
Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

THE SCREENING PHASE AND THE MAIL LIST MODEL

The 1997 Census of Agriculture featured a pre-census screening phase that surveyed selected records, by mail or telephone, for presence or absence of agricultural activity. Records selected for screening had a low probability of qualifying as farms. All records responding to the screener and reporting no agricultural activity were removed from the census mail list. Eliminating nonfarm records from the mail list reduced respondent burden and data collection costs.

The screening phase included nearly 500,000 records. Records were selected for screening using one of the following criteria:

- 1) Records on selected agriculture specialty lists that had no other list source,
- 2) Records identified by a mail list model as having a low probability of being a farm.

A mail list model predicted the probability that an addressee on the 1997 preliminary census mail list operated a farm. The model defined groups based on combinations of characteristics such as source(s) of the mail list record, expected value of agricultural production, and geographic location. Farm proportions were estimated for these groups by calculating the proportion of 1992 census respondent records that were farms which exhibited the characteristics defined by the group. This proportion, also called the in-scope rate, provided an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

Each address record on the 1997 preliminary census mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms. Records with a farm probability of approximately 30 percent or less were selected for screening, along with records included on selected agriculture specialty lists as noted above.

Before screening, the preliminary census mail list consisted of 3,314,790 records. There were 478,298 records selected for screening. Of these, 125,570 records were determined to be nonfarms as a result of the screening phase and were removed. These records were removed from the final census mail list. The remaining 3,189,220 records received census report forms.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

All name and address records on the final census mail list were designated to receive a 1997 Census of Agriculture report form. Two different types of census report forms, sample and nonsample, were used to collect data. Sections 1 through 20 and 28 through 32 of the sample form were identical to sections on the nonsample census form. Sample form sections 21 through 27 contained additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, farm-related income, and hired workers. There were 11 regional versions of the nonsample form and 13 regional versions of the sample form with listings of crops varying by region. These different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Mail list records were selected into the sample with certainty if they (1) were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) were multi-unit operations (i.e., separate farms producing under one company organization), (3) were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1992, or (4) had other special characteristics. Farms with special characteristics were abnormal farms, such as institutional farms, experimental and research farms, and Indian reservations. Mail list records in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2; records in counties containing 200 to 299 farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 4; and records in counties containing 300 or more farms in 1992 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. The remaining mail list records not chosen to receive the sample form received the nonsample census form. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The census of agriculture complex edit and imputation system is an automated computerized system that performed the following functions:

- Ensured reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, combinations of commodities, and economic interactions.
- Ensured necessary consistencies were present (there were more than 70 distinct consistency requirements).
- Ensured climatic, geographic, legal, and physical constraints were met.

The system performed these and similar functions for more than 900 data key codes for sample records and approximately 850 data key codes for nonsample records.

For the 1997 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data for that record from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known fixed price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships was assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several Standard Industrial Classifications and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for the same sections of the report form was processed by the

computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions. An edit run usually consisted of 10,000 or more records.

After the initial computer edit, all keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data had been keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for 17 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy and to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record re-edited.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1997 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures to account for whole farm nonresponse and sample data collection. The procedures were necessary because some farm operators did not respond to the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and estimates for certain data items were based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

Whole farm nonresponse to the census occurred when a response was never received for a record. If the record was a large farm, as defined by value of production or acreage, or a unique farm operation, intensive telephone or personal followup was conducted during census processing to obtain a response. If these attempts failed, either the NASS survey database, the census historic database, or other more current sources were used to impute data for the record.

During mail list development, the State Statistical Offices (SSOs), in an effort to reduce respondent burden, identified records that participated in multiple NASS surveys and/or situations where there were special reporting relationships between an enumerator and a respondent. These records were referred to as tagged records. The SSOs had full responsibility for the data collection for these records, including imputation of data for the record if a response was not obtainable.

Whole farm nonresponse that occurred within the remaining universe of records was accounted for by a statistical weighting procedure. The weights of the responding farms were adjusted to account for farms that did not respond. The information needed for this process was obtained from the 1997 Nonresponse Survey. The SSOs conducted the nonresponse survey using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (Blaise-CATI) or personal enumeration when telephone contact was not possible. Alaska and Rhode

Island were not eligible for the survey because all nonrespondents were subject to extensive followup. In these cases, data were collected by telephone or other methods. The nonresponse survey collected information from a sample of census nonrespondents to determine farm status and estimate the proportion of farms in the nonresponse universe. The information was then used to estimate the number of nonresponding farm operations by State and county.

The 1997 Nonresponse Survey consisted of a stratified systematic sample of the nonresponse records within each State. The sample was selected near the end of the census follow-up operations. Five strata were defined to be homogeneous on probability of farm status and were based on screener status, total value produced, and list source(s) of the mail list record.

Based on survey results, estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents operating farms were made for each stratum in the State. The estimates were applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum, providing a State estimate of the number of census nonrespondents that operated farms. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms was then derived for each county by stratum. This estimation procedure assumed that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county was the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. Census respondent farms that were designated as large farms or tagged records or as farms that exhibited "rare" commodities were ineligible to represent nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting procedure. These records were assigned nonresponse weights of 1.0.

The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms, divided by the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight never exceeded 2.0. For the published tabulations of the complete count items, the noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record. For the sample count items, the noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final sample weight.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in this table are percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided in this table do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of this item nonresponse is discussed in the "Census Nonsampling Error" section.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimation determined the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 27 of the sample form. The estimates were obtained from a weighting procedure that assigned a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm were multiplied by 6.

The noninteger sample weight is calculated for each respondent sample farm by multiplying the noninteger nonrespondent weight by the sampling factor. For published tabulations of the sample count items, the noninteger sample weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight for each record. For certainty farms, the sampling factor equals 1 so the sample weight is just equal to the nonresponse weight. Sampling factor calculation for non-certainty farms is described below.

Within a county, the weighting procedure for non-certainty farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1997 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. The second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01, 08 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure classified the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial strata formed by the three variable groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample factor equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This factor was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure combined, when necessary, the 32 initial strata to increase the reliability of the weighting procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms or had a factor greater than twice the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2, 4, or 6,

depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2, 1 in 4, or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the 32 initial strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each final strata and used to calculate final sample factors.

The final step calculated the noninteger sample weight as the product of the final sampling factor and the noninteger nonresponse weight. As described previously, the noninteger sample weight for each record is randomly rounded to an integer weight which is used in published tabulations. For example, if the final weight for a farm was 7.2, then the record would be rounded to either 7 or 8.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1997 Census of Agriculture was only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. In this context, "sample" refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive sample forms.

The standard error, or sampling error, of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples. It is a measure of precision - that is, how well an estimate from a particular sample approximates the true population parameter. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate, then multiplied by 100. The true population parameter can be defined or conceptualized several different ways. One way is to think of the true population parameter as the average result of all possible samples (selected using a given sample design). A second way is to think of the true population parameter as the figure obtained from carrying out a complete enumeration of the population.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the true population parameter.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the true population parameter.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary to produce a confidence statement for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is 0.1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94).

If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the true population parameter. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. All farm operators were asked the complete count items. Examples of complete count items were: land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Only a sample of farm operators were asked the sample count items. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 27 of the sample form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, farm-related income, and hired workers.

Variability in the estimates of complete count items was due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. With regard to the estimates of sample count items, variability was due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Therefore, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates. Percent relative standard error is a common measure of variability.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county that reported complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard errors for estimated number of farms in a county that reported a complete count item, and the bottom half relates to sample count items. These reliability estimates are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used to produce each section of table B. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for the appropriate counties in the State. To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1992 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count

item estimates came only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures. The estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Use caution when referring to the "Sample Count Item" section of table B to make inferences on counties. Some counties may have been sampled at the rate of 1 in 2 or 1 in 4, but the reliability estimates shown were computed using only data from counties sampled at the rate of 1 in 6. Therefore, the reliability estimates shown would likely be overstated (or conservative) if the county was actually sampled at a higher rate.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the standard error for percent change in State totals from 1992 to 1997. The general purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1997 and the 1992 estimate for that characteristic to the 1992 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The standard error of a percent change estimate is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in the (1) total number of farms, (2) number of large farms included with certainty, (3) size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) amount of nonresponse, (5) general agricultural characteristics, and (6) specific characteristic being measured.

The farm counts and related estimates displayed in tables A through F relate to unadjusted census totals. These totals are the same as the "Census total" displayed in the first column of table G (which will be discussed later in this appendix).

For most of the tables in this appendix, and also many of the tables throughout the publication, there is a footnote that reads "Data are based on a sample of farms." The table entries that this footnote relate to are estimates of totals. To illustrate, suppose that the entry "other farm-related income" is shown with this footnote and has some number of farms given. This number given would represent an estimated total number of farms with "other farm-related income," based on the farms that were in the sample. This number should not be interpreted as the number of farms in the sample that have "other farm-related income."

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts is affected jointly by sampling errors (described in the previous section) and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to

design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures. Nonsampling errors arise from many sources, including respondent or enumerator error or incorrect data keying, editing, or imputing for missing data. These nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Nonsampling error due to mail list incompleteness and duplication as well as misclassification of records on the mail list is called coverage error. The section titled "Coverage Evaluation" discusses the evaluation studies conducted to measure the extent of this error in the census.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the census report form or to the questions posed by an enumerator can introduce error into the census data. To reduce reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each respondent. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on previous tests of the report form. In addition, each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency by the complex edit and imputation system.

Item Nonresponse

As information flowed from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses were identified on the census report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the census report form that logically should have been present created a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. In this case, information from a similar farm was used to impute for these missing data items. The resulting data may have been biased if the characteristics of the nonreporting respondents were different from those of reporting respondents for those items.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each census report form were potential sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. An automated check-in recorded that the report had been returned and excluded from further followup mailings. Approximately one-third of the mail returns were reviewed to resolve questions dealing with multiple reports, respondent remarks, or no reported data. The remaining mail returns (about two-thirds) were batched and sent directly to data keying, along with some of the reviewed cases containing farm data. Keyed records were transmitted, formatted, and run through the complex edit and imputation system. About one-fifth of all forms edited were clerically reviewed for inconsistencies, omissions, or questionable values. While reviewing these forms, the edit review staff determined if the action taken by the computer edit and imputation system was correct. Edited records were tabulated to the county level. Each county was reviewed and, when necessary, individual records were corrected prior to publication.

Developing accurate processing methods is complicated by the complex structure of agriculture. Among the complexities are the many places to be included, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the continuing changes in the relationship of operators to the farm operated, the expiration of leases and the initiation or renewal of leases, the problem of obtaining a complete list of agriculture operations, the difficulty of contacting and identifying some types of contractor/contractee relationships, the operator's absence from the farm during the data collection period, and the operator's opinion that part or all of the operation does not qualify and should not be included in the census. During data collection and processing of the census, all operations underwent a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible.

COVERAGE EVALUATION

Coverage Overview

The primary objectives of the census of agriculture are to accurately count U.S. farms, measure commodity production and sales, and measure demographic characteristics of farm operators. Since 1945, an evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture to provide estimates of the completeness of census farm counts. These results help to identify problems and focus improvements for future censuses.

According to coverage evaluation results, the past five censuses of agriculture included an average of 92 percent of U.S. farms and 98 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used for an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, and the difficulty in classifying those operations just around the \$1,000 sales range. In 1997, extensive efforts were made to compile as complete and accurate a mail list as possible, while reducing the duplication and number of nonfarm operations on the list.

The 1997 coverage evaluation program was designed to measure four components of error in the census farm counts. These components include:

1. Undercount due to farms Not on the Mail List (NML)
2. Overcount due to farms Duplicated or enumerated more than once (DUP)
3. Undercount due to farms Incorrectly Classified as nonfarms (ICU)
4. Overcount due to nonfarms Incorrectly Classified as farms (ICO).

The first component, mail list undercount, is by far the largest component of coverage error. Duplication, though occurring far less frequently, can involve larger farms and have a larger impact on acreage and sales estimates. The

last two components involve the misclassification of either farms or nonfarms. Misclassification can arise from errors in either reporting or processing the data.

Table G - Coverage Estimates - illustrates the effect of coverage adjustments on census farm counts by demographic characteristics, land in farms, and total value of sales. The coverage total is defined as the net difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. The adjusted census total is the sum of the census total and the net coverage total. The relative standard error is shown for the final census coverage adjusted number. This number will be similar to the relative standard error for the census number, except when the coverage total is negative or close to zero. The coverage adjustment percentage shows the coverage total as a percentage of total census adjusted farms for that characteristic.

The 1997 Census of Agriculture is the first census to include all four components of coverage error in table G. Previous publications only included the coverage error component due to farms not on the mail list (NML). Because of this, caution should be taken when comparing coverage estimates from table G with previous years. In addition, the coverage total is a negative number for some characteristics. This means that the number of farms overcounted for this characteristic was greater than the number of farms undercounted.

Area Frame Surveys to Measure Mail List Undercoverage

Names and addresses collected in the 1997 June Agricultural Survey and 1997 Fall Area Survey were used to estimate the undercount due to farms not on the census mail list (NML). These names were matched to the census mail list, and those that did not match were contacted by telephone or person. The enumerator verified whether the operation had reported in the census, and if not, a census of agriculture report form was completed.

The percentage of farms missed in the census varies considerably by State. In general, farms not on the mail list tended to be small in acreage, production, and sales of agricultural products. Farm operations could be missed for various reasons, including the possibility that the operation started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any agriculture-related source lists, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout.

Classification Error Survey to Measure Three Types of Coverage Error

The remaining three types of coverage error were measured by the Classification Error Survey. This survey was used to estimate the number of farms counted more than once (DUP), the number of farms misclassified as nonfarms (ICU), and the number of nonfarms misclassified as farms (ICO). A sample of census of agriculture respondents was selected for reinterview to determine their farm/nonfarm status and collect information to identify

potential duplication. The farm classification from this interview was compared with the classification on the census of agriculture report form. Any differences between these two classifications were reconciled to determine the true farm status. Each operation was reviewed for duplication by matching the additional information received from the reinterview (landlords, tenants, other names, etc.) to the list of census respondents. Potential duplication was reviewed and discrepancies reconciled.

In general, the classification error rate is higher for small farms close to the \$1,000 agricultural sales requirement. This rate is also higher for farms with small acreage (less than 49 acres), higher for tenant farms than for full- or part-owner farms, and higher for farms where farming is not the operator's principal occupation.

Coverage Estimation

The adjusted census total, T, is estimated as the census farm count, C, plus undercount and minus overcount adjustments. Undercount includes 1) farms not on the mail

list (NML) and 2) farms incorrectly classified as nonfarms (ICU). Overcount includes 3) nonfarms incorrectly classified as farms (ICO) and 4) farms duplicated in the census (DUP). Altogether, the adjusted census total is:

$$T = C + (NML + ICU) - (ICO + DUP).$$

In some States, estimates of misclassification of farms owned by operators having rare demographic characteristics were based on particularly small sample sizes. Where such small sample sizes occurred, a form of small area estimation was used in which data from similar States contributed to that State's estimates. In these cases, the coverage totals are weighted totals of the direct State estimate and the direct estimate from the region. Direct estimates were used to the largest extent possible, based on the amount of survey cases available for the particular item being estimated.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1997

Item	Percent of total	Item	Percent of total
Farms	14.8	Corn for grain or seed	2.5
Land in farms	6.4	Wheat for grain	5.4
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹	9.3	Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Market value of agricultural products sold	2.7	Cattle and calves	7.1
Harvested cropland	6.0	Hogs and pigs	3.2
		Layers 20 weeks old and older	1.0

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1997

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM		SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:		Number of farms reporting:	
25	6.5	25	40.0
50	4.2	50	28.3
75	3.2	75	23.1
100	2.4	100	20.1
150	1.4	150	16.4
200	1.2	200	14.3
300	1.0	300	11.7
5008	500	9.2
7506	750	7.6
1,0005	1,000	6.6
1,5004	1,500	5.5
2,0004	2,000	4.9

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS BY SIZE			LIVESTOCK—Con.		
1 to 9 acres	farms.. 11 930	.8	Cattle and calves sold	farms.. 138 701	.6
	acres.. 48 995	.9		number.. 13 028 674	.2
10 to 49 acres	farms.. 41 615	.8		\$1,000.. 7 252 079	.1
	acres.. 1 095 594	.8	Hogs and pigs inventory	farms.. 5 428	.8
50 to 69 acres	farms.. 14 100	.7		number.. 578 664	.4
	acres.. 815 161	.7	Hogs and pigs sold	farms.. 3 659	.8
70 to 99 acres	farms.. 15 459	.7		number.. 903 226	.4
	acres.. 1 284 209	.7		\$1,000.. 110 122	.3
100 to 139 acres	farms.. 16 864	.7	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms.. 6 959	.7
	acres.. 1 950 571	.7		number.. 1 531 614	.4
140 to 179 acres	farms.. 12 997	.7	Sheep and lambs sold	farms.. 6 145	.7
	acres.. 2 054 229	.7		number.. 1 098 390	.3
180 to 219 acres	farms.. 9 459	.7	Horses and ponies inventory	farms.. 44 203	.6
	acres.. 1 872 955	.7		number.. 241 981	.7
220 to 259 acres	farms.. 6 976	.7	Horses and ponies sold	farms.. 8 824	.7
	acres.. 1 666 206	.7		number.. 30 086	1.0
260 to 499 acres	farms.. 23 239	.7	POULTRY		
	acres.. 8 313 622	.7	Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory		
500 to 999 acres	farms.. 18 495	.8	(see text)	farms.. 6 473	.8
	acres.. 12 904 171	.8		number.. 20 184 249	.4
1,000 to 1,999 acres	farms.. 12 310	.7	Layers 20 weeks old and older	farms.. 6 259	.8
	acres.. 17 012 420	.7		number.. 17 200 764	.3
2,000 acres or more	farms.. 10 857	.4	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms.. 1 000	.7
	acres.. 82 290 153	.2		number.. 388 114 496	.1
FARMS BY NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM			SELECTED CROPS HARVESTED		
Oilseed and grain farming (1111)	farms.. 16 255	.8	Corn for grain or seed	farms.. 5 855	.5
	acres.. 13 559 225	.5		acres.. 1 656 229	.2
Vegetable and melon farming (1112)	farms.. 1 596	1.0		bushels.. 219 361 590	.2
	acres.. 581 520	.5	Corn for silage or green chop	farms.. 580	1.0
Fruit and tree nut farming (1113)	farms.. 3 616	.9		acres.. 102 005	.6
	acres.. 453 928	1.3		tons, green.. 2 142 336	.5
Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production (1114)	farms.. 2 017	1.1	Sorghum for grain or seed	farms.. 10 438	.6
	acres.. 201 031	1.4		acres.. 3 041 937	.3
Other crop farming (1119)	farms.. 24 067	.6	Wheat for grain	bushels.. 175 279 096	.3
	acres.. 14 078 985	.4		farms.. 13 669	.6
Beef cattle ranching and farming (112111)	farms.. 123 248	.6		acres.. 3 860 325	.4
	acres.. 87 876 721	.3	Oats for grain	bushels.. 108 242 787	.3
Cattle feedlots (112112)	farms.. 2 481	.9		farms.. 2 131	.9
	acres.. 2 778 021	.4		acres.. 125 595	1.0
Dairy cattle and milk production (11212)	farms.. 1 888	.6	Rice	bushels.. 5 627 557	1.0
	acres.. 1 014 735	.5		farms.. 843	1.1
Hog and pig farming (1122)	farms.. 1 785	1.1	Cotton	acres.. 280 676	.6
	acres.. 188 858	2.0		cwt.. 15 348 483	.6
Poultry and egg production (1123)	farms.. 2 065	.8		acres.. 10 971	.6
	acres.. 408 417	.6		farms.. 5 221 561	.3
Sheep and goat farming (1124)	farms.. 5 580	.7		acres.. 4 828 062	.3
	acres.. 8 401 176	.3	Soybeans for beans	farms.. 1 705	.8
Animal aquaculture and other animal production (1125, 1129)	farms.. 9 703	.8		acres.. 381 187	.6
	acres.. 1 765 669	.9		bushels.. 10 114 310	.5
LIVESTOCK			Potatoes, excluding sweetpotatoes	farms.. 211	2.1
Cattle and calves inventory	farms.. 144 354	.6		acres.. 17 016	.2
	number.. 14 532 814	.3	Sweetpotatoes	cwt.. 4 348 661	.2
Beef cows	farms.. 124 980	.6		farms.. 92	3.1
	number.. 5 347 457	.5		acres.. 4 913	1.7
Milk cows	farms.. 4 113	.6		bushels.. 1 276 120	1.4
	number.. 374 816	.1	Peanuts for nuts	farms.. 1 790	.7
				acres.. 283 847	.4
				pounds.. 760 067 849	.3
			Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	farms.. 83 219	.6
				acres.. 4 277 199	.6
				tons, dry.. 9 605 686	.6
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)	farms.. 2 432	.8
				acres.. 140 522	.4
			Land in orchards	farms.. 8 804	.7
				acres.. 211 938	.7

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1997

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS			FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Farms number	64 979	.7	Total farm production expenses farms	64 855	.7
Land in farms acres	108 000 858	.3	Average per farm dollars	10 886 838	.2
Average size of farm acres	1 662	.7	Livestock and poultry purchased farms	28 396	1.1
			Average per farm dollars	3 151 126	.2
			Feed for livestock and poultry farms	47 521	.8
			Commercially mixed formula feeds farms	2 768 579	.2
			Average per farm dollars	28 339	1.1
			Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees farms	1 470 688	.2
			Average per farm dollars	31 469	1.0
			Commercial fertilizer farms	215 599	.6
			Agricultural chemicals farms	44 389	.8
			Petroleum products farms	470 107	.6
			Average per farm dollars	34 147	1.0
			Electricity farms	330 610	.7
			Hired farm labor farms	63 197	.5
			Contract labor farms	459 628	.5
			Repair and maintenance farms	47 088	.8
			Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment farms	170 646	.6
			Interest farms	33 422	1.0
			Secured by real estate farms	764 678	.4
			Not secured by real estate farms	19 510	1.4
			Cash rent farms	127 430	1.1
			Property taxes farms	57 998	.7
			All other farm production expenses farms	450 813	.6
			Average per farm dollars	27 287	1.1
			NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT) ¹	237 550	.9
			All farms number	33 450	1.0
			Average per farm dollars	428 563	.6
			Farms with net gains ² number	17 972	1.4
			Average net gain dollars	198 019	1.0
			Farms with net losses number	23 599	1.2
			Average net loss dollars	230 544	.6
			GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME	24 351	1.2
			Government payments farms	289 391	.9
			Other farm-related income ¹ farms	57 955	.7
			Customwork and other agricultural services farms	155 346	.8
			Gross cash rent or share payments farms	64 823	.7
			Forest products, excluding Christmas trees and maple products farms	866 772	.4
			Other farm-related income sources farms		
			Average per farm dollars		
			COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
			Total farms		
			Average per farm dollars		
			Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) farms		
			Average per farm dollars		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE			FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION		
Total cropland farms	55 414	.7	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) farms	51 650	.7
Harvested cropland acres	29 144 954	.4	Partnership farms	64 081 069	.4
Pasture or grazing only farms	28 362	.7	Family held farms	26 546 510	.3
Irrigated acres	17 995 880	.3	Other than family held farms	3 543	.9
Total woodland farms	12 378	.7	More than 10 stockholders acres	13 622 367	.2
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured farms	33 293	.7	10 or less stockholders farms	79	2.3
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. acres	74 188 816	.2	Other than 10 or less stockholders farms	3 464	1.0
Irrigated land farms	1 587 947	.6	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. farms	417	1.5
Harvested cropland irrigated acres	12 746	.6	More than 10 stockholders farms	1 380 017	.3
Pasture and other land irrigated acres	5 375 140	.6	10 or less stockholders farms	35	2.9
Land under Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs farms	5 227	.8	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. farms	382	1.6
Reserve Programs acres	1 537 098	.8	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. acres	598	1.4
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹			HIRED FARM LABOR¹		
Estimated market value of land and buildings farms	64 856	.7	Hired workers by days worked:		
Average per farm \$1,000	52 559 523	.6	150 days or more farms	16 542	1.2
Average per acre dollars	810 403	.9	Less than 150 days workers	46 408	.6
Average per acre dollars	490	.7	Less than 150 days farms	28 019	1.1
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹			INJURIES AND DEATHS		
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment farms	64 845	.7	Farm-related injuries:		
Average per farm \$1,000	5 106 223	.7	Operator and family members farms	596	1.3
Average per farm dollars	78 745	1.0	Hired workers number	689	1.3
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹			FARMS BY SIZE		
Commercial fertilizer farms	44 344	.8	1 to 9 acres	1 612	1.1
acres on which used	15 560 040	.6	10 to 49 acres	2 704	.9
TENURE OF OPERATOR			50 to 69 acres		
All operators farms	64 979	.7	70 to 99 acres	1 366	1.0
Full owners farms	108 000 858	.3	100 to 139 acres	2 014	1.0
Part owners farms	31 772 768	.4	140 to 179 acres	3 075	.9
Tenants farms	28 435	.6	180 to 219 acres	3 394	.9
OWNED AND RENTED LAND			220 to 259 acres		
Land owned farms	55 462	.6	260 to 499 acres	2 981	.9
Owned land in farms farms	60 554 046	.4	500 to 999 acres	2 676	1.0
Land rented or leased from others farms	54 907	.6	1,000 to 1,999 acres	11 839	.9
Land rented or leased to others farms	54 941 834	.3	2,000 acres or more	12 954	.8
Owned land in farms farms	38 747	.7	FARMS BY NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM		
Rented or leased land in farms farms	53 836 328	.3	Oilseed and grain farming (1111)	8 214	.7
Land rented or leased to others farms	116 366	.6	Vegetable and melon farming (112)	981	1.1
Land rented or leased to others farms	38 507	.7	Fruit and tree nut farming (113)	708	1.3
Land rented or leased to others farms	53 059 024	.3	Greenhouse, nursery, and floriculture production (114)	1 324	1.2
OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS			Other crop farming (119)		
Operators by place of residence:			Beef cattle ranching and farming (12111)		
On farm operated	36 230	.7	Cattle feedlots (12112)		
Not on farm operated	23 724	.8	Dairy cattle and milk production (1212)		
Not reported	5 025	.5	Hog and pig farming (122)		
Operators by principal occupation:			Poultry and egg production (123)		
Farming	42 504	.6	Sheep and goat farming (124)		
Other	22 475	.8	Animal aquaculture and other animal production (125, 1129)		
Operators by days worked off farm:			LIVESTOCK		
Any	29 828	.8	Cattle and calves inventory farms		
200 days or more	17 576	.8	Beef cows number		
Operators by sex:			Milk cows farms		
Male	60 312	.7	Cattle and calves sold farms		
Female	4 667	.9	Hogs and pigs inventory farms		
Average age of operator years	56.4	.9	Hogs and pigs sold farms		
			Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory farms		
			Sheep and lambs sold farms		
			Horses and ponies inventory farms		
			Horses and ponies sold farms		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1992 to 1997

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1992 to 1997	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1992 to 1997	Standard error of estimate
Farms	7.6	1.3	-7.3	1.2
Land in farms3	.6	-2.9	.5
Average size of farm	-6.8	1.3	4.8	1.5
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :				
Average per farm	10.5	1.7	20.8	2.0
Average per acre	18.8	1.4	15.3	1.4
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :				
Average per farm	20.6	2.0	23.6	2.1
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres	7.3	1.6	-17.1	1.5
10 to 49 acres	20.6	1.8	-1.6	1.5
50 to 179 acres	9.6	1.0	-8.1	.9
180 to 499 acres	2.8	1.1	-9.0	1.2
500 to 999 acres	-1.6	1.6	-10.0	1.5
1,000 to 1,999 acres	-3.2	1.2	-6.7	1.1
2,000 acres or more	1.7	.6	.2	.5
Total cropland	6.3	1.3	-6.5	1.2
Harvested cropland	3.5	1.0	-.5	.9
farms	3.7	1.2	-6.1	1.2
acres	8.1	.8	7.3	.8
Irrigated land	-.1	1.2	-2.9	1.2
acres	11.7	.7	11.7	.7
Market value of agricultural products sold	14.7	.4	14.9	.4
Average per farm	6.6	1.3	24.0	1.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops	28.8	.7	29.2	.7
Livestock, poultry, and their products	9.3	.3	9.3	.3
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500	28.6	1.5	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999	10.3	1.7	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999	2.8	1.5	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999	-6.2	1.2	-6.2	1.2
\$25,000 to \$49,999	-10.1	1.3	-10.1	1.3
\$50,000 to \$99,999	-18.7	1.3	-18.7	1.3
\$100,000 to \$249,999	-15.5	.8	-15.5	.8
\$250,000 to \$499,999	11.5	-	11.5	-
\$500,000 or more	37.9	-	37.9	-
Total farm production expenses ¹	11.6	.8	11.0	.8
Average per farm	3.7	1.3	20.0	1.6
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹	7.6	1.3	-7.5	1.2
Average per farm	33.8	1.3	34.4	1.1
farms	24.4	1.9	45.3	2.2
\$1,000				
dollars				
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming	-3.1	1.1	-10.9	1.1
Other	17.2	1.6	.3	1.5
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any	13.6	1.5	-2.8	1.4
200 days or more	14.2	1.5	-3.2	1.4
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory	7.2	1.3	-7.0	1.2
number	9.7	.7	8.1	.7
Beef cows	5.3	1.3	-7.6	1.2
number	3.1	1.0	-1.1	1.0
Milk cows	-23.6	.8	-31.7	.7
number	-5.0	.2	-5.1	.2
Cattle and calves sold	6.4	1.3	-7.0	1.2
number	13.6	.5	13.2	.4
Hogs and pigs inventory	-17.0	1.1	-39.5	1.0
number	25.7	1.1	30.1	1.1
Hogs and pigs sold	-26.7	1.0	-43.2	1.0
number	11.5	.9	17.1	1.0
Sheep and lambs inventory	-7.4	1.1	-19.3	1.0
number	-31.1	.3	-31.9	.3
Layers and pullets 13 weeks old and older inventory (see text)	-14.8	1.2	-30.3	1.1
number	2.3	.5	2.1	.5
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	9.2	1.1	4.2	.8
number	32.6	.2	32.6	.2
Selected crops harvested:				
Corn for grain or seed	-20.8	.8	-15.3	.9
acres	6.9	.6	7.6	.6
bushels	21.8	.6	22.1	.6
Sorghum for grain or seed	-25.1	.9	-22.7	.9
acres	-23.7	.5	-23.1	.5
bushels	-21.2	.5	-21.0	.5
Wheat for grain	-8.1	1.2	-6.7	1.2
acres	3.6	.8	4.2	.7
bushels	-2.7	.7	-2.4	.7
Rice	-33.9	1.3	-33.3	1.3
acres	-24.0	1.0	-24.0	1.0
cwt	-23.4	1.0	-23.3	1.0
Cotton	-2.4	1.2	.5	1.2
acres	44.2	1.0	44.8	1.0
bales	50.3	.9	50.7	.9
Soybeans for beans	-14.1	1.2	-13.6	1.2
acres	-.7	1.1	-.4	1.0
bushels	-15.8	.9	-15.6	.9
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	11.2	1.3	-2.5	1.3
acres	18.6	1.3	13.0	1.3
tons, dry	19.2	1.3	13.9	1.3

