Wheat for grain .....	-13.4	.9	-13.2	.9
Wheat for grain .....	-3.4	.9	-3.2	.9
Wheat for grain .....	-5.5	.9	-3.3	.8
Wheat for grain .....	14.7	.5	15.3	.5
Wheat for grain .....	20.5	.5	20.9	.5
Barley for grain .....	-25.9	.6	-24.2	.6
Barley for grain .....	-6.4	.4	-5.7	.4
Barley for grain .....	16.6	.5	17.1	.5
Oats for grain .....	-21.3	.9	-20.1	.9
Oats for grain .....	-19.6	.8	-18.7	.8
Oats for grain .....	-21.9	.8	-21.4	.8
Sugar beets for sugar .....	-12.8	1.3	-12.8	1.3
Sugar beets for sugar .....	4.1	.8	4.2	.8
Sugar beets for sugar .....	-2.5	.7	-2.4	.7
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	12.3	1.0	10.2	1.0
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	27.5	.7	27.7	.7
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) .....	29.3	.7	29.4	.6

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm <sup>1</sup>		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment <sup>1</sup>	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b> .....	<b>24 279</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>58 607 778</b>	<b>.2</b>	<b>2 414</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>699 069</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1 895 934</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Beaverhead .....	360	.4	1 152 008	.7	3 200	.8	1 359 225	4.2	36 185	6.8
Big Horn .....	530	.9	2 770 118	.5	5 227	1.0	1 217 122	3.2	45 034	3.0
Blaine .....	541	.5	2 257 722	.6	4 173	.8	731 066	3.2	50 910	5.2
Broadwater .....	219	.5	452 744	1.5	2 067	1.6	839 152	8.8	21 164	8.8
Carbon .....	623	.3	735 910	.9	1 181	1.0	564 474	5.9	37 723	8.6
Carter .....	305	.3	1 589 372	.7	5 211	.8	591 692	3.5	23 583	4.9
Cascade .....	903	.4	1 441 261	.8	1 596	.9	619 792	4.4	55 479	3.6
Chouteau .....	750	.5	2 211 635	.5	2 949	.7	1 015 414	2.4	112 643	5.0
Custer .....	405	.4	1 897 536	.7	4 685	.8	918 508	4.3	28 309	9.9
Daniels .....	363	.7	764 544	1.0	2 106	1.2	535 291	6.2	39 853	6.8
Dawson .....	502	.4	1 417 310	.8	2 823	.9	452 426	7.6	43 957	7.2
Deer Lodge .....	83	.3	101 657	3.1	1 225	3.1	682 864	4.4	4 336	2.8
Fallon .....	309	.6	952 884	1.2	3 084	1.4	630 078	13.4	23 063	8.2
Fergus .....	816	.4	2 248 705	.6	2 756	.8	666 486	3.5	66 835	5.9
Flathead .....	898	.5	216 303	1.7	241	1.8	450 034	5.3	33 063	5.6
Gallatin .....	835	.5	759 944	1.3	910	1.4	832 394	5.6	55 283	3.6
Garfield .....	244	.5	2 163 270	.5	8 866	.7	951 733	2.2	23 259	3.1
Glacier .....	425	.8	1 622 574	.7	3 818	1.0	842 349	3.3	32 857	5.2
Golden Valley .....	118	.3	638 049	.8	5 407	.8	1 248 950	4.4	12 865	3.6
Granite .....	117	.3	268 413	1.9	2 294	1.9	950 890	5.1	8 629	4.3
Hill .....	692	.4	1 642 562	.6	2 374	.7	709 240	4.0	87 326	4.6
Jefferson .....	266	.4	364 153	1.6	1 369	1.7	549 020	4.8	7 912	5.5
Judith Basin .....	329	.5	834 711	1.0	2 537	1.1	712 901	4.3	40 684	9.3
Lake .....	1 011	.4	596 726	1.0	590	1.1	442 981	5.8	40 166	6.5
Lewis and Clark .....	502	.5	822 066	1.0	1 638	1.1	709 119	5.1	21 297	9.1
Liberty .....	280	.2	915 451	.6	3 269	.7	980 837	3.7	47 262	6.4
Lincoln .....	252	.4	46 167	3.2	1 183	3.3	461 051	9.6	5 834	10.8
McCone .....	430	.3	1 312 704	.7	3 053	.8	490 869	6.5	46 968	8.1
Madison .....	460	.3	1 079 502	.7	2 347	.8	1 108 993	6.4	29 631	4.8
Meagher .....	142	.3	940 071	.6	6 620	.7	1 987 173	2.7	13 772	1.9
Mineral .....	71	.6	16 329	4.6	230	4.7	401 063	5.7	1 894	6.2
Missoula .....	482	.5	262 419	1.9	544	1.9	494 181	8.6	16 559	13.8
Musselshell .....	232	.4	952 670	1.0	4 106	1.1	851 157	3.2	12 798	4.2
Park .....	420	.5	749 103	1.4	1 784	1.5	1 177 514	7.5	31 772	8.9
Petroleum .....	88	.4	541 361	1.1	6 152	1.2	1 110 237	3.5	6 761	6.5
Phillips .....	489	.4	1 977 811	.6	4 045	.8	683 156	6.0	42 112	6.0
Pondera .....	474	.4	878 426	.8	1 853	.9	678 198	5.5	57 624	5.5
Powder River .....	297	.4	1 559 222	.7	5 250	.8	712 457	4.1	21 899	4.9
Powell .....	230	.4	649 489	1.0	2 824	1.0	1 290 383	18.6	11 844	6.4
Prairie .....	158	.5	612 906	1.1	3 879	1.2	600 313	4.9	17 074	4.4
Ravalli .....	1 080	.5	183 647	2.3	170	2.3	393 894	5.2	32 266	8.1
Richland .....	571	.5	1 214 802	.8	2 127	.9	561 055	4.1	63 736	3.6
Roosevelt .....	609	.5	1 430 064	.6	2 348	.7	478 914	4.1	68 071	5.5
Rosebud .....	362	.5	2 680 844	.4	7 406	.6	918 793	3.6	28 307	8.1
Sanders .....	412	.6	409 965	1.7	995	1.8	463 858	8.9	12 665	11.1
Sheridan .....	581	.5	1 001 193	.7	1 723	.8	421 906	5.5	54 991	7.9
Silver Bow .....	116	.5	100 181	2.9	864	3.0	446 220	6.8	3 516	18.3
Stillwater .....	473	.4	896 739	1.0	1 896	1.1	682 354	6.3	24 819	8.9
Sweet Grass .....	301	.4	839 345	1.0	2 789	1.1	1 199 451	6.0	22 036	8.3
Teton .....	557	.3	1 116 889	.6	2 005	.7	686 080	3.9	57 642	5.6
Toole .....	382	.3	1 090 966	.8	2 856	.8	730 058	7.5	45 408	5.5
Treasure .....	110	.4	605 527	.8	5 505	.9	904 841	3.5	13 667	4.2
Valley .....	655	.6	1 786 617	.6	2 728	.9	535 490	3.5	61 973	5.8
Wheatland .....	144	.3	833 757	.7	5 790	.8	1 224 219	2.6	14 970	3.2
Wibaux .....	178	.4	475 427	1.3	2 671	1.3	448 708	15.3	12 897	8.2
Yellowstone .....	1 097	.4	1 526 007	.9	1 391	1.0	524 451	4.6	62 751	3.9

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm <sup>1</sup>		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup>			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b> .....	<b>78 157</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1 870 732</b>	<b>.2</b>	<b>77 051</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>24 274</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>1 512 749</b>	<b>.5</b>
Beaverhead .....	100 514	6.9	55 374	.4	153 815	.5	360	.8	45 645	1.8
Big Horn .....	84 971	3.1	61 126	.4	115 332	.9	530	1.0	47 933	1.9
Blaine .....	93 929	5.3	47 937	.6	88 609	.8	542	.7	38 708	2.8
Broadwater .....	96 639	8.8	20 177	.8	92 131	.9	219	1.1	15 838	5.2
Carbon .....	60 550	8.6	43 770	.5	70 257	.7	623	.6	37 630	2.7
Carter .....	77 321	5.0	26 991	.7	88 494	.8	305	.8	20 762	4.0
Cascade .....	61 439	3.7	66 371	.5	73 899	.7	903	.6	51 062	2.0
Chouteau .....	150 191	5.0	92 706	.4	123 608	.6	750	.6	73 525	2.1
Custer .....	69 900	9.9	32 586	.7	80 459	.8	405	.6	28 123	3.9
Daniels .....	110 090	6.9	25 644	.8	70 645	1.0	363	1.0	20 791	3.8
Dawson .....	87 563	7.2	34 748	.7	69 220	.8	502	.7	28 695	3.5
Deer Lodge .....	52 241	4.0	4 217	2.4	50 807	2.4	83	2.9	3 973	2.3
Fallon .....	74 638	8.3	20 407	1.1	66 042	1.2	309	.9	16 112	6.1
Fergus .....	81 905	5.9	71 841	.5	88 041	.7	816	.5	52 404	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm <sup>1</sup>		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup>			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Flathead .....	36 818	5.7	26 664	.7	29 693	.9	898	.6	22 859	3.0
Gallatin .....	66 287	3.7	58 905	.6	70 545	.8	834	.6	45 301	2.3
Garfield .....	95 323	3.3	32 030	.6	131 271	.8	244	1.0	26 092	2.3
Glacier .....	77 310	5.3	45 418	.5	106 867	.9	425	1.0	34 273	3.4
Golden Valley .....	109 026	4.1	12 942	.6	109 674	.7	118	2.0	10 829	1.9
Granite .....	73 748	4.5	9 642	1.3	82 412	1.4	117	1.3	7 882	3.0
Hill .....	126 194	4.7	67 060	.5	96 907	.6	692	.7	49 508	2.7
Jefferson .....	29 744	5.6	8 565	1.3	32 198	1.4	266	1.1	8 448	7.7
Judith Basin .....	123 660	9.4	37 595	.7	114 269	.8	329	.6	28 508	3.6
Lake .....	39 768	6.6	37 543	.7	37 134	.8	1 010	.6	32 444	5.6
Lewis and Clark .....	42 425	9.1	18 997	.9	37 842	1.0	502	.8	16 148	3.2
Liberty .....	168 794	6.4	38 479	.4	137 423	.4	280	.8	33 012	2.4
Lincoln .....	23 243	10.8	3 675	2.1	14 582	2.2	251	1.2	3 244	11.8
McCone .....	109 227	8.1	28 698	.6	66 740	.7	430	.6	23 545	4.4
Madison .....	64 555	4.8	35 456	.6	77 079	.7	459	.7	29 820	2.5
Meagher .....	96 988	2.4	22 898	.5	161 251	.6	142	1.5	18 312	1.5
Mineral .....	26 671	7.2	1 183	4.2	16 662	4.3	71	3.7	1 202	4.9
Missoula .....	34 427	13.8	8 022	1.9	16 643	2.0	481	.8	9 221	11.1
Musselshell .....	55 164	4.2	17 441	.8	75 176	.9	232	.7	14 743	2.9
Park .....	75 647	8.9	20 457	1.1	48 708	1.2	420	.7	16 434	4.6
Petroleum .....	76 830	6.9	9 371	1.3	106 484	1.4	88	2.3	6 860	4.9
Phillips .....	88 845	6.3	40 865	.6	83 569	.8	489	.8	35 593	3.0
Pondera .....	121 569	5.5	57 683	.5	121 694	.7	474	.7	45 870	2.5
Powder River .....	73 735	5.0	27 293	.7	91 895	.8	297	.9	19 922	1.7
Powell .....	51 497	6.5	17 807	1.0	77 423	1.0	230	1.0	13 454	3.1
Prairie .....	108 061	4.7	20 292	.7	128 428	.8	158	1.6	17 429	1.9
Ravalli .....	29 876	8.2	23 949	1.0	22 175	1.1	1 080	.6	21 923	6.5
Richland .....	111 427	3.6	54 075	.5	94 702	.7	572	.7	41 784	1.9
Roosevelt .....	111 775	5.5	38 812	.6	63 731	.7	609	.7	30 223	4.9
Rosebud .....	77 982	8.1	37 666	.4	104 049	.7	363	.8	30 973	3.5
Sanders .....	30 741	11.1	11 534	1.5	27 995	1.6	412	.9	11 062	9.9
Sheridan .....	94 649	7.9	35 949	.7	61 874	.8	581	.8	25 573	3.8
Silver Bow .....	30 309	18.4	3 238	2.9	27 910	2.9	116	2.0	2 149	4.2
Stillwater .....	52 583	8.9	29 001	.7	61 313	.8	472	.6	24 212	2.1
Sweet Grass .....	73 453	8.4	21 345	.9	70 914	1.0	300	1.0	19 063	2.9
Teton .....	103 486	5.6	71 962	.4	129 196	.5	557	.5	59 378	2.4
Toole .....	118 870	5.5	39 178	.5	102 560	.6	382	.6	30 150	4.3
Treasure .....	124 248	4.5	17 567	.4	159 700	.6	110	1.8	14 174	1.8
Valley .....	94 760	5.9	47 785	.6	72 954	.9	655	.8	39 159	3.2
Wheatland .....	103 961	3.4	22 834	.5	158 571	.6	144	1.3	18 699	1.6
Wibaux .....	72 453	8.3	10 562	1.2	59 337	1.3	178	1.5	8 605	4.0
Yellowstone .....	57 202	4.0	96 044	.4	87 551	.6	1 096	.6	83 469	1.2