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	194 301	.6	131 308 286	.3	676	.7	398 126	.8	7 781 878	.6
Anderson	1 542	.6	353 969	1.0	230	1.2	204 536	4.2	39 099	5.0
Andrews	142	.6	828 859	.3	5 837	.7	602 708	3.4	7 271	6.4
Angelina	790	.8	117 920	1.7	149	1.9	320 694	8.1	23 066	7.3
Aransas	54	.8	18 758	4.3	347	4.4	325 840	9.1	773	5.2
Archer	496	.6	610 877	.9	1 232	1.1	582 751	5.5	24 643	4.8
Armstrong	235	.6	560 412	.7	2 385	1.0	729 001	14.1	18 205	12.0
Atascosa	1 322	.6	708 067	.9	536	1.1	360 912	4.4	48 386	6.2
Austin	1 820	.5	367 432	1.1	202	1.2	346 129	5.6	49 736	5.0
Bailey	441	1.0	408 936	1.0	927	1.4	373 113	5.3	40 407	8.3
Bandera	650	.6	364 190	1.6	560	1.7	581 381	8.1	18 024	11.8
Bastrop	1 765	.6	392 255	1.0	222	1.2	304 666	4.6	40 130	5.5
Baylor	270	.8	377 871	.8	1 400	1.1	599 118	6.4	17 753	5.4
Bee	686	.7	421 287	1.4	614	1.5	357 229	5.7	23 435	6.6
Bell	1 741	.6	406 772	1.2	234	1.4	304 056	8.5	51 973	5.9
Bexar	1 964	.7	447 824	1.3	228	1.5	297 443	5.9	54 120	6.0
Blanco	617	.5	381 412	1.3	618	1.4	743 703	5.7	10 616	9.4
Borden	107	.7	514 623	.7	4 810	1.0	1 209 834	5.9	8 526	4.8
Bosque	1 077	.5	548 359	1.1	509	1.2	460 813	5.4	32 675	6.0
Bowie	1 138	.6	280 762	1.3	247	1.5	307 601	9.0	34 127	6.6
Brazoria	1 783	.8	566 809	1.0	318	1.3	402 992	4.1	68 585	4.9
Brazos	1 084	.6	265 163	1.4	245	1.6	401 707	7.3	37 057	6.3
Brewster	129	.4	2 396 979	.1	18 581	.4	3 129 689	1.5	4 032	5.4
Briscoe	232	.6	533 084	.6	2 298	.9	650 439	3.0	20 803	8.3
Brooks	283	.8	458 487	.6	1 620	1.0	722 610	2.5	10 575	15.5
Brown	1 228	.6	516 058	1.3	420	1.5	261 050	5.9	31 421	6.0
Burleson	1 337	.6	321 657	1.2	241	1.4	341 299	6.4	37 353	7.3
Burnet	1 110	.5	537 198	1.2	484	1.3	463 527	6.3	33 418	20.2
Caldwell	1 068	.6	265 269	1.1	248	1.2	298 963	6.4	26 237	10.3
Calhoun	257	.6	213 390	1.2	830	1.3	611 253	6.5	15 480	4.5
Callahan	849	.6	488 662	1.1	576	1.3	251 854	5.6	21 488	7.1
Cameron	902	.8	368 528	.9	409	1.2	445 917	4.0	55 741	5.9
Camp	427	.6	63 021	1.5	148	1.6	277 837	9.4	14 143	7.0
Carson	348	.6	467 605	.9	1 344	1.1	428 891	5.7	30 425	3.6
Cass	852	.6	170 684	1.5	200	1.6	183 428	6.2	24 144	11.4
Castro	489	.6	558 662	.7	1 142	.9	675 798	7.4	77 848	1.3
Chambers	421	.9	241 933	1.6	575	1.8	334 921	9.2	15 547	11.0
Cherokee	1 429	.6	283 241	1.3	198	1.5	250 615	6.9	47 559	6.0
Childress	284	.5	393 162	1.0	1 384	1.1	429 126	6.1	15 380	8.7
Clay	818	.5	603 652	.9	738	1.0	428 771	3.9	29 455	6.5
Cochran	276	.8	402 071	1.1	1 457	1.4	476 722	4.4	30 651	5.2
Coke	336	.6	482 480	1.2	1 436	1.4	497 300	7.9	8 867	7.6
Coleman	837	.6	736 739	1.0	880	1.2	375 832	5.6	25 584	8.3
Collin	1 407	.7	270 434	1.2	192	1.4	447 347	4.2	47 318	6.4
Collingsworth	547	.6	488 382	1.1	893	1.2	442 957	4.6	32 105	9.4
Colorado	1 562	.5	520 627	1.1	333	1.2	398 997	4.4	50 036	4.6
Comal	657	.6	183 241	1.8	279	1.9	516 588	6.1	12 527	8.8
Comanche	1 438	.6	535 278	1.0	372	1.2	292 202	5.4	68 263	4.5
Concho	380	.8	635 584	.9	1 673	1.2	666 274	6.8	20 090	11.4
Cooke	1 487	.6	478 860	1.2	322	1.4	309 969	5.2	47 965	8.7
Coryell	1 075	.7	646 407	1.0	601	1.2	370 343	5.3	31 259	7.9
Cottle	225	.6	506 868	.5	2 253	.8	424 183	2.7	10 760	5.0
Crane	53	.6	491 112	.4	9 266	.7	1 106 999	3.0	1 653	2.1
Crockett	170	.4	1 935 171	.2	11 383	.5	2 004 389	1.5	7 773	5.6
Crosby	385	.7	562 839	.7	1 462	1.0	669 026	4.9	51 478	4.8
Culberson	92	.6	1 569 227	.2	17 057	.6	1 954 901	2.7	3 940	1.9
Dallam	414	.7	931 529	.5	2 250	.9	1 180 920	2.2	63 467	2.9
Dallas	768	1.0	148 862	2.4	194	2.6	460 759	10.6	18 610	7.3
Dawson	583	.8	605 260	.6	1 038	1.0	608 630	5.9	72 210	5.8
Deaf Smith	647	.6	879 692	.6	1 360	.9	610 587	4.6	87 776	5.2
Delta	419	.6	120 136	1.5	287	1.6	187 432	10.6	11 526	8.8
Denton	1 782	.7	362 712	1.4	204	1.6	486 237	6.1	69 217	5.5
De Witt	1 502	.4	560 093	1.0	373	1.1	289 218	4.0	34 746	5.8
Dickens	366	.6	532 948	.9	1 456	1.1	426 392	10.8	16 997	8.9
Dimmit	218	.5	517 641	.8	2 375	1.0	962 824	7.5	11 708	13.1
Donley	393	.8	643 151	.8	1 637	1.1	482 491	13.7	16 329	7.1
Duval	880	.7	844 195	.9	959	1.1	458 962	6.6	24 653	7.7
Eastland	1 137	.6	497 171	1.1	437	1.3	228 054	4.9	31 493	7.7
Ector	208	.8	462 315	.7	2 223	1.1	300 338	8.3	4 162	9.8
Edwards	283	.5	1 141 833	.5	4 035	.7	1 198 478	2.7	6 615	7.9
Ellis	1 713	.5	425 717	1.0	249	1.1	337 765	5.0	57 575	4.7
El Paso	415	.8	243 684	.9	587	1.2	403 684	5.9	29 064	7.7
Erath	1 787	.5	613 323	1.0	343	1.1	353 210	5.1	72 479	4.1
Falls	1 027	.6	362 205	.8	353	1.0	278 393	6.9	46 759	4.9
Fannin	1 604	.7	444 661	1.3	277	1.4	295 782	5.0	43 998	5.0
Fayette	2 659	.4	515 108	1.0	194	1.1	261 246	5.2	62 194	4.4
Fisher	603	.7	575 095	1.1	954	1.3	339 117	4.5	40 717	8.7
Floyd	517	.6	555 923	.8	1 075	1.0	508 054	9.1	65 647	4.8
Foard	238	.9	307 730	.9	1 293	1.2	382 296	4.4	13 358	12.0
Fort Bend	1 295	.7	431 582	1.0	333	1.2	503 273	4.7	66 260	5.4
Franklin	510	.5	134 979	1.8	265	1.9	257 750	7.8	21 282	6.6
Freestone	1 205	.7	422 548	1.4	351	1.6	312 550	5.7	30 696	6.2
Frio	485	.7	662 124	1.0	1 365	1.2	719 639	5.9	38 916	7.6
Gaines	712	.8	772 172	.7	1 085	1.0	570 388	4.6	95 397	2.6
Galveston	519	.9	104 941	3.1	202	3.3	250 539	14.6	14 371	12.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Garza	259	.6	514 384	.8	1 986	1.0	426 295	4.5	14 862	8.9
Gillespie	1 462	.5	693 933	1.1	475	1.2	629 511	5.6	36 449	6.7
Glasscock	200	.6	436 528	1.0	2 183	1.2	1 000 583	4.5	22 348	6.1
Goliad	786	.4	433 568	1.2	552	1.3	358 677	7.6	20 081	15.7
Gonzales	1 629	.5	709 657	1.0	436	1.1	380 639	5.9	71 270	6.9
Gray	341	.7	560 796	.9	1 645	1.2	665 684	6.0	23 588	10.2
Grayson	2 080	.6	417 356	1.1	201	1.3	293 275	5.7	60 672	5.1
Gregg	363	.8	51 388	4.9	142	5.0	271 323	11.9	10 885	12.7
Grimes	1 423	.6	370 308	1.3	260	1.5	298 503	4.9	40 587	5.2
Guadalupe	1 841	.4	347 763	1.1	189	1.2	267 839	6.3	46 546	7.1
Hale	840	.9	586 515	.8	698	1.2	414 316	3.8	96 401	4.2
Hall	311	.9	447 833	1.3	1 440	1.6	323 207	5.7	20 333	8.3
Hamilton	966	.5	465 847	1.1	482	1.2	337 388	6.9	30 360	6.6
Hansford	279	.5	582 092	.5	2 086	.7	856 062	2.2	39 183	3.0
Hardeman	342	.6	322 727	1.3	944	1.4	243 496	5.4	13 042	5.3
Hardin	354	.9	65 442	3.2	185	3.3	208 909	13.3	7 996	8.5
Harris	1 727	1.0	311 005	1.8	180	2.0	342 938	6.1	49 306	6.7
Harrison	1 107	.7	214 495	1.6	194	1.7	195 195	6.8	25 161	6.9
Hartley	245	.5	822 989	.4	3 359	.7	1 239 994	3.3	38 887	3.2
Haskell	611	.8	468 937	1.0	767	1.3	282 096	5.6	35 265	7.7
Hays	816	.6	298 493	1.6	366	1.7	667 818	7.6	20 279	9.3
Hemphill	230	.6	623 614	.6	2 711	.9	716 959	3.8	9 619	8.4
Henderson	1 630	.7	367 096	1.1	225	1.3	218 926	4.1	46 661	4.9
Hidalgo	1 373	.4	635 884	.8	463	.9	609 058	2.4	86 376	3.3
Hill	1 563	.6	463 925	1.0	297	1.2	247 421	4.5	69 394	3.9
Hockley	675	.8	571 521	.7	847	1.0	349 074	3.5	71 927	4.5
Hood	799	.7	225 450	1.5	282	1.7	340 651	6.9	23 699	7.9
Hopkins	1 758	.6	386 460	1.1	220	1.2	210 978	3.3	67 473	4.1
Houston	1 369	.6	440 228	1.0	322	1.2	279 434	4.7	41 467	5.1
Howard	436	.7	543 576	1.0	1 247	1.3	480 417	13.4	28 297	8.6
Hudspeth	147	.7	2 502 799	.1	17 026	.8	2 450 308	1.6	13 669	2.7
Hunt	2 049	.6	353 410	1.1	172	1.3	200 425	7.1	49 661	5.8
Hutchinson	190	.5	400 166	.9	2 106	1.1	670 574	3.4	16 788	4.7
Irion	146	.5	651 708	.7	4 464	.9	833 032	3.1	4 353	7.4
Jack	730	.5	531 787	1.0	728	1.1	450 293	6.9	16 516	8.5
Jackson	790	.5	462 927	.9	586	1.1	491 672	4.7	56 680	5.8
Jasper	639	.7	87 079	1.1	136	1.3	189 606	6.2	18 359	7.9
Jeff Davis	83	.4	1 481 660	.1	17 851	.4	2 820 815	2.3	4 399	1.3
Jefferson	562	.7	433 597	1.1	772	1.2	616 201	11.2	23 659	11.5
Jim Hogg	188	.7	768 209	.6	4 086	.9	1 092 160	3.2	4 826	5.5
Jim Wells	738	.7	496 317	1.1	673	1.3	353 211	6.8	25 563	6.1
Johnson	2 062	.6	332 844	1.1	161	1.3	300 556	4.8	52 132	4.8
Jones	867	.7	459 379	1.1	530	1.3	238 386	4.9	38 317	5.4
Karnes	1 051	.6	417 146	1.4	397	1.5	251 429	7.9	18 882	6.1
Kaufman	1 883	.7	388 830	1.2	206	1.4	261 764	4.8	45 864	5.5
Kendall	730	.4	325 412	1.3	446	1.3	617 915	9.2	16 604	16.3
Kenedy	31	.8	562 932	.3	18 159	.9	5 444 122	3.8	1 514	2.0
Kent	171	.7	560 952	.8	3 280	1.1	557 077	2.4	7 164	5.2
Kerr	778	.6	547 882	1.1	704	1.3	634 486	6.7	19 786	14.3
Kimble	485	.4	773 046	.8	1 594	.9	800 224	5.7	10 218	10.7
King	43	.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1 885 329	4.0	2 339	3.3
Kinney	128	.7	628 811	.6	4 913	.9	1 575 573	2.5	4 398	4.9
Kleberg	272	.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2 158 050	1.4	25 433	1.8
Knox	296	.7	658 161	.6	2 224	1.0	501 084	3.5	21 481	4.5
Lamar	1 539	.6	431 136	1.1	280	1.3	217 390	5.4	43 278	5.8
Lamb	865	.8	539 395	.7	624	1.1	339 561	5.5	83 183	3.7
Lampasas	746	.5	434 737	1.1	583	1.3	477 045	4.5	20 099	6.2
La Salle	280	.7	526 978	.9	1 882	1.2	766 658	5.5	8 802	8.7
Lavaca	2 558	.5	526 067	1.0	206	1.1	220 291	4.4	60 993	7.0
Lee	1 685	.5	344 475	1.1	204	1.2	209 575	4.6	39 651	5.5
Leon	1 633	.6	514 724	1.0	315	1.2	258 533	4.6	44 461	5.4
Liberty	1 138	.7	306 783	1.4	270	1.5	277 909	6.9	43 110	8.5
Limestone	1 212	.7	442 882	1.2	365	1.3	273 733	6.5	40 527	7.3
Lipscomb	302	.7	528 762	1.0	1 751	1.3	443 117	7.3	19 129	10.9
Live Oak	732	.7	520 236	1.4	711	1.5	430 892	7.8	25 196	10.8
Llano	565	.5	532 277	1.1	942	1.2	751 628	7.1	12 378	11.7
Loving	14	—	352 072	—	25 148	—	1 734 610	—	350	—
Lubbock	1 068	.7	540 880	.9	506	1.1	440 550	4.0	110 603	3.7
Lynn	490	.6	563 218	.6	1 149	.9	627 510	4.4	58 522	4.3
McCulloch	545	.6	640 593	.9	1 175	1.1	562 123	6.6	25 484	12.3
McLennan	2 006	.7	492 599	.9	246	1.1	237 070	5.2	67 556	3.8
McMullen	210	.7	520 992	.9	2 481	1.1	979 277	7.9	6 183	10.3
Madison	816	.6	223 690	1.4	274	1.5	325 457	6.5	30 148	8.1
Marion	207	.6	61 981	2.8	299	2.9	299 624	5.4	5 479	9.0
Martin	353	.7	539 196	.6	1 527	.9	502 316	3.5	33 697	5.3
Mason	565	.5	595 265	.9	1 054	1.1	674 296	9.2	14 337	10.3
Matagorda	768	.7	550 642	.9	717	1.1	493 846	2.9	51 698	5.3
Maverick	169	.6	470 270	.8	2 783	1.0	908 972	5.3	13 865	4.9
Medina	1 570	.6	749 653	1.2	477	1.3	452 581	4.7	48 130	5.6
Menard	291	.7	495 873	1.1	1 704	1.3	683 759	5.2	8 127	8.2
Midland	411	.7	863 073	.5	2 100	.9	507 788	5.8	19 154	9.4
Milam	1 655	.5	544 780	.9	329	1.1	267 152	4.6	48 834	4.7
Mills	731	.7	425 370	1.6	582	1.7	368 698	9.6	19 853	6.8
Mitchell	378	.7	541 253	.9	1 432	1.1	335 947	5.4	21 848	15.3
Montague	1 234	.6	493 542	1.3	400	1.5	292 019	6.4	35 823	10.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Montgomery	1 163	.8	193 375	1.8	166	2.0	322 097	10.0	32 594	6.2
Moore	263	.5	555 471	.5	2 112	.7	803 952	2.0	34 842	4.3
Morris	372	.6	66 486	1.9	179	2.0	205 524	9.8	11 761	11.6
Motley	214	.7	589 947	.7	2 757	1.0	540 444	6.2	11 582	5.1
Nacogdoches	1 200	.6	372 451	1.0	310	1.2	299 248	7.9	42 421	7.7
Navarro	1 513	.6	516 395	1.1	341	1.2	236 440	6.4	58 159	10.0
Newton	294	.8	62 108	2.5	211	2.6	209 176	9.0	6 781	9.9
Nolan	445	.6	520 001	1.2	1 169	1.3	411 781	6.1	18 945	9.7
Nueces	569	.8	438 242	.7	770	1.0	672 594	4.0	53 162	7.6
Ochiltree	361	.6	564 316	.7	1 563	1.0	717 390	8.6	42 065	7.5
Oldham	140	.7	841 907	.2	6 014	.7	1 305 424	3.3	9 834	4.5
Orange	334	.8	87 871	2.5	263	2.6	317 234	10.4	7 956	8.3
Palo Pinto	830	.6	524 449	1.1	632	1.2	418 046	8.9	23 061	11.9
Panola	866	.7	202 258	1.8	234	1.9	196 392	6.6	26 634	12.3
Parker	2 301	.6	479 807	1.2	209	1.4	386 242	5.4	48 237	5.1
Parmer	599	.5	546 870	.6	913	.8	563 763	3.7	84 264	4.1
Pecos	284	.5	2 943 214	.2	10 363	.6	1 661 751	4.6	13 708	5.5
Polk	551	.7	135 988	2.0	247	2.1	221 612	9.3	17 871	10.0
Potter	214	.7	449 859	.6	2 102	1.0	493 525	4.0	8 414	7.6
Presidio	138	.5	1 690 096	.3	12 247	.5	1 587 999	3.1	5 726	3.4
Rains	493	.2	94 427	1.1	192	1.1	240 249	13.3	11 612	6.3
Randall	583	.7	460 114	1.1	789	1.3	493 275	13.6	29 529	3.8
Reagan	123	.6	623 807	.5	5 072	.8	1 027 896	2.4	10 709	6.6
Real	207	.4	377 890	1.0	1 826	1.1	913 624	6.0	4 135	5.3
Red River	1 088	.7	444 611	1.1	409	1.3	300 311	5.3	36 760	5.3
Reeves	176	.8	1 013 803	.4	5 760	.9	771 392	2.8	9 802	2.9
Refugio	230	.7	550 165	.7	2 392	1.0	961 303	3.7	16 271	4.8
Roberts	96	.2	566 057	.4	5 896	.4	1 255 537	2.6	7 127	7.7
Robertson	1 289	.5	424 700	.9	329	1.1	280 956	4.6	51 755	8.8
Rockwall	265	.9	46 015	2.9	174	3.0	410 411	6.1	7 561	5.7
Runnels	896	.6	581 139	1.2	649	1.4	288 847	6.3	36 956	8.8
Rusk	1 296	.7	267 448	1.3	206	1.5	207 360	5.4	30 809	5.6
Sabine	194	.7	25 103	3.3	129	3.4	173 466	8.3	5 911	9.6
San Augustine	291	.8	65 250	3.5	224	3.6	283 198	22.3	10 835	13.8
San Jacinto	398	.6	84 620	2.2	213	2.3	275 890	8.4	9 248	12.5
San Patricio	496	.5	405 507	.6	818	.8	686 492	5.0	45 591	4.8
San Saba	653	.5	732 874	.9	1 122	1.0	714 389	5.8	20 844	7.2
Schleicher	284	.7	738 704	.9	2 601	1.1	744 156	5.1	8 102	6.5
Scurry	606	.7	478 576	1.2	790	1.4	309 134	6.1	32 108	8.9
Shackelford	250	.7	515 842	.9	2 063	1.1	603 519	3.8	8 575	7.8
Shelby	1 047	.6	201 427	1.9	192	2.0	273 160	7.8	42 333	4.6
Sherman	293	.6	607 148	.6	2 072	.8	1 166 241	2.0	53 475	7.1
Smith	1 844	.7	250 855	1.4	136	1.6	222 849	5.5	46 486	6.5
Somervell	245	.5	71 694	3.1	293	3.1	300 980	7.4	4 756	11.5
Starr	609	.8	636 083	.9	1 044	1.2	534 294	3.3	18 738	4.7
Stephens	454	.6	464 737	1.2	1 024	1.3	402 396	5.7	8 620	7.3
Sterling	67	.6	705 614	.4	10 532	.7	3 109 680	3.5	3 200	1.4
Stonewall	305	.7	483 523	1.1	1 585	1.4	339 340	6.3	11 270	13.7
Sutton	211	.6	924 748	.6	4 383	.8	1 067 334	3.3	7 371	3.7
Swisher	529	.8	515 910	.8	975	1.2	444 846	4.0	51 752	3.1
Tarrant	1 048	.8	184 081	2.1	176	2.3	387 313	7.6	23 292	6.3
Taylor	1 048	.7	491 840	1.4	469	1.6	280 450	9.8	32 004	7.5
Terrell	85	.5	1 290 770	.1	15 186	.5	2 237 858	2.1	3 881	1.3
Terry	562	.7	468 016	.9	833	1.1	423 903	3.2	73 995	3.2
Throckmorton	249	.6	562 070	.8	2 257	1.0	716 939	4.1	13 799	9.4
Titus	722	.7	174 394	1.6	242	1.7	242 297	7.5	19 924	7.9
Tom Green	880	.6	958 722	.9	1 089	1.1	553 773	4.3	45 586	6.0
Travis	1 038	.7	396 165	1.2	382	1.4	495 311	11.2	24 142	9.9
Trinity	518	.7	98 748	1.9	191	2.0	245 320	11.0	16 930	11.4
Tyler	463	.6	53 225	2.1	115	2.2	203 516	8.5	9 194	9.5
Upshur	1 110	.6	175 297	1.2	158	1.4	188 577	6.3	28 214	6.9
Upton	96	.6	746 269	.3	7 774	.7	1 263 094	2.6	7 538	2.4
Uvalde	593	.7	942 604	.7	1 590	1.0	764 807	3.8	29 367	9.8
Val Verde	238	.4	1 748 028	.3	7 345	.5	1 310 540	3.5	5 716	4.5
Van Zandt	2 423	.6	360 888	1.2	149	1.4	221 687	4.7	62 382	4.4
Victoria	1 084	.6	458 111	1.1	423	1.2	318 387	5.9	36 100	8.0
Walker	826	.7	183 988	1.6	223	1.7	278 509	8.9	20 068	9.1
Waller	1 066	.6	238 110	1.3	223	1.5	429 957	6.0	40 674	5.7
Ward	85	.8	363 034	1.1	4 271	1.3	628 206	4.0	2 682	4.1
Washington	1 986	.5	336 197	1.0	169	1.1	274 063	4.3	46 489	4.3
Webb	453	.8	2 176 046	.4	4 804	.9	1 593 899	2.6	22 492	13.1
Wharton	1 347	.7	679 275	.9	504	1.1	483 676	3.1	98 511	3.9
Wheeler	505	.7	514 280	1.2	1 018	1.4	300 641	5.9	22 071	7.8
Wichita	560	.7	338 624	1.2	605	1.3	443 195	10.5	21 793	7.9
Wilbarger	476	.7	883 781	.5	1 857	.9	545 293	2.6	35 507	6.4
Willacy	243	.5	286 352	.6	1 178	.8	1 171 002	3.5	39 969	10.5
Williamson	2 034	.6	538 130	1.0	265	1.2	384 237	4.9	62 958	5.2
Wilson	1 794	.6	445 798	1.0	248	1.2	292 289	6.9	59 536	5.8
Winkler	39	—	487 734	—	12 506	—	1 311 187	—	1 131	—
Wise	2 075	.6	411 737	1.3	198	1.4	322 818	5.1	50 018	5.1
Wood	1 331	.6	214 656	1.2	161	1.3	216 164	6.3	43 998	5.1
Yoakum	278	.7	343 095	1.2	1 234	1.4	616 422	7.1	37 019	7.2
Young	709	.6	553 406	1.0	781	1.2	348 748	6.5	17 545	9.4
Zapata	323	.8	403 273	1.5	1 249	1.7	433 907	9.0	6 478	10.6
Zavala	232	.7	590 746	.8	2 546	1.1	1 021 322	2.9	14 952	4.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	40 062	.9	13 766 527	.1	70 852	.6	194 285	.6	11 636 594	.2
Anderson	25 356	5.1	24 258	.9	15 732	1.1	1 542	.7	26 142	3.4
Andrews	51 203	6.6	9 278	.8	65 340	1.0	142	1.6	7 489	2.2
Angelina	29 197	7.4	15 913	.7	20 144	1.1	790	1.0	16 336	3.3
Aransas	14 311	7.0	304	4.6	5 624	4.6	54	4.7	564	3.6
Archer	49 684	4.8	63 394	.4	127 810	.7	496	.8	50 535	1.4
Armstrong	77 467	12.1	27 901	.6	118 728	.9	235	1.1	20 513	2.7
Atascosa	36 628	6.3	46 170	.6	34 924	.8	1 321	.7	39 744	3.1
Austin	27 328	5.1	24 550	1.1	13 489	1.3	1 820	.6	24 254	4.3
Bailey	91 627	8.4	171 675	.2	389 286	1.0	441	1.2	150 234	.7
Bandera	27 729	11.8	4 713	2.9	7 251	3.0	650	.8	8 175	9.3
Bastrop	22 749	5.6	27 946	.9	15 833	1.0	1 764	.7	29 309	2.8
Baylor	65 754	5.5	38 007	.4	140 767	.9	270	1.2	32 229	2.0
Bee	34 162	6.6	27 688	.6	40 361	.9	686	.8	20 323	4.3
Bell	29 835	5.9	51 485	.5	29 572	.8	1 742	.7	42 752	2.2
Bexar	27 570	6.0	68 282	.4	34 767	.8	1 963	.8	54 239	1.7
Blanco	17 206	9.5	13 007	.8	21 081	1.0	617	.8	11 388	5.5
Borden	79 683	5.1	12 436	.9	116 226	1.2	107	1.7	8 358	3.4
Bosque	30 367	6.0	41 354	.4	38 397	.6	1 076	.7	42 000	3.0
Bowie	29 989	6.6	40 158	.6	35 288	.9	1 138	.8	33 694	2.2
Brazoria	38 466	5.0	42 621	.7	23 904	1.0	1 783	.8	39 252	3.0
Brazos	34 186	6.4	41 184	.5	37 992	.8	1 084	.7	35 484	2.5
Brewster	31 254	5.5	9 009	.4	69 835	.6	129	1.4	7 598	.7
Briscoe	90 056	8.4	22 613	.8	97 468	1.0	231	1.1	16 708	2.6
Brooks	37 367	15.6	8 662	.6	30 607	1.0	283	1.2	10 464	1.8
Brown	25 587	6.0	32 860	.7	26 759	.9	1 228	.7	28 976	4.0
Burleson	27 938	7.4	27 388	1.0	20 485	1.1	1 337	.7	29 354	4.7
Burnet	30 079	20.2	10 369	1.4	9 341	1.5	1 111	.6	11 169	5.0
Caldwell	24 566	10.3	32 384	.5	30 322	.8	1 068	.8	33 162	4.3
Calhoun	60 234	4.6	20 502	.7	79 775	.9	257	1.1	13 915	2.6
Callahan	25 310	7.1	20 970	1.0	24 700	1.1	849	.8	18 710	5.7
Cameron	61 866	6.0	79 414	.5	88 042	.9	901	.9	56 335	1.5
Camp	33 121	7.1	150 735	.1	353 008	.6	427	.9	136 288	.3
Carson	87 178	3.7	72 471	.3	208 251	.7	349	.9	57 719	1.7
Cass	28 338	11.4	22 716	.7	26 662	.9	852	.8	18 026	3.1
Castro	159 525	1.5	668 439	(L)	1 366 952	.6	488	.8	529 475	.3
Chambers	36 929	11.0	15 702	1.8	37 296	2.0	421	1.1	12 231	5.2
Cherokee	33 282	6.1	103 024	.3	72 095	.7	1 429	.7	66 031	1.4
Childress	54 155	8.8	19 256	.8	67 802	1.0	284	.9	14 410	4.1
Clay	35 964	6.6	37 592	.7	45 956	.8	819	.6	33 752	2.4
Cochran	111 054	5.3	51 283	.4	185 809	.9	276	1.2	34 889	2.9
Coke	26 312	7.7	7 990	1.8	23 781	1.9	337	1.0	12 322	6.5
Coleman	30 567	8.3	20 781	.9	24 828	1.1	837	.8	18 884	4.1
Collin	33 630	6.4	33 996	.7	24 162	1.0	1 407	.8	30 800	1.7
Collingsworth	58 585	9.4	30 582	.7	55 909	.9	548	.9	23 687	4.4
Colorado	32 033	4.7	53 274	.7	34 106	.9	1 562	.6	42 593	2.5
Comal	19 067	8.9	5 166	2.1	7 863	2.2	657	.7	5 589	7.5
Comanche	47 438	4.6	94 223	.4	65 524	.7	1 439	.7	83 070	1.5
Concho	52 729	11.4	19 766	1.0	52 016	1.2	381	1.0	16 132	4.4
Cooke	32 256	8.7	37 287	.8	25 075	1.0	1 487	.8	33 512	2.7
Coryell	29 078	7.9	28 029	.9	26 074	1.1	1 075	.8	26 516	2.7
Cottle	47 820	5.2	14 753	.7	65 567	.9	225	1.4	11 177	2.7
Crane	31 195	3.6	2 059	.5	38 854	.7	53	2.9	1 541	.7
Crockett	45 724	5.7	15 195	.3	89 385	.5	170	1.0	13 975	.8
Crosby	133 710	4.9	74 257	.4	192 877	.8	385	1.0	45 340	2.0
Culberson	42 826	3.2	6 026	1.7	65 497	1.8	91	2.7	5 224	1.3
Dallam	153 302	3.1	356 988	.1	862 291	.7	414	.9	320 733	.3
Dallas	24 232	7.4	22 279	.7	29 009	1.2	768	1.1	16 531	3.5
Dawson	124 072	5.9	89 602	.5	153 691	1.0	582	1.0	60 350	2.1
Deaf Smith	135 877	5.2	656 636	.1	1 014 894	.6	646	.7	577 521	.2
Delta	27 509	8.8	11 584	1.1	27 647	1.3	419	.8	8 694	4.9
Denton	38 842	5.6	53 547	.6	30 049	.9	1 782	.8	48 206	2.5
De Witt	23 133	5.9	23 240	.9	15 473	1.0	1 502	.6	21 213	3.4
Dickens	46 441	9.0	14 144	1.0	38 645	1.2	366	1.0	9 985	4.9
Dimmit	53 952	13.2	19 902	.4	91 295	.6	217	1.0	19 678	1.5
Donley	41 549	7.2	91 967	.2	234 013	.8	393	1.2	79 664	1.1
Duval	28 015	7.8	12 939	1.2	14 704	1.4	880	.8	14 017	6.1
Eastland	27 723	7.7	25 882	.9	22 763	1.1	1 136	.7	24 946	3.5
Ector	20 010	9.9	3 400	1.2	16 346	1.4	208	1.4	3 647	4.2
Edwards	23 373	7.9	9 085	.8	32 103	.9	283	.9	9 067	3.0
Ellis	33 630	4.8	40 430	.6	23 602	.8	1 712	.6	34 535	2.2
El Paso	71 586	7.9	76 673	.2	184 754	.8	415	1.1	56 227	1.7
Erath	40 582	4.2	232 917	.1	130 339	.5	1 786	.6	187 456	.6
Falls	45 530	4.9	52 345	.6	50 969	.8	1 027	.7	45 415	2.4
Fannin	27 430	5.0	39 220	.9	24 451	1.1	1 604	.7	34 224	3.8
Fayette	23 390	4.4	59 679	.5	22 444	.7	2 659	.5	66 342	2.3
Fisher	67 636	8.7	30 848	1.0	51 157	1.2	603	.8	24 975	3.8
Floyd	127 223	4.9	130 709	.3	252 822	.7	516	.8	105 018	1.8
Foard	56 125	12.1	11 108	1.2	46 674	1.5	238	1.3	10 185	5.5
Fort Bend	51 166	5.5	76 397	.5	58 994	.9	1 295	.8	54 548	1.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Franklin	41 729	6.6	49 185	.3	96 441	.6	510	.8	45 233	1.7
Freestone	25 495	6.2	19 648	1.1	16 305	1.3	1 204	.7	21 984	4.4
Frio	80 240	7.6	68 083	.2	140 378	.7	485	.9	46 967	2.3
Gaines	133 985	2.7	218 298	.2	306 599	.8	712	.9	167 067	.5
Galveston	27 689	12.8	6 805	1.8	13 112	2.0	519	1.1	7 752	4.4
Garza	57 382	9.0	14 820	1.2	57 219	1.4	259	1.2	10 641	5.1
Gillespie	24 914	6.7	29 261	.7	20 014	.9	1 463	.6	29 598	2.7
Glasscock	111 740	6.2	23 740	.8	118 702	1.0	200	.9	16 753	3.5
Goliad	25 581	15.7	12 352	1.2	15 715	1.3	785	.7	10 518	4.9
Gonzales	43 751	6.9	294 402	.1	180 725	.5	1 629	.6	261 790	.5
Gray	68 970	10.3	85 164	.2	249 747	.7	342	1.0	76 198	1.3
Grayson	29 169	5.2	35 476	.8	17 056	1.0	2 080	.7	33 620	3.4
Gregg	29 985	12.8	2 761	3.0	7 605	3.1	363	1.1	3 597	14.1
Grimes	28 522	5.3	23 345	1.1	16 406	1.2	1 423	.7	22 210	6.5
Guadalupe	25 477	7.2	31 361	.8	17 035	.9	1 841	.5	28 487	3.3
Hale	114 900	4.3	239 579	.3	285 213	.9	839	1.1	165 566	1.0
Hall	65 171	8.4	23 597	1.1	75 873	1.4	312	1.3	17 701	5.1
Hamilton	31 429	6.7	52 410	.4	54 254	.6	966	.6	36 650	2.5
Hansford	139 940	3.1	346 244	.1	1 241 020	.5	280	.8	308 850	.3
Hardeman	38 135	5.4	15 887	.9	46 454	1.1	342	.9	10 910	3.0
Hardin	22 846	8.7	2 873	2.5	8 116	2.6	354	1.2	3 728	8.9
Harris	28 566	6.7	43 301	.8	25 073	1.3	1 727	1.1	29 675	4.7
Harrison	22 729	7.0	12 084	1.6	10 916	1.8	1 107	.8	10 690	6.5
Hartley	158 075	3.3	349 970	.1	1 428 449	.5	246	.8	281 736	.3
Haskell	57 717	7.8	39 735	.8	65 033	1.1	611	.9	28 374	2.8
Hays	24 851	9.3	10 759	1.3	13 185	1.4	816	.7	11 285	4.8
Hemphill	41 641	8.5	103 109	.2	448 302	.7	231	1.0	73 326	.8
Henderson	28 609	4.9	29 496	1.0	18 096	1.2	1 631	.7	29 019	4.4
Hidalgo	62 956	3.3	197 235	.2	143 652	.5	1 372	.5	150 268	.7
Hill	44 398	4.0	57 711	.5	36 923	.8	1 563	.7	57 330	1.5
Hockley	106 717	4.6	89 315	.5	132 319	.9	674	.9	63 091	3.6
Hood	29 661	8.0	18 252	.8	22 843	1.0	799	.9	16 210	2.9
Hopkins	38 381	4.2	127 100	.3	72 298	.7	1 758	.7	100 005	1.3
Houston	30 290	5.1	27 388	.9	20 006	1.1	1 369	.8	26 616	5.3
Howard	64 901	8.6	31 487	.9	72 217	1.2	436	.9	20 514	5.0
Hudspeth	93 625	3.0	24 993	.7	170 021	1.0	146	1.3	19 283	2.8
Hunt	24 236	5.8	24 085	.9	11 754	1.1	2 049	.6	22 589	4.7
Hutchinson	88 359	4.9	42 969	.3	226 152	.6	190	1.4	34 424	1.1
Irion	29 815	7.5	5 980	1.4	40 960	1.5	146	1.3	5 150	3.9
Jack	22 624	8.5	16 919	.9	23 177	1.1	730	.7	15 994	4.4
Jackson	71 838	5.9	47 257	.5	59 819	.7	789	.6	39 762	2.4
Jasper	28 731	8.0	3 480	1.8	5 446	2.0	639	.9	4 517	8.4
Jeff Davis	52 994	2.7	9 344	.3	112 582	.5	83	2.3	8 467	.5
Jefferson	42 098	11.6	25 957	.8	46 187	1.0	562	.8	21 873	6.3
Jim Hogg	25 672	5.8	6 500	.9	34 577	1.2	188	1.7	5 431	4.8
Jim Wells	34 638	6.2	35 618	.5	48 263	.9	738	.9	28 069	2.4
Johnson	25 295	4.8	48 119	.6	23 336	.9	2 061	.7	42 560	2.0
Jones	44 195	5.4	39 133	.9	45 136	1.2	867	.8	31 825	3.4
Karnes	17 966	6.2	15 890	1.2	15 119	1.3	1 051	.7	15 411	6.0
Kaufman	24 357	5.5	29 022	.9	15 412	1.1	1 883	.8	34 097	2.1
Kendall	22 745	16.3	6 488	1.8	8 888	1.9	730	.7	7 488	7.3
Kenedy	48 843	4.2	6 832	.3	220 380	.9	31	3.7	8 386	.6
Kent	41 892	5.3	7 279	1.3	42 565	1.5	171	1.0	5 619	4.3
Kerr	25 400	14.3	7 192	1.6	9 244	1.8	779	.7	9 506	5.6
Kimble	21 067	10.7	7 223	1.1	14 893	1.2	485	.8	8 546	10.4
King	54 395	5.0	6 598	.5	153 438	.7	43	3.8	5 801	.9
Kinney	34 361	5.3	6 093	.6	47 600	.9	128	1.8	6 130	2.1
Kleberg	93 504	2.1	44 258	.2	162 712	.8	272	1.1	41 545	.8
Knox	72 571	4.7	49 037	.5	165 667	.9	296	1.1	40 370	2.1
Lamar	28 121	5.8	35 385	.9	22 992	1.1	1 539	.7	31 439	2.8
Lamb	96 276	3.8	253 464	.2	293 022	.9	864	.9	205 678	1.0
Lampasas	26 978	6.3	12 952	1.2	17 361	1.3	745	.7	13 506	4.1
La Salle	31 437	8.7	18 689	.5	66 747	.9	279	.9	18 826	1.8
Lavaca	23 844	7.0	42 657	.6	16 676	.8	2 558	.6	43 555	2.2
Lee	23 532	5.5	22 533	.8	13 373	1.0	1 685	.6	22 441	4.7
Leon	27 226	5.4	27 062	.9	16 572	1.1	1 633	.7	27 547	3.3
Liberty	37 882	8.6	23 723	.8	20 847	1.1	1 138	.8	21 675	3.7
Limestone	33 438	7.3	25 809	.8	21 295	1.0	1 212	.8	25 241	3.3
Lipscomb	63 341	10.9	45 321	.4	150 069	.8	302	1.1	36 731	1.1
Live Oak	34 467	10.8	11 768	1.4	16 077	1.6	731	.8	14 156	6.7
Llano	21 868	11.7	9 810	1.3	17 362	1.4	566	.7	9 355	7.7
Loving	25 027	—	880	—	62 872	—	14	—	637	—
Lubbock	103 658	3.8	133 755	.4	125 239	.8	1 067	.8	114 715	1.1
Lynn	119 677	4.4	71 417	.5	145 749	.7	489	.8	43 678	2.4
McCulloch	46 759	12.3	18 034	1.2	33 089	1.3	545	.9	16 459	6.6
McLennan	33 677	3.8	92 952	.3	46 337	.8	2 006	.7	87 694	1.5
McMullen	29 585	10.3	5 552	.9	26 439	1.1	209	1.1	7 066	6.2
Madison	36 900	8.2	42 710	.4	52 340	.7	817	.7	38 906	2.7
Marion	26 470	9.1	2 048	4.1	9 896	4.2	207	1.5	1 953	7.4
Martin	95 459	5.4	39 930	.7	113 116	1.0	353	1.1	24 971	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mason	25 376	10.4	19 575	1.0	34 647	1.1	565	.7	16 744	7.3
Matagorda	67 315	5.4	58 020	.6	75 547	.9	768	.8	46 476	2.7
Maverick	82 040	5.1	19 576	.7	115 837	.9	169	1.4	16 559	1.5
Medina	30 656	5.7	59 937	.6	38 177	.8	1 570	.7	53 009	1.6
Menard	27 928	8.3	12 856	.8	44 177	1.0	291	1.1	11 576	2.9
Midland	46 717	9.5	18 742	.9	45 602	1.1	410	.9	14 758	2.9
Milam	29 489	4.8	62 585	.5	37 815	.7	1 656	.6	59 646	2.0
Mills	27 196	6.8	22 902	1.0	31 330	1.2	730	.8	18 223	5.9
Mitchell	57 799	15.3	20 321	1.0	53 758	1.2	378	.8	13 831	7.1
Montague	29 030	10.1	29 559	1.0	23 953	1.2	1 233	.7	25 749	3.5
Montgomery	28 026	6.2	15 676	.9	13 479	1.2	1 163	1.0	14 786	3.9
Moore	131 976	4.4	294 150	.1	1 118 443	.5	264	1.1	269 251	.2
Morris	31 616	11.7	14 628	.6	39 323	.9	372	1.0	13 559	2.6
Motley	53 871	5.3	18 641	.5	87 109	.9	215	1.4	13 373	2.2
Nacogdoches	35 351	7.7	166 892	.2	139 076	.7	1 200	.7	150 123	.7
Navarro	38 618	10.1	33 574	.9	22 190	1.0	1 512	.6	29 329	4.0
Newton	23 065	9.9	1 445	2.7	4 917	2.9	294	1.3	1 803	8.9
Nolan	42 573	9.8	32 422	.6	72 858	.9	445	1.0	22 917	3.1
Nueces	93 431	7.7	66 254	.4	116 440	.9	569	.9	47 511	2.9
Ochiltree	116 200	7.6	104 000	.2	288 089	.7	362	.8	91 809	1.1
Oldham	70 246	4.7	88 490	.1	632 073	.7	140	1.6	78 559	.3
Orange	23 820	8.4	3 318	2.8	9 933	2.9	334	1.1	3 476	15.2
Palo Pinto	27 784	11.9	14 972	1.0	18 038	1.2	830	.8	13 978	5.0
Panola	30 755	12.3	45 898	.3	53 000	.7	866	.8	42 043	1.0
Parker	20 963	5.2	43 837	.7	19 051	.9	2 301	.6	43 405	3.9
Parmer	140 910	4.2	550 904	.1	919 706	.6	598	.7	474 612	.2
Pecos	48 268	5.6	40 231	.3	141 659	.6	284	.8	27 079	1.4
Polk	32 433	10.0	4 461	2.9	8 097	3.0	551	.8	5 325	7.0
Potter	39 318	7.8	18 620	.5	87 011	.9	214	1.5	16 988	1.6
Presidio	41 495	3.6	13 580	.6	98 406	.7	138	1.2	10 788	1.2
Rains	23 554	6.4	15 844	.5	32 138	.6	493	.8	11 390	3.8
Randall	50 651	3.9	202 949	.1	348 112	.7	583	.9	186 077	.5
Reagan	87 066	6.7	12 499	1.1	101 615	1.2	123	1.3	10 130	3.3
Real	19 977	5.4	2 483	1.8	11 994	1.9	207	1.0	4 030	9.8
Red River	33 787	5.4	39 391	.7	36 205	.9	1 088	.8	38 037	2.9
Reeves	55 692	3.3	42 076	.3	239 071	.9	176	1.6	32 270	.5
Refugio	70 745	4.9	23 833	.6	103 622	.9	230	.9	22 584	2.6
Roberts	74 240	7.9	14 064	.5	146 505	.5	96	1.7	11 478	.6
Robertson	40 151	8.8	31 479	.7	24 421	.9	1 289	.6	30 738	4.5
Rockwall	28 534	5.9	3 735	2.5	14 093	2.7	265	1.8	4 680	4.2
Runnels	41 291	8.8	27 400	1.1	30 581	1.2	895	.7	23 205	4.0
Rusk	23 773	5.6	29 051	.8	22 416	1.1	1 296	.8	24 782	2.3
Sabine	30 469	9.7	10 941	.6	56 395	1.0	194	1.6	10 622	1.5
San Augustine	37 235	13.8	25 127	.4	86 347	.9	291	1.1	24 152	3.1
San Jacinto	23 236	12.6	4 613	2.4	11 590	2.5	398	1.0	4 373	8.9
San Patricio	91 918	4.9	74 334	.3	149 866	.6	496	.9	58 697	1.5
San Saba	31 920	7.2	25 133	.9	38 488	1.0	653	.6	22 473	4.9
Schleicher	28 529	6.6	11 683	1.1	41 138	1.3	284	1.0	9 558	3.3
Scurry	52 984	8.9	24 355	1.1	40 190	1.4	606	1.0	19 501	3.0
Shackelford	34 302	7.9	11 271	1.1	45 085	1.2	250	1.1	10 372	3.6
Shelby	40 472	4.6	181 243	.2	173 107	.6	1 046	.8	171 482	.8
Sherman	182 509	7.1	295 013	.1	1 006 870	.6	293	1.0	239 934	.7
Smith	25 209	6.6	38 352	.8	20 798	1.0	1 844	.7	32 081	3.0
Somervell	19 491	11.6	2 194	2.4	8 955	2.4	244	1.0	1 920	7.8
Starr	30 819	4.7	50 558	.2	83 019	.8	608	.8	41 523	1.1
Stephens	18 987	7.4	7 984	1.2	17 586	1.3	454	.9	7 545	5.0
Sterling	47 763	3.8	8 531	.3	127 336	.7	67	3.5	7 448	.6
Stonewall	36 949	13.7	10 641	1.9	34 890	2.0	305	1.0	10 288	11.7
Sutton	34 932	3.8	9 184	1.1	43 525	1.2	211	1.1	8 741	2.8
Swisher	98 014	3.3	364 094	.1	688 268	.8	528	.9	306 998	.3
Tarrant	22 225	6.4	20 870	1.1	19 914	1.3	1 048	.9	18 640	5.0
Taylor	30 538	7.6	52 867	.4	50 446	.8	1 048	.8	45 407	1.8
Terrell	45 662	2.4	4 600	.3	54 119	.6	85	2.0	4 253	.4
Terry	131 899	3.3	92 288	.4	164 214	.8	561	.8	65 467	1.7
Throckmorton	55 418	9.4	20 469	.8	82 204	1.0	249	1.0	18 186	4.0
Titus	27 595	7.9	41 393	.5	57 331	.8	722	.8	39 057	1.6
Tom Green	51 743	6.0	85 876	.4	97 587	.7	881	.7	68 557	1.1
Travis	23 259	9.9	16 433	1.4	15 831	1.6	1 038	.8	13 622	6.8
Trinity	32 683	11.4	6 083	2.8	11 744	2.9	518	.8	7 651	12.1
Tyler	19 858	9.5	3 115	2.3	6 729	2.4	463	.9	3 841	12.7
Upshur	25 418	6.9	30 913	.5	27 850	.7	1 110	.7	28 506	3.3
Upton	78 518	3.1	7 653	1.4	79 714	1.5	96	1.9	6 183	2.9
Uvalde	49 523	9.8	68 485	.4	115 490	.8	593	.7	52 684	1.7
Val Verde	24 018	4.5	19 450	.4	81 723	.6	238	.9	19 514	1.2
Van Zandt	25 735	4.4	55 941	.6	23 087	.8	2 424	.6	51 665	2.5
Victoria	33 303	8.0	28 638	.8	26 419	1.1	1 084	.7	25 973	5.2
Walker	24 296	9.1	10 892	.9	13 186	1.2	826	.8	13 237	4.2
Waller	38 156	5.7	29 126	.8	27 323	1.0	1 066	.7	29 133	2.3
Ward	31 558	5.4	1 800	2.0	21 181	2.2	85	3.5	1 466	2.2
Washington	23 408	4.3	26 086	.9	13 135	1.0	1 986	.6	25 905	5.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹					
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses					
							Farms		Value			
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
Webb	50 430	13.2	28 198	.5	62 246	1.0	453	.9	28 904	4.2		
Wharton	73 133	4.0	133 550	.4	99 146	.8	1 347	.7	125 355	1.4		
Wheeler	43 704	7.9	80 652	.2	159 706	.7	505	1.0	35 273	3.2		
Wichita	38 916	8.0	21 861	.8	39 037	1.0	560	.9	17 691	3.1		
Wilbarger	74 438	6.4	33 237	.8	69 825	1.1	477	.9	28 330	3.8		
Willacy	165 846	10.5	49 496	.4	203 689	.7	243	.9	35 740	1.7		
Williamson	30 938	5.3	48 071	.8	23 634	1.0	2 035	.7	41 520	3.4		
Wilson	33 205	5.9	46 047	.7	25 667	.9	1 793	.6	44 320	2.8		
Winkler	29 000	—	1 841	—	47 206	—	39	—	1 396	—		
Wise	24 105	5.2	34 276	.8	16 518	1.0	2 075	.6	34 894	4.6		
Wood	33 057	5.2	74 572	.4	56 027	.7	1 331	.7	59 149	2.0		
Yoakum	133 161	7.3	52 195	.4	187 751	.8	278	.9	35 454	2.1		
Young	24 746	9.4	23 193	.8	32 712	1.0	709	.8	19 108	3.1		
Zapata	20 057	10.6	7 282	2.0	22 545	2.1	323	1.0	6 195	6.5		
Zavala	64 447	5.0	45 385	.3	195 625	.7	232	1.1	31 127	1.2		
Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.												
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	61 645	.9	3 221 969	.2	130 839	.7	2 868 805	.2	54 365	.9	224 019	.6
Anderson	494	9.2	3 773	13.4	1 089	4.2	5 540	4.2	248	14.8	230	10.7
Andrews	52	11.4	678	3.0	69	10.0	695	2.1	52	12.5	261	10.0
Angelina	296	11.1	3 069	8.0	495	6.8	7 546	1.3	57	32.9	35	39.1
Aransas	13	8.9	27	11.7	34	5.9	47	7.3	3	18.4	(D)	(D)
Archer	235	10.2	14 347	3.5	362	5.8	15 995	1.6	224	10.8	513	15.9
Armstrong	127	8.4	8 402	6.5	156	6.9	3 120	2.1	107	8.8	205	11.5
Atascosa	405	9.2	7 092	10.3	899	4.3	5 225	3.4	461	8.3	1 304	7.1
Austin	553	8.6	2 987	16.2	1 477	2.7	3 969	4.8	296	12.0	450	16.5
Bailey	121	15.9	82 473	.6	129	16.5	34 072	1.1	265	5.0	1 563	5.2
Bandera	218	13.7	911	14.3	507	4.8	1 562	22.2	150	18.2	92	31.7
Bastrop	542	8.9	6 134	10.3	1 317	3.4	5 156	3.6	309	12.7	271	10.9
Baylor	106	12.4	12 201	2.7	167	9.0	2 961	2.6	154	8.1	370	6.1
Bee	216	13.2	1 960	10.9	450	6.2	4 653	3.3	170	15.2	679	16.6
Bell	527	8.5	6 160	7.1	1 173	3.7	4 127	5.3	624	6.8	1 950	5.0
Bexar	533	9.3	5 255	1.9	1 245	4.3	5 133	6.6	808	6.5	2 864	3.1
Blanco	186	14.0	861	21.8	478	5.2	3 252	7.2	170	16.1	412	3.3
Borden	45	10.8	1 213	2.5	71	5.8	878	1.7	49	8.8	179	6.4
Bosque	369	8.9	5 306	9.0	771	4.0	14 929	.9	411	8.7	699	4.1
Bowie	448	9.1	5 095	9.5	838	4.4	12 777	2.9	96	18.4	258	4.6
Brazoria	659	7.5	2 472	8.3	1 284	3.7	3 859	6.4	302	11.4	1 218	3.0
Brazos	309	11.5	6 124	2.6	778	4.8	8 606	2.4	204	15.8	457	7.0
Brewster	54	8.4	801	2.4	93	6.2	1 467	1.0	8	38.8	(D)	(D)
Briscoe	67	15.5	1 441	3.3	116	10.7	1 033	13.0	121	5.6	666	6.2
Brooks	84	15.0	1 278	12.6	170	7.8	1 699	5.3	33	26.8	87	9.5
Brown	440	9.5	3 927	4.5	893	4.2	8 444	6.5	310	10.7	417	12.7
Burleson	448	9.2	3 205	13.2	1 084	2.9	2 890	8.2	311	12.1	1 028	5.7
Burnet	303	11.1	1 048	10.8	798	4.4	2 009	13.4	212	14.2	107	21.7
Caldwell	405	9.6	5 304	20.7	847	4.1	14 252	1.3	195	15.5	363	12.3
Calhoun	59	14.9	660	6.7	154	7.9	661	5.4	98	10.4	936	6.1
Callahan	267	11.7	5 354	7.7	560	5.7	2 294	8.7	345	9.2	263	10.9
Cameron	156	14.0	1 497	7.4	262	10.9	2 317	14.1	391	7.6	2 886	3.4
Camp	204	9.7	15 522	1.0	287	6.7	95 272	.1	29	29.5	11	14.6
Carson	163	10.6	20 450	2.7	172	9.5	15 723	1.4	198	6.6	975	3.9
Cass	236	13.9	1 790	6.9	705	3.8	7 826	3.1	93	24.0	306	2.9
Castro	189	9.4	234 670	.2	230	9.1	151 086	.2	352	4.0	4 257	1.9
Chambers	114	16.1	482	10.3	284	7.7	782	21.0	129	14.4	591	7.8
Cherokee	417	10.2	4 405	8.9	1 056	4.0	17 216	1.2	234	14.3	2 787	.4
Childress	73	13.1	2 100	3.8	125	9.8	878	6.6	175	6.9	788	6.4
Clay	330	9.7	9 871	8.4	562	5.8	5 484	3.8	303	10.4	380	17.6
Cochran	36	26.9	2 178	.9	42	24.2	1 255	1.2	166	3.4	1 761	7.2
Coke	148	11.9	1 346	9.9	268	5.0	1 696	12.7	129	12.1	117	12.5
Coleman	323	9.2	4 264	11.8	626	4.5	2 472	6.5	363	9.1	469	12.2
Collin	377	10.4	1 367	7.8	968	4.1	3 171	3.9	402	9.0	1 959	4.0
Collingsworth	156	15.2	2 639	8.7	332	7.2	1 709	14.6	234	10.3	1 478	5.0
Colorado	433	9.1	3 860	7.1	1 156	3.4	3 090	6.0	402	8.8	2 322	13.4
Comal	156	16.5	358	21.9	492	4.7	911	10.8	232	11.9	105	15.9
Comanche	491	8.5	9 986	8.2	1 042	4.0	27 330	.7	583	7.6	1 675	8.5
Concho	186	10.5	1 733	11.0	283	5.0	2 402	9.5	161	12.4	301	8.6
Cooke	575	7.8	4 244	8.1	1 118	3.5	6 904	5.2	454	8.3	504	6.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Coryell	397	9.0	6 418	6.1	875	3.5	5 314	3.3	339	8.4	428	12.7
Cottle	69	10.6	2 996	2.0	130	4.7	962	5.3	116	5.6	298	8.7
Crane	29	3.6	317	.9	40	3.1	295	.7	1	27.3	(D)	(D)
Crockett	108	5.0	2 948	.7	154	2.8	2 241	1.2	6	33.9	(D)	(D)
Crosby	61	23.5	430	13.5	83	18.6	369	1.8	253	2.2	2 232	2.8
Culberson	31	2.9	456	1.6	56	2.7	451	1.4	9	8.4	40	6.6
Dallam	176	8.2	130 870	.3	212	8.0	75 803	.1	238	5.1	6 603	1.5
Dallas	189	14.2	1 090	20.9	441	6.7	1 827	10.9	145	19.7	1 570	4.6
Dawson	47	28.2	383	14.1	84	21.7	586	12.7	404	3.0	3 426	5.0
Deaf Smith	273	6.1	309 643	.3	377	5.6	165 669	.2	412	4.4	2 702	2.6
Delta	122	18.1	339	17.7	271	7.9	1 577	5.5	68	23.9	367	4.5
Denton	510	9.1	6 442	6.1	1 262	3.5	15 231	3.5	446	9.6	568	9.0
De Witt	432	8.6	3 025	11.6	1 111	3.6	3 392	3.4	272	12.2	264	17.1
Dickens	118	13.0	628	18.1	208	8.7	982	8.6	175	9.4	280	10.8
Dimmit	82	14.9	8 719	2.2	130	8.4	4 842	.6	42	23.5	234	9.2
Donley	154	10.1	38 829	1.5	223	5.9	22 585	.3	170	11.3	419	6.7
Duval	205	14.3	1 145	8.7	548	5.3	1 510	10.2	224	13.0	417	12.4
Eastland	385	10.1	3 494	11.8	832	4.6	5 078	3.1	405	9.4	929	20.9
Ector	89	8.3	449	2.7	128	6.1	588	4.2	44	15.0	19	24.2
Edwards	144	10.3	1 090	2.9	246	4.3	1 927	3.9	37	27.0	14	20.8
Ellis	491	8.8	2 965	12.9	1 180	3.5	3 635	6.1	496	7.9	1 979	5.1
El Paso	102	20.6	2 186	1.6	109	20.8	15 725	.1	186	10.4	954	3.3
Erath	788	6.1	24 812	3.5	1 414	3.1	86 578	.3	497	8.3	949	4.3
Falls	355	9.5	13 633	3.5	655	5.2	3 946	4.0	427	7.8	1 964	9.7
Fannin	564	7.9	7 140	7.1	1 088	4.1	3 219	8.2	444	10.3	1 470	10.9
Fayette	742	7.4	7 408	6.9	1 962	2.7	29 838	1.3	614	8.3	706	9.1
Fisher	156	16.4	3 803	3.4	300	9.9	1 493	6.1	309	6.8	759	8.8
Floyd	90	20.1	(D)	(D)	142	14.5	14 253	1.6	299	5.7	2 507	4.5
Foard	87	15.3	2 778	13.8	129	9.0	576	6.9	87	17.3	321	8.2
Fort Bend	310	10.4	1 289	11.1	812	4.2	2 374	6.8	421	7.0	3 413	3.0
Franklin	257	8.4	5 121	5.4	392	5.6	27 380	1.3	79	21.9	87	21.4
Freestone	326	12.0	4 415	9.3	861	4.5	3 935	7.3	96	24.2	98	29.9
Frio	205	10.4	8 170	3.2	295	7.8	5 274	3.0	222	9.5	2 012	2.4
Gaines	51	20.8	(D)	(D)	85	17.7	(D)	(D)	476	3.6	6 876	2.5
Galveston	186	15.8	288	17.8	384	6.8	1 792	4.4	96	23.4	165	8.3
Garza	67	20.6	958	34.4	141	9.8	658	9.7	133	9.7	358	6.3
Gillespie	487	8.3	5 252	6.8	1 131	3.4	9 308	5.3	404	9.2	275	13.9
Glasscock	47	25.0	427	22.2	99	11.6	460	10.5	108	12.1	929	3.6
Goliad	193	13.9	1 244	7.9	597	4.5	1 890	8.9	148	18.4	103	14.2
Gonzales	694	6.7	43 741	.8	1 280	3.5	167 851	.6	276	13.6	705	6.1
Gray	143	12.6	37 223	1.2	244	7.1	18 999	.6	135	12.9	504	7.7
Grayson	693	7.9	4 561	14.1	1 478	3.4	4 462	7.7	438	9.9	1 271	8.8
Gregg	128	12.8	303	28.2	266	5.8	641	13.7	21	33.4	14	31.5
Grimes	394	10.2	2 849	30.9	978	4.2	3 745	6.8	275	12.7	360	44.1
Guadalupe	606	7.9	2 481	8.3	1 275	3.7	7 800	4.0	600	7.3	880	5.9
Hale	104	20.0	26 731	.9	157	16.4	44 501	.3	576	3.2	4 875	4.5
Hall	81	15.4	1 562	6.4	133	10.9	681	11.7	181	6.9	897	5.4
Hamilton	368	9.7	5 942	8.2	791	3.3	12 229	1.2	339	8.5	354	19.0
Hansford	148	8.4	162 614	.4	146	9.0	80 619	.2	191	6.1	2 258	3.0
Hardeman	74	22.1	1 337	10.7	188	9.7	1 404	3.5	145	13.9	491	5.0
Hardin	121	13.4	370	25.3	242	6.0	774	9.7	54	21.0	22	19.2
Harris	378	11.9	1 537	15.2	1 119	4.8	2 918	12.7	349	12.1	940	20.1
Harrison	391	10.2	1 619	17.0	774	5.3	1 900	8.0	160	20.5	63	23.5
Hartley	93	10.9	135 935	.3	122	7.7	80 764	.2	124	7.6	2 984	1.7
Haskell	170	14.2	3 865	14.3	303	8.9	1 348	9.3	367	6.0	1 580	6.2
Hays	261	12.9	1 724	12.3	663	4.0	1 550	10.6	215	14.3	220	10.7
Hemphill	97	12.1	36 299	.5	163	6.9	23 988	.7	49	16.8	96	11.2
Henderson	563	8.5	3 759	17.2	1 258	3.4	3 752	5.1	302	12.8	852	1.9
Hidalgo	195	13.8	6 926	5.0	454	7.8	3 733	5.9	515	6.7	7 001	1.2
Hill	525	8.7	2 744	14.0	1 089	3.4	17 150	1.1	541	7.0	2 000	3.8
Hockley	76	23.2	4 595	1.8	161	15.0	(D)	(D)	401	4.6	2 578	6.8
Hood	276	10.7	1 362	13.4	627	4.3	2 073	5.4	171	15.3	827	4.3
Hopkins	689	6.5	7 969	3.2	1 394	2.6	50 580	1.8	247	12.1	331	11.5
Houston	453	9.3	2 845	10.3	1 105	2.8	5 553	7.5	197	15.8	260	10.9
Howard	133	17.1	4 205	6.4	226	12.1	1 062	6.7	207	10.9	597	12.7
Hudspeth	47	1.1	804	.2	80	5.8	1 677	18.3	52	7.6	493	2.5
Hunt	709	6.9	1 837	16.7	1 405	3.0	2 572	6.6	475	9.0	785	4.8
Hutchinson	85	7.8	11 459	1.2	140	4.7	5 089	.9	76	8.1	883	2.3
Irion	69	9.3	752	17.2	112	5.2	898	4.6	34	19.7	21	36.8
Jack	276	12.3	5 313	7.4	595	4.2	2 152	7.5	200	14.6	87	18.0
Jackson	202	13.0	1 057	12.6	526	5.3	1 795	9.9	251	10.2	2 046	4.4
Jasper	213	13.5	700	23.5	420	7.0	624	11.8	107	21.3	24	36.6
Jeff Davis	31	3.3	1 843	1.1	66	2.4	1 787	.5	4	12.6	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	167	16.8	695	11.8	366	8.1	871	9.0	192	10.1	1 217	14.5
Jim Hogg	71	9.6	611	20.8	138	5.4	1 015	6.2	11	32.2	3	20.8
Jim Wells	197	15.0	1 798	5.6	477	6.6	5 391	6.2	286	11.3	1 095	5.5
Johnson	790	6.3	5 122	8.4	1 490	3.4	12 239	2.2	417	10.4	792	23.9
Jones	306	9.6	7 829	9.0	509	5.5	1 893	6.7	390	7.6	1 011	6.4
Karnes	291	11.6	2 186	15.0	759	4.5	1 637	7.5	366	9.5	384	13.3
Kaufman	642	8.3	8 421	2.9	1 412	3.2	6 043	3.4	275	12.9	411	8.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Kendall	218	14.8	446	17.2	552	4.9	1 414	7.7	220	14.6	99	22.1
Kenedy	14	5.2	412	8.7	24	3.5	1 509	.6	4	10.5	6	6.0
Kent	68	10.6	988	4.6	108	7.3	705	3.2	71	9.9	130	14.3
Kerr	207	13.8	622	20.4	589	4.8	1 785	6.8	146	14.6	73	15.1
Kimble	192	12.8	1 426	59.3	387	5.2	1 654	16.0	83	22.0	45	9.7
King	23	4.7	1 672	.8	31	4.3	872	.4	15	5.7	47	3.9
Kinney	39	10.1	815	2.8	111	4.5	1 373	2.8	18	18.3	32	6.6
Kleberg	62	19.6	(D)	(D)	169	9.0	(D)	(D)	46	17.8	574	2.6
Knox	153	11.9	16 068	2.8	196	8.1	2 505	6.5	175	8.6	741	4.3
Lamar	431	11.0	3 989	10.6	1 094	3.9	5 829	5.0	300	12.2	881	11.8
Lamb	131	12.8	77 553	.4	174	13.0	37 344	.4	495	3.4	4 094	3.5
Lampasas	296	10.4	2 546	7.6	586	4.6	2 529	7.9	197	13.1	160	13.8
La Salle	96	13.9	6 877	1.0	171	9.2	3 219	3.4	64	23.1	192	10.7
Lavaca	725	7.6	4 665	6.6	1 766	3.1	17 753	1.4	563	9.1	344	15.2
Lee	513	8.8	1 877	16.0	1 276	3.4	3 918	5.3	287	13.1	467	3.9
Leon	580	8.0	2 588	11.1	1 236	3.5	6 772	4.4	242	15.0	294	13.6
Liberty	365	10.9	1 083	12.9	738	4.8	1 541	8.8	178	15.7	1 369	4.0
Limestone	318	11.0	4 310	3.9	868	4.1	5 953	5.3	220	14.0	307	10.3
Lipscomb	111	13.2	12 683	2.4	175	8.0	8 547	1.1	80	15.6	290	7.5
Live Oak	226	11.4	1 361	10.1	490	5.1	1 583	12.4	230	11.1	467	17.9
Llano	173	14.8	1 436	19.4	416	5.3	1 830	7.9	83	23.3	39	42.1
Loving	8	—	137	—	13	—	131	—	—	—	—	—
Lubbock	171	15.2	12 446	6.4	298	10.2	(D)	(D)	664	3.8	4 115	3.0
Lynn	30	23.2	180	19.3	78	19.7	285	20.6	382	3.2	1 986	3.3
McCulloch	232	10.7	2 219	19.3	438	4.6	2 637	14.2	199	12.8	396	11.5
McLennan	642	7.5	20 433	1.7	1 417	3.3	21 349	1.0	681	6.5	3 658	1.6
McMullen	45	25.1	1 531	2.6	131	11.4	1 113	11.1	27	30.0	103	14.6
Madison	268	12.3	2 890	12.5	617	4.2	2 553	6.6	111	19.6	(D)	(D)
Marion	41	15.8	198	15.5	124	6.2	367	10.5	18	27.2	1	29.3
Martin	57	20.4	741	4.5	88	13.8	699	2.6	205	4.1	1 294	4.9
Mason	170	15.9	4 557	4.0	479	4.0	2 246	6.3	119	17.0	283	26.0
Matagorda	205	12.2	2 349	40.1	471	5.9	2 419	4.6	238	10.2	1 923	7.2
Maverick	45	13.4	3 564	.6	77	9.7	3 735	.9	42	13.8	173	5.8
Medina	434	9.1	13 852	2.1	1 057	4.1	7 595	2.2	557	7.1	1 637	4.0
Menard	141	13.4	864	11.8	239	5.7	3 811	3.2	82	23.4	41	24.1
Midland	115	15.2	1 687	11.9	169	11.7	1 915	3.4	144	12.6	604	7.5
Milam	486	8.7	6 300	7.2	1 164	3.7	18 221	2.2	493	8.7	1 621	8.9
Mills	293	12.5	3 918	9.8	594	4.7	3 353	7.5	213	14.1	135	15.6
Mitchell	81	19.7	2 158	22.2	128	14.3	618	10.3	192	10.1	363	18.3
Montague	444	10.2	6 283	5.5	929	4.7	4 013	5.0	310	13.0	388	30.1
Montgomery	385	10.9	1 102	13.8	785	5.3	1 356	13.0	210	16.2	492	6.7
Moore	90	8.7	153 394	.2	116	7.9	65 899	.1	130	5.8	2 271	1.4
Morris	135	15.9	1 553	8.7	247	8.2	8 291	1.0	13	52.4	7	7.9
Motley	82	7.7	2 970	3.1	139	5.2	672	4.6	82	7.1	469	5.5
Nacogdoches	455	8.2	21 628	1.5	927	4.2	102 295	.6	157	18.1	55	16.4
Navarro	521	8.3	4 488	9.5	1 130	3.4	3 141	7.6	292	12.1	752	13.0
Newton	90	17.5	205	22.7	194	8.2	270	13.5	59	23.2	13	31.9
Nolan	86	19.9	5 872	.9	224	7.8	3 605	4.4	181	9.1	535	9.9
Nueces	67	29.9	647	57.4	255	11.0	961	33.1	326	7.0	2 798	4.3
Ochiltree	109	18.5	36 586	.7	159	11.0	19 775	.3	241	6.6	1 308	4.5
Oldham	70	7.5	38 294	.3	71	8.5	24 482	.1	71	6.7	218	7.0
Orange	109	13.6	650	54.5	228	6.8	358	16.3	45	23.5	92	23.3
Palo Pinto	243	13.7	2 782	6.8	633	4.4	2 378	5.6	228	14.9	134	14.7
Panola	328	10.5	4 628	3.0	645	5.2	28 311	.4	64	26.1	57	14.2
Parker	792	6.8	8 143	11.8	1 699	2.7	8 163	3.7	464	10.0	1 102	4.2
Parmer	230	7.3	237 373	.2	247	8.5	121 885	.2	439	3.5	4 552	3.4
Pecos	119	8.8	3 403	1.4	178	6.6	3 134	2.5	34	23.5	607	3.6
Polk	156	17.7	378	21.7	386	6.7	874	10.2	90	21.9	22	30.0
Potter	93	7.1	7 181	1.4	112	7.0	2 555	1.2	35	12.4	97	15.2
Presidio	47	7.8	1 792	.6	92	6.1	1 301	2.5	15	23.0	233	2.0
Rains	180	11.0	1 265	16.1	356	6.2	3 347	3.2	111	17.2	129	6.1
Randall	243	8.2	103 535	.7	353	5.5	52 735	.3	187	8.5	1 265	2.3
Reagan	35	12.5	464	3.8	63	8.9	833	2.4	57	7.5	268	6.5
Real	67	21.1	439	9.5	166	6.9	627	6.8	32	35.7	10	35.5
Red River	362	10.9	11 617	4.3	700	5.3	5 594	4.1	136	17.5	889	17.9
Reeves	37	12.4	(D)	(D)	86	7.2	7 626	.3	61	6.4	638	3.6
Refugio	45	32.8	868	5.3	184	5.8	1 147	4.5	58	18.2	763	4.4
Roberts	43	5.6	4 534	.5	55	5.9	1 997	1.0	23	12.1	138	4.0
Robertson	430	9.1	2 942	6.0	1 018	3.4	4 874	7.2	262	12.7	623	7.7
Rockwall	86	8.6	359	17.7	208	3.9	398	6.4	66	12.3	128	3.9
Runnels	299	11.4	2 671	12.1	585	5.5	1 904	6.1	464	6.2	815	6.9
Rusk	427	9.4	2 774	11.6	992	3.7	8 339	2.1	123	20.0	553	4.7
Sabine	54	12.9	1 201	4.5	126	6.8	7 917	1.4	38	16.3	12	15.5
San Augustine	104	12.5	2 339	4.5	209	6.9	17 894	3.6	34	29.8	29	16.8
San Jacinto	107	21.0	387	18.0	283	8.3	709	15.0	77	22.9	55	14.8
San Patricio	144	13.5	7 694	3.2	282	6.9	4 737	3.3	233	7.7	2 549	2.1
San Saba	264	11.2	5 041	8.3	499	4.4	5 391	1.5	123	17.2	173	10.6
Schleicher	102	13.2	1 020	17.6	230	5.9	2 096	5.0	76	16.0	87	14.8
Scurry	210	11.5	2 936	8.9	297	8.3	2 250	4.7	277	9.0	670	8.4
Shackelford	84	11.2	2 685	4.1	183	7.6	1 059	5.3	96	13.3	127	11.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Shelby	434	7.4	22 136	2.2	814	3.6	116 978	1.1	93	22.1	150	21.4
Sherman	90	14.0	135 883	.2	93	13.5	46 511	.1	187	7.6	3 445	5.8
Smith	527	9.2	2 366	11.9	1 291	3.6	5 622	3.7	203	15.5	588	13.4
Somervell	77	18.5	113	21.9	165	8.4	290	14.2	62	19.1	60	32.6
Starr	170	15.6	11 020	.9	420	6.2	5 758	2.0	114	19.0	809	3.2
Stephens	213	11.0	1 546	10.2	380	4.2	1 102	7.9	75	23.1	30	25.6
Sterling	33	4.2	2 296	.3	53	3.7	1 273	.7	9	8.4	(D)	(D)
Stonewall	93	19.4	1 738	52.6	178	8.1	1 090	29.0	136	14.4	270	25.3
Sutton	127	8.3	977	5.4	202	2.6	1 898	3.4	25	16.3	21	12.4
Swisher	179	13.3	179 375	.6	209	11.0	61 344	.2	309	5.3	2 083	4.9
Tarrant	323	10.3	2 096	10.0	665	5.2	1 972	7.2	241	12.7	1 123	6.9
Taylor	236	11.9	15 301	2.0	622	6.7	15 418	1.5	448	7.8	669	8.4
Terrell	38	1.8	396	.6	61	1.9	738	.5	3	—	0	(D)
Terry	41	27.4	1 847	4.1	92	21.9	282	19.5	358	3.6	3 043	3.2
Throckmorton	110	12.0	4 155	2.4	190	5.8	1 752	3.8	133	9.5	266	7.7
Titus	264	12.2	4 033	4.6	502	6.5	25 376	1.5	90	26.5	38	17.0
Tom Green	339	8.4	16 879	1.8	528	5.1	13 890	1.2	349	7.5	995	6.1
Travis	353	11.3	1 158	27.9	736	5.3	1 644	10.4	339	10.4	551	18.5
Trinity	173	15.4	1 039	33.6	398	6.6	1 041	11.3	35	46.4	13	62.7
Tyler	99	19.8	312	29.0	337	6.7	623	8.9	46	33.0	24	45.0
Upshur	405	8.8	2 930	4.3	862	3.3	12 967	1.0	59	28.1	37	16.4
Upton	51	6.5	634	2.3	64	3.5	480	1.6	40	7.0	138	4.9
Uvalde	191	13.6	14 557	4.3	410	7.1	9 066	1.1	230	12.7	1 161	3.6
Val Verde	135	8.8	6 773	1.1	196	5.6	4 215	.9	38	28.8	18	40.6
Van Zandt	792	6.7	5 372	12.7	1 670	2.9	9 752	2.7	357	10.5	952	20.1
Victoria	296	11.2	2 110	27.6	869	3.5	2 197	9.8	214	13.3	1 654	18.7
Walker	308	11.9	1 480	19.4	633	5.1	2 941	6.0	130	21.9	144	6.2
Waller	306	10.6	2 894	8.0	711	4.9	2 736	4.3	223	12.3	979	6.2
Ward	28	6.0	154	3.4	52	4.1	145	2.4	12	8.1	32	3.8
Washington	579	8.4	2 325	19.7	1 370	3.6	4 882	8.5	508	9.3	1 126	6.2
Webb	114	17.7	11 663	1.3	308	6.4	3 558	2.6	17	29.9	154	.7
Wharton	360	11.8	7 496	6.7	785	5.7	(D)	(D)	578	6.5	4 821	3.1
Wheeler	259	8.8	15 651	5.3	380	4.0	8 050	3.0	140	14.4	372	5.7
Wichita	144	17.6	4 256	2.9	353	8.7	1 433	4.5	201	14.3	485	7.9
Wilbarger	165	13.1	3 808	8.4	232	10.8	1 294	9.5	246	9.2	985	10.4
Willacy	20	35.8	300	5.9	82	11.4	654	1.9	167	5.8	1 856	2.5
Williamson	598	8.9	3 772	4.6	1 293	4.1	2 705	5.4	809	6.0	2 648	8.8
Wilson	534	8.6	6 127	5.6	1 302	3.5	8 045	2.7	610	7.7	1 283	10.5
Winkler	19	—	188	—	30	—	199	—	1	(D)	(D)	(D)
Wise	698	7.6	4 635	8.1	1 514	3.1	8 870	13.7	371	11.5	240	10.2
Wood	404	10.0	5 478	6.9	993	4.1	29 715	3.8	147	18.8	879	13.6
Yoakum	49	27.5	780	3.9	74	24.0	846	5.6	170	9	2 021	2.2
Young	296	10.2	4 716	8.0	532	5.0	2 692	4.6	232	12.7	215	13.4
Zapata	105	19.4	622	21.0	254	6.5	523	14.7	4	(D)	(D)	(D)
Zavala	72	15.7	7 337	1.7	112	12.2	6 955	1.8	119	10.3	695	4.1