Farm production expenses<sup>1</sup>—Con.

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b> .....	<b>8 433</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>153 915</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>13 389</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>153 271</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>8 768</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>34 059</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Beaverhead .....	176	8.5	5 946	4.2	238	5.8	4 505	3.8	87	11.1	454	6.4
Big Horn .....	237	10.1	4 576	8.6	352	6.6	4 044	6.3	183	10.8	1 043	5.2
Blaine .....	247	9.9	2 205	18.4	313	7.2	3 799	10.3	218	10.4	851	10.5
Broadwater .....	95	12.9	683	11.0	101	11.3	891	8.3	87	15.7	411	4.5
Carbon .....	256	10.7	10 027	4.7	391	6.7	4 828	7.3	230	11.8	567	13.8
Carter .....	199	7.7	3 261	8.8	265	4.4	3 708	8.0	87	15.2	177	6.4
Cascade .....	285	10.6	3 250	8.8	513	5.6	4 878	5.1	299	9.3	916	4.4
Chouteau .....	151	14.3	1 234	10.1	228	9.5	1 904	6.6	425	5.6	2 353	8.4
Custer .....	211	12.0	5 732	15.9	311	6.8	3 724	5.4	118	12.8	438	14.5
Daniels .....	45	25.9	299	15.4	104	17.3	642	13.6	111	13.0	584	9.4
Dawson .....	209	11.1	1 385	10.2	278	8.3	2 237	11.7	201	8.5	859	8.3
Deer Lodge .....	29	4.6	421	1.8	55	3.5	453	3.9	14	5.5	41	2.3
Fallon .....	162	10.6	1 903	15.7	200	8.8	2 275	9.3	97	19.4	230	22.7
Fergus .....	405	7.2	4 119	5.9	549	5.5	5 724	4.8	350	7.4	881	7.5
Flathead .....	198	13.1	1 059	8.2	383	9.0	1 753	5.7	235	11.4	910	6.2
Gallatin .....	271	10.6	2 849	9.7	496	6.1	6 932	6.1	284	8.5	1 492	5.2
Garfield .....	123	8.3	3 879	7.2	180	5.3	3 483	3.8	111	8.7	421	5.1
Glacier .....	127	14.8	1 720	19.4	277	7.3	4 212	5.0	131	13.4	926	6.5
Golden Valley .....	55	9.2	1 542	2.8	81	6.3	1 170	5.8	45	9.8	154	3.8
Granite .....	37	10.2	962	8.1	74	7.0	918	10.3	32	10.0	97	9.3
Hill .....	117	19.7	939	23.6	212	11.9	2 573	6.5	382	7.0	1 641	8.2
Jefferson .....	118	10.8	668	8.0	195	6.3	1 281	11.9	83	12.1	188	17.9
Judith Basin .....	179	12.1	3 127	7.3	228	7.1	2 615	8.6	201	8.0	549	9.1
Lake .....	335	10.5	2 572	25.2	571	6.4	4 538	12.4	266	11.5	562	8.7

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Lewis and Clark	146	16.7	1 325	17.3	255	10.7	2 003	7.5	116	17.9	327	5.9
Liberty	47	20.3	962	26.1	81	15.3	3 463	6.3	134	9.2	830	7.0
Lincoln	71	15.3	181	27.5	138	9.7	272	15.1	34	27.2	32	33.5
McCone	130	17.4	928	15.8	210	11.9	1 912	23.9	178	13.6	510	18.3
Madison	188	11.9	4 280	16.1	300	7.0	2 523	6.7	134	12.3	517	9.0
Meagher	70	6.7	1 703	3.0	82	5.6	2 583	4.4	44	8.7	192	4.2
Mineral	16	7.5	107	25.1	38	4.7	230	6.9	13	6.7	21	10.7
Missoula	134	16.8	977	12.8	256	9.8	1 156	20.4	107	20.1	81	22.1
Musselshell	101	10.9	1 327	4.9	158	7.8	1 686	6.6	89	13.8	335	2.1
Park	199	10.2	1 400	7.6	289	7.5	1 922	8.5	150	12.9	215	9.1
Petroleum	51	8.5	800	13.6	64	6.9	1 045	7.0	42	9.7	114	8.6
Phillips	159	11.1	3 661	2.3	265	8.4	3 454	6.6	212	9.9	694	18.2
Pondera	90	16.1	935	6.2	184	12.4	2 919	3.2	310	5.7	1 544	9.2
Powder River	139	7.4	3 452	7.6	223	4.8	2 267	6.3	74	14.7	124	12.9
Powell	88	13.7	775	9.8	116	10.8	1 681	6.4	39	19.0	121	6.4
Prairie	74	8.3	4 895	3.4	104	6.5	1 618	4.5	81	7.3	464	7.1
Ravalli	387	8.8	2 435	19.1	678	5.1	4 380	6.8	182	14.3	507	31.9
Richland	223	10.0	2 988	6.4	301	8.0	3 215	6.6	318	7.4	1 638	5.7
Roosevelt	131	18.2	1 583	17.8	203	12.4	1 585	21.5	169	14.1	636	18.8
Rosebud	174	11.2	5 309	8.5	250	7.3	3 331	2.8	108	13.1	522	9.1
Sanders	106	19.1	947	29.0	208	11.9	1 195	21.9	95	19.4	351	3.7
Sheridan	98	21.6	683	26.6	174	14.1	1 272	16.1	238	10.7	794	13.3
Silver Bow	42	14.9	82	16.9	85	7.1	343	17.5	8	27.3	15	24.0
Stillwater	196	13.3	4 475	4.6	321	8.0	3 545	6.3	150	14.7	367	11.5
Sweet Grass	144	9.1	2 598	6.2	216	4.8	3 357	5.9	113	10.0	183	10.2
Teton	183	13.3	5 208	1.9	272	9.1	3 551	4.8	313	7.1	2 000	9.4
Toole	61	24.8	667	5.3	104	15.9	1 905	9.3	216	9.3	995	10.4
Treasure	59	9.0	2 572	4.2	72	7.6	1 444	5.0	57	8.1	352	2.2
Valley	131	15.2	4 209	6.3	267	9.9	3 292	9.4	286	8.2	839	6.0
Wheatland	74	5.9	2 486	4.0	104	3.2	3 479	4.7	68	7.5	239	3.2
Wibaux	68	9.9	460	10.0	120	6.0	982	5.6	79	8.5	153	11.4
Yellowstone	386	8.6	25 148	1.6	656	5.3	12 574	2.1	334	7.0	1 602	5.3

  