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	100 834	.7	526 663	.6	66 307	.9	345 517	.7	173 794	.6	524 744	.5
Anderson	1 086	4.2	3 008	5.7	548	8.5	449	7.8	1 475	1.6	1 599	5.6
Andrews	50	13.1	457	5.8	34	13.9	349	4.3	106	6.0	498	3.0
Angelina	439	7.6	738	13.8	169	16.4	63	25.6	720	2.7	650	8.0
Aransas	22	7.4	29	2.6	13	8.9	7	11.4	44	5.2	49	6.3
Archer	314	7.9	1 952	8.6	190	12.6	464	19.1	450	3.9	1 546	7.1
Armstrong	66	16.9	458	12.5	68	13.9	452	12.0	197	4.1	976	4.6
Atascosa	626	6.8	2 108	6.5	457	8.8	1 618	7.3	1 238	1.9	2 856	4.8
Austin	996	4.8	2 278	8.9	459	9.1	727	7.1	1 576	2.2	1 527	4.9
Bailey	244	8.7	2 620	5.8	213	8.2	1 866	11.3	338	5.5	3 464	6.4
Bandera	187	15.4	150	18.4	139	17.8	59	21.3	561	3.8	564	10.1
Bastrop	1 037	4.7	2 699	8.0	731	7.0	791	13.1	1 580	2.1	1 435	5.8
Baylor	188	6.3	1 927	7.0	131	12.9	902	13.8	270	1.2	1 424	5.7
Bee	250	11.7	1 490	11.3	262	11.2	1 003	7.8	626	2.4	1 168	6.7
Bell	931	4.7	4 925	4.7	746	6.0	2 088	7.6	1 583	1.9	2 783	3.6
Bexar	819	6.3	1 986	7.0	641	8.3	773	8.7	1 677	2.7	2 341	3.2
Blanco	289	9.6	462	18.5	182	13.5	130	22.5	497	4.0	846	5.9
Borden	23	14.8	202	4.2	32	12.4	260	5.5	101	3.0	590	4.4
Bosque	567	5.5	1 580	6.1	330	9.5	622	8.9	987	2.3	1 604	5.8
Bowie	551	7.0	1 962	9.3	252	13.3	600	16.4	1 100	1.5	1 483	7.8
Brazoria	597	8.0	2 575	5.8	517	8.7	3 066	4.7	1 555	2.4	2 794	4.9
Brazos	584	6.6	1 930	8.2	392	9.6	1 484	13.0	957	2.8	1 316	5.2
Brewster	6	37.2	(D)	(D)	10	21.5	(D)	(D)	127	1.4	545	2.4
Briscoe	104	9.8	1 367	5.2	110	7.2	1 424	2.3	175	5.7	1 729	4.1
Brooks	98	13.9	314	5.1	44	20.1	97	10.8	254	3.6	534	4.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Brown	518	7.7	1 544	12.9	283	12.2	331	10.3	1 084	2.6	1 358	6.9
Burleson	860	4.8	4 405	7.6	485	8.1	2 176	4.8	1 241	1.8	1 884	7.9
Burnet	398	8.8	589	11.7	319	10.3	217	16.4	923	3.3	840	7.8
Caldwell	498	7.6	1 348	10.5	525	8.1	566	10.3	993	2.3	1 120	6.5
Calhoun	136	7.9	1 851	3.4	131	8.8	2 081	4.9	237	3.5	792	2.9
Callahan	489	6.1	1 378	16.6	201	15.7	233	19.3	751	2.8	990	10.5
Cameron	529	6.8	3 557	4.2	510	7.3	5 197	5.6	830	2.2	3 878	3.6
Camp	210	10.7	417	14.0	151	13.9	95	26.3	387	2.0	1 459	6.0
Carson	185	6.8	2 356	7.9	203	4.1	2 052	4.9	296	3.4	2 893	2.7
Cass	508	6.9	727	11.4	177	17.6	99	34.2	799	2.5	885	7.9
Castro	313	5.7	8 640	2.2	337	5.0	6 358	1.7	430	2.2	18 387	1.7
Chambers	189	11.9	1 240	9.0	127	15.7	964	15.7	373	4.3	813	7.5
Cherokee	918	5.1	3 116	6.4	449	9.5	433	9.7	1 331	1.8	2 811	3.1
Childress	140	9.1	956	8.1	116	10.0	703	9.3	230	3.6	1 185	5.1
Clay	429	7.2	1 750	10.2	230	11.8	388	11.2	768	2.5	1 731	6.7
Cochran	161	6.9	3 356	4.5	168	4.4	2 264	6.0	187	4.0	3 607	2.4
Coke	43	25.4	128	27.9	76	19.0	(D)	(D)	270	5.0	643	12.5
Coleman	284	10.8	1 035	16.6	233	12.9	434	16.5	755	2.2	1 376	6.1
Collin	734	6.0	3 920	3.6	374	9.2	1 542	5.2	1 310	1.8	2 390	3.5
Collingsworth	233	11.2	2 259	3.0	146	14.6	1 208	5.7	455	3.0	1 880	4.6
Colorado	1 171	3.4	4 963	4.6	586	7.1	3 268	6.7	1 430	1.9	2 729	4.0
Comal	182	12.8	198	17.2	153	15.3	107	50.5	562	3.2	328	9.8
Comanche	994	4.4	3 943	5.3	644	6.7	1 858	9.8	1 347	1.9	3 152	3.6
Concho	116	15.6	566	9.5	105	16.6	618	6.2	329	4.5	1 084	6.4
Cooke	938	4.4	2 824	4.6	519	8.2	598	14.6	1 452	1.2	2 186	5.1
Coryell	555	6.2	2 184	9.0	344	9.0	571	11.9	999	1.8	1 685	5.1
Cottle	58	12.0	347	5.5	71	9.9	304	9.6	177	2.4	870	5.9
Crane	6	8.5	(D)	(D)	8	8.1	2	11.3	45	3.0	166	.9
Crockett	5	40.7	(D)	(D)	29	12.1	150	5.1	161	2.3	778	1.8
Crosby	227	6.8	4 926	3.5	212	5.0	3 888	3.2	309	6.3	5 360	4.4
Culberson	16	6.2	222	2.8	19	6.2	106	4.6	82	2.8	469	1.8
Dallam	236	5.2	13 608	1.3	227	6.1	6 847	1.8	310	2.9	13 588	1.3
Dallas	216	14.5	910	14.6	152	20.2	239	4.0	651	4.7	1 006	7.8
Dawson	359	4.9	7 494	4.1	373	4.1	5 703	3.5	446	3.6	5 756	5.6
Deaf Smith	337	5.7	6 392	5.6	309	6.7	4 410	5.0	537	3.2	12 863	2.0
Delta	160	14.0	937	11.2	132	16.4	346	15.6	409	2.1	619	11.3
Denton	919	5.2	2 772	7.0	658	7.6	777	12.0	1 607	2.0	2 105	4.5
De Witt	894	4.8	1 746	5.9	704	6.3	590	11.3	1 352	2.2	1 323	7.5
Dickens	108	14.0	387	10.8	117	14.4	757	6.5	298	4.8	1 053	7.7
Dimmit	47	22.2	237	12.4	53	20.5	147	9.6	193	4.4	474	6.3
Donley	132	13.8	791	6.8	135	13.5	426	14.9	313	5.1	1 353	6.6
Duval	234	12.2	614	7.9	150	16.1	494	17.0	764	3.1	1 216	10.7
Eastland	656	6.3	2 512	6.5	354	10.8	766	15.7	1 061	2.2	1 504	5.7
Ector	60	11.6	46	38.8	34	18.0	84	47.6	173	3.8	289	4.9
Edwards	26	31.3	38	5.2	72	16.1	43	3.7	249	4.1	620	6.2
Ellis	780	5.7	3 674	6.1	492	8.2	2 314	5.3	1 529	2.0	2 401	3.8
El Paso	235	8.9	2 023	1.9	182	11.0	1 924	4.3	348	3.5	2 324	6.2
Erath	981	5.1	3 616	6.4	507	9.1	648	5.2	1 647	1.9	3 730	2.1
Falls	755	3.9	4 990	4.6	563	6.1	2 185	5.4	953	2.0	2 215	3.3
Fannin	842	5.7	3 710	8.0	443	10.0	1 544	8.0	1 473	1.8	2 305	6.4
Fayette	1 834	3.0	3 721	6.9	1 142	5.2	1 192	8.7	2 481	1.3	2 084	5.9
Fisher	195	11.5	1 012	12.7	238	10.0	1 699	15.7	467	5.0	1 976	6.5
Floyd	284	6.7	5 794	3.6	321	5.6	6 183	5.4	410	3.4	7 445	3.1
Foard	75	15.7	709	8.8	29	24.2	386	7.0	172	8.5	844	6.6
Fort Bend	701	5.3	4 564	5.1	546	6.5	4 870	7.3	1 168	2.0	3 207	3.1
Franklin	271	9.4	1 002	18.6	111	20.5	70	9.4	451	3.7	977	4.7
Freestone	690	6.5	1 882	7.6	314	12.8	360	17.0	1 139	1.7	1 543	7.7
Frio	160	13.1	2 282	5.6	144	13.7	2 505	1.9	409	4.7	2 526	2.3
Gaines	453	4.2	15 076	1.7	402	4.7	10 488	1.6	544	3.1	9 468	2.2
Galveston	196	15.2	296	17.8	118	20.1	230	26.6	483	3.4	406	13.0
Garza	66	11.5	502	9.2	95	11.1	672	9.0	243	3.7	1 141	4.9
Gillespie	754	5.5	1 415	8.8	482	8.0	473	8.9	1 233	2.6	1 533	4.7
Glasscock	76	13.0	935	5.4	84	14.1	1 294	5.1	191	3.1	1 477	4.9
Goliad	435	7.0	597	10.8	292	11.2	359	15.0	671	3.9	655	9.4
Gonzales	934	5.1	2 148	6.9	794	6.9	1 589	18.4	1 508	2.0	3 579	3.4
Gray	115	14.3	1 005	10.1	107	15.5	750	9.9	286	5.5	3 453	3.0
Grayson	975	5.3	3 785	5.1	630	7.9	1 410	5.7	1 825	2.1	2 164	4.8
Gregg	189	9.1	375	19.7	83	16.2	50	24.7	322	3.5	241	16.7
Grimes	885	4.9	1 782	8.9	472	8.8	573	12.0	1 347	1.6	1 477	7.3
Guadalupe	1 029	4.7	2 071	8.5	723	6.8	648	8.9	1 657	1.7	1 672	7.2
Hale	524	5.0	10 856	3.1	555	5.0	7 978	4.1	694	3.1	12 978	3.7
Hall	125	9.2	1 256	9.4	172	7.9	1 160	8.3	242	4.0	1 784	7.9
Hamilton	581	5.4	1 607	12.3	254	11.6	367	21.3	869	2.7	1 470	5.9
Hansford	156	7.5	4 597	1.8	170	8.1	3 375	3.3	243	2.7	10 035	1.2
Hardeman	166	11.5	773	6.3	97	20.2	402	25.2	272	5.2	698	9.2
Hardin	218	7.2	245	19.5	73	15.6	43	23.9	314	3.4	193	11.8
Harris	734	7.8	1 608	7.3	489	9.8	983	7.9	1 515	2.6	1 918	10.6
Harrison	624	6.7	1 169	12.8	202	15.6	84	21.4	983	3.0	765	8.5
Hartley	132	8.9	6 278	2.7	124	6.8	3 472	3.1	212	3.1	7 351	1.3
Haskell	282	9.8	1 716	5.5	333	8.2	3 131	4.6	522	3.9	2 451	5.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Hays	231	12.9	576	9.3	180	15.9	199	12.5	691	2.9	733	10.3
Hemphill	76	13.0	486	14.7	43	18.6	143	20.3	184	6.1	1 119	3.2
Henderson	1 129	4.1	3 607	7.3	425	10.6	386	13.3	1 567	1.4	1 885	7.7
Hidalgo	773	4.5	11 086	1.6	710	4.8	17 985	2.3	1 218	2.1	9 172	2.1
Hill	973	4.4	5 057	3.9	652	6.3	2 948	4.7	1 481	1.4	3 349	5.4
Hockley	346	6.1	6 509	8.3	345	6.6	4 883	7.9	525	3.8	5 542	5.1
Hood	477	5.9	1 252	9.0	241	10.5	814	6.0	680	3.3	1 065	6.2
Hopkins	1 012	4.3	3 476	4.4	345	9.9	260	15.3	1 678	1.1	3 079	3.4
Houston	903	4.3	2 925	9.1	368	10.8	440	12.9	1 308	1.5	1 607	6.4
Howard	95	18.9	1 057	13.5	144	14.5	1 114	11.0	362	5.0	1 576	9.5
Hudspeth	59	8.0	1 001	3.1	51	7.7	578	2.0	136	2.6	1 287	3.4
Hunt	1 076	4.6	2 603	8.9	502	9.1	938	6.1	1 924	1.4	1 924	4.7
Hutchinson	64	5.6	1 880	2.6	66	7.0	1 078	6.0	170	3.5	2 127	3.1
Irion	22	22.9	24	5.3	27	19.4	45	20.2	134	3.1	353	4.6
Jack	339	9.7	836	16.1	192	15.3	207	27.5	691	2.3	886	7.3
Jackson	419	7.4	4 792	4.6	373	8.9	4 710	4.1	696	3.1	3 090	6.4
Jasper	411	6.8	314	11.4	198	14.2	39	20.2	592	2.7	336	11.6
Jeff Davis	7	10.0	9	3.9	10	6.1	(D)	(D)	76	2.3	474	1.0
Jefferson	325	7.0	2 313	8.0	240	8.5	2 018	12.1	518	3.0	1 593	9.9
Jim Hogg	16	24.3	18	15.5	33	18.0	54	31.7	163	3.6	390	4.9
Jim Wells	292	10.7	2 331	7.5	247	11.8	1 577	2.0	665	3.3	1 670	3.7
Johnson	1 124	4.4	3 219	11.5	577	8.4	748	14.0	1 793	2.3	1 730	4.1
Jones	353	9.0	1 987	6.7	324	9.1	2 384	13.1	706	3.2	2 233	6.0
Karnes	586	6.4	1 389	8.6	486	7.8	587	13.3	978	2.2	1 136	6.2
Kaufman	848	6.3	2 216	6.1	392	10.9	427	12.7	1 634	2.0	1 883	5.2
Kendall	307	11.5	444	17.1	231	14.4	213	51.3	634	3.9	666	9.7
Kenedy	3	14.0	8	21.0	6	7.0	9	6.7	29	3.9	204	1.3
Kent	40	14.1	148	22.3	43	13.6	210	16.5	121	5.3	502	5.7
Kerr	246	12.0	280	15.9	223	14.2	73	25.1	697	3.0	890	8.0
Kimble	65	25.8	217	61.0	94	21.3	129	34.6	415	4.9	849	9.7
King	14	5.5	87	4.4	11	6.7	313	.9	38	3.9	375	2.3
Kinney	9	17.1	44	8.9	16	22.5	75	29.7	122	3.4	429	2.6
Kleberg	110	10.6	1 263	4.4	98	11.6	3 384	1.5	233	5.0	947	3.4
Knox	211	5.7	2 864	3.3	157	10.0	1 594	3.2	272	4.6	1 907	2.6
Lamar	703	6.9	2 383	9.0	488	9.5	1 618	11.3	1 440	2.1	2 095	5.6
Lamb	456	4.8	8 308	4.0	476	4.1	5 894	4.1	631	3.0	12 084	3.5
Lampasas	356	8.3	810	12.5	236	12.2	350	9.4	637	3.3	900	7.4
La Salle	67	19.3	375	18.0	83	19.6	285	12.9	231	5.4	940	4.8
Lavaca	1 775	3.2	2 949	7.4	1 170	5.3	838	9.2	2 346	1.6	1 911	5.5
Lee	1 250	3.2	3 059	6.1	720	6.3	694	14.8	1 522	1.6	1 463	6.6
Leon	1 201	3.6	3 159	6.7	642	7.7	666	14.4	1 540	1.5	1 846	5.1
Liberty	607	7.1	2 062	5.0	250	12.9	1 703	4.7	1 023	2.3	1 517	5.9
Limestone	665	5.9	2 189	10.6	359	9.9	724	11.3	1 116	2.2	1 579	5.1
Lipscomb	78	18.0	1 363	2.6	49	23.0	497	3.4	246	5.4	1 775	3.5
Live Oak	327	8.9	948	11.4	359	8.9	723	10.2	650	3.4	1 091	8.2
Llano	228	11.7	273	17.8	187	14.9	94	24.5	524	1.8	831	13.3
Loving	—	—	—	—	3	—	(Z)	—	10	—	21	—
Lubbock	593	5.1	7 347	2.7	593	4.6	7 015	3.4	869	2.2	7 095	2.5
Lynn	275	8.4	4 059	8.2	339	4.3	4 011	5.3	414	3.3	4 532	3.9
McCulloch	229	11.2	1 053	14.3	139	17.1	498	8.2	501	3.1	1 203	7.1
McLennan	1 152	4.3	5 176	3.5	796	6.4	2 690	5.3	1 757	2.0	3 320	2.1
McMullen	30	33.4	59	5.9	48	23.5	67	23.0	203	3.2	589	7.7
Madison	502	6.7	1 216	9.9	244	12.7	462	6.3	772	2.1	1 863	4.2
Marion	104	8.1	168	16.7	55	12.8	51	41.4	194	2.4	143	8.3
Martin	168	6.6	1 824	6.6	177	6.6	1 776	5.6	253	4.5	2 939	7.2
Mason	229	11.3	731	19.6	160	15.5	355	25.9	489	3.8	928	11.5
Matagorda	368	8.2	4 920	4.3	361	8.2	4 939	7.3	702	2.4	2 794	3.7
Maverick	62	11.5	427	7.6	57	11.4	445	7.7	150	3.7	600	2.5
Medina	735	6.1	3 163	5.2	663	6.8	1 780	4.5	1 429	2.1	2 872	4.5
Menard	88	23.0	170	34.6	113	18.2	83	19.7	252	5.5	482	9.3
Midland	146	14.4	692	5.7	152	12.7	710	17.1	337	5.6	988	6.1
Milam	1 052	4.4	4 917	5.4	728	6.6	3 153	6.6	1 498	1.7	2 710	5.8
Mills	352	9.8	976	10.7	154	19.5	425	8.1	646	3.4	1 067	8.9
Mitchell	119	18.8	488	15.5	167	11.0	870	11.2	294	5.7	1 042	6.7
Montague	755	6.3	1 715	6.2	408	11.0	447	16.2	1 154	2.2	1 509	7.6
Montgomery	603	6.8	731	13.7	275	12.5	179	11.5	1 051	2.4	741	7.8
Moore	126	5.8	4 938	1.9	135	5.8	3 406	1.4	208	4.2	8 021	1.5
Morris	192	10.7	323	14.0	69	22.4	55	18.9	350	2.6	366	10.7
Motley	57	9.8	569	3.9	73	8.1	699	4.7	171	3.7	896	4.2
Nacogdoches	620	6.8	1 064	10.3	340	11.1	200	16.9	1 109	2.1	2 620	1.9
Navarro	716	6.1	2 611	10.9	537	8.2	1 889	11.6	1 395	1.9	2 002	6.6
Newton	208	7.7	156	13.7	79	18.4	30	26.6	254	4.5	175	13.2
Nolan	85	17.8	578	4.8	127	11.8	912	12.0	387	3.5	1 315	4.8
Nueces	353	5.8	5 847	4.7	319	7.1	6 216	3.2	539	2.2	3 259	3.3
Ochiltree	216	9.9	3 084	4.7	194	7.8	2 124	7.5	333	4.7	3 782	3.5
Oldham	29	13.2	323	8.8	61	7.4	353	5.9	105	2.8	1 797	3.6
Orange	160	11.4	284	30.0	60	22.4	16	33.5	297	3.9	335	12.5
Palo Pinto	452	7.0	1 108	18.6	230	13.0	197	10.6	730	2.6	898	9.5
Panola	452	7.1	724	9.5	186	14.2	137	17.2	818	2.2	1 008	5.3
Parker	1 404	3.8	2 192	11.5	639	7.9	391	17.3	2 012	2.0	1 852	4.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Parker	416	4.1	9 868	2.3	380	4.8	6 026	3.2	519	2.1	16 129	2.5
Pecos	38	17.3	1 060	3.1	49	16.3	689	.8	246	4.0	2 792	1.7
Polk	343	7.5	526	21.1	110	21.8	48	39.2	485	3.8	424	12.2
Potter	27	15.4	148	16.3	48	13.1	159	16.9	149	5.1	612	7.9
Presidio	15	22.9	92	3.4	23	16.0	128	.8	119	3.7	705	1.7
Rains	293	7.2	774	11.9	75	21.2	76	19.9	479	1.8	756	6.4
Randall	108	11.5	1 318	7.4	177	10.8	812	9.5	425	3.4	3 062	3.9
Reagan	27	13.8	458	2.9	51	7.6	864	12.1	114	3.5	885	4.5
Real	43	28.1	37	19.7	35	29.6	17	9.8	184	4.6	244	13.0
Red River	516	8.6	2 632	7.3	277	13.3	1 472	26.2	1 019	2.0	1 934	8.7
Reeves	43	9.0	682	1.7	37	10.4	(D)	(D)	139	4.2	1 408	3.1
Refugio	118	11.5	2 750	4.5	118	13.6	2 630	5.4	204	6.3	1 047	6.4
Roberts	19	—	166	—	17	15.0	66	3.9	79	4.6	468	3.1
Robertson	959	3.9	3 714	5.6	568	7.4	2 538	15.4	1 229	1.8	1 888	8.4
Rockwall	130	7.0	398	5.5	66	12.7	332	5.3	247	2.6	328	5.9
Runnels	377	8.1	1 869	7.9	298	10.8	1 347	13.6	742	3.1	1 942	7.4
Rusk	630	6.7	1 472	11.2	293	12.2	225	17.0	1 247	1.6	1 502	5.0
Sabine	111	8.0	163	11.6	41	16.1	35	14.2	162	4.0	213	8.6
San Augustine	165	8.1	241	12.2	84	17.2	101	43.4	273	3.4	530	7.1
San Jacinto	216	10.9	348	17.7	69	27.4	24	37.3	376	2.7	341	16.0
San Patricio	296	6.0	5 601	1.9	280	6.8	5 307	2.8	435	3.3	2 844	3.3
San Saba	291	10.6	734	10.9	239	12.0	857	29.5	615	2.5	1 084	5.1
Schleicher	28	29.7	88	18.6	52	20.2	137	12.4	260	3.4	630	4.5
Scurry	121	19.0	593	17.8	152	12.0	1 148	8.7	487	5.1	1 592	6.3
Shackelford	121	11.8	608	9.4	82	16.3	169	8.2	206	5.8	515	5.6
Shelby	518	6.7	1 020	19.9	316	9.9	294	31.6	1 018	1.5	3 336	4.3
Sherman	174	9.2	7 132	3.1	185	6.9	4 457	16.1	224	5.1	7 892	3.5
Smith	1 223	3.9	2 016	8.6	503	9.0	469	14.9	1 715	1.6	1 742	4.4
Somervell	171	8.2	238	15.1	65	20.9	30	26.7	198	5.3	123	12.2
Starr	54	22.8	953	1.5	103	19.5	1 246	.5	505	5.0	1 717	3.1
Stephens	118	17.0	155	16.5	67	24.3	80	10.9	414	3.4	594	11.7
Sterling	7	10.4	(D)	(D)	8	7.9	99	2.0	50	3.9	339	1.6
Stonewall	58	27.3	276	17.5	57	25.5	287	9.6	242	6.3	820	9.0
Sutton	14	24.4	28	24.2	56	16.1	91	12.4	204	2.5	629	5.4
Swisher	232	7.9	3 773	3.1	243	8.2	3 517	2.8	445	4.0	5 746	4.0
Tarrant	456	8.5	977	7.7	298	12.4	196	16.9	881	3.1	1 106	6.0
Taylor	372	10.0	830	8.9	249	13.6	774	11.3	927	2.8	1 680	4.2
Terrell	6	—	68	—	10	6.3	(D)	(D)	81	2.1	347	.8
Terry	364	3.2	8 652	1.8	339	2.9	7 616	5.8	450	3.8	6 133	2.0
Throckmorton	124	10.7	1 232	8.5	84	14.3	398	9.8	243	2.0	1 135	6.2
Titus	349	9.4	767	8.0	140	17.2	92	19.4	653	3.4	1 033	5.3
Tom Green	244	9.5	1 743	5.4	326	7.7	2 535	6.9	709	3.9	3 043	3.1
Travis	497	7.8	1 666	17.9	473	8.0	653	20.6	906	3.4	1 045	14.8
Trinity	361	7.6	1 101	25.4	68	29.7	67	54.1	506	1.8	600	11.1
Tyler	265	9.0	331	14.7	76	24.1	19	28.0	456	1.8	291	12.0
Upshur	650	5.5	1 465	14.9	224	13.9	173	15.5	1 022	1.9	954	7.8
Upton	22	13.8	136	6.6	26	9.0	148	12.6	88	3.3	492	4.1
Uvalde	224	13.1	2 450	1.6	219	11.8	2 026	2.5	531	3.3	1 799	2.8
Val Verde	68	18.5	112	33.1	56	20.4	27	11.9	217	3.8	735	3.0
Van Zandt	1 664	3.2	4 504	5.0	621	8.0	803	12.1	2 271	1.4	2 543	4.5
Victoria	515	7.0	2 847	9.7	485	7.8	2 410	9.5	989	2.1	1 606	7.0
Walker	617	4.7	1 117	6.7	234	13.6	370	4.6	773	2.0	860	9.8
Waller	495	7.5	2 044	9.1	332	10.5	1 064	15.2	997	1.9	1 615	4.7
Ward	12	10.4	25	4.9	20	6.9	40	1.8	67	3.8	158	3.0
Washington	1 332	3.8	2 118	7.2	629	8.4	340	10.3	1 837	1.7	1 716	7.2
Webb	36	38.1	219	6.7	71	21.6	103	31.0	381	4.5	982	5.7
Wharton	868	4.3	11 978	2.6	639	6.5	9 614	4.8	1 176	2.8	6 054	2.4
Wheeler	170	11.8	1 232	12.8	64	21.7	336	8.5	419	3.7	1 257	5.9
Wichita	302	10.0	1 529	6.9	125	17.3	588	6.5	486	4.2	964	6.0
Wilbarger	299	5.9	2 560	5.9	219	9.8	1 303	13.1	424	3.6	2 050	5.4
Willacy	177	6.9	3 511	2.2	151	5.1	4 406	1.9	218	4.3	2 475	2.6
Williamson	1 132	4.6	5 272	4.2	988	4.9	4 166	7.4	1 847	2.0	2 595	5.3
Wilson	1 178	3.6	3 592	5.7	947	5.1	1 520	11.3	1 586	2.1	2 644	5.8
Winkler	3	—	(D)	(D)	7	—	30	—	33	—	137	—
Wise	1 254	4.2	2 890	11.4	626	7.6	554	14.8	1 879	1.7	1 953	4.9
Wood	849	4.8	2 889	4.3	289	12.3	686	5.4	1 267	1.6	2 057	3.6
Yoakum	156	4.8	4 882	2.3	148	8.8	3 943	2.8	208	7.5	3 836	2.5
Young	400	7.6	1 011	5.9	184	14.8	319	17.3	648	2.3	1 238	6.3
Zapata	3	—	(D)	(D)	8	—	(D)	(D)	306	3.9	497	11.5
Zavala	91	13.6	1 218	1.7	104	11.2	1 297	1.3	214	4.4	1 152	3.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	105 494	.7	190 161	.6	55 912	.9	785 447	.4	35 119	1.2	142 086	1.0
Anderson	604	8.2	356	8.7	308	12.6	1 512	8.4	257	12.8	593	11.7
Andrews	94	7.7	396	3.4	50	8.7	1 125	3.0	34	16.4	321	1.4
Angelina	314	10.2	176	6.9	175	15.8	445	22.2	111	23.0	142	23.5
Aransas	39	5.3	13	6.8	14	9.1	(D)	(D)	6	13.4	5	9.6
Archer	258	10.1	800	4.2	174	11.2	3 213	5.0	114	13.8	882	32.6
Armstrong	159	4.6	315	14.6	104	10.5	873	10.0	62	15.3	183	31.6
Atascosa	739	6.0	560	10.3	299	10.8	3 900	3.0	213	13.1	640	12.1
Austin	987	5.2	379	6.3	502	8.9	1 862	18.0	276	13.3	358	22.6
Bailey	282	8.3	1 876	9.6	192	10.2	4 682	6.2	79	19.9	621	5.3
Bandera	352	8.7	334	19.0	186	15.4	672	14.8	135	18.1	192	23.9
Bastrop	835	6.1	472	7.2	373	11.1	1 854	4.6	227	14.6	353	20.8
Baylor	186	8.5	220	4.1	123	12.1	1 509	7.7	78	16.2	414	13.5
Bee	399	7.5	277	6.8	191	12.8	1 751	8.6	136	18.1	240	18.2
Bell	936	5.0	525	4.7	377	10.0	3 042	4.2	224	14.7	986	7.1
Bexar	871	6.0	738	4.0	447	10.4	13 444	3.0	155	18.7	323	20.2
Blanco	425	5.9	318	6.4	120	18.9	1 254	7.8	171	14.6	226	16.1
Borden	78	6.3	94	6.8	64	8.9	965	3.9	28	12.1	139	2.4
Bosque	527	7.5	559	9.1	205	14.1	5 130	4.8	184	15.0	350	14.3
Bowie	553	6.9	470	5.9	272	11.8	2 151	14.0	100	22.5	250	21.8
Brazoria	839	6.0	709	5.4	385	9.4	5 876	3.4	247	13.5	910	40.7
Brazos	536	7.3	598	6.4	232	12.6	3 998	4.2	185	15.8	294	19.0
Brewster	79	7.2	196	1.1	57	8.2	1 006	.7	35	11.9	228	7
Briscoe	140	8.0	812	5.5	96	8.8	1 670	6.4	59	14.3	225	10.8
Brooks	167	7.4	224	4.4	125	10.3	1 847	2.6	75	16.1	798	1.0
Brown	494	8.4	764	9.5	281	12.1	1 803	4.9	170	17.1	482	19.2
Burleson	631	6.1	390	12.3	425	9.2	2 146	10.8	223	14.5	665	27.5
Burnet	635	6.1	263	13.6	203	15.1	539	18.9	188	14.2	416	14.9
Caldwell	494	8.3	391	7.1	249	12.4	1 607	6.2	152	14.1	527	18.8
Calhoun	158	6.8	181	5.4	108	9.3	1 175	3.0	69	13.3	291	4.7
Callahan	507	6.9	203	13.4	176	14.7	807	9.3	157	16.4	379	35.3
Cameron	523	6.2	1 167	5.0	373	7.4	10 718	2.0	286	10.9	1 884	5.5
Camp	148	11.7	1 257	.7	106	15.8	6 639	.4	79	20.9	261	10.4
Carson	208	7.9	529	21.4	134	11.2	1 909	10.2	79	15.6	472	40.1
Cass	355	10.1	284	4.6	268	12.5	1 112	8.0	175	17.8	241	22.5
Castro	365	3.9	8 271	2.3	291	5.7	18 974	1.0	178	10.2	4 267	2.0
Chambers	228	8.3	246	14.4	159	15.6	1 249	13.4	61	24.6	120	47.7
Cherokee	501	9.0	1 499	1.7	434	9.7	11 933	1.0	278	13.3	701	12.1
Childress	156	8.7	205	4.0	115	8.6	1 483	8.4	54	19.6	311	11.6
Clay	425	7.5	311	6.4	269	10.1	1 827	6.3	177	15.1	435	21.8
Cochran	179	7.0	1 481	3.3	151	7.6	4 142	4.8	87	14.7	660	2.7
Coke	258	5.1	187	15.3	112	14.4	740	24.1	60	20.4	129	23.4
Coleman	479	6.7	239	15.1	255	11.6	987	12.0	142	16.3	221	18.1
Collin	683	6.1	478	5.5	287	11.3	3 383	2.1	220	13.9	767	5.6
Collingsworth	308	7.1	418	8.8	220	10.0	2 295	15.6	98	18.7	490	6.4
Colorado	919	5.0	630	12.5	453	8.3	3 524	6.7	221	12.6	587	17.2
Comal	355	8.2	135	10.4	98	21.6	269	23.2	54	30.9	66	32.4
Comanche	955	4.6	1 726	3.4	440	8.3	8 133	2.8	318	11.7	1 290	11.9
Concho	198	11.2	285	10.4	157	13.3	1 835	5.4	97	14.5	383	23.1
Cooke	753	6.1	657	6.9	374	10.1	2 990	11.9	179	16.0	425	16.4
Coryell	589	6.6	263	8.5	262	10.9	1 049	22.0	191	15.3	303	24.6
Cottle	120	6.4	126	8.2	74	9.7	1 002	5.2	40	14.8	118	18.6
Crane	33	3.0	32	1.3	19	4.2	81	.2	11	4.4	22	.6
Crockett	127	4.6	318	1.4	102	5.1	1 874	.3	83	6.5	312	2.6
Crosby	251	7.5	1 834	4.9	231	7.2	5 057	3.8	104	11.7	802	6.6
Culberson	65	2.9	134	3.9	48	2.9	686	2.2	14	4.2	60	1.1
Dallam	266	6.1	2 562	.5	150	5.8	10 573	.5	97	12.1	943	3.5
Dallas	399	9.7	292	7.6	163	20.1	3 479	3.0	137	20.8	384	18.9
Dawson	354	4.2	2 997	5.4	367	4.2	7 837	4.1	115	13.4	1 104	16.0
Deaf Smith	457	4.1	5 879	2.9	219	7.8	14 092	.7	154	11.3	2 006	2.2
Delta	143	14.0	94	19.1	109	19.8	784	4.2	51	30.0	183	20.0
Denton	1 003	5.3	962	5.8	442	9.7	3 505	7.1	365	11.5	1 069	9.7
De Witt	1 098	3.6	508	5.7	428	9.7	1 445	14.5	195	14.5	424	21.2
Dickens	257	6.6	423	28.7	120	14.4	978	7.4	62	19.7	141	13.5
Dimmit	117	10.8	232	13.1	77	14.1	1 514	8.5	52	15.9	355	17.1
Donley	239	8.3	336	5.7	127	15.2	2 189	2.5	105	16.5	403	6.3
Duval	555	5.6	281	7.3	278	12.0	1 927	12.8	169	14.9	378	18.4
Eastland	674	6.4	670	9.2	291	11.8	1 109	15.0	228	14.4	359	17.3
Ector	140	5.8	157	6.5	55	13.1	370	14.2	21	20.6	63	15.5
Edwards	205	6.7	188	4.3	121	11.3	902	3.1	106	10.7	312	7.0
Ellis	838	5.6	718	7.7	348	10.6	2 392	9.1	270	11.9	557	14.6
El Paso	227	10.1	904	2.4	218	10.6	9 153	2.9	138	12.9	1 892	2.7
Erath	1 159	4.3	4 030	1.4	561	7.4	17 653	.5	309	10.4	1 476	4.8
Falls	567	6.2	322	6.1	331	9.7	1 827	3.1	213	12.2	766	18.2
Fannin	747	6.2	550	16.3	391	9.7	1 994	9.1	171	18.6	677	25.8
Fayette	1 500	3.8	835	4.0	742	7.1	2 352	9.0	389	11.4	860	23.0
Fisher	339	9.1	508	7.1	199	12.0	2 060	7.8	143	16.2	400	12.8
Floyd	359	3.6	3 346	4.7	256	8.2	8 241	4.4	103	14.2	1 206	6.6
Foard	100	11.6	56	9.1	71	10.9	463	8.3	30	25.8	135	28.2
Fort Bend	872	4.3	1 096	12.0	428	8.0	11 672	3.0	292	10.4	1 096	12.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Franklin	231	10.9	529	4.3	178	12.2	2 407	18.0	64	26.8	242	17.5
Freestone	436	9.4	190	11.7	350	11.6	1 496	16.0	318	11.2	488	15.2
Frio	319	7.4	1 095	4.3	162	12.0	7 847	3.6	75	19.2	508	4.1
Gaines	406	4.0	8 018	3.2	387	4.7	13 844	1.8	177	10.1	1 931	2.6
Galveston	235	12.4	219	5.6	88	23.0	1 220	9.3	61	29.9	43	32.7
Garza	174	8.2	364	7.5	112	10.2	1 200	12.1	52	19.6	131	19.6
Gillespie	1 009	4.0	545	5.0	387	9.6	2 141	12.2	275	12.2	329	15.7
Glasscock	151	9.0	1 764	10.4	124	10.2	2 350	4.9	77	16.1	393	6.3
Goliad	562	5.2	238	8.5	220	13.3	726	12.4	124	17.8	248	16.8
Gonzales	1 080	4.5	2 137	1.7	552	7.7	9 837	1.9	425	10.2	1 191	7.9
Gray	228	9.3	891	1.7	87	14.9	846	1.0	69	23.2	254	34.7
Grayson	1 015	5.7	599	8.9	464	9.7	1 740	6.4	267	13.9	434	16.5
Gregg	150	10.6	65	17.1	52	23.7	214	41.7	46	18.3	56	26.2
Grimes	724	6.3	395	9.0	360	11.6	2 219	14.7	252	14.1	447	23.4
Guadalupe	909	5.7	461	9.4	412	10.2	2 375	7.2	205	16.0	508	24.6
Hale	580	4.6	6 153	6.1	481	6.3	11 537	4.5	293	9.1	2 564	9.4
Hall	173	8.9	167	9.7	131	7.6	2 088	10.1	126	10.9	528	11.8
Hamilton	621	5.6	705	3.7	289	10.1	2 508	3.7	144	17.3	367	13.2
Hansford	175	5.6	1 076	1.4	142	7.4	9 625	1.1	70	14.4	1 378	2.2
Hardeman	191	8.2	115	10.4	97	21.2	734	6.4	47	28.2	114	12.2
Hardin	158	10.0	97	16.5	55	21.4	83	34.5	37	26.3	242	39.5
Harris	958	6.2	1 126	14.8	366	11.4	4 875	2.8	195	15.5	1 035	6.8
Harrison	502	8.3	148	19.5	289	13.4	479	18.5	115	21.6	125	29.5
Hartley	181	5.6	992	.7	120	7.8	4 133	2.0	62	9.5	721	3.5
Haskell	380	7.3	669	5.1	208	9.3	1 674	10.0	130	17.0	439	24.4
Hays	465	7.6	314	7.7	239	12.8	1 181	7.5	94	21.0	188	30.8
Hemphill	165	6.9	592	3.8	95	10.8	2 296	4.7	29	18.9	127	17.2
Henderson	728	7.0	586	22.4	371	11.2	3 883	4.3	312	11.4	663	20.7
Hidalgo	836	4.3	2 654	1.7	637	5.7	22 445	1.0	429	7.9	13 198	4.0
Hill	729	6.3	982	9.1	386	9.5	6 874	1.1	244	13.5	777	6.6
Hockley	458	5.2	3 162	6.9	329	5.6	6 804	5.8	180	11.2	1 463	11.7
Hood	423	7.7	398	8.4	211	12.4	1 727	4.4	130	16.0	231	8.3
Hopkins	929	4.8	2 365	2.1	536	6.9	6 014	2.2	271	11.8	880	6.4
Houston	607	7.3	340	8.8	400	9.2	1 781	18.7	223	14.6	375	15.8
Howard	278	9.9	384	14.1	181	9.5	2 688	9.4	77	22.2	287	7.9
Hudspeth	121	4.1	563	6.2	98	4.5	3 851	3.5	55	6.9	1 216	2.5
Hunt	779	6.5	312	6.4	405	10.3	1 459	12.1	262	13.3	436	18.2
Hutchinson	131	5.2	317	11.9	55	7.3	1 666	1.8	41	10.1	249	12.9
Irion	114	5.7	107	5.1	61	10.4	510	2.7	35	14.8	114	5.9
Jack	387	8.3	174	13.0	184	14.4	496	7.9	132	20.2	278	27.3
Jackson	538	5.0	484	7.0	312	8.4	3 672	5.5	174	13.7	548	10.2
Jasper	280	10.0	98	18.8	119	21.0	222	23.2	54	31.3	67	39.7
Jeff Davis	55	2.5	177	.7	42	2.5	1 086	.1	24	3.4	88	1.6
Jefferson	304	8.4	308	8.1	181	14.8	3 008	10.2	49	30.6	146	3.5
Jim Hogg	115	6.6	139	3.9	93	8.2	926	6.3	44	15.8	78	7.4
Jim Wells	433	7.2	559	8.2	220	11.5	3 210	1.9	120	17.6	377	11.4
Johnson	977	5.4	947	6.4	355	10.5	2 722	6.2	271	13.1	657	8.8
Jones	524	5.8	542	8.3	249	10.9	1 987	7.5	138	17.6	425	10.5
Karnes	628	5.6	314	11.3	275	11.6	987	17.0	197	13.6	340	15.2
Kaufman	852	6.5	721	4.4	319	11.8	1 802	10.6	207	15.4	618	11.2
Kendall	463	7.5	270	28.1	143	18.6	353	26.3	145	19.2	328	17.6
Kenedy	27	3.9	64	4.4	13	4.6	1 357	.2	8	5.2	167	.4
Kent	117	5.5	157	3.7	53	12.4	362	6.2	35	14.7	76	6.0
Kerr	510	6.4	309	12.2	215	12.2	984	31.6	199	13.5	418	32.1
Kimble	347	7.5	241	10.3	160	14.6	561	8.3	91	21.4	152	23.1
King	24	4.5	33	1.4	16	5.1	972	.5	8	8.0	36	4.1
Kinney	83	7.1	205	4.1	48	9.9	862	1.3	60	7.9	143	12.5
Kleberg	163	10.0	361	1.9	94	14.5	4 929	.7	48	22.6	377	1.8
Knox	171	9.7	441	2.8	117	11.8	2 049	4.1	63	14.7	514	3.9
Lamar	620	8.1	411	8.1	366	10.9	1 572	9.4	247	15.8	565	11.4
Lamb	523	4.4	4 596	5.1	424	3.4	14 609	4.2	173	13.3	2 209	4.9
Lampasas	427	6.9	226	9.5	229	11.9	574	12.8	139	13.1	397	11.1
La Salle	138	10.5	298	12.4	105	15.4	1 395	5.5	62	19.9	277	22.5
Lavaca	1 619	3.7	784	8.7	600	8.1	1 291	7.3	348	12.2	461	19.2
Lee	844	5.5	537	26.7	361	11.0	2 110	9.6	227	14.6	374	29.9
Leon	632	7.7	393	7.6	369	11.4	1 266	7.2	326	12.5	817	16.1
Liberty	552	7.7	381	9.2	287	11.7	2 208	6.5	141	18.5	181	19.8
Limestone	505	7.8	304	7.6	348	10.1	1 116	7.0	218	13.8	343	15.5
Lipscomb	175	9.8	1 081	2.0	92	16.3	1 784	4.1	51	20.5	370	10.1
Live Oak	508	5.6	351	15.2	275	10.7	1 243	22.9	163	16.3	212	20.6
Llano	338	8.0	214	21.4	133	16.3	354	8.4	81	22.8	70	40.1
Loving	9	—	14	—	7	—	48	—	4	—	6	—
Lubbock	732	3.9	4 712	3.4	474	5.3	10 524	2.6	327	8.7	1 713	8.2
Lynn	349	5.7	1 602	7.6	302	6.2	5 727	3.6	137	15.2	873	8.3
McCulloch	329	6.8	284	10.2	136	15.9	762	5.6	136	17.4	296	20.6
McLennan	936	5.6	1 090	4.8	461	8.9	6 746	6.2	269	12.4	701	10.6
McMullen	131	9.5	146	10.7	105	14.9	678	12.6	43	26.3	65	11.8
Madison	359	8.3	1 269	2.7	134	18.7	(D)	(D)	152	18.1	256	20.7
Marion	84	9.6	22	19.8	40	16.4	128	30.7	34	18.6	29	21.2
Martin	146	11.5	547	2.4	157	6.4	3 299	4.5	85	15.5	686	6.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Mason	420	6.1	398	10.4	192	14.3	1 135	33.3	94	22.3	178	27.6
Matagorda	553	5.1	818	2.9	312	9.2	5 978	4.9	199	12.4	754	13.8
Maverick	94	7.4	245	8.6	93	8.3	2 196	3.7	37	15.3	397	8.2
Medina	925	5.1	856	6.9	466	9.0	4 059	5.3	282	12.0	774	9.5
Menard	213	7.0	145	12.4	123	16.2	860	8.6	124	16.7	233	7.5
Midland	299	6.9	706	13.1	127	11.7	1 760	8.1	56	21.6	237	3.6
Milam	768	6.2	725	10.1	473	8.4	3 933	8.0	194	15.5	620	9.7
Mills	449	7.8	265	7.3	150	18.4	1 301	7.6	151	18.5	272	26.2
Mitchell	201	11.4	190	20.0	135	14.4	1 326	8.6	86	20.6	388	21.3
Montague	730	6.6	403	10.5	282	13.1	1 633	11.3	175	18.1	299	16.5
Montgomery	578	7.8	317	8.0	306	11.4	3 655	4.3	207	16.0	199	22.6
Moore	160	5.7	1 558	.4	125	6.0	6 287	.4	74	9.2	496	3.1
Morris	174	12.9	89	8.4	106	19.3	285	20.3	67	24.3	125	33.9
Motley	133	5.8	166	4.8	89	6.5	1 355	3.0	53	11.0	410	8.2
Nacogdoches	549	7.4	1 582	1.9	338	9.9	3 721	1.6	229	13.7	943	15.0
Navarro	559	8.2	305	8.5	328	11.6	3 010	8.2	251	13.4	497	15.6
Newton	150	12.2	50	19.9	71	20.1	87	26.2	29	23.1	14	25.3
Nolan	260	8.2	346	5.4	155	11.7	1 959	9.3	114	14.5	390	20.2
Nueces	392	6.2	524	5.5	319	7.5	5 497	5.8	165	13.6	978	4.1
Ochiltree	245	7.8	704	3.0	157	10.1	5 816	3.0	57	18.7	453	28.0
Oldham	93	6.6	435	5.6	48	7.3	2 616	.5	37	13.0	529	2.3
Orange	189	10.4	87	9.1	53	25.4	453	7.2	47	24.1	77	32.8
Palo Pinto	364	9.4	189	9.6	171	16.4	620	13.0	138	16.3	164	13.6
Panola	369	9.1	292	2.9	221	10.4	810	5.7	141	16.4	405	19.9
Parker	1 473	3.9	1 022	13.3	605	8.9	4 741	7.2	278	13.8	556	8.0
Parmer	477	3.9	6 432	1.9	362	5.3	13 670	1.5	196	9.7	1 520	7.5
Pecos	200	5.5	1 053	9.1	133	7.4	2 761	1.2	76	9.1	1 472	.9
Polk	259	11.9	99	21.3	124	17.0	131	23.3	113	21.7	111	31.0
Potter	117	7.2	311	3.6	56	10.4	1 389	1.4	29	15.6	108	4.8
Presidio	93	5.5	343	2.2	88	5.0	1 805	1.9	45	11.8	610	2.0
Rains	183	12.4	224	11.4	109	16.6	1 067	3.6	65	25.0	131	20.6
Randall	337	5.8	1 032	7.4	141	12.0	6 151	1.0	122	14.0	263	5.6
Reagan	99	4.0	1 017	18.6	81	5.5	1 358	2.7	38	11.4	165	9.4
Real	136	10.4	111	19.4	64	22.5	456	24.1	62	22.3	206	35.3
Red River	454	9.5	422	9.2	370	11.0	1 887	10.1	100	23.7	272	27.4
Reeves	91	6.2	478	1.8	93	5.8	2 690	1.1	40	10.3	331	4.4
Refugio	176	9.4	299	6.6	102	15.2	2 310	3.8	74	20.6	570	7.1
Roberts	55	6.0	103	1.5	33	5.4	560	.1	20	8.8	59	.9
Robertson	594	6.8	442	7.4	423	7.8	2 460	9.1	313	11.4	819	19.2
Rockwall	121	8.1	86	14.5	54	13.4	642	13.5	38	17.9	92	15.2
Runnels	569	6.0	378	13.5	335	9.9	1 420	14.6	157	17.0	599	22.7
Rusk	482	8.8	290	6.8	255	13.1	2 596	2.4	192	16.9	398	14.1
Sabine	87	9.7	53	7.6	47	14.4	136	14.6	14	30.4	24	21.1
San Augustine	111	13.9	208	4.9	82	18.0	310	5.0	83	17.8	131	15.3
San Jacinto	216	11.9	105	11.8	69	24.0	287	4.0	51	27.2	43	22.4
San Patricio	371	4.6	630	3.4	218	8.2	5 387	4.8	161	12.1	1 330	7.3
San Saba	381	7.5	279	8.8	201	13.9	1 115	10.5	138	15.0	719	41.4
Schleicher	192	6.2	236	15.2	113	11.1	702	3.5	73	14.4	236	3.8
Scurry	400	7.1	364	9.7	165	15.1	1 924	7.8	152	16.0	544	29.3
Shackelford	155	9.3	108	9.5	85	11.4	945	10.2	65	16.7	134	11.6
Shelby	486	6.0	1 858	4.3	396	7.4	6 612	4.8	253	11.4	2 057	5.0
Sherman	192	7.7	1 085	14.5	121	8.3	6 800	1.8	64	17.1	841	1.4
Smith	632	7.0	650	6.7	439	9.4	7 087	6.8	258	13.8	743	13.4
Somervell	95	15.4	27	24.5	41	28.4	47	34.4	27	31.9	22	32.9
Starr	366	7.8	727	4.1	269	10.9	7 922	.8	126	17.6	495	5.7
Stephens	257	9.2	130	14.1	116	16.3	475	11.1	83	22.5	121	23.9
Sterling	54	3.9	129	1.9	33	3.2	953	.4	22	4.4	105	1.3
Stonewall	212	8.9	188	21.5	61	23.3	887	11.4	69	23.4	239	21.7
Sutton	146	7.3	225	5.9	87	8.0	894	4.0	96	9.9	252	8.7
Swisher	313	7.1	3 420	4.1	224	8.0	9 872	1.2	128	14.6	1 075	2.7
Tarrant	582	6.8	563	8.9	226	13.0	3 469	9.9	202	15.3	341	24.2
Taylor	531	7.2	335	8.0	207	13.9	1 335	5.1	161	15.0	332	13.2
Terrell	50	1.9	129	.7	38	1.8	522	.1	32	2.7	184	.7
Terry	350	3.9	3 791	3.0	284	4.9	6 947	2.8	206	8.7	1 621	8.1
Throckmorton	126	10.2	170	4.4	108	11.3	1 802	8.0	49	15.9	311	17.1
Titus	303	11.1	349	7.2	189	15.0	1 305	4.0	81	24.3	236	13.2
Tom Green	699	3.7	1 674	5.7	288	8.6	7 323	2.5	194	13.1	869	5.3
Travis	504	8.4	210	10.7	177	16.6	579	11.3	180	18.3	188	18.9
Trinity	261	10.5	92	22.3	109	24.6	116	32.4	123	22.4	159	31.1
Tyler	196	13.4	103	21.4	74	24.6	272	64.8	52	31.8	30	30.6
Upshur	410	9.1	306	3.7	212	12.4	1 236	11.1	166	16.6	293	13.5
Upton	65	5.7	709	7.9	53	7.2	828	3.7	23	13.1	143	18.4
Uvalde	414	6.7	862	2.2	159	14.0	4 071	1.2	198	15.2	1 105	5.8
Val Verde	167	7.3	289	3.8	121	9.5	1 306	1.4	97	9.9	343	6.5
Van Zandt	1 094	5.2	1 052	5.4	564	8.3	7 298	4.3	413	11.0	1 300	20.5
Victoria	734	5.0	392	6.5	281	11.0	1 943	12.9	189	14.9	563	17.4
Walker	340	9.2	170	10.3	245	13.5	637	12.4	166	16.8	457	26.4
Waller	649	5.9	710	6.9	256	10.5	3 373	7.0	250	13.7	568	15.3
Ward	44	4.7	56	3.3	23	5.7	112	5.8	16	6.2	41	1.9
Washington	1 110	5.0	581	8.6	524	9.3	2 059	12.0	345	12.4	401	16.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Webb	262	8.2	525	9.8	157	13.7	2 714	6.6	65	24.2	411	9.3
Wharton	881	5.3	1 544	8.5	542	7.4	13 027	2.7	312	12.1	1 284	23.3
Wheeler	289	8.7	286	11.6	135	14.8	1 479	6.8	102	17.7	273	16.9
Wichita	257	12.4	208	10.4	99	16.3	902	5.5	104	22.4	400	12.0
Wilbarger	303	6.2	705	6.0	234	8.8	3 227	6.9	128	17.1	1 175	11.3
Willacy	179	6.9	559	15.4	151	7.2	4 941	1.0	64	14.5	807	3.8
Williamson	1 116	4.9	549	14.1	477	9.2	2 857	10.1	279	13.0	558	14.1
Wilson	1 004	4.8	615	4.8	476	9.2	4 034	5.9	256	13.5	598	30.2
Winkler	26	—	47	—	18	—	116	—	11	—	29	—
Wise	1 222	4.4	672	6.5	371	10.7	1 680	10.2	334	12.4	440	15.2
Wood	627	7.0	911	3.2	353	10.7	3 551	8.8	198	15.2	452	9.3
Yoakum	194	7.7	1 940	5.4	149	9.0	4 067	2.8	112	10.4	1 070	10.2
Young	313	10.2	205	10.9	185	14.7	1 080	6.5	145	16.2	255	23.6
Zapata	175	11.8	115	15.5	125	17.4	1 710	6.2	89	21.2	147	25.4
Zavala	189	5.8	873	4.2	120	10.4	3 464	.9	80	14.5	873	2.0

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	147 795	.6	551 155	.6	55 325	1.0	264 527	.9	62 890	.9	498 389	.6
Anderson	1 089	4.4	2 062	7.1	317	12.4	643	18.0	332	11.0	1 812	16.3
Andrews	96	7.2	533	5.8	27	16.7	322	10.8	50	10.6	364	6.6
Angelina	641	3.9	924	10.3	167	17.4	263	21.3	124	18.1	618	20.4
Aransas	35	5.8	49	6.5	12	9.7	(D)	(D)	13	10.0	32	19.0
Archer	371	6.0	1 952	5.2	225	10.9	1 304	6.2	153	10.2	2 291	6.5
Armstrong	159	7.0	910	7.6	64	17.8	575	18.9	101	9.5	1 193	8.9
Atascosa	957	4.0	3 252	4.8	445	9.3	1 158	7.1	375	9.0	2 424	6.4
Austin	1 294	3.5	2 190	6.8	722	7.1	1 154	10.0	322	12.2	1 209	14.3
Bailey	289	7.5	3 398	4.8	163	13.3	2 418	7.9	253	9.9	2 990	7.5
Bandera	527	4.8	1 071	10.4	187	14.5	176	17.0	92	21.3	298	31.4
Bastrop	1 255	3.9	2 188	8.4	599	8.0	975	8.6	501	9.1	1 968	8.3
Baylor	242	4.8	1 573	6.3	174	10.3	1 628	10.5	114	11.0	2 044	5.8
Bee	541	4.9	1 531	8.0	146	16.5	594	12.3	192	14.0	878	9.1
Bell	1 255	3.4	3 174	5.6	561	7.8	3 132	4.2	570	8.1	2 581	9.3
Bexar	1 495	3.2	3 846	4.9	554	9.1	702	8.0	345	11.2	2 155	9.9
Blanco	440	5.7	1 079	18.4	149	16.6	160	22.4	128	19.5	458	18.8
Borden	92	4.4	552	7.1	36	13.4	258	14.5	63	7.5	713	6.6
Bosque	865	3.3	2 837	19.9	362	9.8	843	15.2	304	11.5	2 183	12.0
Bowie	933	3.4	2 079	6.9	186	15.4	637	8.3	320	10.8	2 056	11.3
Brazoria	1 323	3.6	3 506	5.4	226	14.6	930	15.0	378	10.0	2 055	8.6
Brazos	834	4.2	2 267	7.4	289	11.3	1 209	13.8	308	11.6	1 533	9.0
Brewster	124	1.4	544	1.7	13	—	89	—	69	5.3	589	3.6
Briscoe	165	4.9	1 371	5.7	96	11.0	640	10.1	133	8.6	1 219	8.3
Brooks	211	6.7	830	4.5	43	19.4	124	9.3	80	16.2	483	5.0
Brown	826	4.6	1 691	6.6	305	11.8	710	10.9	422	9.8	2 458	9.1
Burleson	978	4.0	2 103	13.0	422	9.7	1 595	5.2	326	11.6	2 309	22.5
Burnet	812	4.4	1 175	9.8	267	11.8	374	18.9	288	11.5	954	21.3
Caldwell	748	5.3	1 294	8.1	357	10.1	849	11.3	296	11.6	1 280	10.6
Calhoun	221	3.9	1 118	3.8	101	11.2	890	5.9	95	11.9	792	6.8
Callahan	613	4.2	1 144	8.7	290	11.9	623	17.7	301	10.7	1 521	10.9
Cameron	650	5.3	4 240	5.0	311	7.7	1 956	8.1	382	8.0	3 821	3.9
Camp	344	5.2	2 372	4.9	86	16.3	248	13.6	142	13.0	1 996	6.9
Carson	266	5.0	2 344	8.0	113	13.3	924	10.2	166	10.3	2 211	6.8
Cass	738	3.5	1 284	10.2	150	18.6	175	20.6	236	13.2	1 176	9.6
Castro	408	4.2	9 774	2.4	291	6.3	9 264	1.4	341	6.5	14 405	1.0
Chambers	298	7.9	1 057	7.6	139	14.0	629	17.2	154	13.4	755	14.0
Cherokee	1 122	3.7	3 176	4.6	379	11.3	1 208	9.7	370	10.7	4 717	5.3
Childress	213	4.5	1 327	9.2	107	13.0	696	10.0	135	9.1	1 125	4.7
Clay	630	4.8	1 907	8.6	265	10.2	1 048	9.7	318	9.6	2 767	11.0
Cochran	190	5.1	2 720	5.7	139	8.3	2 138	8.4	148	10.3	2 149	5.5
Coke	241	6.0	555	12.5	55	20.8	(D)	(D)	132	11.8	675	15.2
Coleman	672	4.0	1 630	13.1	300	10.1	614	13.5	367	8.9	1 702	11.9
Collin	1 134	3.2	2 570	6.8	356	10.3	876	7.3	450	8.6	1 985	8.0
Collingsworth	388	4.6	1 830	5.4	134	14.7	1 094	8.1	210	11.0	1 632	6.8
Colorado	1 237	3.2	3 660	4.6	511	8.1	1 969	10.8	352	9.7	2 130	9.1
Comal	437	6.3	998	21.2	142	15.8	220	30.3	99	21.6	463	28.4
Comanche	1 134	3.3	4 218	4.5	521	8.2	2 265	7.8	571	7.8	4 516	4.5
Concho	290	6.1	1 014	5.7	177	10.6	927	6.6	153	13.4	1 479	10.0
Cooke	1 174	3.0	2 690	8.0	472	9.5	1 010	16.1	525	8.8	2 190	9.1
Coryell	857	3.7	1 866	5.6	347	9.5	688	11.9	356	9.8	1 705	10.4
Cottle	137	4.4	655	7.5	74	9.7	236	14.5	105	7.0	806	4.8
Crane	36	2.9	132	2.1	5	—	15	—	20	2.8	122	.7
Crockett	155	2.8	809	2.6	28	14.8	181	17.7	97	5.1	1 226	1.6
Crosby	288	6.7	4 330	2.3	91	11.4	1 533	1.7	224	10.2	3 103	3.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Culberson	81	2.7	335	1.9	15	3.1	131	1.5	37	3.9	459	2.3
Dallam	323	4.8	8 790	1.3	188	7.9	7 748	3.9	257	6.1	10 473	1.4
Dallas	606	5.8	1 484	11.8	148	18.8	279	13.3	188	17.9	755	17.3
Dawson	429	4.6	4 760	6.7	200	9.0	2 728	9.0	353	6.2	4 506	8.3
Deaf Smith	505	3.8	9 918	2.1	293	8.1	4 894	4.5	368	6.3	10 811	1.9
Delta	352	5.3	696	7.8	91	18.4	296	16.8	140	14.8	849	20.0
Denton	1 307	3.6	3 228	6.8	491	9.5	1 020	11.0	478	9.6	2 706	11.9
De Witt	1 136	3.3	2 137	7.5	449	9.4	788	13.3	354	11.0	1 119	11.2
Dickens	261	6.4	1 056	6.9	107	14.6	385	13.7	142	12.7	647	14.6
Dimmit	155	8.0	653	8.7	33	21.8	101	13.6	82	15.6	386	11.6
Donley	311	6.7	1 582	6.8	135	12.3	406	11.4	165	13.0	1 617	5.5
Duval	669	4.4	1 443	10.9	183	12.8	408	17.6	185	15.8	709	14.5
Eastland	921	3.8	1 878	10.9	253	13.1	570	10.9	406	10.4	2 346	11.9
Ector	139	6.2	298	9.5	24	22.3	31	30.1	57	13.0	315	6.8
Edwards	235	5.3	744	6.7	27	22.7	102	7.3	126	11.5	740	10.9
Ellis	1 318	3.1	3 386	8.1	418	8.7	1 304	7.8	483	8.5	2 594	9.5
El Paso	312	6.6	2 996	2.2	130	14.8	1 398	3.4	132	12.4	3 429	3.6
Erath	1 454	2.9	6 667	2.2	609	7.6	3 446	3.0	787	6.5	11 488	1.8
Falls	816	3.6	2 223	5.1	396	8.4	1 480	7.4	400	8.2	2 965	6.8
Fannin	1 274	3.2	2 759	8.2	436	10.2	1 337	13.5	480	9.2	1 928	10.3
Fayette	1 983	2.8	3 722	7.4	1 051	5.7	1 628	8.7	526	9.0	2 264	8.8
Fisher	429	6.8	2 146	7.9	196	13.7	795	9.6	270	11.1	2 482	14.8
Floyd	376	4.8	4 514	5.1	161	10.6	(D)	(D)	241	8.8	4 129	4.5
Foard	154	10.1	752	10.2	66	13.8	652	10.4	97	13.1	649	5.8
Fort Bend	1 077	3.0	4 751	5.7	335	9.8	1 284	5.9	445	8.0	2 512	7.8
Franklin	375	5.3	1 510	9.8	138	15.6	409	12.8	215	11.3	2 091	10.4
Freestone	931	3.7	1 413	8.7	275	13.8	591	13.2	427	10.0	1 908	10.4
Frio	344	5.8	2 659	4.4	165	13.2	1 261	10.8	205	9.8	2 688	7.6
Gaines	495	3.9	7 062	2.3	261	7.5	4 127	5.5	460	4.3	8 015	1.7
Galveston	396	6.7	844	14.9	125	19.0	168	18.7	126	12.9	772	11.2
Garza	186	6.4	983	6.6	69	16.4	176	10.4	127	9.3	764	8.0
Gillespie	1 114	3.5	1 891	6.5	393	9.3	645	12.9	331	10.7	1 256	13.9
Glasscock	172	6.0	1 488	4.5	70	15.6	719	1.4	123	11.4	1 114	5.6
Goliad	639	4.3	848	8.3	230	13.3	317	22.0	229	13.8	940	20.9
Gonzales	1 289	3.1	4 642	4.1	582	8.1	1 355	8.3	512	8.9	5 787	8.1
Gray	260	7.1	1 910	7.5	91	19.1	1 176	2.6	138	12.6	2 634	5.5
Grayson	1 500	3.5	2 943	5.7	485	8.9	1 130	11.9	639	8.2	2 169	9.9
Gregg	265	5.7	434	24.0	79	16.8	199	42.8	83	17.0	188	23.4
Grimes	1 128	3.1	2 448	10.9	244	14.0	371	20.5	395	10.4	1 451	15.7
Guadalupe	1 363	3.4	2 398	6.9	471	8.8	879	16.2	396	10.9	1 394	14.6
Hale	646	3.9	8 820	3.8	357	7.0	4 754	13.0	409	6.5	6 533	6.7
Hall	210	5.3	1 492	10.0	119	12.1	808	11.9	185	7.4	2 038	7.9
Hamilton	766	3.9	1 946	5.3	301	10.3	705	10.6	333	9.8	2 739	5.8
Hansford	231	4.6	5 775	1.3	136	9.7	3 549	5.7	186	7.5	5 620	1.0
Hardeman	230	7.1	1 005	6.1	128	14.4	1 402	3.1	137	13.8	721	7.0
Hardin	257	5.5	514	10.1	44	21.2	75	40.3	69	18.4	176	28.5
Harris	1 291	3.8	2 928	9.4	318	13.1	1 987	52.9	346	12.9	1 890	14.7
Harrison	894	4.2	1 149	10.7	219	14.8	358	20.5	221	15.0	554	23.1
Hartley	209	4.2	4 701	1.9	101	6.2	4 131	2.7	180	5.3	4 840	1.4
Haskell	457	5.7	2 604	6.4	257	10.4	1 357	10.4	278	9.6	1 964	9.1
Hays	532	6.3	878	9.6	120	19.1	248	21.0	136	18.6	889	30.7
Hemphill	177	6.1	2 237	2.8	44	13.7	282	3.5	73	11.5	1 568	3.4
Henderson	1 331	3.0	2 616	10.1	374	10.8	650	13.1	413	10.0	1 675	12.8
Hidalgo	902	4.4	10 610	1.5	410	7.4	5 463	3.2	577	6.0	9 201	1.7
Hill	1 229	3.0	3 989	3.7	492	8.3	1 750	6.1	654	6.7	2 575	8.1
Hockley	445	5.8	5 311	8.4	220	11.4	(D)	(D)	324	7.4	3 483	9.4
Hood	625	4.5	1 342	8.0	215	13.1	534	10.8	257	11.1	1 808	7.1
Hopkins	1 385	2.9	4 436	3.4	408	9.4	1 276	11.9	858	5.3	6 310	4.1
Houston	1 162	2.8	2 515	10.7	354	11.1	664	15.3	379	10.5	1 650	13.4
Howard	282	8.9	1 447	8.8	131	16.8	410	8.6	159	15.1	1 292	10.6
Hudspeth	133	2.9	1 280	3.5	59	11.1	468	5.5	84	4.7	1 861	3.1
Hunt	1 576	2.9	2 402	6.9	438	9.6	690	10.4	626	7.8	2 140	13.6
Hutchinson	141	5.0	1 825	3.4	41	9.5	589	3.3	67	6.9	2 065	2.0
Irion	123	4.4	396	12.0	15	21.5	44	2.2	45	13.2	467	2.9
Jack	558	4.7	919	8.9	201	14.9	457	19.9	265	11.3	1 333	14.2
Jackson	680	3.7	4 045	5.1	182	12.4	1 343	5.5	382	7.8	3 711	5.6
Jasper	547	4.1	903	15.5	106	22.1	91	26.1	111	21.3	213	39.8
Jeff Davis	71	2.3	528	.9	10	4.3	51	.7	37	2.8	784	.6
Jefferson	398	6.9	1 963	8.0	154	13.4	1 189	35.0	190	12.9	1 238	7.1
Jim Hogg	155	4.5	436	5.2	28	22.0	132	6.0	52	13.9	208	7.3
Jim Wells	531	5.9	1 760	3.9	156	15.2	981	7.1	172	13.6	1 183	8.4
Johnson	1 594	3.1	2 848	4.6	601	8.2	1 246	8.5	609	8.2	2 907	6.8
Jones	569	5.0	1 890	10.9	333	9.6	1 272	12.0	326	8.7	2 382	10.4
Karnes	776	4.1	1 595	8.2	380	9.5	540	11.1	220	14.0	639	16.3
Kaufman	1 476	3.0	2 304	5.4	420	10.8	821	11.5	489	9.6	2 063	12.0
Kendall	569	5.4	1 111	18.4	178	16.9	205	23.6	181	16.8	582	28.8
Kenedy	27	3.9	462	1.2	11	3.8	160	.8	13	5.6	105	3.0
Kent	117	5.5	380	9.5	49	12.3	257	20.9	85	10.3	564	3.2
Kerr	626	4.0	1 274	12.0	182	15.3	206	32.3	187	14.4	484	19.6
Kimble	387	5.9	817	11.1	40	33.0	70	22.0	148	15.9	470	17.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
King	39	3.9	188	1.8	13	5.5	89	1.4	23	5.3	158	3.6
Kinney	102	3.6	394	6.3	34	15.7	85	11.6	50	10.1	477	6.7
Kleberg	186	7.3	2 691	2.0	53	24.2	(D)	(D)	67	17.5	416	18.9
Knox	226	6.6	1 881	2.8	157	7.0	1 507	5.6	176	9.4	2 081	4.1
Lamar	1 206	3.7	2 662	6.1	398	10.5	1 058	11.2	646	8.0	2 697	10.7
Lamb	566	3.6	7 307	5.5	348	8.2	4 500	9.8	424	6.4	6 870	4.2
Lampasas	514	5.9	1 141	14.0	192	13.6	328	16.8	230	12.0	1 206	15.0
La Salle	202	7.5	1 040	7.1	76	19.8	283	7.3	98	16.1	1 236	9.8
Lavaca	1 924	2.8	2 963	6.4	966	6.1	1 254	8.4	561	8.9	1 741	10.3
Lee	1 280	3.0	2 075	8.2	559	8.1	1 024	10.3	378	10.4	1 252	12.4
Leon	1 252	3.5	2 179	6.9	496	8.9	951	10.8	516	8.8	2 007	11.7
Liberty	850	4.3	2 374	6.4	237	13.8	1 280	7.0	277	12.8	1 059	11.0
Limestone	949	3.6	1 769	6.7	397	9.7	783	7.6	422	9.5	1 649	10.3
Lipscomb	209	7.3	1 725	5.3	63	18.7	384	6.4	112	12.1	1 607	7.5
Live Oak	588	4.2	1 543	10.0	234	12.3	745	19.1	271	11.1	1 228	19.9
Llano	398	6.6	846	25.9	138	16.8	217	23.4	198	12.6	952	17.7
Loving	12	—	52	—	4	—	5	—	6	—	109	—
Lubbock	735	3.2	7 185	4.6	386	6.5	(D)	(D)	516	5.7	5 450	3.9
Lynn	385	4.0	4 432	8.8	195	11.3	2 679	16.4	287	6.2	3 216	5.9
McCulloch	399	6.3	1 380	9.0	145	16.8	665	11.1	208	11.8	1 116	9.2
McLennan	1 402	3.5	4 481	4.2	527	8.8	1 825	7.2	460	9.2	4 076	8.0
McMullen	173	7.3	555	8.9	67	21.4	195	7.1	72	18.2	609	9.1
Madison	612	5.0	2 876	6.1	178	16.3	1 416	4.0	191	15.8	567	16.0
Marion	168	3.9	256	14.9	29	19.0	29	21.1	38	17.6	119	22.9
Martin	214	6.5	2 677	6.9	116	11.8	832	12.3	159	9.8	1 471	9.0
Mason	371	6.7	921	10.8	116	19.9	352	17.5	206	13.0	1 641	16.4
Matagorda	644	3.7	4 114	4.5	201	12.4	2 076	8.5	335	8.7	2 803	4.4
Maverick	131	4.7	735	5.3	34	16.7	209	13.3	46	14.1	1 034	3.6
Medina	1 152	3.6	3 017	5.8	513	8.5	1 496	9.3	537	8.4	2 738	7.2
Menard	238	4.7	771	10.5	96	19.8	105	14.1	112	16.4	792	18.4
Midland	302	5.3	975	5.3	71	18.3	353	3.2	103	15.8	905	7.9
Milam	1 223	3.3	3 585	6.8	546	8.1	1 908	11.3	549	8.2	2 954	7.7
Mills	577	4.5	1 132	13.7	276	12.5	523	13.2	268	12.9	1 715	16.5
Mitchell	248	7.7	1 022	13.8	103	20.0	334	21.1	138	13.0	1 158	14.9
Montague	1 001	3.9	1 806	9.7	334	12.4	594	15.3	595	8.0	1 945	9.3
Montgomery	880	4.1	1 544	10.2	232	15.4	286	15.7	214	15.5	566	20.6
Moore	190	5.1	4 705	2.2	96	6.5	3 074	5.1	145	5.8	4 266	.9
Morris	270	6.6	488	11.2	74	22.3	152	26.3	148	14.0	728	21.9
Motley	160	3.5	918	4.4	62	10.3	344	8.9	100	8.1	811	4.9
Nacogdoches	955	3.8	3 004	4.9	367	10.5	692	9.6	382	9.8	2 923	7.3
Navarro	1 164	3.4	2 524	7.2	351	11.3	1 009	15.9	621	7.6	1 884	7.4
Newton	218	6.8	272	12.4	32	30.7	36	21.8	51	26.0	138	30.6
Nolan	318	6.3	1 752	7.2	131	14.6	475	14.0	151	13.0	1 316	8.2
Nueces	480	4.8	3 992	3.0	232	9.6	3 905	3.6	224	10.2	2 666	8.1
Ochiltree	295	7.1	3 178	5.6	159	11.0	2 265	11.3	175	9.3	3 390	4.4
Oldham	101	5.3	1 697	3.1	65	7.1	655	4.8	56	10.8	2 815	1.8
Orange	257	6.5	406	8.4	18	42.5	44	17.4	40	28.0	114	22.8
Palo Pinto	619	4.6	1 178	15.3	244	10.8	457	11.6	237	13.6	990	14.8
Panola	690	4.4	1 308	10.5	169	15.6	370	12.7	227	13.2	1 194	10.4
Parker	1 676	3.2	2 720	5.6	674	7.8	1 093	14.3	586	8.6	2 320	11.0
Parmer	493	3.6	8 961	3.0	365	6.2	6 920	3.2	428	4.8	8 751	2.6
Pecos	227	4.2	2 146	2.9	48	12.5	706	.3	98	8.9	2 220	2.9
Polk	412	6.5	1 010	17.6	93	23.4	178	38.5	97	23.1	290	25.1
Potter	152	5.3	803	4.6	27	16.9	143	3.6	79	10.6	788	6.4
Presidio	101	4.4	741	1.7	32	16.2	93	9.6	66	7.7	827	2.0
Rains	367	5.5	818	7.5	87	20.9	125	24.3	183	11.7	710	11.0
Randall	394	4.7	2 816	4.3	208	9.6	1 293	9.9	224	8.4	3 676	4.3
Reagan	99	5.7	998	5.4	34	12.6	306	13.4	76	8.1	685	9.2
Real	157	8.8	388	7.8	47	25.0	103	33.6	56	25.6	311	39.6
Red River	894	3.7	2 404	7.2	294	13.1	857	15.1	422	9.4	2 432	9.4
Reeves	119	4.0	1 336	1.8	41	8.9	627	1.3	68	7.6	1 120	1.4
Refugio	197	6.6	1 682	4.0	91	17.1	1 683	4.5	89	17.1	1 548	4.1
Roberts	71	5.1	540	3.2	36	9.9	284	5.5	50	6.0	796	2.9
Robertson	999	3.7	2 361	6.1	374	9.8	1 235	8.4	416	9.4	1 968	8.6
Rockwall	206	4.2	557	7.4	63	12.1	176	6.1	72	12.3	325	15.7
Runnels	681	4.4	2 311	9.3	436	8.5	1 006	12.3	425	8.7	2 285	11.6
Rusk	1 082	3.3	1 637	7.2	231	13.6	418	21.4	325	12.0	1 033	13.3
Sabine	144	6.0	257	12.5	26	22.7	25	22.0	14	27.5	140	5.0
San Augustine	251	5.2	453	8.6	85	16.8	150	19.4	64	17.2	513	4.6
San Jacinto	326	5.5	421	13.0	103	19.5	231	35.8	119	19.2	376	26.3
San Patricio	419	4.2	3 899	3.4	190	9.9	3 329	2.9	222	8.7	2 667	4.1
San Saba	478	5.3	1 463	17.3	146	14.1	466	15.9	281	11.2	1 344	9.6
Schleicher	196	7.4	627	7.2	59	17.0	245	17.4	100	14.8	643	8.6
Scurry	408	6.9	1 724	7.5	99	20.0	417	11.1	222	13.2	1 286	12.1
Shackelford	201	6.1	626	7.7	113	12.1	275	11.6	96	12.4	819	6.2
Shelby	885	3.1	3 628	4.8	263	9.9	681	14.3	433	6.8	4 135	5.2
Sherman	213	7.3	6 829	3.4	134	11.3	2 724	6.2	199	7.0	5 665	3.8
Smith	1 372	3.5	2 497	6.2	342	11.4	568	10.8	422	9.5	2 329	10.1
Somervell	161	8.0	189	17.7	98	14.4	119	19.6	77	19.4	314	28.0
Starr	436	6.2	1 643	4.0	98	20.1	1 045	4.3	185	14.1	3 274	4.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Stephens	295	6.8	622	10.2	102	18.9	265	17.5	173	13.4	723	13.4
Sterling	52	3.6	528	1.0	15	4.2	70	.3	30	4.8	522	1.4
Stonewall	194	10.6	621	9.4	112	18.6	620	32.9	86	18.0	996	16.7
Sutton	168	5.5	799	7.8	26	11.8	80	2.5	89	10.1	586	9.4
Swisher	389	5.5	4 888	5.3	230	9.6	3 356	6.4	244	8.3	4 058	2.7
Tarrant	777	4.1	1 525	8.1	218	14.7	364	19.3	254	13.4	1 067	16.3
Taylor	745	4.6	1 784	7.2	337	10.4	822	15.1	359	10.4	1 913	8.8
Terrell	66	2.1	329	.8	11	—	14	—	37	2.9	371	1.1
Terry	373	5.0	4 787	4.3	204	9.3	2 306	9.2	370	5.6	4 859	3.5
Throckmorton	211	5.2	1 349	5.3	126	9.4	905	9.3	107	11.3	1 130	12.4
Titus	511	5.6	1 266	9.8	185	14.9	503	7.4	162	16.2	1 425	11.5
Tom Green	640	4.6	2 816	4.3	279	9.1	1 873	4.4	290	8.7	2 726	4.7
Travis	746	5.2	1 434	10.7	212	16.9	473	15.2	277	12.8	879	14.8
Trinity	447	4.5	898	11.8	199	14.5	372	22.0	140	20.0	627	26.7
Tyler	366	5.2	680	29.6	26	44.3	38	35.9	108	19.9	332	27.5
Upshur	903	3.3	1 600	10.8	245	11.9	651	27.5	369	9.3	1 841	9.9
Upton	77	4.5	560	2.4	22	12.3	109	5.0	40	8.0	335	8.0
Uvalde	451	6.2	1 981	4.1	190	12.7	1 576	4.0	189	13.4	3 042	8.1
Val Verde	195	6.1	827	8.5	66	17.5	240	6.2	106	11.7	1 250	3.2
Van Zandt	1 844	2.8	3 972	4.5	526	8.8	1 364	14.2	706	7.4	3 537	9.2
Victoria	820	4.2	2 293	5.8	254	11.9	945	21.4	268	11.8	1 840	13.1
Walker	658	4.4	1 386	7.4	190	18.1	261	21.0	186	16.0	878	15.1
Waller	832	4.1	2 627	8.8	238	12.8	1 512	3.5	282	12.3	1 670	8.4
Ward	62	3.8	186	3.7	10	8.6	46	8.7	35	4.8	111	3.7
Washington	1 627	2.6	2 530	9.6	749	7.1	994	13.9	331	12.2	1 351	25.6
Webb	322	6.2	1 773	15.9	60	20.7	349	2.3	129	15.2	1 102	4.7
Wharton	1 058	3.7	8 256	3.4	441	8.4	(D)	(D)	499	7.5	5 267	7.6
Wheeler	365	4.7	1 316	7.9	119	15.5	321	11.8	193	11.0	1 352	8.6
Wichita	444	5.2	1 114	6.8	205	13.0	869	9.4	215	13.7	1 430	16.0
Wilbarger	360	5.6	2 694	8.6	219	9.0	2 157	9.8	253	9.7	1 993	15.7
Willacy	191	6.0	2 748	2.5	143	7.6	4 071	2.6	164	8.7	2 191	3.3
Williamson	1 597	3.1	3 950	8.1	681	7.5	2 301	8.2	607	8.1	2 583	8.4
Wilson	1 361	2.9	4 109	7.9	600	7.5	1 598	12.6	501	9.0	2 630	6.7
Winkler	32	—	135	—	6	—	(D)	(D)	12	—	107	—
Wise	1 604	2.8	3 227	19.4	610	8.0	1 036	12.4	661	7.9	2 404	9.3
Wood	1 090	3.1	2 643	5.1	377	11.0	783	10.4	426	9.3	2 477	8.4
Yoakum	201	7.0	2 340	4.4	122	10.6	2 225	5.8	134	8.7	2 258	4.4
Young	543	4.9	1 313	6.9	226	12.8	737	11.4	290	10.9	1 395	9.8
Zapata	214	9.1	390	9.4	39	35.7	94	33.5	61	20.2	193	24.1
Zavala	207	4.6	1 416	3.1	95	13.3	468	6.4	98	11.6	1 201	5.5
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	41 337	1.1	306 269	.8	177 274	.6	250 269	.7	162 029	.6	936 575	.4
Anderson	268	13.7	550	7.1	1 439	1.9	1 147	7.0	1 327	2.9	2 868	5.9
Andrews	21	9.9	395	2.0	133	2.6	190	5.4	111	5.4	906	2.2
Angelina	78	25.4	105	21.1	744	2.4	905	11.0	632	4.7	656	8.4
Aransas	9	12.4	9	21.4	47	5.1	170	1.9	49	4.9	50	5.1
Archer	162	14.4	906	6.0	412	5.6	966	4.6	425	5.0	3 404	2.3
Armstrong	59	17.0	1 032	13.5	212	2.9	426	9.6	207	3.5	1 392	6.2
Atascosa	317	10.7	1 982	7.6	1 180	2.5	1 324	7.6	998	3.8	4 302	4.7
Austin	339	10.2	958	11.1	1 660	1.8	1 640	7.1	1 510	2.6	2 567	7.0
Bailey	96	19.0	1 032	21.0	380	4.6	1 149	8.6	362	5.5	6 012	3.3
Bandera	44	33.1	106	38.1	630	1.8	1 197	12.7	567	3.8	792	12.4
Bastrop	271	13.2	947	17.3	1 708	1.2	1 689	5.3	1 452	2.8	2 378	4.9
Baylor	110	12.7	2 310	3.2	241	4.4	625	7.2	261	2.8	2 120	3.6
Bee	145	17.8	911	17.3	617	3.2	602	6.7	551	4.4	2 585	5.1
Bell	275	11.9	1 841	8.0	1 643	1.6	1 564	11.2	1 408	2.7	3 874	3.3
Bexar	252	13.3	1 461	7.4	1 800	1.8	2 462	16.8	1 600	2.9	10 757	1.3
Blanco	120	18.3	296	20.4	579	2.3	720	8.8	498	4.3	914	7.1
Borden	24	14.7	639	16.8	94	3.9	413	12.3	99	3.8	1 262	6.7
Bosque	146	17.7	575	12.6	994	2.2	1 439	7.5	910	3.1	3 342	3.0
Bowie	199	15.3	657	28.9	1 066	2.1	838	9.8	938	3.5	2 382	4.4
Brazoria	432	9.4	2 771	8.5	1 529	2.4	1 670	7.1	1 347	3.5	4 842	2.9
Brazos	188	13.5	837	16.0	986	2.5	1 485	8.6	899	3.5	3 344	8.4
Brewster	43	11.7	394	1.3	109	4.6	365	2.5	122	3.0	1 165	.8
Briscoe	62	16.1	839	6.9	203	4.5	470	7.8	199	4.6	1 750	5.9
Brooks	37	20.6	589	7.6	247	4.2	534	4.0	212	6.1	1 025	2.1
Brown	307	11.4	1 077	12.1	1 114	2.3	1 002	7.6	1 040	3.2	2 968	6.2
Burleson	265	13.1	815	15.5	1 247	2.0	1 487	12.1	1 159	2.7	2 255	8.0
Burnet	145	17.5	597	23.4	1 017	2.4	950	9.5	911	3.4	1 090	7.4
Caldwell	167	15.4	1 261	5.5	997	2.3	936	9.1	867	3.9	2 064	5.1
Calhoun	130	8.7	967	5.2	201	4.9	319	4.4	226	3.0	1 201	3.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Callahan	234	13.2	1 261	21.8	785	2.7	701	21.0	666	4.7	1 560	9.2
Cameron	230	9.4	3 343	3.5	808	2.1	1 806	7.1	751	4.1	8 069	2.4
Camp	116	14.7	391	7.8	382	3.3	532	7.6	348	4.7	9 816	.3
Carson	72	13.6	838	9.8	301	4.0	979	16.7	305	4.0	3 063	5.8
Cass	146	18.2	143	22.9	809	2.2	752	8.4	699	4.2	1 225	6.7
Castro	171	10.4	2 904	7.2	410	4.3	1 776	2.9	474	2.1	36 440	.6
Chambers	107	15.9	714	12.3	372	4.4	633	8.8	357	5.0	1 955	11.2
Cherokee	223	14.7	376	9.7	1 323	2.2	1 409	7.9	1 184	3.3	10 245	1.3
Childress	61	14.5	566	4.8	254	3.6	349	5.0	223	4.5	1 739	6.5
Clay	248	11.6	1 591	11.0	739	2.9	1 191	5.6	665	4.0	3 071	4.9
Cochran	64	19.5	1 657	17.6	246	3.7	718	8.9	224	4.7	4 804	3.5
Coke	104	13.8	561	11.0	298	3.6	603	15.6	284	4.4	1 038	10.8
Coleman	253	11.6	938	11.7	768	2.7	855	7.6	708	2.7	1 650	7.1
Collin	328	9.4	1 514	3.4	1 284	2.0	1 778	11.7	1 128	3.1	3 098	3.0