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b>	<b>12 334</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>123 302</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>11 866</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>74 449</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>22 336</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>115 091</b>	<b>.7</b>
Beaverhead	208	6.9	2 468	4.0	114	12.0	444	8.4	311	3.0	2 372	4.1
Big Horn	243	8.1	3 625	2.9	272	8.5	2 406	5.1	503	2.7	3 219	3.9
Blaine	215	9.9	2 672	9.0	207	10.1	1 874	10.6	473	2.9	3 095	3.5
Broadwater	132	10.5	1 524	6.8	163	6.7	966	8.6	210	2.6	1 123	8.5
Carbon	332	8.7	1 986	9.0	355	8.0	1 043	12.5	556	3.3	2 250	5.4
Carter	57	16.9	318	5.1	112	15.7	438	14.6	296	2.1	1 699	4.4
Cascade	367	8.1	4 352	5.2	448	7.5	3 143	7.4	804	2.2	3 460	3.5
Chouteau	492	5.1	10 826	4.0	477	5.5	6 952	4.7	689	1.7	6 444	2.4
Custer	166	11.4	1 141	13.9	137	14.6	603	17.2	380	3.1	2 209	5.6
Daniels	181	7.0	2 830	4.4	188	8.1	1 584	7.9	315	3.5	2 034	4.2
Dawson	299	6.1	3 451	7.4	280	7.1	2 294	7.3	455	3.3	2 526	5.1
Deer Lodge	34	4.1	166	3.7	33	4.5	81	2.8	81	2.9	282	4.2
Fallon	141	13.0	628	6.5	143	14.8	331	13.0	276	5.0	1 453	7.1
Fergus	397	6.0	5 194	6.1	489	5.8	2 796	7.6	761	2.1	4 762	3.1
Flathead	451	7.6	2 379	8.7	334	9.1	1 261	8.7	788	3.2	1 520	5.6
Gallatin	485	6.2	3 611	4.8	403	7.4	1 488	7.1	799	1.8	2 942	3.5
Garfield	85	7.1	607	2.8	93	8.9	720	5.7	232	2.8	2 068	3.8
Glacier	198	12.4	3 064	5.9	204	11.7	2 595	8.0	360	4.4	2 864	4.8
Golden Valley	58	6.7	765	5.2	59	8.0	351	5.7	114	2.6	675	3.8
Granite	62	7.3	421	5.1	51	8.9	111	3.7	110	3.0	581	4.4
Hill	429	5.3	6 784	6.7	476	6.0	4 921	5.3	646	2.2	4 777	3.0
Jefferson	71	12.6	290	9.6	94	14.3	72	27.0	248	3.0	741	11.6
Judith Basin	205	7.4	2 818	4.2	218	9.6	1 555	9.7	317	2.8	2 290	5.1
Lake	480	8.0	1 515	6.3	369	9.4	782	6.5	936	2.4	2 042	6.8
Lewis and Clark	206	13.0	812	7.0	210	13.2	372	12.1	480	2.4	1 353	4.7
Liberty	204	5.6	4 821	5.0	199	5.7	2 757	4.8	268	2.1	2 785	3.0
Lincoln	104	13.6	115	34.2	73	18.6	32	23.7	226	3.4	263	14.3
McCone	238	10.4	1 523	7.6	233	10.2	1 295	13.8	392	3.1	2 398	6.8
Madison	214	9.3	1 652	8.4	192	10.4	572	11.4	447	2.0	1 862	4.6
Meagher	65	6.6	1 008	2.6	72	7.6	458	9.7	136	2.5	1 096	1.9
Mineral	33	5.0	58	8.8	26	5.9	23	8.2	63	3.8	88	6.1
Missoula	228	11.3	523	46.5	222	11.8	202	13.8	472	1.9	534	15.3
Musselshell	82	15.2	847	2.1	92	14.2	645	2.7	192	6.2	1 018	3.2
Park	193	10.7	772	11.2	235	9.3	265	12.4	387	3.6	1 201	5.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Petroleum .....	21	12.7	187	5.2	33	11.5	171	9.1	83	3.2	482	7.4
Phillips .....	235	9.6	1 800	9.2	288	6.4	1 461	14.5	459	2.2	3 277	3.7
Pondera .....	354	3.9	7 272	4.0	329	5.5	4 274	6.8	461	1.4	3 504	4.3
Powder River .....	75	12.6	499	11.6	77	12.6	283	17.9	285	2.4	1 711	3.5
Powell .....	85	13.5	591	8.8	104	11.5	246	16.4	210	4.3	989	4.2
Prairie .....	105	5.5	793	4.3	109	6.0	674	5.1	157	1.6	1 105	2.8
Ravalli .....	592	6.6	875	7.0	223	13.3	164	16.7	997	1.8	1 313	6.1
Richland .....	366	5.3	4 176	3.8	330	6.7	2 652	5.0	527	2.6	3 898	2.5
Roosevelt .....	297	9.1	3 112	9.6	285	10.2	1 815	10.8	546	3.4	3 164	6.8
Rosebud .....	151	8.0	1 750	23.0	136	10.2	830	9.1	342	2.7	2 271	4.3
Sanders .....	178	13.7	392	18.0	88	23.5	185	33.8	386	2.5	685	6.1
Sheridan .....	332	7.7	2 868	10.0	354	5.8	1 600	12.3	512	3.2	2 858	6.0
Silver Bow .....	21	18.5	27	6.1	45	14.5	35	25.5	101	4.8	171	13.1
Stillwater .....	295	7.8	1 433	9.8	315	7.9	691	11.2	451	.6	1 769	5.8
Sweet Grass .....	125	9.5	556	8.3	161	8.4	316	14.9	290	1.8	1 212	5.1
Teton .....	417	3.7	8 235	4.6	440	3.9	4 419	7.3	518	2.2	3 956	3.4
Toole .....	212	9.7	3 294	12.2	266	6.8	3 374	13.1	343	4.0	2 502	5.3
Treasure .....	59	8.9	875	1.9	57	9.1	486	2.3	104	2.5	868	2.2
Valley .....	264	7.5	2 868	7.0	378	6.0	2 269	7.9	591	2.0	3 290	4.8
Wheatland .....	72	6.7	1 250	10.3	63	8.0	650	4.4	136	2.4	1 388	2.8
Wibaux .....	100	6.4	681	6.7	86	8.2	349	16.7	157	3.8	845	4.7
Yellowstone .....	623	5.6	4 201	6.0	426	7.5	2 071	5.3	957	2.4	4 107	3.2
Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana .....</b>	<b>18 072</b>	<b>.9</b>	<b>29 820</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>8 186</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>109 424</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>3 778</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>15 447</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Beaverhead .....	268	4.8	1 269	3.4	180	6.5	6 430	2.8	59	15.5	407	5.6
Big Horn .....	423	4.5	689	4.6	176	9.7	3 642	4.3	107	16.5	920	12.2
Blaine .....	433	4.4	680	4.1	175	10.3	1 982	8.0	75	22.2	337	7.4
Broadwater .....	195	4.6	729	7.8	80	12.9	1 597	12.3	59	22.2	203	28.6
Carbon .....	495	4.4	563	6.7	211	10.6	2 353	9.5	117	17.8	502	31.9
Carter .....	252	5.7	319	4.9	106	15.2	784	8.3	59	22.0	223	13.7
Cascade .....	577	5.2	871	7.1	269	9.8	4 133	6.9	143	16.1	462	18.2
Chouteau .....	587	3.8	973	5.3	373	7.1	4 500	7.7	120	13.5	1 129	12.8
Custer .....	293	8.0	516	6.5	174	13.2	1 818	8.2	33	25.2	136	4.9
Daniels .....	242	5.5	430	5.3	86	17.9	823	19.4	48	29.0	309	23.1
Dawson .....	399	4.4	424	6.8	151	11.1	1 699	13.4	69	22.2	274	25.9
Deer Lodge .....	61	3.3	132	2.5	39	3.6	553	2.8	11	5.1	36	3.3
Fallon .....	236	7.9	359	8.1	90	18.2	885	19.4	52	26.1	134	21.7
Fergus .....	648	3.9	762	4.4	223	12.6	2 348	8.2	150	16.3	677	11.0
Flathead .....	558	5.8	638	4.6	221	13.6	2 695	3.9	106	20.4	317	28.5
Gallatin .....	617	4.7	1 415	5.4	276	8.6	4 503	6.8	141	16.7	851	11.3
Garfield .....	189	4.9	518	7.9	103	9.3	1 194	5.5	65	12.2	560	8.9
Glacier .....	290	7.6	673	8.4	173	10.2	1 905	6.7	100	21.7	314	6.7
Golden Valley .....	94	4.9	179	5.7	53	7.2	941	3.4	15	19.7	77	8.2
Granite .....	97	3.7	184	7.7	63	6.6	979	5.5	27	13.3	87	23.1
Hill .....	475	5.8	728	5.6	260	9.6	2 316	8.3	78	18.7	551	24.4
Jefferson .....	184	7.0	295	8.1	52	16.8	959	14.7	30	27.4	62	5.5
Judith Basin .....	278	5.3	413	7.6	117	13.5	1 618	18.1	82	18.7	448	18.5
Lake .....	714	5.0	715	8.6	360	10.8	3 368	13.2	139	18.1	376	20.5
Lewis and Clark .....	346	7.0	577	6.6	128	17.0	1 600	5.0	109	17.6	148	16.7
Liberty .....	252	3.0	581	4.7	144	7.8	2 490	7.6	57	19.7	187	19.7
Lincoln .....	149	8.7	104	12.5	75	15.9	203	36.0	23	32.1	45	23.8
McCone .....	295	5.3	483	9.8	88	19.4	1 367	20.1	52	31.2	222	53.0
Madison .....	331	5.7	842	6.8	202	8.9	3 017	6.7	116	17.0	270	18.2
Meagher .....	124	3.2	433	2.2	57	6.3	2 103	2.0	35	7.8	221	1.9
Mineral .....	42	4.4	27	5.4	18	5.8	46	12.7	13	6.7	10	11.6
Missoula .....	322	7.3	240	17.5	219	12.5	958	37.8	57	26.1	103	11.6
Musselshell .....	180	7.2	345	4.7	78	9.6	1 174	8.8	27	22.1	99	20.7
Park .....	322	6.2	354	10.9	132	10.7	1 469	14.0	67	25.9	159	19.0
Petroleum .....	70	4.8	115	6.6	32	12.7	434	7.6	9	28.5	112	5.1
Phillips .....	362	5.3	683	8.2	138	13.0	2 224	10.2	67	21.8	207	27.1
Pondera .....	327	5.7	991	9.1	212	8.8	2 961	9.8	97	17.7	502	26.7
Powder River .....	235	5.2	334	5.5	130	10.3	1 560	7.4	54	15.3	164	18.8
Powell .....	158	7.6	438	5.4	76	13.4	2 408	4.2	41	20.4	159	23.4
Prairie .....	144	2.7	200	3.9	69	8.7	990	5.0	19	17.0	121	25.8
Ravalli .....	805	4.5	728	7.9	341	9.6	1 880	12.1	140	18.1	274	25.7
Richland .....	419	5.0	762	3.9	175	8.3	2 986	2.9	99	14.1	343	8.6
Roosevelt .....	475	4.3	591	6.7	147	8.4	1 362	11.5	73	24.1	274	34.0
Rosebud .....	296	4.7	514	4.7	179	10.3	2 753	3.6	74	17.1	297	7.9