Collingsworth	130	15.3	1 119	14.5	470	3.9	782	8.7	480	2.9	2 855	4.7
Colorado	332	10.5	2 194	12.7	1 483	1.5	1 651	13.5	1 363	2.4	6 017	5.8
Comal	114	19.8	177	39.9	611	2.7	660	8.9	528	4.6	595	7.8
Comanche	334	10.6	1 776	9.2	1 332	2.1	1 697	4.2	1 287	2.1	9 505	2.8
Concho	75	19.6	818	13.5	367	2.1	856	6.6	299	5.4	1 831	5.6
Cooke	344	10.4	1 416	9.8	1 324	2.3	1 445	6.5	1 290	2.5	3 429	6.4
Coryell	169	15.4	767	10.6	977	2.5	1 091	5.3	899	3.4	2 184	5.5
Cottle	68	11.2	604	6.2	183	3.9	420	7.6	183	3.0	1 436	8.7
Crane	15	2.5	115	.4	46	3.1	51	2.8	45	2.8	191	1.6
Crockett	66	9.9	829	.6	129	3.1	781	1.7	157	3.0	1 473	2.1
Crosby	87	15.0	2 062	10.6	331	4.3	1 199	4.2	331	6.3	8 215	5.1
Culberson	29	3.6	302	.5	80	2.8	717	.4	85	2.8	656	2.0
Dallam	116	8.6	6 120	2.3	366	2.8	2 412	2.6	374	2.7	23 793	.6
Dallas	134	18.8	337	20.2	663	3.1	1 115	11.3	603	5.5	1 765	5.7
Dawson	99	13.5	2 956	10.4	486	3.4	1 516	16.6	481	4.0	8 597	3.6
Deaf Smith	183	11.1	3 193	8.7	569	3.1	2 250	4.5	587	2.3	22 800	1.2
Delta	113	15.7	340	10.0	379	3.7	418	11.0	339	5.5	848	4.5
Denton	344	10.7	1 787	5.8	1 636	1.9	2 396	6.6	1 495	2.6	3 637	8.0
De Witt	308	10.7	1 092	14.8	1 372	2.0	1 227	5.5	1 222	3.0	2 134	6.9
Dickens	103	15.6	449	20.6	338	3.3	681	11.1	281	5.3	1 140	8.7
Dimmit	45	19.7	163	13.0	196	4.0	837	5.2	180	6.0	784	7.3
Donley	100	17.4	855	10.2	328	4.4	689	8.3	320	5.4	7 186	1.7
Duval	155	15.9	1 077	12.9	837	2.0	1 063	14.7	667	4.3	1 336	6.2
Eastland	227	14.6	983	13.8	1 104	1.5	934	7.3	949	3.4	1 815	8.4
Ector	24	19.9	76	14.6	186	3.1	253	8.8	163	4.5	608	3.3
Edwards	57	16.2	561	1.2	238	4.6	606	6.7	257	3.6	1 182	5.1
Ellis	330	9.9	1 691	8.1	1 593	1.6	1 750	6.4	1 443	2.4	3 176	3.6
El Paso	101	14.9	1 880	13.5	380	3.3	1 210	4.9	350	5.0	8 248	3.2
Erath	347	10.6	1 950	6.8	1 642	1.8	2 733	3.1	1 565	2.2	17 679	.8
Falls	296	9.0	2 830	5.0	984	1.6	1 188	9.2	919	2.2	2 883	3.5
Fannin	497	8.8	1 408	11.7	1 481	1.9	1 333	6.5	1 272	2.8	2 853	9.3
Fayette	457	9.8	1 241	19.9	2 508	1.3	2 277	7.0	2 176	2.1	6 215	8.9
Fisher	232	11.3	1 557	13.1	547	3.6	893	8.4	474	4.6	3 389	4.6
Floyd	151	13.6	2 887	13.5	443	4.4	1 049	5.6	413	3.1	11 335	4.6
Foard	61	15.0	775	13.8	198	5.7	267	8.6	190	4.3	821	8.4
Fort Bend	338	9.9	1 830	7.1	1 124	2.6	1 758	5.9	1 074	2.9	8 832	3.8
Franklin	150	15.1	483	13.6	453	4.4	595	7.2	433	3.9	2 329	4.5
Freestone	369	10.6	959	11.3	1 107	2.3	969	8.8	1 022	3.2	1 737	9.9
Frio	115	14.7	2 796	6.9	415	4.2	1 026	6.2	411	4.1	4 318	4.5
Gaines	148	6.8	4 827	7.0	615	2.4	2 120	3.7	603	3.0	19 418	1.2
Galveston	98	23.2	306	12.3	432	5.3	495	17.7	393	6.3	510	8.2
Garza	77	15.1	901	8.0	224	4.6	472	5.9	224	5.2	1 362	6.6
Gillespie	234	12.8	787	14.6	1 393	1.5	1 294	6.3	1 188	2.7	2 455	5.5
Glasscock	75	16.4	986	9.8	173	6.0	379	8.8	192	3.0	2 038	4.1
Goliad	130	17.2	444	36.6	739	2.3	671	12.8	671	3.6	1 237	7.4
Gonzales	357	10.6	2 023	14.9	1 471	2.1	2 455	3.4	1 479	2.0	12 751	2.3
Gray	129	14.5	1 022	5.8	313	3.7	602	11.8	292	4.8	4 928	1.6
Grayson	403	9.8	1 603	10.1	1 959	1.5	2 152	5.9	1 699	2.7	3 196	3.9
Gregg	85	16.5	58	34.2	338	3.0	398	13.3	295	5.1	362	19.2
Grimes	208	14.9	553	15.5	1 345	1.6	1 140	7.5	1 239	2.4	2 400	5.1
Guadalupe	248	12.9	1 042	21.6	1 730	1.4	1 361	7.5	1 503	2.7	2 517	6.6
Hale	171	12.1	3 454	15.4	671	4.3	1 944	5.4	727	2.9	11 885	3.5
Hall	69	17.1	692	16.0	259	5.0	464	7.1	255	3.0	2 086	9.0
Hamilton	245	11.8	920	19.5	846	3.0	1 113	5.5	804	3.4	3 680	3.0
Hansford	110	10.7	7 405	1.9	240	4.6	1 027	2.4	247	2.2	9 896	.8
Hardeman	98	17.4	532	30.3	310	3.3	308	14.2	291	4.3	875	7.7
Hardin	31	27.0	185	2.7	346	1.8	378	13.8	291	4.7	331	15.1
Harris	373	11.7	886	11.1	1 431	3.3	1 582	10.8	1 475	2.8	3 462	5.7
Harrison	187	15.5	277	23.9	1 045	2.1	856	9.7	949	3.3	1 144	6.8
Hartley	67	11.3	3 144	4.1	202	4.2	1 165	4.8	231	2.8	21 126	.4
Haskell	185	12.3	1 720	8.8	517	3.7	768	8.9	486	4.4	3 088	6.7
Hays	67	27.7	256	4.5	766	2.3	1 015	10.1	602	5.2	1 314	5.0
Hemphill	65	14.8	596	12.7	199	5.2	547	8.3	195	4.9	2 950	4.1
Henderson	339	10.9	521	12.0	1 568	1.4	1 726	7.1	1 365	2.9	2 459	6.7
Hidalgo	303	8.7	7 505	2.1	1 208	2.1	4 825	4.3	1 194	2.1	18 465	1.1
Hill	319	10.0	1 580	18.3	1 461	1.7	1 196	5.0	1 243	2.9	4 360	3.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Hockley	67	19.5	1 564	11.2	558	4.2	1 195	6.6	549	3.7	7 264	6.7
Hood	131	15.5	499	18.4	766	1.8	914	11.1	644	3.9	1 365	4.5
Hopkins	506	7.4	1 548	11.7	1 611	1.8	2 072	3.7	1 529	2.2	9 409	1.3
Houston	321	9.9	1 150	12.1	1 299	1.5	1 367	11.8	1 109	3.1	3 142	11.8
Howard	108	19.2	1 144	24.8	376	4.6	683	16.1	348	5.4	2 568	5.4
Hudspeth	44	9.9	728	2.8	137	1.3	794	5.5	144	1.3	2 680	1.9
Hunt	491	9.2	905	12.7	1 890	1.7	1 490	6.7	1 642	2.5	2 097	6.3
Hutchinson	40	10.7	1 805	10.0	171	2.2	315	5.0	176	3.0	3 077	1.6
Irion	25	15.7	682	8.6	133	3.1	261	3.7	131	4.0	475	3.1
Jack	177	16.8	819	12.0	657	2.9	732	9.9	602	4.1	1 302	8.5
Jackson	304	7.4	3 105	8.7	694	3.2	1 032	8.7	687	2.7	4 332	4.9
Jasper	35	39.6	45	22.1	632	1.3	367	11.0	531	4.4	475	10.9
Jeff Davis	18	3.7	477	.1	67	2.6	283	.8	78	2.4	849	.2
Jefferson	204	12.2	1 311	19.2	469	5.5	1 025	14.8	447	4.7	2 979	6.2
Jim Hogg	26	17.5	137	3.4	167	3.3	655	4.3	140	5.8	628	3.3
Jim Wells	146	13.9	2 121	11.2	636	3.6	797	7.9	592	4.6	3 220	2.2
Johnson	408	10.0	1 152	8.6	1 924	1.7	1 943	5.8	1 739	2.5	4 288	3.1
Jones	184	13.1	1 532	15.4	791	2.7	1 087	10.1	720	3.5	3 371	8.4
Karnes	229	12.9	1 201	15.1	957	2.4	878	7.4	868	3.4	1 599	8.0
Kaufman	321	12.5	780	14.6	1 755	1.7	2 269	7.4	1 525	2.9	3 318	5.3
Kendall	96	23.1	199	43.8	635	3.8	514	12.1	579	4.9	645	14.2
Kenedy	12	5.0	2 637	(L)	28	4.1	249	.8	29	3.9	1 038	.4
Kent	37	15.4	216	17.6	153	3.9	355	4.7	139	5.4	568	3.4
Kerr	85	19.3	211	14.8	714	2.5	1 057	10.0	633	4.1	842	9.4
Kimble	63	26.2	429	13.0	460	2.7	608	12.6	403	5.1	877	6.7
King	18	4.6	188	4.7	35	4.1	399	.7	39	4.0	372	2.3
Kinney	30	16.4	282	15.5	94	6.6	320	5.9	115	4.5	593	6.1
Kleberg	65	20.4	242	6.8	264	2.2	1 377	2.8	223	5.5	2 433	5.2
Knox	128	12.7	3 030	4.2	262	4.8	871	7.3	263	4.1	2 317	7.1
Lamar	437	9.3	1 401	11.9	1 403	2.2	1 402	6.0	1 300	2.8	2 877	4.4
Lamb	205	12.0	4 781	7.5	738	3.5	1 633	4.9	688	3.2	13 893	3.6
Lampasas	102	15.7	547	14.6	716	1.5	566	7.4	607	3.7	1 227	8.7
La Salle	28	19.7	404	16.2	253	4.1	640	8.0	228	6.6	1 364	8.3
Lavaca	431	9.7	1 073	15.4	2 375	1.5	1 661	5.7	2 046	2.5	3 868	5.2
Lee	352	10.7	577	17.8	1 576	1.6	1 142	5.7	1 438	2.3	1 873	7.8
Leon	278	13.4	715	18.7	1 521	1.9	1 178	6.4	1 354	2.6	2 717	6.9
Liberty	237	13.7	1 353	12.0	999	2.9	1 330	11.9	895	4.1	2 234	7.4
Limestone	344	10.3	1 207	16.7	1 164	1.7	1 005	9.7	995	3.2	2 003	4.6
Lipscomb	85	16.9	1 067	4.2	251	5.6	504	10.2	267	4.3	3 054	1.7
Live Oak	186	12.5	797	21.0	655	2.7	902	9.5	632	3.7	960	8.1
Llano	107	19.5	522	19.0	510	2.7	580	10.8	479	3.5	1 097	13.9
Loving	5	—	61	—	11	—	14	—	14	—	37	—
Lubbock	163	12.4	3 523	16.4	918	2.6	1 822	3.8	936	2.4	12 359	2.7
Lynn	92	18.5	1 990	13.7	416	4.2	1 131	6.9	443	3.7	6 974	2.7
McCulloch	185	13.7	1 342	15.9	488	3.7	750	7.5	500	2.8	1 860	9.8
McLennan	435	9.0	3 564	8.3	1 855	1.7	1 913	5.7	1 645	2.6	6 672	5.0
McMullen	37	29.9	289	33.9	187	5.5	436	13.3	172	7.3	555	13.1
Madison	139	18.9	231	14.2	761	2.2	981	10.1	675	3.8	7 509	1.2
Marion	39	14.9	39	23.8	195	2.4	221	9.5	147	4.9	183	12.0
Martin	69	16.9	1 485	20.2	317	3.4	718	9.3	275	4.5	3 983	4.6
Mason	111	19.9	732	14.3	551	1.4	644	9.3	486	3.8	1 643	6.1
Matagorda	281	9.8	3 384	7.3	666	2.7	1 046	6.8	671	2.8	6 159	3.5
Maverick	29	16.1	631	3.7	154	3.1	565	4.1	141	4.4	1 603	.8
Medina	311	9.6	2 329	9.6	1 436	1.9	1 495	6.3	1 376	2.5	5 346	3.2
Menard	52	25.6	398	4.1	276	2.6	570	8.9	253	4.7	2 250	3.6
Midland	72	18.9	556	6.1	361	4.1	690	13.0	360	4.2	1 977	6.5
Milam	396	9.9	2 773	8.0	1 521	1.9	1 516	5.1	1 390	2.4	4 711	3.8
Mills	145	19.1	572	20.5	679	2.5	745	8.7	620	4.0	1 824	7.4
Mitchell	71	24.2	1 369	36.9	331	4.6	507	10.9	265	5.8	1 999	15.8
Montague	247	11.0	960	12.0	1 170	1.8	1 412	8.4	1 069	3.4	2 340	12.3
Montgomery	116	22.3	135	24.2	1 096	2.1	1 499	16.0	952	3.7	1 984	5.7
Moore	80	9.6	2 445	1.1	216	3.6	782	2.9	225	2.7	7 710	.9
Morris	93	20.2	142	27.2	367	1.4	275	8.5	293	5.9	679	5.8
Motley	69	11.0	925	3.5	202	2.4	349	8.0	187	3.4	1 821	3.6
Nacogdoches	218	14.3	968	5.4	1 139	1.9	1 292	9.0	996	3.3	7 134	2.2
Navarro	308	12.1	1 114	12.1	1 334	2.4	1 340	7.9	1 286	2.8	2 762	6.1
Newton	15	50.6	4	54.6	284	2.5	186	13.6	236	6.0	168	16.0
Nolan	76	21.5	622	7.5	437	1.6	829	5.8	392	3.8	2 410	6.4
Nueces	168	13.6	3 998	7.7	495	3.7	1 096	5.3	480	4.3	5 128	2.3
Ochiltree	113	16.2	1 407	7.1	328	3.8	1 151	11.1	336	4.7	6 786	2.7
Oldham	26	13.0	1 057	2.6	110	5.0	485	2.9	121	5.2	2 804	2.0
Orange	37	30.6	31	15.7	314	2.9	203	14.6	246	7.0	326	9.7
Palo Pinto	161	17.0	656	16.9	780	2.1	870	11.0	675	3.9	1 358	7.1
Panola	126	18.7	211	8.6	829	1.9	820	11.8	715	3.9	1 769	4.5
Parker	428	10.1	1 320	16.7	2 166	1.5	2 182	10.2	1 835	2.7	5 608	10.6
Parmer	138	10.3	3 132	3.9	511	3.0	1 675	3.5	548	2.1	27 717	1.0
Pecos	87	10.1	1 161	2.6	240	4.2	547	4.7	238	4.4	3 328	3.1
Polk	55	30.6	40	38.7	516	3.1	674	12.6	454	5.3	519	13.2
Potter	44	12.9	1 031	3.9	183	3.6	212	5.1	175	3.9	1 449	1.2
Presidio	42	10.6	368	2.9	122	2.8	416	1.2	116	3.4	1 334	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Rains	112	15.6	228	6.0	473	2.1	441	8.0	397	4.2	1 298	6.2
Randall	108	14.3	551	9.4	507	3.3	957	7.2	477	3.5	6 612	2.3
Reagan	37	14.5	365	15.2	91	6.5	288	6.3	113	3.4	1 177	3.7
Real	24	33.2	153	12.3	183	5.3	255	11.9	168	5.2	672	27.6
Red River	234	16.0	1 510	11.1	1 067	1.4	1 155	6.0	928	3.2	2 960	5.1
Reeves	60	10.3	387	3.6	149	3.8	397	3.4	149	3.3	2 512	1.4
Refugio	90	18.3	1 719	1.3	210	4.3	712	2.6	214	4.4	2 856	2.7
Roberts	25	11.1	338	5.6	79	4.4	448	1.4	88	3.1	981	1.2
Robertson	335	10.8	1 584	9.3	1 112	2.9	763	5.3	1 115	2.9	2 527	6.0
Rockwall	53	13.8	149	5.3	244	2.8	411	8.5	188	4.7	298	9.8
Runnels	246	10.6	1 304	17.8	836	2.3	1 027	7.2	804	2.7	2 327	8.3
Rusk	339	10.8	483	14.4	1 173	2.4	750	7.0	1 082	3.1	2 313	4.6
Sabine	28	20.7	35	33.3	185	2.4	95	9.6	165	4.3	316	3.4
San Augustine	37	28.4	354	2.8	277	2.9	285	14.3	239	5.7	614	4.6
San Jacinto	46	27.5	129	78.9	389	1.9	413	12.5	307	6.7	506	9.9
San Patricio	142	10.8	4 148	5.1	410	3.3	916	4.7	425	3.9	7 659	3.7
San Saba	171	16.0	1 303	21.4	596	2.0	910	4.9	562	3.2	1 594	4.7
Schleicher	124	12.9	1 227	8.2	218	5.9	447	6.0	270	2.6	1 136	5.4
Scurry	118	17.1	792	15.2	553	3.1	921	10.7	511	4.6	2 342	5.3
Shackelford	100	13.0	740	11.7	214	5.0	376	4.8	195	6.8	1 188	6.6
Shelby	203	13.6	638	19.7	992	1.7	1 382	5.3	938	2.5	6 578	1.8
Sherman	72	17.0	1 865	11.3	251	5.0	1 161	5.4	275	3.4	7 646	8.7
Smith	303	12.3	493	9.5	1 727	1.7	1 450	6.5	1 482	3.0	3 461	5.5
Somervell	42	25.6	40	40.5	233	3.0	145	10.3	167	7.7	163	15.8
Starr	82	21.8	1 179	2.0	578	2.1	1 357	14.9	500	4.7	2 381	5.2
Stephens	78	21.9	486	11.3	416	3.2	487	10.9	401	4.3	731	12.5
Sterling	21	5.9	232	1.9	56	3.5	242	3.2	55	3.7	593	.6
Stonewall	103	16.2	759	13.6	273	4.8	532	8.9	235	7.5	964	7.2
Sutton	56	12.7	567	8.0	180	4.7	730	5.1	196	3.8	963	4.7
Swisher	164	13.4	7 247	3.1	476	3.5	1 490	5.8	461	3.5	15 754	1.4
Tarrant	170	15.5	557	8.9	943	2.8	1 293	10.6	848	3.3	1 992	9.9
Taylor	281	10.3	1 282	11.0	988	1.6	901	8.9	821	3.9	2 032	3.2
Terrell	27	2.5	321	.1	71	2.2	250	.3	78	2.0	556	.2
Terry	134	12.0	3 830	3.4	499	2.8	1 725	5.7	458	3.9	8 026	4.8
Throckmorton	102	13.4	1 329	7.0	220	4.8	472	6.5	223	4.4	1 779	6.7
Titus	188	15.3	274	21.8	669	2.5	716	6.9	625	3.9	1 643	5.6
Tom Green	169	10.0	2 418	7.9	743	3.3	1 510	8.4	758	3.1	8 262	2.8
Travis	208	16.4	588	19.5	863	3.7	1 086	11.3	889	3.3	1 467	9.9
Trinity	116	19.4	278	42.3	499	1.9	430	12.3	398	6.0	820	12.8
Tyler	66	26.0	74	34.5	406	4.1	345	12.1	324	7.3	369	13.5
Upshur	222	12.9	565	18.7	1 037	2.2	1 026	5.5	931	3.1	2 462	5.2
Upton	28	9.8	443	1.6	78	4.5	191	3.3	81	3.5	839	1.9
Uvalde	120	16.6	2 560	3.7	530	3.7	1 159	4.7	513	4.4	5 269	2.2
Val Verde	61	15.8	1 036	2.5	222	.9	717	3.6	224	3.3	1 628	2.2
Van Zandt	553	8.3	1 281	7.9	2 246	1.5	2 309	6.1	1 949	2.4	5 624	6.1
Victoria	214	13.7	1 528	3.2	983	2.5	822	7.3	951	2.6	2 823	5.0
Walker	132	19.4	341	18.2	789	2.1	832	13.6	679	3.9	1 362	5.0
Waller	268	11.1	1 334	7.2	994	2.0	1 653	9.0	900	3.3	4 354	4.8
Ward	16	6.7	75	5.3	73	3.8	86	2.7	69	3.8	200	3.6
Washington	398	11.0	629	16.6	1 863	1.6	2 171	8.0	1 625	2.6	2 681	7.0
Webb	45	26.0	635	6.4	408	3.1	1 923	13.4	329	5.4	2 793	13.8
Wharton	495	8.4	5 454	6.3	1 067	3.6	2 229	6.4	1 206	2.4	14 004	2.1
Wheeler	135	14.6	809	9.3	435	4.2	915	14.5	395	4.7	1 624	5.9
Wichita	117	19.2	1 116	5.8	528	2.8	810	7.6	480	4.9	1 586	4.3
Wilbarger	114	17.4	701	8.9	424	3.5	1 021	6.7	422	3.5	2 656	6.1
Willacy	81	11.2	2 162	1.9	219	1.0	787	5.4	228	3.3	4 272	1.5
Williamson	401	10.4	2 431	19.7	1 849	1.9	1 692	6.0	1 725	2.5	3 441	4.0
Wilson	423	9.4	1 933	9.3	1 676	1.6	1 603	5.8	1 479	2.6	3 989	5.0
Winkler	11	—	82	—	33	—	120	—	33	—	177	—
Wise	351	11.5	1 048	12.1	1 967	1.4	1 799	6.4	1 702	2.5	3 445	8.1
Wood	264	12.2	782	12.3	1 284	1.4	1 424	6.4	1 154	2.7	4 424	6.4
Yoakum	72	18.9	836	13.4	228	6.5	741	6.7	219	7.1	3 669	2.9
Young	184	14.4	1 247	15.7	674	1.9	836	18.8	592	3.4	1 849	5.2
Zapata	42	30.9	241	14.9	273	4.6	671	25.9	272	5.9	597	6.5
Zavala	82	14.1	1 132	2.8	172	6.7	542	4.6	202	5.3	2 505	1.5
	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	194 288	.6	1 988 349	.6	149 104	.6	37 662 040	.5	108 169	.6	19 607 847	.3
Anderson	1 542	.7	-1 557	49.4	1 274	.8	138 317	1.3	993	.9	47 101	1.2
Andrews	142	1.6	2 013	6.9	97	1.8	70 169	3.3	54	3.2	28 624	1.0
Angelina	790	1.0	-1 156	36.6	616	1.1	47 705	2.2	422	1.4	12 080	2.2
Aransas	54	4.7	-261	6.0	34	3.5	4 204	8.5	23	5.7	726	8.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Archer	496	.8	13 151	3.8	386	1.0	123 407	1.3	290	1.3	73 172	1.3
Armstrong	235	1.1	7 901	9.0	189	1.3	(D)	(D)	129	1.9	70 345	1.6
Atascosa	1 321	.7	6 525	18.3	1 025	.8	215 047	1.1	685	1.1	72 372	1.2
Austin	1 820	.6	1 029	87.4	1 443	.7	161 192	1.2	1 162	.8	57 621	1.2
Bailey	441	1.2	19 927	6.1	397	1.1	275 372	1.1	288	1.4	165 550	1.0
Bandera	650	.8	-1 349	56.7	401	1.2	47 935	2.8	216	2.0	7 068	3.1
Bastrop	1 764	.7	-2 140	39.5	1 278	.7	141 014	1.3	907	.9	41 107	1.5
Baylor	270	1.2	5 917	5.7	239	1.1	155 758	1.2	198	1.4	100 721	1.3
Bee	686	.8	6 741	9.6	446	1.2	128 440	1.5	292	1.6	73 557	1.2
Bell	1 742	.7	9 535	12.3	1 360	.8	214 364	1.0	1 050	.9	138 928	1.0
Bexar	1 963	.8	14 968	5.1	1 521	.8	177 217	1.6	1 090	1.0	75 041	1.4
Blanco	617	.8	1 398	52.3	406	1.1	56 394	2.6	261	1.6	8 865	2.5
Borden	107	1.7	4 449	4.8	78	1.7	70 235	1.2	48	2.7	27 160	2.0
Bosque	1 076	.7	-599	(H)	847	.7	138 134	1.3	608	1.0	51 711	1.2
Bowie	1 138	.8	4 130	14.8	903	.8	135 000	1.2	689	1.0	60 670	1.3
Brazoria	1 783	.8	4 444	24.0	1 077	1.0	203 341	1.2	690	1.3	75 952	1.0
Brazos	1 084	.7	5 371	11.5	722	1.0	104 318	1.5	501	1.2	40 411	1.6
Brewster	129	1.4	1 131	3.8	20	5.6	5 682	3.4	11	7.5	(D)	(D)
Briscoe	231	1.1	6 237	6.6	192	1.1	154 158	1.4	121	1.8	79 185	1.2
Brooks	283	1.2	-1 712	10.8	196	1.6	64 483	1.7	94	2.8	19 000	1.1
Brown	1 228	.7	3 698	28.0	897	.9	140 675	1.8	583	1.2	44 156	1.8
Burleson	1 337	.7	-2 265	43.9	1 032	.8	144 380	1.1	772	1.0	57 174	.9
Burnet	1 111	.6	-572	(H)	677	.9	94 874	1.9	404	1.4	16 085	2.3
Caldwell	1 068	.8	-763	84.8	725	.9	105 263	1.4	500	1.2	36 392	1.3
Calhoun	257	1.1	5 652	8.3	185	1.4	76 071	1.3	156	1.7	57 528	.9
Callahan	849	.8	2 864	34.1	708	.8	133 274	1.7	502	1.1	42 095	1.7
Cameron	901	.9	20 171	4.5	780	.9	229 655	.8	655	1.0	190 935	.8
Camp	427	.9	11 754	3.6	346	.9	34 018	1.6	254	1.4	11 431	1.8
Carson	349	.9	13 816	4.6	284	1.1	271 781	.9	217	1.3	171 917	.8
Cass	852	.8	4 316	12.8	705	.8	72 046	1.5	562	1.0	25 603	2.0
Castro	488	.8	137 523	.7	441	.8	409 173	.6	380	.9	291 272	.4
Chambers	421	1.1	1 908	22.5	335	1.3	118 316	2.0	230	1.8	32 609	2.1
Cherokee	1 429	.7	31 547	3.6	1 206	.7	140 367	1.5	1 003	.9	51 190	1.4
Childress	284	.9	5 650	8.8	248	.8	(D)	(D)	157	1.6	92 646	.9
Clay	819	.6	3 979	22.3	613	.8	160 469	1.2	440	1.1	68 473	1.4
Cochran	276	1.2	17 974	4.2	254	.9	283 247	1.1	166	1.5	181 629	.6
Coke	337	1.0	-3 355	11.5	227	1.4	55 097	2.2	114	2.5	12 723	2.9
Coleman	837	.8	538	(H)	677	.8	199 049	1.4	455	1.2	78 044	1.7
Collin	1 407	.8	2 942	26.3	1 095	.8	190 161	1.1	783	1.1	122 000	.8
Collingsworth	548	.9	8 726	11.1	443	.9	176 726	1.1	275	1.4	90 581	1.0
Colorado	1 562	.6	11 426	11.2	1 284	.6	221 888	1.2	1 046	.7	90 999	.9
Comal	657	.7	-1 355	33.4	389	1.2	41 951	2.6	257	1.6	13 185	3.7
Comanche	1 439	.7	10 320	11.8	1 220	.7	225 075	1.0	971	.9	97 509	1.1
Concho	381	1.0	3 323	20.5	304	1.2	129 083	1.8	200	1.8	70 484	1.8
Cooke	1 487	.8	3 725	24.0	1 154	.8	188 496	1.3	921	.9	93 816	1.2
Coryell	1 075	.8	2 042	42.8	806	.9	155 905	1.5	598	1.1	66 481	1.5
Cottle	225	1.4	2 998	5.9	198	.8	127 298	1.1	111	1.7	(D)	(D)
Crane	53	2.9	518	1.1	17	4.8	27 897	4.3	7	7.2	20	16.1
Crockett	170	1.0	1 310	11.6	14	7.5	(D)	(D)	4	16.0	(D)	(D)
Crosby	385	1.0	25 592	2.3	351	.9	368 351	.6	285	1.1	272 070	.5
Culberson	92	2.6	801	7.1	30	4.7	26 855	2.4	18	6.8	3 149	2.8
Dallam	414	.9	35 813	1.6	335	1.0	(D)	(D)	255	1.2	297 475	.3
Dallas	768	1.1	4 249	21.2	518	1.4	75 289	2.2	304	1.9	38 635	2.0
Dawson	582	1.0	28 157	4.4	551	.9	503 536	.7	414	1.0	324 684	.6
Deaf Smith	646	.7	80 288	1.0	545	.8	572 210	.6	401	.9	308 018	.4
Delta	419	.8	1 942	34.3	338	1.0	82 304	1.6	251	1.4	48 787	1.4
Denton	1 782	.8	3 236	32.7	1 321	.8	197 573	1.5	968	1.0	114 788	1.2
De Witt	1 502	.6	1 604	44.0	1 096	.7	150 072	1.3	829	.8	41 346	1.4
Dickens	366	1.0	3 247	18.3	303	1.0	140 335	1.3	134	2.0	44 608	1.6
Dimmit	217	1.0	-361	61.6	103	2.1	43 771	1.8	48	3.5	9 686	2.9
Donley	393	1.2	10 675	4.7	291	1.3	92 879	2.0	161	2.0	37 735	1.9
Duval	880	.8	-1 267	65.6	547	1.1	131 026	1.6	307	1.7	43 582	1.6
Eastland	1 136	.7	1 723	47.8	939	.8	172 090	1.4	701	1.0	52 803	1.5
Ector	208	1.4	-283	52.5	112	2.4	(D)	(D)	78	3.2	(D)	(D)
Edwards	283	.9	-283	79.7	69	3.2	17 061	7.9	27	5.2	2 784	5.0
Ellis	1 712	.6	7 781	12.7	1 327	.7	255 083	1.0	944	.9	148 012	.9
El Paso	415	1.1	19 939	1.9	350	1.0	47 315	.7	327	1.1	44 566	.6
Erath	1 786	.6	42 627	1.7	1 424	.6	217 799	1.2	1 094	.8	84 212	.9
Falls	1 027	.7	5 954	14.7	864	.7	222 717	.9	694	.9	124 157	1.1
Fannin	1 604	.7	2 580	43.2	1 306	.8	263 906	1.2	957	1.0	143 820	1.1
Fayette	2 659	.5	-5 704	19.0	2 166	.5	221 561	1.0	1 769	.6	76 097	1.1
Fisher	603	.8	5 294	18.1	527	.9	221 608	1.2	321	1.4	105 063	1.4
Floyd	516	.8	22 214	6.0	473	.8	408 715	.7	325	1.1	284 854	.6
Foard	238	1.3	2 125	29.4	206	1.2	127 521	1.0	113	2.1	63 546	1.1
Fort Bend	1 295	.8	22 952	3.7	944	.9	193 138	1.1	699	1.1	121 495	1.0
Franklin	510	.8	3 838	17.8	435	.8	55 350	1.7	349	1.1	22 605	2.5
Freestone	1 204	.7	-2 824	22.3	968	.8	133 394	1.6	707	1.1	35 469	1.4
Frio	485	.9	20 495	2.9	348	1.2	148 717	1.1	195	2.0	58 900	.9
Gaines	712	.9	52 322	1.5	671	.8	591 057	.7	477	.9	380 156	.4
Galveston	519	1.1	-366	54.4	326	1.6	30 285	3.4	193	2.3	9 272	4.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Garza	259	1.2	3 668	13.9	200	1.3	(D)	(D)	125	2.1	49 816	2.0
Gillespie	1 463	.6	911	72.9	1 031	.7	118 054	1.5	765	.9	40 367	1.6
Glasscock	200	.9	4 397	9.1	186	1.2	132 043	1.3	131	1.5	96 043	.9
Goliad	785	.7	329	(H)	504	.9	75 831	1.9	362	1.2	24 115	1.9
Gonzales	1 629	.6	29 562	3.2	1 188	.7	178 034	1.2	912	.8	54 368	1.2
Gray	342	1.0	8 279	4.6	247	1.4	(D)	(D)	161	1.9	95 851	1.1
Grayson	2 080	.7	260	(H)	1 629	.7	244 589	1.1	1 170	.9	134 852	1.1
Gregg	363	1.1	-625	60.5	273	1.3	25 541	3.5	184	2.0	6 457	3.5
Grimes	1 423	.7	63	(H)	1 069	.8	132 239	1.5	807	.9	39 542	1.4
Guadalupe	1 841	.5	1 186	67.0	1 463	.6	164 504	1.0	1 108	.7	82 748	1.1
Hale	839	1.1	73 664	1.9	794	.9	512 216	.8	608	1.0	372 956	.6
Hall	312	1.3	7 797	9.5	281	1.1	172 414	1.2	168	1.8	88 430	1.5
Hamilton	966	.6	13 512	6.7	739	.7	134 042	1.4	569	.9	52 371	1.6
Hansford	280	.8	35 655	1.2	232	.9	314 486	.6	188	1.1	212 399	.5
Hardeman	342	.9	3 735	11.5	304	.9	166 785	1.1	201	1.5	83 902	1.2
Hardin	354	1.2	-1 103	18.1	270	1.4	17 617	4.4	185	1.9	5 326	4.9
Harris	1 727	1.1	12 290	11.6	1 139	1.2	118 827	1.8	764	1.4	43 150	1.6
Harrison	1 107	.8	-1 826	38.3	878	.9	95 715	1.9	646	1.1	29 346	1.8
Hartley	246	.8	69 107	1.1	196	1.0	(D)	(D)	140	1.3	152 776	.5
Haskell	611	.9	9 006	12.7	558	.9	291 972	1.0	395	1.3	199 497	1.1
Hays	816	.7	-1 452	31.8	488	1.1	73 856	2.4	281	1.7	25 758	2.2
Hemphill	231	1.0	28 759	1.5	147	1.6	(D)	(D)	102	2.1	26 881	2.1
Henderson	1 631	.7	618	(H)	1 366	.8	155 335	1.5	1 052	.9	58 000	1.4
Hidalgo	1 372	.5	46 064	2.5	1 178	.5	438 908	.5	962	.7	344 665	.3
Hill	1 563	.7	1 136	77.1	1 256	.7	292 375	.9	988	.9	191 818	.8
Hockley	674	.9	24 175	7.3	621	.9	430 349	.8	429	1.1	294 552	.7
Hood	799	.9	1 601	30.5	616	.9	78 185	2.2	458	1.2	27 508	1.9
Hopkins	1 758	.7	26 441	3.6	1 528	.7	221 963	1.1	1 224	.8	84 770	.9
Houston	1 369	.8	604	(H)	1 080	.8	168 450	1.3	824	1.0	53 714	1.1
Howard	436	.9	8 782	7.3	344	1.1	201 989	1.5	238	1.6	108 740	1.3
Hudspeth	146	1.3	6 232	3.7	79	2.0	39 525	2.1	66	2.5	26 807	1.2
Hunt	2 049	.6	35	(H)	1 625	.7	215 175	1.0	1 147	.9	99 805	.9
Hutchinson	190	1.4	9 077	1.8	104	2.1	126 297	1.0	67	2.3	87 425	.9
Irion	146	1.3	594	29.6	70	2.8	(D)	(D)	49	3.9	4 471	8.4
Jack	730	.7	94	(H)	486	1.0	70 778	2.0	281	1.5	14 069	2.4
Jackson	789	.6	8 635	8.4	539	.9	240 002	1.0	413	1.1	150 495	.7
Jasper	639	.9	-1 562	27.3	514	1.0	26 116	1.9	409	1.2	9 186	2.3
Jeff Davis	83	2.3	877	2.0	14	6.5	(D)	(D)	7	11.3	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	562	.8	3 336	27.9	407	1.1	180 719	1.4	293	1.5	46 709	1.1
Jim Hogg	188	1.7	1 147	10.0	67	3.5	25 078	5.3	24	6.4	(D)	(D)
Jim Wells	738	.9	5 399	8.3	524	1.1	198 836	.9	368	1.4	138 293	.7
Johnson	2 061	.7	4 208	24.9	1 631	.7	175 035	1.2	1 144	.9	85 455	1.3
Jones	867	.8	5 971	15.3	783	.8	299 931	1.2	509	1.2	164 420	1.3
Karnes	1 051	.7	-1 584	47.8	852	.8	161 969	1.3	654	1.0	56 249	1.7
Kaufman	1 883	.8	-5 689	15.8	1 442	.8	181 244	1.3	942	1.0	75 801	1.3
Kendall	730	.7	-2 022	25.9	475	.9	49 167	2.3	317	1.3	12 881	3.6
Kenedy	31	3.7	-1 554	2.2	11	5.9	(D)	(D)	4	11.3	1 774	6.1
Kent	171	1.0	1 537	11.1	131	1.6	50 590	3.2	57	3.5	12 351	6.0
Kerr	779	.7	-2 009	20.3	419	1.2	50 938	2.7	252	1.8	9 949	3.3
Kimble	485	.8	-1 452	57.8	231	1.5	33 190	3.0	132	2.2	7 385	2.9
King	43	3.8	797	2.2	32	2.4	22 221	2.9	15	4.1	6 136	6.2
Kinney	128	1.8	-6	(H)	47	3.7	20 141	4.0	28	4.4	2 517	1.9
Kleberg	272	1.1	2 711	11.1	182	1.7	116 136	.7	105	2.6	90 731	.5
Knox	296	1.1	8 089	6.1	269	1.0	220 337	.9	204	1.5	135 696	1.0
Lamar	1 539	.7	2 739	34.7	1 219	.8	247 932	1.1	936	.9	123 345	1.0
Lamb	864	.9	43 439	4.1	806	.9	439 459	.8	543	1.0	293 937	.6
Lampasas	745	.7	-436	(H)	506	.9	71 444	1.8	358	1.3	24 962	1.9
La Salle	280	.9	-5	(H)	157	1.9	71 537	2.0	62	3.6	16 695	1.0
Lavaca	2 558	.6	-1 234	73.7	2 093	.6	220 459	1.3	1 728	.7	61 417	1.1
Lee	1 685	.6	-1 116	80.8	1 312	.6	130 131	1.3	1 052	.8	41 052	1.4
Leon	1 633	.7	-1 073	74.9	1 321	.7	182 633	1.3	1 004	.9	54 382	1.2
Liberty	1 138	.8	1 753	54.1	800	1.0	159 841	1.3	553	1.2	78 430	1.2
Limestone	1 212	.8	-692	77.7	929	.9	173 512	1.3	667	1.1	55 146	1.5
Lipscomb	302	1.1	6 550	8.6	230	1.4	145 887	1.5	143	2.0	68 003	1.6
Live Oak	731	.8	-2 934	17.8	509	1.1	128 466	1.8	328	1.5	47 078	2.1
Llano	566	.7	-755	65.3	300	1.3	44 438	3.1	202	1.8	6 805	2.2
Loving	14	-	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lubbock	1 067	.8	19 104	5.5	951	.8	456 391	.7	731	.9	333 727	.6
Lynn	489	.8	25 578	8.2	474	.6	420 866	.6	394	.9	300 615	.5
McCulloch	545	.9	1 303	52.5	417	.9	134 761	1.4	291	1.3	65 028	1.6
McLennan	2 006	.7	1 142	93.5	1 661	.8	299 286	.9	1 214	.9	178 252	.8
McMullen	209	1.1	-1 660	20.6	93	2.5	26 328	3.8	53	3.6	8 283	3.1
Madison	817	.7	3 608	16.4	633	.8	79 105	1.7	490	1.1	20 913	1.6
Marion	207	1.5	113	(H)	180	1.1	26 839	5.0	151	1.6	7 145	3.4
Martin	353	1.1	14 659	8.7	325	.8	271 844	.8	218	1.2	159 460	.8
Mason	565	.7	1 478	67.0	332	1.2	65 222	2.0	200	1.7	13 046	3.3
Matagorda	768	.8	10 755	6.1	586	1.0	240 290	1.1	429	1.3	126 253	.9
Maverick	169	1.4	2 498	9.2	133	1.4	29 251	1.9	100	2.2	10 957	2.2
Medina	1 570	.7	6 036	17.6	1 152	.8	225 616	1.1	863	.9	120 394	1.2
Menard	291	1.1	613	46.7	155	1.8	25 307	2.9	91	2.8	7 101	4.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Midland	410	.9	4 368	11.2	296	1.3	68 798	2.0	195	1.9	31 822	1.9
Milam	1 656	.6	4 564	24.5	1 342	.7	248 042	1.0	1 024	.8	133 998	1.1
Mills	730	.8	2 551	22.9	535	1.0	90 913	2.2	375	1.4	33 489	2.1
Mitchell	378	.8	6 262	9.5	321	1.0	162 399	1.4	176	1.8	76 392	1.4
Montague	1 234	.7	3 265	28.8	919	.8	162 744	1.4	647	1.1	45 378	1.5
Montgomery	1 163	1.0	-1 141	55.3	758	1.1	47 711	2.2	484	1.5	13 281	2.9
Moore	264	1.1	23 338	1.7	217	1.0	258 208	.7	156	1.3	177 071	.5
Morris	372	1.0	-291	92.9	330	.9	36 403	2.3	265	1.3	12 676	2.3
Motley	215	1.4	5 064	3.6	159	1.4	(D)	(D)	84	2.2	45 693	1.1
Nacogdoches	1 200	.7	10 850	6.8	941	.8	101 669	1.5	697	1.0	26 482	1.5
Navarro	1 512	.6	2 340	48.4	1 172	.7	236 567	1.2	799	1.0	97 192	1.2
Newton	294	1.3	-507	35.4	230	1.3	10 376	2.5	171	1.8	3 936	3.4
Nolan	445	1.0	8 231	7.6	366	1.0	152 715	1.4	192	1.8	76 185	1.3
Nueces	569	.9	16 783	5.1	434	1.1	350 756	.5	360	1.2	323 287	.5
Ochiltree	362	.8	12 660	6.9	306	1.0	348 425	.7	229	1.3	233 892	.6
Oldham	140	1.6	9 377	2.3	116	1.3	(D)	(D)	73	2.1	46 500	1.5
Orange	334	1.1	288	(H)	222	1.6	25 669	3.0	123	2.5	6 207	4.9
Palo Pinto	830	.8	3	(H)	615	.9	82 650	1.9	412	1.3	22 476	2.0
Panola	866	.8	2 095	20.5	676	.9	84 141	1.8	507	1.2	21 616	1.8
Parker	2 301	.6	945	(H)	1 884	.7	169 855	1.4	1 315	.8	52 260	1.3
Parmer	598	.7	76 497	1.4	552	.7	434 344	.6	463	.8	309 629	.5
Pecos	284	.8	13 097	3.1	109	2.2	(D)	(D)	59	3.5	29 264	1.7
Polk	551	.8	-1 986	20.2	421	1.1	42 208	2.7	308	1.5	11 675	2.5
Potter	214	1.5	1 725	13.6	113	2.3	(D)	(D)	52	3.6	24 288	2.8
Presidio	138	1.2	2 590	5.5	37	4.1	(D)	(D)	30	5.2	(D)	(D)
Rains	493	.8	3 900	9.4	419	.5	45 614	1.5	348	.7	17 631	1.1
Randall	583	.9	15 080	5.4	456	1.0	276 657	1.1	266	1.5	130 451	1.1
Reagan	123	1.3	2 488	10.9	71	2.1	57 124	2.1	56	2.7	44 239	1.7
Real	207	1.0	-1 975	20.1	93	2.4	9 541	5.7	55	3.5	2 118	5.0
Red River	1 088	.8	3 596	29.7	873	.8	172 852	1.3	640	1.1	68 273	1.4
Reeves	176	1.6	9 364	1.5	102	2.0	(D)	(D)	60	3.0	18 432	1.2
Refugio	230	.9	1 074	20.7	150	1.8	110 723	.9	120	2.2	79 944	.9
Roberts	96	1.7	2 295	6.1	57	1.5	50 713	1.0	38	1.3	23 958	1.1
Robertson	1 289	.6	650	(H)	1 003	.7	176 750	1.1	782	.9	72 041	.8
Rockwall	265	1.8	-882	26.7	187	1.7	31 566	2.5	112	2.8	18 978	1.6
Runnels	895	.7	4 384	21.8	765	.8	293 074	1.2	535	1.1	168 307	1.2
Rusk	1 296	.8	2 680	22.9	1 000	.9	131 072	1.6	709	1.1	30 662	1.8
Sabine	194	1.6	545	20.7	152	1.5	12 568	3.5	117	2.2	3 788	3.8
San Augustine	291	1.1	351	53.7	230	1.3	25 628	3.2	193	1.7	7 149	2.9
San Jacinto	398	1.0	312	(H)	326	1.0	28 355	1.8	240	1.5	11 344	1.3
San Patricio	496	.9	14 767	4.8	365	1.0	265 199	.5	314	1.1	244 824	.5
San Saba	653	.6	1 613	48.4	469	.9	139 395	1.7	363	1.2	37 220	1.7
Schleicher	284	1.0	1 109	31.0	149	2.0	44 656	4.4	90	2.9	20 435	3.8
Scurry	606	1.0	4 852	11.7	534	.9	209 982	1.4	317	1.4	82 398	1.6
Shackelford	250	1.1	1 201	16.7	190	1.3	53 617	2.3	129	2.0	26 742	3.2
Shelby	1 046	.8	10 261	8.8	791	.8	86 490	1.8	604	1.0	22 463	1.6
Sherman	293	1.0	53 951	1.1	240	1.0	354 991	.7	179	1.3	218 933	.5
Smith	1 844	.7	6 535	19.2	1 537	.7	127 336	1.5	1 174	.9	44 129	1.5
Somervell	244	1.0	-224	71.8	189	1.2	19 502	3.2	148	1.7	5 905	3.4
Starr	608	.8	10 075	8.6	327	1.5	126 566	1.5	157	2.3	63 611	.9
Stephens	454	.9	193	(H)	326	1.1	60 428	2.7	169	2.1	11 083	2.3
Sterling	67	3.5	1 084	1.8	35	3.8	13 952	4.4	17	6.5	2 941	5.2
Stonewall	305	1.0	2 352	34.2	268	1.1	109 292	1.6	149	2.1	40 679	2.1
Sutton	211	1.1	174	(H)	44	4.1	8 976	3.3	19	6.2	2 235	2.9
Swisher	528	.9	54 568	2.0	478	.9	354 599	.9	329	1.2	201 823	.7
Tarrant	1 048	.9	448	(H)	714	1.1	70 233	1.9	436	1.6	35 278	2.0
Taylor	1 048	.8	6 129	11.4	875	.9	207 244	1.2	545	1.2	102 024	1.3
Terrell	85	2.0	347	2.4	16	4.9	(D)	(D)	6	-	(D)	(D)
Terry	561	.8	26 596	3.0	516	.8	378 191	.6	381	1.0	277 241	.6
Throckmorton	249	1.0	2 657	15.5	204	1.2	114 561	1.6	171	1.5	73 098	1.4
Titus	722	.8	1 167	36.8	586	.9	73 017	1.9	471	1.2	21 928	2.5
Tom Green	881	.7	18 235	4.8	675	.9	217 069	1.1	481	1.2	164 966	1.1
Travis	1 038	.8	1 661	71.7	730	1.0	112 845	1.7	495	1.3	57 997	2.1
Trinity	518	.8	-2 912	27.4	432	1.0	49 188	2.0	345	1.3	14 082	2.0
Tyler	463	.9	-1 296	43.9	360	1.1	24 995	2.5	276	1.4	6 942	2.1
Upshur	1 110	.7	335	(H)	859	.8	71 983	1.4	642	1.0	25 088	1.9
Upton	96	1.9	1 503	8.4	54	2.2	(D)	(D)	36	3.4	17 875	2.9
Uvalde	593	.7	14 423	7.2	428	1.1	159 477	1.1	275	1.5	85 477	1.0
Val Verde	238	.9	-293	43.8	88	2.5	11 183	7.9	54	4.0	1 424	8.5
Van Zandt	2 424	.6	5 996	18.3	2 041	.6	200 913	1.1	1 561	.7	73 541	1.2
Victoria	1 084	.7	5 105	17.1	683	1.0	155 242	1.0	473	1.2	95 644	.8
Walker	826	.8	-2 016	25.5	636	1.0	60 192	2.1	463	1.3	17 569	1.7
Waller	1 066	.7	-322	(H)	792	.9	116 477	1.4	611	1.1	49 520	1.2
Ward	85	3.5	335	5.8	43	3.8	(D)	(D)	27	5.7	2 094	4.5
Washington	1 986	.6	-778	(H)	1 642	.6	162 428	1.0	1 306	.7	51 686	1.1
Webb	453	.9	-346	(H)	153	2.5	51 629	3.5	58	4.3	(D)	(D)
Wharton	1 347	.7	6 836	22.5	1 086	.8	443 136	.8	911	.9	288 679	.7
Wheeler	505	1.0	45 126	1.2	374	1.1	155 415	1.8	241	1.7	59 628	1.6
Wichita	560	.9	2 358	19.7	455	1.0	143 089	1.2	320	1.4	88 103	1.2
Wilbarger	477	.9	3 662	34.8	417	1.0	260 399	1.1	301	1.4	165 249	1.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Willacy	243	.9	11 752	5.9	219	.9	234 279	.4	180	1.2	210 535	.4
Williamson	2 035	.7	5 772	18.7	1 572	.8	295 891	.9	1 196	.9	196 545	1.0
Wilson	1 793	.6	1 494	65.9	1 479	.7	216 935	1.1	1 129	.8	91 457	1.2
Winkler	39	—	445	—	6	—	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)
Wise	2 075	.6	-1 542	69.5	1 686	.7	176 755	1.2	1 282	.8	59 784	1.2
Wood	1 331	.7	12 877	6.9	1 138	.7	106 642	1.3	903	.9	42 097	1.6
Yoakum	278	.9	16 177	3.8	257	.9	247 036	1.2	170	1.4	167 464	.6
Young	709	.8	1 340	43.1	543	.9	154 770	1.1	353	1.3	66 905	1.5
Zapata	323	1.0	389	89.1	104	3.0	32 605	3.9	24	6.5	2 322	4.2
Zavala	232	1.1	13 325	1.5	156	1.6	78 231	1.6	92	2.4	39 716	1.6
	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	18 756	.6	5 484 663	.3	144 354	.6	14 532 814	.3	124 980	.6	5 347 457	.5
Anderson	39	5.4	1 365	7.7	1 272	.8	88 623	1.0	1 133	.8	47 905	1.2
Andrews	36	4.7	4 646	5.6	66	2.9	17 179	.5	57	3.2	9 312	.6
Angelina	17	8.9	92	17.8	623	1.1	26 176	1.3	550	1.2	16 154	1.5
Aransas	3	19.1	7	30.8	41	2.8	1 934	4.6	38	3.3	1 190	4.4
Archer	13	7.3	481	4.0	423	.9	81 424	.7	311	1.2	22 731	1.4
Armstrong	34	4.8	8 162	5.2	145	1.8	37 575	1.1	99	2.5	8 008	1.9
Atascosa	169	2.4	29 422	1.8	1 124	.7	82 857	1.0	983	.8	36 969	1.1
Austin	64	4.2	4 954	5.0	1 597	.6	85 366	1.1	1 476	.7	52 033	1.1
Bailey	205	1.7	70 160	1.3	152	2.1	120 951	.4	87	3.0	(D)	(D)
Bandera	40	5.4	907	9.9	443	1.1	13 471	1.6	368	1.3	7 975	1.8
Bastrop	62	4.1	2 919	7.8	1 515	.6	90 457	1.0	1 370	.7	48 314	1.2
Baylor	26	6.2	2 222	7.6	183	1.6	65 748	.7	111	2.6	(D)	(D)
Bee	18	7.3	2 366	1.8	576	.9	50 453	1.4	524	1.0	26 274	1.8
Bell	55	5.0	1 062	8.1	1 242	.8	48 331	1.3	1 090	.9	25 313	1.6
Bexar	215	2.4	12 844	3.3	1 475	.9	58 699	1.0	1 299	.9	24 032	1.5
Blanco	34	5.4	230	7.4	490	.8	24 146	1.3	426	1.0	13 596	1.6
Borden	9	7.4	969	8.4	71	2.2	17 018	1.4	60	2.8	(D)	(D)
Bosque	33	5.0	2 853	1.6	904	.6	59 510	1.1	814	.7	29 977	1.3
Bowie	26	6.4	2 692	1.4	901	.8	68 146	1.2	779	.9	32 764	1.4
Brazoria	128	2.5	29 596	1.1	1 361	.9	79 052	1.2	1 178	1.0	50 452	1.3
Brazos	48	4.7	5 563	3.2	906	.8	66 770	1.1	820	.9	31 359	1.5
Brewster	12	8.1	(D)	(D)	114	1.1	39 805	.4	100	1.3	24 744	.4
Briscoe	64	2.6	28 120	1.7	116	2.0	18 374	1.8	96	2.4	(D)	(D)
Brooks	14	7.1	1 148	2.8	242	1.1	28 336	1.0	217	1.4	14 046	1.5
Brown	106	3.2	5 844	2.7	1 015	.8	63 666	1.2	900	.9	30 258	1.4
Burleson	50	3.9	14 856	.8	1 204	.7	76 846	1.3	1 108	.7	45 217	1.4
Burnet	65	3.9	1 401	9.1	893	.7	45 924	1.4	814	.8	25 418	1.3
Caldwell	37	5.0	899	7.6	912	.7	48 442	1.3	822	.8	25 785	1.2
Calhoun	24	5.5	3 032	4.1	169	1.5	18 421	1.8	163	1.6	(D)	(D)
Callahan	45	4.9	1 168	6.3	659	.9	59 047	1.3	562	1.0	(D)	(D)
Cameron	615	1.1	108 990	1.0	346	1.7	16 721	2.4	285	2.0	8 006	2.9
Camp	11	8.5	271	1.7	331	1.0	22 630	1.4	281	1.2	10 980	1.7
Carson	123	2.0	73 719	1.2	190	1.6	70 140	.6	94	2.6	(D)	(D)
Cass	21	7.0	306	12.4	659	.9	36 213	1.6	607	.9	(D)	(D)
Castro	329	.9	226 795	.4	258	1.3	447 642	.1	102	2.8	12 429	.9
Chambers	96	3.1	24 894	3.3	300	1.4	25 777	2.4	254	1.7	17 253	2.5
Cherokee	89	3.3	542	9.7	1 127	.8	82 595	1.0	973	.9	36 354	1.5
Childress	17	4.6	5 808	2.3	173	1.4	19 359	1.6	144	1.7	7 898	2.5
Clay	35	4.9	1 247	6.2	685	.7	92 090	.9	593	.8	38 734	1.1
Cochran	121	2.0	69 191	.7	52	3.6	16 555	1.0	38	4.6	2 878	4.1
Coke	10	9.8	495	17.9	267	1.1	18 841	1.7	232	1.3	9 917	1.8
Coleman	11	8.7	1 533	7.6	683	.8	62 658	1.1	588	.9	(D)	(D)
Collin	51	4.8	403	4.6	999	.9	41 218	1.2	820	1.1	17 105	1.7
Collingsworth	70	3.1	21 711	1.4	359	1.1	40 560	1.5	312	1.3	17 711	1.7
Colorado	176	2.1	46 438	1.5	1 336	.6	88 863	1.1	1 236	.6	50 968	1.2
Comal	22	7.3	133	17.3	486	1.0	13 584	2.0	430	1.1	7 624	2.1
Comanche	247	1.9	24 238	1.8	1 174	.7	118 205	.7	1 029	.8	42 743	1.1
Concho	22	5.6	3 974	2.1	239	1.6	28 591	1.2	216	1.7	(D)	(D)
Cooke	31	6.2	1 520	11.7	1 224	.7	94 883	1.0	1 064	.8	41 426	1.2
Coryell	18	7.5	698	12.2	900	.8	72 369	1.1	815	.9	36 104	1.2
Cottle	15	5.4	2 002	2.5	147	1.3	31 716	1.1	129	1.5	(D)	(D)
Crane	8	8.5	132	13.3	38	2.4	9 040	1.1	36	2.4	5 543	1.0
Crockett	2	24.3	(D)	(D)	131	1.2	25 734	.4	109	1.5	(D)	(D)
Crosby	206	1.4	161 572	.6	133	2.2	13 480	2.0	114	2.4	(D)	(D)
Culberson	16	7.2	2 945	2.7	73	1.8	20 746	.8	67	2.0	(D)	(D)
Dallam	218	1.3	245 550	.4	219	1.3	214 596	.2	78	2.8	8 550	2.7
Dallas	62	4.0	1 407	11.0	440	1.6	14 798	2.9	335	1.8	7 313	3.2
Dawson	171	1.8	64 756	.9	78	3.3	8 452	2.9	61	3.8	(D)	(D)
Deaf Smith	300	1.0	168 890	.5	381	1.0	521 903	.2	156	2.1	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Delta	2	17.4	(D)	(D)	305	1.1	19 456	2.0	266	1.3	10 182	2.6
Denton	74	4.0	773	3.6	1 232	.9	59 746	1.4	1 023	1.0	28 934	1.6
De Witt	24	6.2	539	10.7	1 398	.5	98 281	.9	1 302	.5	56 397	1.0
Dickens	36	4.4	7 567	14.6	241	1.3	27 444	1.4	214	1.5	(D)	(D)
Dimmit	31	4.3	6 312	1.6	183	1.0	28 717	.7	158	1.3	11 211	1.1
Donley	66	3.7	12 478	2.7	246	1.4	84 878	.5	202	1.7	(D)	(D)
Duval	24	6.3	4 253	3.0	778	.8	46 496	1.1	722	.9	27 178	1.2
Eastland	94	3.3	8 896	2.3	938	.8	65 242	1.0	846	.9	36 007	1.1
Ector	80	3.3	2 082	18.6	94	2.8	11 685	1.7	68	3.3	5 494	1.8
Edwards	10	8.3	2 298	24.5	189	1.4	17 533	1.0	163	1.5	10 571	1.1
Ellis	56	4.5	817	9.5	1 241	.7	56 096	1.2	1 073	.8	27 464	1.4
El Paso	326	1.1	41 447	.7	97	3.0	32 422	.5	48	4.4	1 359	3.2
Erath	154	1.9	11 282	1.7	1 503	.6	188 786	.4	1 143	.8	37 439	1.2
Falls	20	7.3	3 220	1.4	853	.7	94 519	.8	738	.9	28 852	1.2
Fannin	47	5.0	2 020	4.4	1 203	.8	70 501	1.5	1 039	.9	36 661	1.6
Fayette	67	3.9	1 145	9.5	2 433	.5	125 043	.9	2 284	.5	69 290	1.0
Fisher	41	4.7	2 417	5.0	382	1.2	35 495	1.5	324	1.4	(D)	(D)
Floyd	272	1.2	169 569	.7	152	2.1	57 959	.6	132	2.3	(D)	(D)
Foard	10	8.7	1 323	9.8	148	1.7	20 151	1.9	125	2.1	(D)	(D)
Fort Bend	103	3.1	17 039	2.3	891	1.0	62 279	1.5	801	1.0	40 553	1.5
Franklin	10	10.7	156	8.9	413	.9	39 984	1.5	333	1.1	16 932	1.9
Freestone	26	7.3	331	6.3	1 072	.8	88 179	1.1	957	.8	47 217	1.3
Frio	85	2.3	46 919	.7	400	1.0	72 220	.8	345	1.2	19 769	1.7
Gaines	405	1.0	233 513	.4	132	2.4	47 404	.7	106	2.8	5 763	2.2
Galveston	38	5.9	1 449	10.8	383	1.4	16 035	2.5	320	1.6	10 642	2.9
Garza	41	4.0	11 057	2.5	155	1.8	16 771	1.3	128	2.1	9 162	1.4
Gillespie	92	3.3	3 402	12.4	1 090	.7	50 152	1.1	1 004	.7	27 314	1.2
Glasscock	94	2.1	52 455	1.5	83	2.5	11 023	3.0	75	2.8	5 731	3.2
Goliad	20	7.0	330	9.5	726	.5	53 095	1.2	686	.6	31 292	1.3
Gonzales	60	4.1	3 246	4.6	1 476	.5	159 312	.8	1 359	.6	74 224	1.0
Gray	61	2.9	23 542	1.6	216	1.6	72 274	.6	170	2.0	(D)	(D)
Grayson	53	4.6	1 953	6.2	1 524	.8	64 082	1.3	1 304	.8	32 996	1.5
Gregg	14	9.6	448	16.1	271	1.3	9 852	2.6	230	1.6	6 013	3.3
Grimes	46	5.1	1 320	17.2	1 267	.7	84 325	1.2	1 148	.7	51 417	1.3
Guadalupe	85	3.2	1 217	5.1	1 445	.6	53 256	1.0	1 269	.7	26 700	1.3
Hale	564	1.1	310 315	.7	203	2.0	91 850	.3	146	2.4	(D)	(D)
Hall	41	4.7	8 886	3.8	149	2.1	20 502	2.1	126	2.5	(D)	(D)
Hamilton	18	6.4	979	4.9	813	.6	71 120	1.0	714	.8	27 732	1.3
Hansford	147	1.2	124 793	.5	155	1.4	252 205	.2	60	3.0	5 594	2.3
Hardeman	29	4.9	5 073	3.5	206	1.4	31 387	1.4	183	1.6	(D)	(D)
Hardin	33	5.7	625	25.0	252	1.5	7 593	3.3	199	1.9	4 820	4.1
Harris	170	2.6	10 454	2.6	1 204	1.2	50 114	1.6	983	1.3	28 590	1.8
Harrison	39	5.6	187	10.9	834	.9	46 355	1.7	742	1.0	25 646	1.8
Hartley	128	1.3	125 946	.5	110	1.8	209 306	.2	48	3.3	7 172	2.0
Haskell	99	3.1	27 558	2.0	337	1.4	35 887	1.4	277	1.7	11 090	2.0
Hays	51	4.5	546	11.8	575	.9	27 541	1.4	473	1.1	10 784	2.1
Hemphill	17	5.2	4 777	1.9	178	1.3	92 488	.5	129	1.8	17 141	1.4
Henderson	55	4.9	846	10.4	1 309	.8	90 115	1.3	1 150	.9	46 111	1.5
Hidalgo	844	.8	185 330	.4	517	1.1	34 322	1.5	448	1.2	15 038	2.2
Hill	23	6.7	1 075	7.5	1 220	.7	66 470	1.3	1 082	.8	32 264	1.4
Hockley	319	1.3	142 745	.9	141	2.4	21 404	.7	112	2.8	(D)	(D)
Hood	35	5.8	5 198	1.6	620	.9	33 248	1.6	538	1.1	17 146	1.8
Hopkins	55	3.4	3 944	2.8	1 487	.7	147 856	.8	1 120	.9	43 192	1.4
Houston	31	5.4	2 052	3.8	1 146	.7	105 335	1.0	1 048	.8	63 138	1.1
Howard	47	5.0	3 191	1.4	177	2.1	18 419	1.5	140	2.4	8 021	1.8
Hudspeth	69	2.3	30 682	1.9	81	2.0	32 811	.4	70	2.3	16 050	.4
Hunt	49	4.8	444	8.2	1 530	.7	60 032	1.2	1 334	.8	32 020	1.3
Hutchinson	45	2.5	48 428	1.1	142	1.4	42 786	.7	100	2.1	7 963	2.3
Irion	35	4.9	1 367	5.2	93	2.0	17 651	1.0	89	2.1	9 374	1.3
Jack	6	13.1	212	33.2	664	.6	58 492	1.0	590	.8	23 721	1.3
Jackson	83	2.6	21 716	1.9	627	.7	48 244	1.5	570	.8	(D)	(D)
Jasper	33	5.7	287	15.2	499	1.0	14 570	1.5	419	1.2	8 551	1.6