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Sanders .....	305	6.4	283	15.9	144	15.6	1 702	3.8	46	29.5	32	32.3
Sheridan .....	454	5.5	477	7.1	175	13.2	1 196	17.6	37	34.2	150	38.2
Silver Bow .....	80	7.8	107	15.4	21	20.0	281	9.6	11	27.8	17	4.7
Stillwater .....	332	7.0	384	8.2	132	15.9	1 288	6.2	69	24.7	156	13.4
Sweet Grass .....	229	5.3	329	6.5	110	10.7	1 784	3.7	41	22.2	70	26.0
Teton .....	443	4.1	1 176	3.6	261	8.5	3 686	9.8	102	19.7	387	15.0
Toole .....	307	5.4	462	7.5	135	14.2	1 674	8.5	30	31.4	161	22.8
Treasure .....	92	4.2	333	2.4	32	10.5	1 269	.2	20	16.7	93	14.9
Valley .....	476	4.3	795	16.7	161	14.3	1 833	11.3	72	22.8	305	13.5
Wheatland .....	116	2.9	288	2.7	53	7.8	1 709	6.6	37	10.6	95	9.7
Wibaux .....	147	4.3	177	5.4	40	14.7	313	6.3	11	24.7	13	16.0
Yellowstone .....	832	3.7	1 189	3.1	271	7.5	4 682	2.7	188	13.2	659	8.1
Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana .....</b>	<b>20 478</b>	<b>.7</b>	<b>124 799</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>6 916</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>42 985</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>12 583</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>149 306</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Beaverhead .....	282	3.4	3 025	1.9	113	11.3	1 118	14.8	184	7.8	5 289	4.1
Big Horn .....	481	2.6	3 713	6.8	151	7.5	2 131	4.9	335	7.3	4 890	8.1
Blaine .....	424	4.2	3 290	5.3	198	10.6	1 355	7.4	372	6.3	4 569	5.5
Broadwater .....	193	5.3	1 334	7.0	78	16.3	454	7.8	117	11.0	1 855	12.6
Carbon .....	528	3.9	2 324	6.5	204	12.6	401	10.9	298	8.9	3 062	11.6
Carter .....	281	3.8	1 740	7.4	88	16.1	243	14.4	197	7.0	2 231	8.2
Cascade .....	738	3.1	4 093	6.2	231	11.4	1 392	5.0	399	6.7	4 710	7.4
Chouteau .....	696	2.0	6 253	4.0	300	8.6	3 801	7.9	453	6.1	7 618	5.9
Custer .....	353	4.9	2 322	5.1	117	17.4	478	6.7	238	9.4	2 777	12.7
Daniels .....	236	7.6	1 979	10.5	74	19.0	482	10.0	163	9.4	1 842	9.8
Dawson .....	418	4.3	2 874	6.0	204	10.0	1 262	12.5	271	8.9	2 631	8.1
Deer Lodge .....	76	3.0	363	3.3	16	6.0	28	7.9	39	3.7	517	2.7
Fallon .....	272	5.8	1 571	11.9	85	20.2	249	16.2	181	12.1	1 501	12.2
Fergus .....	764	2.3	4 469	5.5	214	12.3	1 048	8.0	532	5.4	5 788	8.8
Flathead .....	743	3.7	1 981	5.9	252	11.9	698	18.1	321	10.0	2 499	9.1
Gallatin .....	710	3.3	3 766	3.8	221	12.8	773	8.9	391	7.8	3 613	7.8
Garfield .....	211	3.9	1 704	4.6	82	9.5	655	5.4	169	5.5	3 512	5.9
Glacier .....	361	5.0	2 841	6.3	121	15.9	1 592	4.9	205	11.2	2 262	3.6
Golden Valley .....	104	4.4	947	3.8	38	11.4	286	3.1	68	7.5	1 327	3.4
Granite .....	97	3.7	524	4.8	16	16.1	53	9.0	49	8.1	818	10.9
Hill .....	635	2.7	4 648	4.4	202	7.7	2 052	20.9	346	7.6	4 574	8.5
Jefferson .....	208	6.3	703	10.7	49	21.3	157	21.3	94	12.6	1 028	13.2
Judith Basin .....	308	3.9	2 113	6.5	127	15.6	830	11.4	232	7.5	3 040	10.4
Lake .....	837	3.7	2 952	7.2	156	17.3	457	22.9	461	7.6	3 920	8.1
Lewis and Clark .....	461	3.2	1 489	8.9	107	24.8	253	30.3	214	13.4	1 429	12.7
Liberty .....	248	3.8	2 723	7.6	97	13.1	1 249	9.2	171	5.2	2 124	7.1
Lincoln .....	170	7.3	455	20.3	20	29.7	18	29.9	53	18.3	243	22.3
McCone .....	360	3.8	2 063	6.8	129	17.0	489	11.1	258	9.4	3 404	15.5
Madison .....	365	5.2	2 668	4.6	100	17.1	529	7.1	212	10.3	3 202	5.2
Meagher .....	117	3.6	1 372	1.8	45	8.6	404	2.6	72	6.8	2 498	2.6
Mineral .....	51	4.0	121	6.3	9	8.2	26	16.1	18	6.6	110	4.1
Missoula .....	405	5.0	948	18.5	86	23.5	113	24.9	196	12.6	1 271	14.6
Musselshell .....	184	6.4	875	6.4	62	19.5	845	2.5	154	9.6	1 505	3.7
Park .....	366	4.7	1 760	8.2	72	24.1	247	4.8	158	11.1	1 966	15.1
Petroleum .....	73	5.3	502	7.8	24	13.8	117	16.1	71	4.9	707	10.7
Phillips .....	425	3.1	2 958	4.9	184	12.2	1 199	20.2	289	7.7	4 745	7.4
Pondera .....	399	4.2	3 408	7.0	213	10.2	1 734	13.1	311	6.2	3 705	8.5
Powder River .....	267	3.5	1 547	4.6	59	13.7	361	4.8	196	7.0	1 966	5.4
Powell .....	177	6.5	1 157	7.5	32	27.4	157	37.4	80	14.7	1 198	10.8
Prairie .....	144	2.7	1 403	3.4	63	8.4	357	6.0	117	4.7	1 673	4.8
Ravalli .....	858	3.8	1 864	7.5	279	11.8	373	13.1	364	9.7	2 111	11.3
Richland .....	526	2.0	3 820	2.8	172	13.1	1 007	8.0	381	6.2	4 328	6.0
Roosevelt .....	527	3.7	3 344	9.4	146	15.5	968	19.7	267	9.3	2 296	8.6
Rosebud .....	303	5.5	2 328	8.9	117	14.3	757	16.1	225	8.8	3 662	7.6
Sanders .....	330	5.1	795	9.1	58	26.5	87	48.5	120	17.8	917	27.5
Sheridan .....	497	4.2	2 783	8.5	224	11.8	996	16.4	276	9.4	2 057	11.2
Silver Bow .....	92	6.4	196	9.3	21	21.9	25	19.3	34	18.1	236	14.2
Stillwater .....	388	5.1	1 820	8.4	131	15.3	635	18.4	260	10.3	2 404	10.4
Sweet Grass .....	255	3.8	1 722	6.8	82	12.6	209	18.3	164	8.2	1 790	7.4
Teton .....	489	2.9	4 994	4.6	247	9.2	2 333	13.9	371	5.6	5 204	5.9
Toole .....	352	3.3	2 519	4.5	138	12.7	1 526	13.9	213	6.9	2 378	6.0
Treasure .....	86	5.0	1 144	1.9	41	11.6	286	8.0	75	6.2	1 375	4.8
Valley .....	504	4.4	3 206	7.4	213	12.1	1 566	9.6	421	6.1	4 301	7.3
Wheatland .....	124	3.2	1 293	2.8	38	11.3	318	4.9	97	4.0	1 436	4.4
Wibaux .....	144	4.1	928	7.5	76	10.0	247	11.1	107	7.0	1 015	8.0
Yellowstone .....	835	4.0	5 064	3.1	294	9.4	1 557	6.7	523	6.6	6 179	5.4

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses <sup>1</sup> —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b>	<b>6 705</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>81 423</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>22 916</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>89 911</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>22 406</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>215 547</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Beaverhead	49	17.4	881	4.3	349	1.7	2 671	2.9	337	2.7	8 367	2.0
Big Horn	196	9.2	4 889	8.6	504	2.0	1 723	6.2	504	2.5	6 424	3.2
Blaine	169	13.3	2 988	4.5	504	2.7	2 468	6.2	488	2.5	6 544	6.0
Broadwater	64	18.4	501	7.1	211	2.9	923	11.6	212	2.9	2 643	6.5
Carbon	192	12.6	2 048	11.6	571	3.0	1 474	5.6	583	2.1	4 199	7.5
Carter	100	14.4	1 207	14.4	290	2.8	1 278	4.0	298	2.3	3 135	6.6
Cascade	215	11.1	2 461	7.6	884	1.2	3 545	3.3	853	2.2	9 396	3.1
Chouteau	252	11.3	4 212	7.8	712	1.6	3 951	3.8	707	1.7	11 375	5.3
Custer	95	20.4	1 014	16.6	379	3.3	1 656	9.9	379	3.3	3 559	4.6
Daniels	149	11.0	1 972	15.2	338	2.7	1 646	7.4	319	3.8	3 338	11.3
Dawson	123	13.7	1 439	7.5	470	2.6	1 603	7.3	494	1.5	3 739	5.8
Deer Lodge	11	8.1	122	8.9	81	2.9	281	2.8	78	3.0	499	2.8
Fallon	101	20.5	989	31.8	292	3.9	825	6.4	284	4.9	2 778	10.4
Fergus	226	12.7	2 801	12.4	801	1.3	3 185	4.2	778	2.0	7 848	4.7
Flathead	145	15.7	871	4.7	836	2.2	1 941	6.7	793	3.0	2 336	3.5
Gallatin	214	10.7	2 691	9.9	788	2.2	2 315	4.5	743	2.7	6 058	3.1
Garfield	75	9.5	1 958	10.4	236	1.9	1 375	2.5	234	2.6	3 437	3.9
Glacier	194	11.1	2 978	4.2	382	4.1	1 732	7.0	370	4.6	4 596	5.7
Golden Valley	51	8.8	530	4.1	113	2.9	540	3.5	106	4.0	1 345	3.1
Granite	26	14.7	138	11.4	117	1.3	579	4.0	104	3.0	1 429	4.3
Hill	210	13.9	2 574	7.9	607	3.9	3 012	4.4	616	2.8	7 718	5.8
Jefferson	53	19.3	344	15.9	261	2.1	545	7.7	257	2.4	1 114	7.1
Judith Basin	122	14.2	1 450	6.6	304	3.8	1 483	5.7	327	.6	4 159	6.0
Lake	248	13.3	1 246	10.6	973	1.6	2 991	6.1	915	2.7	4 410	7.9
Lewis and Clark	74	23.2	404	14.4	501	.8	1 479	5.5	436	4.2	2 576	4.2
Liberty	91	12.2	1 953	10.6	256	3.3	1 785	5.8	274	1.8	4 300	4.8
Lincoln	31	21.9	230	56.8	240	2.4	339	10.7	206	4.8	712	6.7
McCone	104	17.7	1 173	18.6	413	2.2	2 131	11.1	388	2.9	3 647	9.0
Madison	95	14.9	1 461	9.2	443	1.7	1 751	8.7	408	4.0	4 676	5.6
Meagher	34	11.0	467	1.9	140	2.1	1 096	2.7	132	2.9	2 677	2.4
Mineral	15	7.2	34	10.1	68	3.8	103	4.1	62	3.8	198	4.8
Missoula	42	31.2	252	27.5	466	2.0	896	9.0	455	2.7	967	13.1
Musselshell	80	12.5	932	8.7	231	.7	879	11.7	212	4.6	2 232	5.4
Park	71	21.0	446	14.6	410	1.4	1 461	6.7	391	3.6	2 798	6.5
Petroleum	47	9.8	669	8.1	86	3.1	367	6.4	86	2.9	1 039	5.6
Phillips	151	12.7	1 863	12.7	451	3.1	2 485	6.0	452	2.2	4 884	4.6
Pondera	191	11.0	3 196	8.5	443	2.6	2 380	5.2	461	1.8	6 545	6.6
Powder River	92	13.7	1 213	11.8	268	3.7	1 426	6.3	285	2.5	3 015	3.9
Powell	41	24.5	183	16.8	217	3.1	961	6.6	205	4.4	2 390	2.5
Prairie	59	10.0	436	7.8	148	3.1	807	4.1	152	2.4	1 893	3.4
Ravalli	176	14.0	611	25.1	1 057	1.3	1 701	7.1	987	2.5	2 707	9.9
Richland	182	11.4	2 031	6.2	509	3.5	2 598	3.9	531	2.6	5 340	3.7
Roosevelt	204	10.8	3 047	13.5	568	2.8	1 827	5.2	560	2.4	4 618	13.2
Rosebud	102	14.9	1 275	4.0	339	3.5	1 441	4.4	348	2.9	3 933	5.7
Sanders	100	19.1	290	27.9	375	3.9	995	11.4	358	4.7	2 203	13.5
Sheridan	177	13.5	1 572	17.0	539	2.9	1 966	8.1	549	2.5	4 302	10.6
Silver Bow	16	21.0	57	21.1	106	3.9	245	7.2	104	5.0	313	3.7
Stillwater	156	14.4	900	8.5	439	3.5	1 429	6.4	434	3.0	2 916	6.2
Sweet Grass	75	12.2	773	22.3	283	2.3	1 345	16.2	277	2.9	2 818	5.0
Teton	214	10.9	3 922	13.2	517	2.5	3 079	6.1	529	1.9	7 226	7.0
Toole	108	16.3	2 153	13.0	345	3.8	1 783	7.8	356	2.6	4 756	11.8
Treasure	57	8.1	854	3.9	100	2.0	471	2.7	107	2.4	1 752	3.1
Valley	227	10.4	2 092	17.8	604	2.6	2 491	5.8	589	2.8	5 804	6.7
Wheatland	45	9.4	720	1.6	141	1.4	904	2.6	130	1.9	2 444	1.8
Wibaux	71	10.0	690	13.9	173	2.2	527	5.3	162	3.2	1 224	7.6
Yellowstone	297	8.4	3 218	9.1	1 037	1.9	3 022	6.9	1 001	2.1	8 196	2.4
	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) <sup>1</sup>				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b>	<b>24 275</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>334 834</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>20 669</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>17 629 001</b>	<b>.3</b>	<b>17 854</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>9 399 718</b>	<b>.2</b>
Beaverhead	360	.8	10 037	5.9	305	.7	202 965	1.3	272	1.0	142 516	.6
Big Horn	530	1.0	12 842	6.5	420	.9	407 958	.5	372	.9	229 122	.5
Blaine	542	.7	9 169	15.9	457	.8	659 890	1.0	396	1.0	301 302	.6
Broadwater	219	1.1	3 168	11.6	191	1.0	130 672	1.1	173	1.2	80 498	.9
Carbon	623	.6	6 742	14.8	536	.6	172 004	1.1	466	.7	101 117	.9
Carter	305	.8	4 594	17.0	244	.9	244 923	1.4	225	1.0	125 770	.9
Cascade	903	.6	16 274	7.0	750	.6	507 562	.9	637	.8	290 209	.6
Chouteau	750	.6	18 417	9.0	699	.6	1 345 807	.5	616	.7	709 196	.4
Custer	405	.6	4 909	20.1	324	.9	170 277	1.2	279	1.1	85 708	1.1
Daniels	363	1.0	4 819	18.6	348	.7	529 328	.9	275	1.2	268 612	.7
Dawson	502	.7	5 355	12.7	429	.7	465 942	1.0	375	.8	239 270	.7
Deer Lodge	83	2.9	244	20.1	68	1.4	21 578	2.8	62	1.7	13 139	2.7
Fallon	309	.9	3 812	21.1	261	1.0	232 081	1.3	224	1.2	127 642	1.1
Fergus	816	.5	17 614	7.0	690	.6	675 934	.7	636	.7	408 354	.5

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) <sup>1</sup>				Total cropland				Harvested cropland						
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres				
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)			
Flathead	898	.6	2 620	31.3	759	.7	105 561	1.3	688	.8	79 193	1.2			
Gallatin	834	.6	10 073	9.7	684	.7	252 837	1.1	603	.8	160 648	.9			
Garfield	244	1.0	5 996	6.5	204	1.0	301 645	.8	179	1.3	146 210	.9			
Glacier	425	1.0	9 436	8.6	318	1.1	497 158	.9	260	1.3	276 129	.5			
Golden Valley	118	2.0	2 440	8.0	101	.9	117 031	1.1	86	1.4	58 440	.8			
Granite	117	1.3	1 758	10.0	100	1.1	45 010	1.6	86	1.5	30 736	1.5			
Hill	692	.7	14 992	9.8	636	.6	1 080 322	.5	546	.7	521 496	1.4			
Jefferson	266	1.1	909	52.3	209	1.1	75 504	2.5	166	1.5	32 607	1.7			
Judith Basin	329	.6	10 867	11.0	281	.9	288 532	.8	261	1.0	201 215	.6			
Lake	1 010	.6	4 236	30.7	872	.6	150 057	1.2	750	.7	89 945	1.2			
Lewis and Clark	502	.8	3 378	18.2	412	.8	97 469	1.5	358	1.0	63 161	1.1			
Liberty	280	.8	4 134	21.9	271	.4	630 618	.5	237	.7	308 498	.4			
Lincoln	251	1.2	573	75.2	219	.8	17 088	2.4	188	1.2	11 109	2.4			
McCone	430	.6	4 063	19.3	392	.5	554 826	.7	344	.7	263 965	.6			
Madison	459	.7	4 354	23.0	378	.7	153 658	.9	315	.9	97 920	.7			
Meagher	142	1.5	4 640	4.0	108	1.3	113 731	.7	99	1.4	75 410	.7			
Mineral	71	3.7	-19	(H)	55	2.1	5 945	5.5	46	3.0	3 243	5.6			
Missoula	481	.8	-2 106	20.0	372	.9	46 985	3.1	300	1.2	25 171	2.9			
Musselshell	232	.7	2 084	21.9	171	1.2	133 950	1.5	149	1.5	76 069	.9			
Park	420	.7	3 661	20.7	362	.8	131 730	1.7	299	1.1	76 356	1.3			
Petroleum	88	2.3	2 174	9.5	71	1.3	64 035	2.7	65	1.7	34 556	1.8			
Phillips	489	.8	3 646	23.0	422	.7	634 323	.8	363	.9	263 920	.6			
Pondera	474	.7	13 314	11.4	427	.7	563 645	.7	400	.7	330 410	.6			
Powder River	297	.9	7 536	5.4	253	.9	165 614	1.7	240	.9	103 913	1.0			
Powell	230	1.0	2 762	24.3	192	1.0	75 021	1.2	175	1.2	60 495	1.2			
Prairie	158	1.6	2 147	8.6	139	1.0	123 251	1.4	120	1.3	66 332	1.3			
Ravalli	1 080	.6	544	(H)	933	.6	82 562	1.4	735	.8	43 665	1.5			
Richland	572	.7	11 760	6.5	490	.7	506 853	.9	448	.8	279 736	.6			
Roosevelt	609	.7	8 764	15.7	573	.6	783 768	.6	468	.8	391 029	.5			
Rosebud	363	.8	6 802	16.4	277	1.0	206 740	.9	237	1.2	122 605	.7			
Sanders	412	.9	887	60.2	348	.9	62 437	2.1	313	1.1	35 971	1.9			
Sheridan	581	.8	8 653	14.4	550	.6	677 445	.7	453	.7	342 588	.6			
Silver Bow	116	2.0	829	21.3	75	2.1	14 885	10.8	59	2.7	7 381	3.9			
Stillwater	472	.6	4 611	22.0	385	.8	249 539	1.2	343	.9	119 037	1.0			
Sweet Grass	300	1.0	2 356	27.1	240	1.0	100 863	1.4	202	1.2	59 141	1.2			
Teton	557	.5	15 077	7.7	494	.5	581 422	.6	432	.7	343 501	.4			
Toole	382	.6	8 827	19.9	343	.6	680 472	.6	287	.9	313 441	.5			
Treasure	110	1.8	3 265	5.7	85	1.4	47 193	3.0	80	1.4	30 103	1.0			
Valley	655	.8	8 299	11.0	597	.7	740 152	.8	511	.9	363 236	.6			
Wheatland	144	1.3	4 282	4.5	122	.9	181 955	1.4	109	1.2	105 095	.7			
Wibaux	178	1.5	1 159	24.2	154	1.0	138 858	1.4	137	1.3	71 295	1.2			
Yellowstone	1 097	.6	11 057	8.5	873	.7	381 390	1.3	709	.8	192 292	.8			
Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry											
Farms				Acres				Cattle and calves inventory		Beef cows inventory					
Farms				Acres				Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
Number				Relative standard error of estimate (percent)				Number		Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		Number		Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Number				Relative standard error of estimate (percent)				Number		Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		Number		Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Montana	9 059	.4	1 994 484	.4	14 216	.4	2 618 319	.3	12 902	.5	1 558 921	.3			
Beaverhead	282	.9	224 612	.9	242	1.1	138 390	.5	231	1.1	79 288	.5			
Big Horn	192	1.5	53 487	1.7	389	1.0	91 216	.9	369	1.1	(D)	(D)			
Blaine	220	1.7	63 252	1.9	343	1.2	72 556	1.1	317	1.2	47 852	1.1			
Broadwater	153	1.4	53 349	1.7	136	1.7	24 676	2.2	126	1.8	15 058	2.0			
Carbon	435	.8	81 575	1.1	434	.8	67 043	.8	391	.9	31 325	1.1			
Carter	22	4.8	4 755	12.0	249	.8	59 356	1.1	238	.9	38 439	1.1			
Cascade	269	1.7	32 999	2.6	533	.9	86 691	1.2	466	1.1	52 986	1.3			
Chouteau	50	3.8	11 777	3.4	284	1.4	46 606	1.5	267	1.5	30 843	1.5			
Custer	182	1.7	28 199	2.1	295	1.0	78 871	.7	261	1.2	44 615	.8			
Daniels	18	6.1	1 585	5.1	121	2.4	14 719	2.6	116	2.5	(D)	(D)			
Dawson	98	2.4	18 486	2.2	314	1.0	44 249	1.3	297	1.1	28 860	1.3			
Deer Lodge	58	1.9	17 639	3.5	60	1.8	11 606	2.8	54	2.1	7 032	2.9			
Fallon	15	8.4	1 277	13.5	225	1.3	41 530	1.5	214	1.3	26 251	1.6			
Fergus	80	2.6	16 136	1.5	590	.8	109 566	.9	567	.8	70 312	.9			
Flathead	233	1.7	26 983	1.8	326	1.4	17 371	1.9	247	1.7	8 221	3.2			
Gallatin	396	1.2	90 671	1.4	463	1.1	59 335	1.2	377	1.3	29 805	1.6			
Garfield	23	4.7	5 003	6.2	196	1.0	54 939	.9	192	1.1	36 510	.9			
Glacier	67	3.3	23 574	2.6	253	1.5	39 571	1.7	240	1.5	27 074	1.7			
Golden Valley	41	2.7	9 949	5.8	83	1.6	21 433	1.3	78	1.7	12 015	1.3			
Granite	90	1.3	36 131	1.5	92	1.4	25 094	1.5	90	1.5	16 541	1.6			
Hill	35	4.9	5 027	3.6	240	1.6	30 158	2.0	215	1.7	(D)	(D)			
Jefferson	134	1.9	25 823	1.9	169	1.5	21 535	1.4	147	1.6	14 294	1.4			
Judith Basin	34	4.3	5 794	3.9	250	1.0	64 397	1.2	236	1.1	37 754	1.3			
Lake	711	.8	99 521	1.4	595	.9	55 216	1.6	530	1.0	32 743	1.6			
Lewis and Clark	303	1.2	40 032	1.5	240	1.5	44 399	1.2	197	1.8	24 739	1.5			
Liberty	20	3.6	8 255	.6	82	2.1	16 488	2.0	79	2.1	10 565	2.2			
Lincoln	85	2.7	4 754	5.9	119	2.0	4 134	4.6	104	2.3	2 634	4.8			
McCone	38	3.7	7 068	4.1	221	1.2	34 076	1.5	207	1.3	21 274	1.5			
Madison	335	.9	108 491	1.2	323	.9	77 167	.8	298	1.0	47 618	.9			

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Meagher .....	82	1.7	45 533	1.3	113	1.2	58 960	.7	104	1.4	(D)	(D)
Mineral .....	30	4.6	1 254	6.8	25	5.3	1 062	8.6	23	5.6	(D)	(D)
Missoula .....	273	1.3	22 291	4.2	221	1.6	13 103	3.3	170	1.9	8 061	3.1
Musselshell .....	60	3.0	12 376	4.2	165	1.3	35 509	1.3	149	1.5	23 309	1.2
Park .....	251	1.3	48 820	1.7	258	1.3	46 261	1.5	234	1.4	30 346	1.5
Petroleum .....	34	3.2	11 130	1.7	71	1.4	23 815	1.6	70	1.5	13 993	1.7
Phillips .....	196	1.7	41 734	1.9	335	1.0	77 307	1.0	317	1.1	48 192	.9
Pondera .....	194	1.6	62 659	1.4	238	1.4	27 790	1.7	228	1.5	18 826	1.7
Powder River .....	46	3.8	8 607	2.1	256	.8	71 603	1.0	244	.9	44 359	1.0
Powell .....	162	1.3	62 952	1.7	173	1.2	52 391	1.0	166	1.3	(D)	(D)
Prairie .....	54	3.2	11 390	2.2	123	1.3	33 547	1.2	117	1.4	19 480	1.2
Ravalli .....	923	.6	76 873	1.3	599	1.0	37 234	1.6	486	1.1	20 515	1.9
Richland .....	182	1.8	48 324	1.2	332	1.2	56 202	.8	299	1.3	29 598	1.0
Roosevelt .....	58	3.7	9 726	3.6	264	1.4	28 863	1.6	251	1.4	18 882	1.6
Rosebud .....	121	2.1	30 813	1.4	276	1.0	88 777	.6	259	1.1	53 115	.6
Sanders .....	154	2.1	18 432	3.5	268	1.3	21 123	2.1	231	1.5	13 498	2.2
Sheridan .....	35	3.9	6 164	3.3	227	1.4	22 528	1.8	219	1.5	(D)	(D)
Silver Bow .....	51	3.3	7 542	5.5	71	2.0	9 326	4.8	69	2.1	5 966	3.9
Stillwater .....	188	1.7	25 806	1.9	345	.9	57 773	.9	311	1.1	33 225	1.1
Sweet Grass .....	154	1.7	44 901	2.1	219	1.1	50 652	1.2	205	1.2	32 311	1.2
Teton .....	274	1.2	118 393	1.0	309	1.1	62 193	.9	284	1.2	34 382	1.1
Toole .....	34	3.7	5 512	3.5	137	1.9	20 144	1.7	125	2.0	(D)	(D)
Treasure .....	60	2.1	17 486	1.4	88	1.3	32 027	.8	85	1.3	(D)	(D)
Valley .....	173	2.2	49 809	1.7	335	1.3	64 448	1.1	326	1.4	40 501	1.2
Wheatland .....	58	2.5	19 489	2.6	105	1.3	35 960	1.0	103	1.4	21 664	1.0
Wibaux .....	6	7.9	240	3.6	121	1.6	18 708	1.8	112	1.8	(D)	(D)
Yellowstone .....	587	1.0	80 024	1.2	675	.9	118 621	.5	564	1.0	45 742	1.0

Livestock and poultry—Con.

Geographic area	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana .....</b>	<b>721</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>18 052</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>627</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>177 740</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>1 981</b>	<b>.7</b>	<b>416 012</b>	<b>.6</b>
Beaverhead .....	6	12.4	10	13.1	5	15.3	41	17.1	46	3.7	18 165	2.8
Big Horn .....	5	13.6	(D)	(D)	9	5.6	2 958	.3	30	4.7	2 854	9.4
Blaine .....	7	12.1	54	31.0	17	6.3	4 655	2.6	48	4.4	7 476	4.3
Broadwater .....	7	10.5	15	13.9	5	13.9	661	22.7	10	9.0	4 820	1.3
Carbon .....	17	6.5	172	15.3	14	7.7	247	8.9	85	2.9	8 841	5.4
Carter .....	26	5.3	47	7.5	11	8.0	875	14.3	90	2.3	59 292	1.6
Cascade .....	20	6.3	725	3.0	25	5.8	17 253	.5	66	3.8	11 548	3.8
Chouteau .....	6	15.2	14	20.1	18	8.0	3 248	10.0	22	5.9	2 455	10.1
Custer .....	21	6.2	55	6.5	12	9.4	497	20.0	50	3.9	6 463	5.3
Daniels .....	2	—	(D)	(D)	11	10.2	4 856	3.7	13	10.2	785	7.7
Dawson .....	16	5.1	210	.4	8	10.2	1 150	20.6	32	5.1	7 023	5.1
Deer Lodge .....	4	11.4	4	11.4	2	—	(D)	(D)	7	9.4	536	5.4
Fallon .....	5	14.5	14	15.5	14	9.2	948	16.7	24	6.4	4 191	8.0
Fergus .....	25	5.2	368	.6	20	5.9	2 290	9.5	84	3.0	7 010	4.2
Flathead .....	27	5.4	1 504	1.6	21	6.5	2 327	11.6	31	5.8	776	10.6
Gallatin .....	44	3.0	4 722	1.4	12	8.4	3 982	3.3	63	4.1	7 880	5.2
Garfield .....	12	8.5	24	12.0	5	16.5	287	28.5	68	2.5	49 384	1.3
Glacier .....	11	6.8	390	.3	12	7.1	19 956	.2	7	9.8	477	14.1
Golden Valley .....	5	9.3	138	2.6	4	11.6	(D)	(D)	16	6.7	8 033	1.5
Granite .....	5	12.8	8	12.3	1	31.1	(D)	(D)	6	9.2	361	10.1
Hill .....	10	8.6	(D)	(D)	21	5.3	9 870	2.6	11	11.2	820	20.8
Jefferson .....	11	8.9	59	24.5	20	7.0	1 996	2.8	22	5.8	1 210	6.8
Judith Basin .....	10	8.7	139	1.2	6	10.3	(D)	(D)	43	4.1	9 666	4.6
Lake .....	42	4.0	1 528	1.9	27	5.9	2 100	2.9	44	4.7	4 357	5.2
Lewis and Clark .....	11	8.1	307	.8	23	6.5	(D)	(D)	31	5.3	4 767	2.3
Liberty .....	6	7.6	438	.2	13	5.6	17 599	1.1	3	16.1	(D)	(D)
Lincoln .....	12	8.1	21	8.6	10	9.3	34	12.7	6	13.8	181	17.6
McCone .....	8	9.2	26	15.5	10	7.4	272	14.3	29	4.0	14 222	1.5
Madison .....	23	5.8	130	1.6	8	10.5	99	19.6	78	2.9	9 171	3.4
Meagher .....	5	10.7	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	16	6.1	5 608	3.4
Mineral .....	1	43.3	(D)	(D)	3	20.1	26	19.6	4	11.9	128	11.4
Missoula .....	8	11.5	51	26.5	15	8.7	55	13.4	40	4.9	1 023	7.6
Musselshell .....	15	8.0	27	8.5	5	14.1	52	4.1	26	5.3	10 658	1.7
Park .....	8	10.2	131	18.8	8	12.4	207	30.2	36	5.6	3 281	4.6
Petroleum .....	3	11.7	4	17.5	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	10	5.8	4 620	3.7
Phillips .....	17	6.6	66	7.9	18	6.0	3 900	3.4	38	4.7	10 154	3.4
Pondera .....	9	7.3	596	.2	16	5.8	17 500	.2	27	5.8	7 556	4.6
Powder River .....	21	6.6	31	6.5	2	16.7	(D)	(D)	69	3.0	20 205	3.0
Powell .....	8	7.8	(D)	(D)	13	7.6	442	7.4	25	5.8	1 476	5.2

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Prairie .....	6	9.1	25	14.3	3	14.4	(D)	(D)	19	6.1	5 199	2.3
Ravalli .....	43	4.1	1 679	1.7	32	5.3	596	9.3	97	3.2	4 357	7.1
Richland .....	11	6.6	67	3.0	13	9.8	359	17.3	37	4.5	5 956	7.8
Roosevelt .....	4	16.0	7	9.1	12	8.7	864	7.7	21	6.4	5 562	2.0
Rosebud .....	11	9.7	18	12.4	7	11.8	(D)	(D)	26	5.5	4 600	5.3
Sanders .....	23	6.4	210	1.6	15	7.9	73	10.3	12	9.1	325	11.0
Sheridan .....	4	13.5	(D)	(D)	10	10.0	1 483	5.3	18	6.5	2 272	6.9
Silver Bow .....	3	22.0	3	22.0	6	12.5	128	22.8	13	7.0	733	8.3
Stillwater .....	23	5.4	190	4.6	12	8.0	2 020	8.2	74	3.1	11 889	3.6
Sweet Grass .....	16	6.3	30	11.9	8	9.1	2 015	4.7	60	3.4	12 518	4.0
Teton .....	13	6.8	459	5.4	14	7.0	14 252	.6	40	4.2	8 489	2.1
Toole .....	5	7.9	(D)	(D)	7	12.2	(D)	(D)	14	7.8	1 818	13.3
Treasure .....	2	21.7	(D)	(D)	5	14.5	10	15.0	4	12.5	(D)	(D)
Valley .....	10	9.9	22	17.2	5	10.0	205	2.1	33	5.9	4 199	7.7
Wheatland .....	7	8.2	424	.2	8	7.5	8 297	.2	46	3.2	23 275	2.3
Wibaux .....	5	9.0	(D)	(D)	7	12.5	834	12.7	14	8.3	1 125	10.6
Yellowstone .....	36	4.5	1 628	2.1	17	7.9	132	10.8	97	3.1	5 732	3.7
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory					Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold						
	Farms		Total			Farms			Total			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana .....</b>	<b>1 001</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>294 399</b>	<b>.1</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>112 821</b>	<b>.2</b>				
Beaverhead .....	15	8.2	290	8.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Big Horn .....	7	10.1	316	6.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Blaine .....	9	8.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Broadwater .....	11	9.2	384	4.8	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Carbon .....	31	5.1	427	6.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Carter .....	20	5.6	349	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Cascade .....	44	4.7	30 530	.6	3	11.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Chouteau .....	17	7.2	387	10.1	2	27.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Custer .....	24	6.3	467	7.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Daniels .....	4	19.4	109	23.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Dawson .....	17	6.8	517	7.9	1	27.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Deer Lodge .....	3	—	52	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Fallon .....	12	7.9	306	9.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Fergus .....	31	4.8	2 520	1.7	2	27.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Flathead .....	51	4.2	737	5.5	5	13.6	60	16.4	—	—	16.4	
Gallatin .....	37	5.5	759	6.6	4	17.5	81	18.8	—	—	18.8	
Garfield .....	17	6.5	573	14.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Glacier .....	15	6.9	35 204	.1	4	—	5 700	—	—	—	—	
Golden Valley .....	7	8.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Granite .....	1	37.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Hill .....	12	8.8	(D)	(D)	7	6.7	2 076	5.7	—	—	5.7	
Jefferson .....	18	7.1	284	8.4	2	24.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Judith Basin .....	4	9.7	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Lake .....	43	5.1	687	6.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Lewis and Clark .....	35	5.0	(D)	(D)	2	19.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Liberty .....	4	7.7	28 478	(L)	3	—	25 500	—	—	—	—	
Lincoln .....	22	6.5	706	7.9	2	23.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
McCone .....	6	10.1	315	5.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Madison .....	24	5.4	431	5.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Meagher .....	7	9.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Mineral .....	1	43.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Missoula .....	27	6.2	329	7.7	4	18.1	220	25.4	—	—	25.4	
Musselshell .....	10	9.1	402	7.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Park .....	30	6.0	703	12.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Petroleum .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Phillips .....	18	6.2	712	4.2	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Pondera .....	20	6.6	51 320	.1	4	9.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Powder River .....	14	7.9	305	7.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Powell .....	8	10.0	130	8.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Prairie .....	5	15.8	134	22.1	2	21.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Ravalli .....	87	3.3	1 286	4.1	2	17.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Richland .....	25	6.0	944	7.2	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	
Roosevelt .....	17	8.7	422	10.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Rosebud .....	11	9.4	274	8.4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Sanders .....	35	5.3	642	8.4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Sheridan .....	6	10.3	118	11.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Silver Bow .....	7	12.3	134	14.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Stillwater .....	20	6.6	509	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Sweet Grass .....	13	7.1	227	11.1	1	34.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory					Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold						
	Farms		Total			Farms		Total				
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
Teton .....	18	5.9	32 882	.1	3	10.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)		
Toole .....	15	7.1	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)		
Treasure .....	4	16.5	23	16.4	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Valley .....	13	9.0	369	9.8	1	36.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)		
Wheatland .....	3	—	28 250	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)		
Wibaux .....	5	13.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)		
Yellowstone .....	41	5.1	657	5.7	1	38.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)		
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for silage or green chop					Wheat for grain						
	Farms		Acres	Quantity		Farms		Acres	Quantity			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, green	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana .....</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>36 644</b>	<b>.9</b>	<b>736 202</b>	<b>.8</b>	<b>7 932</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>5 602 336</b>	<b>.2</b>	<b>172 214 482</b>	<b>.2</b>
Beaverhead .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	29	3.4	10 445	1.4	651 155	1.3
Big Horn .....	19	2.4	1 283	1.3	28 611	1.3	178	1.5	137 631	.4	5 060 197	.5
Blaine .....	3	19.6	108	31.2	(D)	(D)	219	1.5	209 493	.7	6 044 382	.8
Broadwater .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	86	2.3	45 091	1.0	2 252 367	1.0
Carbon .....	44	2.7	3 306	2.3	69 958	1.8	63	3.0	15 025	2.1	513 495	2.0
Carter .....	2	16.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	77	2.6	38 549	1.4	870 022	1.1
Cascade .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	235	1.6	152 705	.7	6 272 498	.7
Chouteau .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	550	.8	560 587	.4	20 172 518	.4
Custer .....	34	4.2	3 370	5.3	67 584	3.8	91	2.5	29 809	1.5	671 480	1.6
Daniels .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	252	1.2	244 091	.7	5 894 257	.7
Dawson .....	15	5.3	1 228	3.4	20 384	3.7	265	1.2	169 383	.9	4 477 333	.8
Deer Lodge .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Fallon .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	120	2.2	62 498	1.6	1 368 275	1.6
Fergus .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	330	1.2	189 671	.7	7 084 714	.7
Flathead .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	122	2.2	19 477	2.0	1 128 311	1.6
Gallatin .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	198	1.6	46 145	1.3	2 422 310	1.4
Garfield .....	3	15.1	130	17.4	2 040	13.3	128	1.7	102 118	1.0	2 672 407	.8
Glacier .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	152	1.7	151 468	.7	5 137 748	.6
Golden Valley .....	2	13.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	47	2.4	34 976	1.0	1 257 178	1.0
Granite .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	10.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hill .....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	470	.8	462 910	.5	14 719 904	.5
Jefferson .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	12	7.5	5 226	6.8	195 866	7.8
Judith Basin .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	140	1.6	74 960	.9	2 827 447	.9
Lake .....	6	—	600	—	14 885	—	107	2.6	23 934	1.9	1 376 415	1.9
Lewis and Clark .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	24	5.5	11 005	1.7	409 379	2.4
Liberty .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	222	.7	265 044	.4	7 447 622	.4
Lincoln .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
McCone .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	293	.9	207 015	.7	4 922 192	.7
Madison .....	1	30.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	39	2.8	14 030	1.3	849 296	1.5
Meagher .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	17	3.7	8 830	1.5	370 858	1.2
Mineral .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	10.9	710	11.9	33 600	11.3
Missoula .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	14	7.8	3 099	9.6	131 200	10.0
Musselshell .....	8	4.2	432	5.1	5 940	5.7	42	3.7	44 043	1.1	1 493 333	.9
Park .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	47	3.5	10 428	4.5	386 996	4.6
Petroleum .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	25	4.2	14 624	3.1	477 507	2.9
Phillips .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	220	1.4	165 013	.8	4 195 508	.9
Pondera .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	311	1.0	189 395	.7	7 583 713	.7
Powder River .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	65	2.7	26 696	1.6	601 020	2.0
Powell .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	8.6	1 441	13.6	89 315	15.1
Prairie .....	21	4.6	1 365	2.1	22 203	2.3	85	2.0	37 493	1.6	881 516	1.6
Ravalli .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	19	7.8	1 898	10.6	95 207	10.1
Richland .....	69	2.6	4 221	1.8	71 926	1.8	338	1.0	181 702	.8	4 556 702	.8
Roosevelt .....	5	11.5	226	12.6	2 425	12.2	371	1.0	335 032	.5	8 153 626	.5
Rosebud .....	16	4.8	2 952	1.6	60 933	1.2	90	2.4	60 869	.9	1 526 711	1.1
Sanders .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	23	6.4	2 940	4.2	124 913	4.7
Sheridan .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	409	.8	299 692	.6	7 008 789	.7
Silver Bow .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stillwater .....	23	4.7	2 013	4.5	41 035	4.0	111	2.1	46 253	1.7	1 399 034	1.7
Sweet Grass .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	16	5.7	8 222	2.4	291 625	2.6
Teton .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	226	1.2	167 591	.6	6 717 916	.6
Toole .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	252	1.1	231 870	.6	6 490 182	.6
Treasure .....	18	3.6	2 481	1.3	58 962	1.4	28	2.9	6 558	2.0	280 515	1.7
Valley .....	7	6.1	732	1.7	13 816	1.7	402	1.1	286 633	.7	6 790 235	.8
Wheatland .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	50	2.7	45 468	.8	1 609 696	.8
Wibaux .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	91	2.1	44 571	1.5	963 248	1.9
Yellowstone .....	114	2.0	11 290	1.8	239 831	1.8	208	1.7	97 210	1.3	3 234 657	1.2

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Barley for grain					Oats for grain						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b> .....	<b>4 423</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>1 093 414</b>	<b>.3</b>	<b>55 236 960</b>	<b>.3</b>	<b>1 251</b>	<b>.8</b>	<b>66 331</b>	<b>.8</b>	<b>3 501 669</b>	<b>.8</b>
Beaverhead .....	54	2.8	10 045	2.1	943 079	2.0	8	5.5	542	3.4	56 282	1.6
Big Horn .....	94	2.0	13 310	1.1	782 619	.9	36	4.2	1 305	3.5	83 730	4.1
Blaine .....	88	2.5	20 596	1.0	902 548	1.0	37	4.6	1 997	3.0	102 329	4.6
Broadwater .....	53	3.3	6 617	2.9	422 299	3.3	6	10.4	363	2.6	29 820	2.2
Carbon .....	123	1.9	11 134	1.7	898 609	1.8	45	3.6	1 586	3.5	95 996	4.5
Carter .....	16	6.1	2 884	6.1	106 263	6.5	14	7.5	1 001	12.0	32 770	7.5
Cascade .....	177	1.8	56 278	.9	3 115 102	1.1	29	5.0	994	3.4	52 138	2.7
Chouteau .....	279	1.2	97 534	.7	4 250 139	.8	16	5.5	1 190	1.6	67 150	1.3
Custer .....	29	4.6	3 074	3.8	134 986	3.6	19	6.2	1 059	6.8	65 315	10.8
Daniels .....	32	4.4	3 052	2.8	104 019	2.8	20	6.7	896	8.4	35 643	9.2
Dawson .....	97	2.2	15 744	1.2	591 322	1.1	35	4.2	2 049	4.4	80 720	4.5
Deer Lodge .....	6	7.6	444	1.7	27 258	1.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Fallon .....	58	3.5	5 080	3.1	167 213	3.0	42	3.8	2 752	2.8	121 208	2.9
Fergus .....	273	1.3	75 936	.8	3 637 154	.8	57	3.4	3 215	3.4	154 810	3.0
Flathead .....	129	2.1	16 899	2.4	1 079 596	2.2	28	5.5	461	6.8	31 931	6.9
Gallatin .....	219	1.6	39 015	1.4	2 466 491	1.3	21	6.1	659	5.8	52 440	5.6
Garfield .....	46	3.2	10 630	2.4	442 686	2.1	25	3.0	2 838	1.1	115 260	1.1
Glacier .....	136	1.7	93 138	.8	4 287 851	.8	11	8.7	1 149	9.3	59 704	9.7
Golden Valley .....	19	3.3	4 019	1.9	201 620	2.4	7	4.7	809	4.1	42 010	6.5
Granite .....	10	6.8	1 701	10.8	115 256	11.7	5	8.7	172	5.2	13 800	5.6
Hill .....	129	1.8	28 476	.9	1 007 870	.8	24	4.9	1 207	4.6	41 101	4.0
Jefferson .....	22	5.1	2 853	2.8	149 177	3.5	11	7.2	599	8.4	42 485	8.1
Judith Basin .....	135	1.7	50 356	1.0	2 398 831	.8	37	3.8	2 325	3.5	143 163	3.7
Lake .....	74	3.1	4 485	2.9	308 072	2.5	48	4.0	1 789	4.2	139 213	4.5
Lewis and Clark .....	49	3.9	9 054	2.3	470 225	2.4	13	5.2	422	1.9	32 830	2.0
Liberty .....	72	1.8	26 487	.9	996 791	.7	9	3.8	372	2.7	15 484	3.1
Lincoln .....	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7	11.8	145	13.6	8 885	15.2
McCone .....	86	2.1	12 748	1.5	424 476	1.3	24	4.5	2 397	2.7	91 628	2.3
Madison .....	66	2.3	9 564	1.7	706 759	1.6	18	5.2	657	3.9	48 072	4.0
Meagher .....	24	2.6	19 619	.5	1 052 620	.8	5	—	1 149	—	86 370	—
Mineral .....	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	10.6	78	7.3	4 000	9.5
Missoula .....	16	7.3	1 308	11.2	80 580	12.0	9	10.1	151	10.9	10 490	11.9
Musselshell .....	23	4.4	3 974	5.6	119 704	4.6	21	5.3	798	5.0	44 253	5.2
Park .....	58	3.4	7 811	4.5	415 376	3.7	27	5.0	951	4.1	57 859	4.7
Petroleum .....	9	7.9	1 253	7.6	56 104	13.3	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Phillips .....	108	2.1	19 603	1.3	682 190	1.3	36	4.1	2 150	4.2	105 066	4.6
Pondera .....	287	1.1	107 059	.8	5 938 314	.8	12	8.4	284	9.5	12 393	9.8
Powder River .....	29	4.2	2 674	1.9	94 260	2.1	22	4.8	784	3.7	33 235	4.3
Powell .....	30	4.4	4 438	4.7	302 833	4.8	13	7.5	959	10.9	64 551	10.7
Prairie .....	31	4.2	5 572	1.6	185 235	1.8	17	5.9	1 251	8.4	60 671	10.2
Ravalli .....	58	3.8	2 587	4.1	207 231	4.0	37	4.8	942	4.1	78 199	4.3
Richland .....	115	2.0	15 949	1.8	614 720	1.7	70	2.7	5 407	1.9	273 626	1.8
Roosevelt .....	67	2.6	7 648	2.1	239 899	2.1	28	4.8	2 089	4.4	73 576	3.9
Rosebud .....	39	4.1	6 200	1.5	282 400	2.1	31	4.5	1 417	2.7	79 261	1.6
Sanders .....	12	8.6	1 144	14.3	59 417	14.6	14	8.5	389	13.0	25 163	14.2
Sheridan .....	54	2.9	7 374	2.6	209 623	2.6	32	4.2	1 897	5.8	70 282	5.3
Silver Bow .....	1	21.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	26.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Stillwater .....	78	2.5	13 986	1.8	616 574	1.7	26	4.6	1 309	3.6	63 781	3.4
Sweet Grass .....	24	4.8	2 877	2.5	130 878	2.7	33	4.5	1 583	11.0	98 176	12.3
Teton .....	276	1.0	112 861	.8	7 052 820	.9	23	5.5	1 023	6.8	57 877	6.1
Toole .....	132	1.7	61 384	1.1	2 719 524	1.1	5	11.1	534	2.8	20 184	3.7
Treasure .....	24	3.4	1 932	1.9	147 703	1.7	8	6.5	220	11.4	9 860	10.4
Valley .....	94	2.7	14 888	1.1	590 473	1.2	29	5.6	1 164	6.4	57 688	4.6
Wheatland .....	40	2.8	17 293	.6	837 595	.7	21	4.6	1 082	3.9	65 534	3.9
Wibaux .....	42	3.2	3 995	2.8	142 635	2.9	39	3.4	2 376	2.4	107 457	2.2
Yellowstone .....	179	1.7	18 700	1.4	1 309 766	1.3	31	4.3	1 229	3.3	75 650	4.4

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Sugar beets for sugar					Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
<b>Montana</b> .....	<b>415</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>59 345</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>1 243 622</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>13 536</b>	<b>.4</b>	<b>2 528 517</b>	<b>.3</b>	<b>4 745 596</b>	<b>.3</b>
Beaverhead .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	263	1.0	122 374	.7	278 745	.6
Big Horn .....	46	2.6	7 912	1.0	177 615	.9	301	1.1	64 535	1.2	136 892	1.0
Blaine .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	300	1.3	68 602	1.2	129 515	1.2
Broadwater .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	137	1.6	27 958	1.9	86 628	1.5
Carbon .....	64	2.5	6 681	2.0	134 175	1.8	420	.9	59 850	1.2	132 878	1.2
Carter .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	212	1.1	85 542	1.1	79 705	1.1
Cascade .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	515	1.0	81 695	1.4	141 091	1.5
Chouteau .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	234	1.5	44 349	1.9	64 835	1.8
Custer .....	23	5.5	2 077	5.5	45 708	5.6	259	1.2	46 607	1.3	82 998	1.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Sugar beets for sugar						Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Daniels .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	133	2.3	22 836	2.1	27 650	2.1
Dawson .....	25	3.8	3 065	2.8	61 490	2.9	279	1.2	44 230	1.6	60 449	1.6
Deer Lodge .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	61	1.8	12 143	2.9	25 636	2.5
Fallon .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	198	1.4	58 380	1.6	47 172	2.0
Fergus .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	556	.8	146 401	.9	229 744	.9
Flathead .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	541	1.0	33 242	2.1	74 552	2.0
Gallatin .....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	525	1.0	73 766	1.2	198 261	1.1
Garfield .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	137	1.7	33 506	2.0	42 554	2.9
Glacier .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	151	2.0	31 704	2.0	54 128	1.9
Golden Valley .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	76	1.5	18 557	1.6	29 493	1.4
Granite .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	85	1.6	28 515	1.4	68 324	1.5
Hill .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	179	1.9	24 504	2.6	26 979	2.8
Jefferson .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	151	1.7	24 533	1.8	58 174	1.4
Judith Basin .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	229	1.2	75 674	1.2	130 059	1.4
Lake .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	529	1.0	55 736	1.7	147 123	1.7
Lewis and Clark .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	329	1.1	44 020	1.4	104 071	1.0
Liberty .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	59	2.6	11 954	2.5	18 984	2.0
Lincoln .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	164	1.5	8 663	3.1	14 407	3.9
McCone .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	204	1.3	44 382	1.5	52 746	2.0
Madison .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	307	1.0	73 813	.9	204 675	.8
Meagher .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	94	1.5	46 056	1.2	103 923	1.1
Mineral .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	43	3.2	2 402	5.3	5 283	6.2
Missoula .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	266	1.4	20 080	2.8	42 607	2.8
Musselshell .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	136	1.7	27 079	1.4	47 554	1.8
Park .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	282	1.1	58 568	1.3	134 787	1.3
Petroleum .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	57	2.1	18 700	2.0	30 916	1.4
Phillips .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	288	1.2	78 092	1.1	119 079	1.3
Pondera .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	218	1.5	31 771	2.1	69 899	1.8
Powder River .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	236	1.0	74 354	1.1	100 005	1.0
Powell .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	173	1.2	54 636	1.1	134 658	1.4
Prairie .....	21	5.0	2 738	2.7	53 435	2.6	102	1.6	17 038	2.2	25 586	2.2
Ravalli .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	682	.9	38 627	1.5	104 690	1.7
Richland .....	107	2.2	19 220	1.3	385 104	1.3	317	1.2	50 317	1.1	81 862	1.0
Roosevelt .....	9	8.7	880	3.2	14 400	2.0	270	1.4	47 884	1.9	63 475	2.0
Rosebud .....	9	4.3	2 238	.9	45 969	.5	205	1.4	45 813	1.0	85 993	1.0
Sanders .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	292	1.2	31 021	2.0	63 706	2.0
Sheridan .....	1	31.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	229	1.4	36 282	1.8	46 267	1.6
Silver Bow .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	56	2.9	7 321	3.9	16 840	4.7
Stillwater .....	8	7.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	301	1.1	55 897	1.3	95 090	1.2
Sweet Grass .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	196	1.3	47 413	1.4	88 226	1.6
Teton .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	276	1.2	61 447	1.4	151 101	1.3
Toole .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	107	2.0	18 006	2.0	24 275	2.2
Treasure .....	20	3.8	4 061	.9	99 331	.9	63	1.8	12 982	2.1	28 058	2.5
Valley .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	306	1.4	59 752	1.7	112 852	1.8
Wheatland .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	97	1.5	44 990	1.2	80 926	1.3
Wibaux .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	115	1.7	21 833	2.2	24 361	3.6
Yellowstone .....	81	2.4	9 755	1.5	211 375	1.3	595	.9	52 085	1.2	115 109	1.2

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table G. Coverage Estimates: 1997**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census total	Coverage total <sup>1</sup>	Adjusted census		Coverage adjustment (percent)
			Total	Relative standard error (percent)	
Farms ..... number..	24 279	3 344	27 623	3.5	12.1
Land in farms ..... acres..	58 607 778	454 290	59 062 068	.5	.8
Average size of farm ..... acres..	2 414	136	2 138	(X)	(X)
<b>Farms by size of farm:</b>					
Less than 10 acres .....	898	685	1 583	35.6	43.3
10 to 49 acres .....	3 570	1 687	5 257	8.7	32.1
50 to 179 acres .....	3 575	455	4 030	5.0	11.3
180 acres or more .....	16 236	517	16 753	1.5	3.1
<b>Farms by value of sales:</b>					
Less than \$2,500 .....	4 996	2 608	7 604	9.8	34.3
\$2,500 to \$9,999 .....	4 332	521	4 853	4.4	10.7
\$10,000 or more .....	14 951	215	15 166	1.3	1.4
Market value of agricultural products sold ..... \$1,000..	1 870 732	11 755	1 882 487	.7	.6
<b>Farms by type of organization:</b>					
Individual or family .....	18 751	3 351	22 102	4.3	15.2
Partnership, corporation, or other .....	5 528	-7	5 521	2.8	-1
<b>Farms by tenure of operator:</b>					
Full owners .....	12 569	2 581	15 150	4.8	17.0
Part owners .....	8 826	344	9 170	1.6	3.8
Tenants .....	2 884	419	3 303	9.1	12.7
<b>Operators by place of residence:</b>					
On farm operated .....	17 907	2 777	20 684	4.5	13.4
Not on farm operated .....	4 615	482	5 097	4.7	9.5
Not reported .....	1 757	85	1 842	9.4	4.6
<b>Operators by principal occupation:</b>					
Farming .....	15 703	1 083	16 786	2.4	6.5
Other .....	8 576	2 261	10 837	6.4	20.9
<b>Operators by sex:</b>					
Male .....	21 951	2 861	24 812	3.8	11.5
Female.....	2 328	483	2 811	7.8	17.2
<b>Operators by race:</b>					
White .....	23 608	3 262	26 870	2.8	12.1
Black and other races .....	671	82	753	36.0	10.9
<b>Operators by years on present farm:</b>					
4 years or less .....	2 733	629	3 362	6.0	18.7
5 years or more .....	17 806	1 934	19 740	2.4	9.8
Not reported .....	3 740	781	4 521	13.5	17.3

<sup>1</sup> See text in Appendix C regarding coverage estimates.