Jeff Davis	8	9.9	475	4.6	66	1.4	34 376	.6	56	1.6	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	109	2.3	31 895	1.3	403	1.1	44 996	1.4	360	1.2	31 008	1.4
Jim Hogg	6	12.0	(D)	(D)	174	1.1	32 730	1.1	162	1.3	19 101	1.1
Jim Wells	25	5.9	2 921	6.6	585	1.0	49 098	1.3	529	1.1	24 855	1.5
Johnson	54	4.5	882	5.9	1 565	.8	81 577	.9	1 261	.9	34 379	1.1
Jones	85	3.5	4 331	3.3	504	1.2	54 099	1.2	407	1.5	14 761	1.9
Karnes	21	6.8	2 838	3.4	943	.7	67 354	1.2	867	.8	38 536	1.3
Kaufman	44	5.5	1 261	17.1	1 543	.8	80 195	1.2	1 326	.9	39 554	1.3
Kendall	44	4.6	467	8.0	524	.8	17 836	1.7	460	1.0	9 938	1.8
Kenedy	2	—	(D)	(D)	30	.9	47 233	.4	28	1.8	24 369	.5
Kent	8	8.0	714	3.7	126	1.7	26 271	1.1	109	2.1	(D)	(D)
Kerr	63	4.1	1 952	7.9	537	1.0	21 057	1.5	451	1.1	12 395	1.5
Kimble	56	3.7	2 019	4.1	337	1.0	21 226	1.2	295	1.1	12 454	1.4
King	1	30.0	(D)	(D)	33	2.6	22 891	.6	30	2.8	11 330	.5
Kinney	19	6.4	3 092	4.6	84	2.0	13 517	1.3	79	2.2	8 364	1.6
Kleberg	3	22.1	(D)	(D)	194	1.4	73 052	.4	171	1.7	(D)	(D)
Knox	76	3.1	30 803	2.5	172	1.7	76 936	.6	111	2.5	(D)	(D)
Lamar	24	7.0	1 425	8.7	1 162	.8	83 782	1.3	1 014	.9	43 670	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Lamb	470	1.1	218 235	.7	192	2.0	116 240	.4	116	2.8	(D)	(D)
Lampasas	24	6.0	330	7.4	586	.8	39 762	1.3	522	.9	19 907	1.4
La Salle	17	6.4	3 643	4.0	233	1.1	34 207	.9	198	1.4	(D)	(D)
Lavaca	53	4.4	4 778	1.4	2 351	.6	118 562	1.0	2 194	.6	70 822	1.0
Lee	63	4.0	1 324	7.9	1 544	.6	91 165	1.0	1 430	.6	53 857	1.0
Leon	33	6.0	1 667	3.3	1 414	.7	102 972	1.1	1 276	.8	60 116	1.1
Liberty	54	3.6	14 092	1.6	894	.9	38 836	1.5	790	1.0	24 047	1.7
Limestone	11	11.8	123	18.7	1 065	.8	91 364	1.1	952	.8	46 188	1.3
Lipscomb	36	4.1	21 561	1.8	203	1.5	53 141	1.1	144	2.1	(D)	(D)
Live Oak	29	6.7	3 159	10.2	599	.9	45 674	1.7	546	1.0	26 920	1.7
Llano	36	5.0	665	12.9	485	.7	42 564	1.2	439	.8	22 124	1.4
Loving	—	—	—	—	13	—	3 397	—	12	—	2 077	—
Lubbock	598	1.1	212 168	.8	277	1.9	56 371	.6	203	2.3	18 351	1.0
Lynn	212	1.4	74 167	.9	94	2.6	9 474	2.8	81	2.8	4 780	1.6
McCulloch	23	6.3	1 703	8.4	410	1.0	41 015	1.2	366	1.1	(D)	(D)
McLennan	36	5.6	1 596	3.6	1 528	.8	93 735	1.0	1 307	.9	35 227	1.3
McMullen	2	17.2	(D)	(D)	194	.9	26 220	1.2	182	1.1	(D)	(D)
Madison	15	9.0	208	8.7	712	.7	55 180	1.3	651	.8	30 757	1.4
Marion	3	20.7	90	24.3	157	1.5	9 232	2.6	141	1.7	4 852	3.1
Martin	53	3.2	11 410	2.0	81	2.7	11 829	1.2	65	3.1	5 586	1.2
Mason	72	3.1	6 228	2.7	511	.6	49 698	1.0	457	.8	22 260	1.1
Matagorda	146	2.1	37 212	1.3	541	1.0	63 317	1.1	486	1.1	42 769	1.1
Maverick	111	1.9	24 942	1.1	98	2.3	31 994	1.0	86	2.5	11 492	1.3
Medina	346	1.6	44 330	1.5	1 231	.7	70 175	.9	1 098	.8	29 268	1.3
Menard	36	5.2	1 851	5.3	218	1.3	20 195	1.7	199	1.4	11 801	1.7
Midland	135	2.6	12 223	2.8	185	2.0	24 683	1.4	141	2.4	(D)	(D)
Milam	37	5.3	948	6.7	1 404	.6	100 326	1.0	1 275	.7	54 536	1.1
Mills	23	7.9	4 025	7.2	576	.9	47 248	1.5	524	1.1	22 130	1.9
Mitchell	31	5.5	1 428	4.0	187	1.8	26 960	1.2	160	2.1	9 742	1.6
Montague	36	5.9	642	17.3	1 045	.7	80 821	1.3	906	.8	36 995	1.5
Montgomery	80	4.0	474	4.1	808	1.1	28 489	1.7	671	1.2	16 260	2.0
Moore	134	1.3	120 437	.6	113	1.9	182 656	.1	57	3.4	7 913	1.0
Morris	1	48.7	(D)	(D)	308	1.0	19 796	2.0	274	1.2	10 736	2.3
Motley	23	4.5	6 488	2.9	147	1.5	28 832	1.1	131	1.7	15 890	.9
Nacogdoches	27	6.6	463	11.2	911	.8	59 460	1.3	809	.9	36 582	1.5
Navarro	23	6.9	346	16.9	1 267	.7	84 492	1.2	1 137	.8	44 718	1.3
Newton	10	11.5	63	26.2	239	1.2	6 416	2.2	196	1.6	3 725	2.7
Nolan	36	4.8	7 033	2.7	266	1.4	42 321	1.3	238	1.6	18 761	1.4
Nueces	19	7.8	1 238	2.9	281	1.7	14 504	2.7	231	2.0	8 623	2.9
Ochiltree	101	2.0	72 569	1.0	164	1.8	70 238	.6	111	2.4	(D)	(D)
Oldham	20	3.1	7 658	2.0	82	1.9	91 943	.3	45	2.7	(D)	(D)
Orange	15	7.6	1 511	4.6	255	1.3	10 020	3.9	212	1.6	6 405	3.7
Palo Pinto	20	8.6	378	13.9	705	.8	50 947	1.0	630	.9	24 825	1.2
Panola	20	7.7	1 577	8.5	704	.9	45 041	1.6	612	1.0	25 079	1.8
Parker	101	3.3	1 200	4.8	1 663	.7	89 370	.9	1 364	.8	38 789	1.1
Parmer	406	.9	216 451	.5	279	1.2	360 875	.2	103	2.8	7 883	3.0
Pecos	59	3.6	24 614	2.1	181	1.4	44 369	.6	169	1.5	(D)	(D)
Polk	15	9.0	377	30.0	432	1.1	22 056	2.5	375	1.3	13 759	2.7
Potter	20	5.9	8 113	3.3	125	2.0	31 331	.7	85	2.9	(D)	(D)
Presidio	29	5.4	4 639	1.9	105	1.6	35 909	.5	95	1.8	(D)	(D)
Rains	13	5.0	205	1.4	393	.6	24 618	1.2	342	.7	11 125	1.4
Randall	120	2.5	38 417	1.8	318	1.4	165 621	.4	199	2.0	(D)	(D)
Reagan	43	3.3	23 977	2.3	56	2.5	10 815	.8	45	2.8	5 925	.8
Real	19	7.3	297	15.0	145	1.4	7 459	1.8	120	1.8	4 246	1.9
Red River	11	10.0	2 836	.1	851	.9	74 714	1.2	760	.9	39 715	1.2
Reeves	64	2.9	18 396	2.5	95	2.1	44 390	.4	78	2.5	11 339	1.1
Refugio	4	18.2	(D)	(D)	177	1.3	38 600	1.2	161	1.6	24 375	1.3
Roberts	11	2.9	7 646	2.2	72	1.2	32 410	.9	59	1.5	(D)	(D)
Robertson	63	3.1	15 205	1.2	1 140	.6	98 589	.9	1 040	.7	58 159	1.0
Rockwall	5	18.1	27	21.0	191	1.7	6 477	3.6	160	2.1	3 850	4.3
Runnels	62	4.0	2 403	4.6	559	1.1	38 245	1.6	475	1.2	18 360	1.8
Rusk	18	8.7	93	11.0	1 095	.8	57 513	1.5	969	.9	33 732	1.6
Sabine	3	11.0	(D)	(D)	161	1.3	6 915	4.1	137	1.8	3 758	3.8
San Augustine	9	9.8	17	15.6	229	1.3	11 135	2.4	205	1.5	7 035	2.6
San Jacinto	18	7.8	104	9.2	305	1.1	18 350	1.7	267	1.3	10 666	2.0
San Patricio	23	6.7	2 781	5.8	253	1.5	30 157	1.3	210	1.8	(D)	(D)
San Saba	47	4.7	3 236	7.3	546	.8	64 695	1.1	496	.9	31 555	1.2
Schleicher	19	7.2	1 164	7.5	200	1.5	22 638	1.4	183	1.7	13 016	1.6
Scurry	37	4.8	1 404	2.1	326	1.5	27 736	1.7	263	1.7	(D)	(D)
Shackelford	9	10.2	1 000	3.4	214	1.1	37 447	1.0	193	1.3	17 415	1.2
Shelby	17	8.6	324	14.3	850	.8	46 895	1.4	779	.8	30 011	1.6
Sherman	151	1.4	162 457	.5	135	1.8	189 832	.2	49	3.8	(D)	(D)
Smith	91	3.4	1 069	5.2	1 318	.8	59 968	1.3	1 132	.9	32 285	1.6
Somervell	4	17.3	86	21.3	190	1.2	8 553	2.4	163	1.5	(D)	(D)
Starr	40	4.6	9 821	1.8	503	1.0	63 092	.8	465	1.1	24 966	1.1
Stephens	5	13.4	252	5.1	406	.8	34 889	1.1	376	.9	18 055	1.2
Sterling	6	13.4	241	19.6	51	2.4	16 410	.5	45	3.0	(D)	(D)
Stonewall	5	16.2	374	10.8	206	1.6	29 893	1.4	175	1.8	(D)	(D)
Sutton	9	10.5	378	13.3	158	1.4	22 193	1.1	140	1.6	(D)	(D)
Swisher	228	1.5	114 739	.8	261	1.5	222 669	.3	134	2.3	8 761	3.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
					Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Tarrant	85	3.9	673	10.4	641	1.2	26 806	2.3	489	1.5	11 801	2.7
Taylor	55	4.6	1 851	4.5	672	1.1	70 216	.8	537	1.2	16 070	1.7
Terrell	4	—	(D)	(D)	55	2.0	11 477	.5	42	2.3	5 913	.5
Terry	313	1.2	136 507	.7	90	3.0	6 354	5.3	79	3.3	(D)	(D)
Throckmorton	—	—	—	—	215	1.0	43 362	.8	201	1.2	(D)	(D)
Titus	9	10.0	97	14.5	598	.9	47 134	1.3	521	1.1	28 212	1.6
Tom Green	285	1.7	44 296	1.3	414	1.4	58 065	.6	320	1.6	14 260	1.3
Travis	87	3.7	3 337	14.0	706	1.1	29 470	1.7	630	1.2	(D)	(D)
Trinity	6	15.1	52	20.3	455	.9	26 016	2.0	413	1.1	16 623	2.1
Tyler	15	8.9	350	14.8	368	1.0	13 769	2.3	322	1.2	8 134	2.3
Upshur	18	6.9	531	7.6	881	.7	54 002	1.1	743	.9	25 167	1.3
Upton	35	3.8	11 831	3.2	57	2.4	9 365	1.0	40	3.1	(D)	(D)
Uvalde	132	2.2	52 933	1.1	374	1.2	67 064	.8	314	1.5	16 141	1.5
Val Verde	39	5.0	776	15.4	127	1.7	20 022	.9	100	2.1	6 718	1.4
Van Zandt	96	3.3	1 965	4.9	1 940	.6	108 429	1.1	1 684	.7	50 611	1.3
Victoria	44	5.0	3 520	3.2	924	.8	60 343	1.4	854	.8	38 263	1.4
Walker	25	7.0	325	7.8	690	.9	35 091	1.5	587	1.0	(D)	(D)
Waller	61	3.5	8 120	.9	845	.8	49 781	1.5	742	.9	28 655	1.7
Ward	32	5.0	1 588	6.7	46	3.5	5 484	1.5	40	3.9	(D)	(D)
Washington	47	4.8	839	11.8	1 725	.5	86 730	.9	1 589	.6	49 453	1.0
Webb	35	5.4	5 997	1.6	393	1.0	92 337	.6	362	1.2	50 507	.6
Wharton	293	1.6	91 209	.9	888	.9	69 904	1.3	803	1.0	40 641	1.5
Wheeler	18	6.4	5 949	3.1	383	1.1	91 709	.8	333	1.2	26 438	1.6
Wichita	129	2.9	5 746	4.6	377	1.2	42 481	1.0	294	1.5	16 569	1.5
Wilbarger	73	3.4	13 527	2.4	301	1.4	54 214	1.2	246	1.7	23 363	1.2
Willacy	67	2.9	17 844	1.1	82	2.7	10 354	2.0	72	3.0	5 348	2.7
Williamson	55	5.1	792	19.7	1 505	.8	66 124	1.2	1 341	.8	32 299	1.4
Wilson	147	2.6	19 087	1.5	1 570	.7	87 466	.9	1 409	.7	40 322	1.1
Winkler	6	—	(D)	(D)	34	—	10 733	—	28	—	6 585	—
Wise	59	4.3	795	7.4	1 680	.7	81 695	1.0	1 413	.7	36 600	1.2
Wood	49	4.1	3 100	1.6	1 054	.8	66 685	1.0	875	.9	28 028	1.5
Yoakum	127	1.8	82 146	.7	67	3.2	9 368	1.9	56	3.6	(D)	(D)
Young	10	11.7	247	10.7	602	.8	54 858	1.2	523	.9	22 897	1.5
Zapata	10	9.4	1 765	8.3	306	.9	27 699	2.1	281	1.0	16 246	1.9
Zavala	52	3.1	20 366	2.9	149	1.6	40 139	.9	121	2.0	10 311	1.3
Livestock and poultry—Con.												
Geographic area	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	4 113	.6	374 816	.1	5 428	.8	578 664	.4	6 959	.7	1 531 614	.4
Anderson	19	7.5	652	3.5	25	7.3	(D)	(D)	17	8.8	119	12.8
Andrews	—	—	—	—	6	13.2	118	22.6	17	7.4	295	10.1
Angelina	4	15.3	8	16.5	39	6.2	243	9.3	16	9.0	208	12.7
Aransas	—	—	—	—	6	14.4	27	19.6	—	—	—	—
Archer	74	1.6	11 266	.5	2	—	(D)	(D)	6	13.1	85	21.4
Armstrong	—	—	—	—	8	11.5	148	13.3	1	34.6	(D)	(D)
Atascosa	28	6.4	1 148	.4	49	4.8	1 605	8.0	33	6.3	354	7.4
Austin	26	6.4	92	8.1	41	5.2	455	11.8	28	6.6	269	9.6
Bailey	6	10.4	(D)	(D)	10	13.0	61	17.8	9	11.7	(D)	(D)
Bandera	5	15.8	11	19.4	26	6.5	354	8.7	106	3.1	5 822	4.7
Bastrop	41	5.2	102	6.6	60	4.3	869	9.7	41	5.2	1 031	4.6
Baylor	1	40.0	(D)	(D)	8	11.8	34	11.8	3	22.7	(D)	(D)
Bee	8	13.8	13	14.3	22	7.2	215	11.9	14	8.8	227	13.8
Bell	27	7.1	195	5.9	74	4.2	1 934	15.2	89	3.8	3 804	9.0
Bexar	33	6.2	929	3.3	113	3.3	3 400	9.6	90	3.8	2 088	6.0
Blanco	4	11.7	276	4.8	23	6.2	426	20.7	106	3.0	8 970	4.9
Borden	2	—	(D)	(D)	5	13.9	79	14.5	5	13.4	(D)	(D)
Bosque	20	6.2	999	.9	20	7.1	153	12.2	48	4.7	1 668	7.3
Bowie	25	5.9	3 190	1.0	33	6.1	331	12.1	11	10.1	110	13.0
Brazoria	31	6.0	56	7.8	78	4.1	3 236	3.0	38	6.2	554	8.7
Brazos	4	17.7	32	23.1	31	6.3	1 377	6.7	16	8.9	203	12.0
Brewster	—	—	—	—	3	20.9	57	20.8	7	8.1	3 286	.9
Briscoe	2	19.0	(D)	(D)	8	9.5	146	11.0	2	28.6	(D)	(D)
Brooks	7	9.6	489	4.8	3	24.1	15	23.2	5	13.5	47	18.4
Brown	26	6.0	4 654	.6	29	6.6	467	6.9	83	3.8	5 813	4.3
Burleson	17	8.4	169	2.6	26	6.9	1 250	16.3	9	10.9	482	14.6
Burnet	11	9.3	31	20.2	23	6.7	136	9.6	120	2.9	5 944	4.5
Caldwell	17	8.5	108	9.0	21	8.0	804	13.9	31	6.4	939	7.4
Calhoun	1	34.4	(D)	(D)	2	30.1	(D)	(D)	6	14.1	165	22.1
Callahan	15	8.3	(D)	(D)	21	8.0	223	12.8	28	6.6	673	8.2
Cameron	17	8.7	48	7.8	22	8.0	330	11.8	10	12.0	82	14.7
Camp	23	4.5	2 524	1.0	10	9.5	317	24.9	4	14.3	132	13.6
Carson	2	—	(D)	(D)	17	7.0	487	3.6	7	12.9	35	19.9
Cass	2	23.9	(D)	(D)	19	7.8	127	12.4	2	27.0	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Castro	6	10.6	830	1.7	8	11.9	(D)	(D)	6	13.0	(D)	(D)
Chambers	14	8.8	100	13.4	13	11.2	170	26.8	5	16.9	62	28.4
Cherokee	63	2.9	14 275	.2	22	7.8	123	12.3	4	19.5	34	21.4
Childress	3	19.1	8	20.2	3	18.4	(D)	(D)	1	34.1	(D)	(D)
Clay	26	3.8	3 288	1.4	14	9.3	58	12.0	5	13.4	111	18.1
Cochran	—	—	—	—	5	14.8	79	12.3	1	46.5	(D)	(D)
Coke	5	16.3	61	19.7	7	14.2	328	26.5	80	2.8	55 646	1.2
Coleman	5	12.5	(D)	(D)	19	7.9	237	8.9	99	3.1	38 664	2.8
Collin	29	6.2	1 274	4.7	33	5.9	301	10.7	46	5.3	695	9.0
Collingsworth	8	11.7	94	27.1	12	8.2	86	11.1	8	10.2	303	10.9
Colorado	17	8.1	61	9.8	24	6.7	1 439	12.9	20	7.3	282	9.8
Comal	8	12.8	37	15.0	26	6.7	352	17.7	69	3.7	2 795	5.6
Comanche	59	2.5	19 368	.3	47	4.9	5 987	1.2	41	5.3	4 244	9.0
Concho	2	20.3	(D)	(D)	3	19.1	54	30.6	156	2.2	75 369	1.8
Cooke	59	3.5	4 496	1.5	54	4.7	2 044	15.2	32	6.0	1 428	11.9
Coryell	14	10.7	174	19.4	23	7.1	2 639	7.3	87	3.7	8 761	3.9
Cottle	1	15.4	(D)	(D)	8	10.7	173	10.0	4	11.4	12	11.1
Crane	—	—	—	—	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	8	7.4	4 021	.8
Crockett	2	20.8	(D)	(D)	3	16.7	4	12.5	89	1.6	127 774	.5
Crosby	2	25.4	(D)	(D)	8	13.4	303	36.3	5	20.1	110	25.5
Culberson	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	1	50.0	(D)	(D)	1	49.5	(D)	(D)
Dallam	4	9.1	764	2.9	8	10.1	(D)	(D)	3	17.5	39	16.7
Dallas	19	9.0	305	5.4	49	5.5	615	11.0	29	6.8	593	12.8
Dawson	1	—	(D)	(D)	6	14.2	39	10.3	5	14.5	277	4.2
Deaf Smith	5	14.8	(D)	(D)	18	7.1	313	16.0	18	8.2	694	10.8
Delta	12	8.5	678	1.5	4	17.4	11	20.9	4	15.0	148	18.5
Denton	36	5.8	428	5.5	38	5.5	(D)	(D)	52	5.2	1 889	5.2
De Witt	32	4.8	895	2.2	39	4.6	1 678	11.9	30	5.3	627	7.8
Dickens	2	26.9	(D)	(D)	3	21.0	(D)	(D)	8	10.9	175	12.4
Dimmit	4	14.0	13	21.0	6	15.0	58	25.7	4	17.1	87	19.4
Donley	1	35.1	(D)	(D)	11	10.3	160	16.2	3	16.1	75	16.4
Duval	15	8.6	524	8.3	9	10.6	131	16.1	12	10.2	175	12.2
Eastland	23	7.0	554	7.6	35	6.2	718	6.0	31	6.2	1 202	12.6
Ector	4	19.2	5	20.4	17	9.3	282	19.0	7	15.8	61	22.8
Edwards	5	13.9	12	17.1	2	14.5	(D)	(D)	108	2.2	41 493	1.2
Ellis	39	4.7	2 003	1.2	37	5.3	679	15.2	42	5.0	621	7.2
El Paso	12	6.2	11 501	(L)	12	10.6	737	21.6	14	9.9	119	14.5
Erath	228	1.3	81 413	.1	39	5.3	1 014	11.8	48	4.6	2 389	2.2
Falls	19	7.3	608	5.7	21	7.3	1 988	20.9	17	7.8	900	17.0
Fannin	32	5.9	493	11.1	25	7.1	138	12.9	37	5.8	597	10.5
Fayette	72	3.6	1 863	2.9	53	4.1	6 216	5.2	26	5.6	710	8.6
Fisher	6	11.2	(D)	(D)	6	12.5	(D)	(D)	8	10.1	363	9.1
Floyd	2	31.5	(D)	(D)	6	12.0	(D)	(D)	8	10.4	1 771	2.6
Foard	2	13.1	(D)	(D)	8	13.6	96	15.7	3	25.1	(D)	(D)
Fort Bend	3	20.5	3	20.5	38	5.7	1 076	7.1	17	8.8	381	13.5
Franklin	43	2.3	6 647	.8	8	11.6	127	16.2	3	11.1	(D)	(D)
Freestone	5	16.4	12	18.1	23	7.5	166	9.2	10	13.0	152	21.9
Frio	12	10.6	1 081	2.4	15	9.7	518	14.4	3	25.9	(D)	(D)
Gaines	3	20.1	5	19.1	13	9.5	422	35.0	11	8.9	695	5.5
Galveston	10	12.5	88	16.0	24	8.1	163	14.4	10	13.1	95	19.4
Garza	4	14.4	44	18.7	6	13.5	60	13.3	2	27.9	(D)	(D)
Gillespie	23	6.3	437	3.9	61	4.0	8 012	4.8	453	1.3	52 720	1.9
Glasscock	—	—	—	—	6	12.0	458	38.5	24	5.6	10 646	3.4
Goliad	7	12.0	17	15.4	18	7.0	207	15.2	3	18.3	47	20.1
Gonzales	26	6.2	771	1.7	46	4.8	4 368	9.5	19	7.2	276	9.4
Gray	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	17	7.8	762	15.6	6	13.9	16	14.1
Grayson	40	5.0	1 708	3.0	60	4.2	2 400	12.0	44	5.4	583	8.0
Gregg	12	9.8	122	10.4	7	14.6	13	17.0	1	43.4	(D)	(D)
Grimes	20	4.8	1 436	2.8	28	6.2	932	3.9	11	9.5	203	11.6
Guadalupe	30	5.4	1 121	2.0	82	3.4	2 196	8.2	70	3.7	1 717	5.1
Hale	2	22.8	(D)	(D)	13	8.6	(D)	(D)	15	8.8	1 001	7.1
Hall	1	42.2	(D)	(D)	5	17.2	507	18.5	4	18.2	(D)	(D)
Hamilton	53	2.6	10 563	.3	26	6.1	365	11.7	87	3.1	14 827	4.5
Hansford	6	11.9	11	8.9	11	9.4	(D)	(D)	3	21.3	4	25.9
Hardeman	1	31.7	(D)	(D)	7	11.7	61	14.5	6	12.9	199	13.2
Hardin	7	13.4	14	15.7	27	6.7	363	13.0	2	28.1	(D)	(D)
Harris	31	7.1	844	4.8	88	4.3	1 372	9.3	43	6.3	804	6.7
Harrison	4	19.5	14	26.0	24	7.2	253	16.4	13	10.7	106	18.5
Hartley	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	21.4	(D)	(D)
Haskell	7	13.4	76	10.7	15	8.9	320	21.8	2	20.8	(D)	(D)
Hays	12	9.7	36	13.5	29	6.1	439	12.5	63	4.0	2 300	6.5
Hemphill	—	—	—	—	4	16.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Henderson	35	5.9	1 187	.9	50	5.2	816	11.4	22	7.5	354	16.6
Hidalgo	19	6.8	98	21.8	29	6.0	363	12.2	20	6.7	254	13.1
Hill	15	4.9	3 487	.6	42	5.2	312	7.0	36	5.9	1 166	7.5
Hockley	1	—	(D)	(D)	29	5.9	434	6.2	14	8.5	1 055	7.0
Hood	15	8.9	434	2.2	18	8.5	98	11.5	14	9.4	306	10.1
Hopkins	337	1.2	49 280	.4	41	5.5	649	12.5	17	9.0	125	12.5
Houston	23	6.8	900	2.3	26	6.5	(D)	(D)	10	11.7	122	17.0
Howard	5	19.7	60	27.3	15	9.1	238	7.7	10	11.2	537	4.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Hudspeth	6	13.1	59	22.0	4	20.8	(D)	(D)	7	10.9	(D)	(D)
Hunt	57	4.3	907	4.9	58	4.2	339	7.4	43	5.3	559	7.6
Hutchinson	3	17.3	15	17.3	9	12.5	34	14.9	6	13.1	24	15.9
Irion	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	53	3.4	22 445	2.8
Jack	12	8.8	44	8.8	15	9.0	273	15.0	13	8.4	617	13.7
Jackson	3	16.8	(D)	(D)	17	8.2	98	14.4	13	9.6	175	12.8
Jasper	9	11.5	20	15.1	33	6.6	319	12.6	2	29.2	(D)	(D)
Jeff Davis	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	25.6	(D)	(D)	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	12	10.6	43	15.0	19	8.3	131	11.0	6	15.2	30	18.3
Jim Hogg	6	13.6	14	17.8	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	24.3	(D)	(D)
Jim Wells	15	7.9	3 709	.3	20	7.6	739	13.4	9	12.5	73	21.3
Johnson	112	2.6	13 649	.3	87	3.6	2 151	7.0	53	4.9	813	6.6
Jones	18	8.5	256	3.3	33	6.4	1 729	21.7	11	10.5	403	12.7
Karnes	12	8.9	401	5.1	25	6.6	1 876	1.9	16	8.3	549	6.9
Kaufman	49	5.0	881	5.1	40	5.6	270	10.7	32	6.0	406	11.3
Kendall	16	6.3	293	2.3	34	4.9	2 510	11.8	158	2.1	14 210	3.7
Kenedy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)
Kent	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	3	22.3	10	26.7	5	14.4	158	15.8
Kerr	8	10.0	122	5.3	36	5.4	533	8.0	156	2.2	16 883	2.7
Kimble	9	8.6	91	9.2	10	9.4	804	12.2	131	2.1	27 877	2.0
King	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kinney	—	—	—	—	3	21.5	28	21.2	55	3.2	43 968	1.3
Kleberg	2	23.7	(D)	(D)	12	8.1	440	2.1	7	13.7	72	16.4
Knox	5	19.5	(D)	(D)	5	17.3	(D)	(D)	2	29.2	(D)	(D)
Lamar	36	4.7	3 099	2.0	22	7.4	489	31.8	19	8.0	182	13.2
Lamb	2	—	(D)	(D)	18	8.8	624	26.2	15	9.4	4 722	11.0
Lampasas	11	8.5	271	.6	31	5.6	(D)	(D)	110	2.7	13 189	2.9
La Salle	3	18.3	(D)	(D)	11	11.6	68	16.2	3	20.5	(D)	(D)
Lavaca	28	5.0	1 204	1.1	65	4.0	1 976	9.7	49	4.5	586	6.0
Lee	22	7.2	78	9.4	70	3.8	4 344	10.8	24	7.0	404	13.6
Leon	5	17.1	53	19.2	34	6.1	1 341	14.2	11	10.8	82	13.2
Liberty	20	8.0	69	11.1	66	4.4	715	9.7	20	7.9	269	9.4
Limestone	19	8.4	166	5.5	20	8.6	151	15.0	9	11.7	83	14.3
Lipscomb	2	20.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Live Oak	11	12.4	20	14.6	22	8.2	264	13.2	6	16.0	80	29.7
Llano	11	10.4	33	14.2	25	5.7	2 243	1.4	31	5.3	1 547	6.7
Loving	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lubbock	10	12.5	39	17.7	49	4.9	10 594	1.4	30	6.0	1 438	4.1
Lynn	3	19.1	65	27.4	11	9.7	156	17.7	4	15.3	(D)	(D)
McCulloch	6	8.7	(D)	(D)	10	10.5	(D)	(D)	78	3.3	30 242	2.5
McLennan	57	4.1	4 961	.4	57	4.7	1 106	21.6	88	3.9	2 875	8.4
McMullen	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	26.6	(D)	(D)	1	34.4	(D)	(D)
Madison	6	12.5	142	3.4	17	8.3	(D)	(D)	4	13.0	159	7.1
Marion	3	21.3	11	29.5	6	15.2	46	21.0	2	27.6	(D)	(D)
Martin	—	—	—	—	5	15.6	291	29.0	4	16.8	43	27.6
Mason	6	13.2	25	17.3	8	9.9	229	7.2	47	4.3	5 664	6.1
Matagorda	7	13.6	20	16.2	10	10.9	91	22.2	8	15.0	196	24.3
Maverick	4	15.4	8	17.3	2	30.8	(D)	(D)	8	8.7	(D)	(D)
Medina	20	7.5	412	3.1	49	4.8	1 151	11.8	59	4.3	1 644	7.8
Menard	6	12.5	55	12.2	—	—	—	—	122	2.2	62 728	1.3
Midland	4	13.4	(D)	(D)	13	11.0	216	18.0	12	9.6	1 233	1.2
Milam	24	6.1	411	6.3	48	4.9	715	13.2	41	5.3	564	6.9
Mills	16	8.1	2 215	.3	15	9.1	147	16.6	157	2.5	26 674	3.1
Mitchell	4	18.6	18	21.6	12	8.9	74	15.7	14	7.1	5 055	.9
Montague	26	5.6	1 432	2.7	20	7.7	589	6.9	12	10.0	271	21.9
Montgomery	19	8.2	172	4.7	82	4.3	1 262	6.2	29	7.4	269	10.8
Moore	3	21.2	32	21.7	10	10.5	(D)	(D)	2	28.9	(D)	(D)
Morris	5	13.4	212	1.7	5	17.3	56	24.8	1	37.6	(D)	(D)
Motley	—	—	—	—	2	11.6	(D)	(D)	1	23.1	(D)	(D)
Nacogdoches	39	4.4	2 641	1.9	23	7.6	480	20.0	7	11.8	117	9.0
Navarro	17	7.9	560	5.9	36	5.9	205	8.5	24	6.9	412	9.8
Newton	3	20.3	7	8.7	15	9.6	88	12.7	1	43.3	(D)	(D)
Nolan	7	10.2	192	3.4	5	16.7	55	28.6	19	6.8	4 432	4.7
Nueces	5	15.9	249	17.0	19	8.3	1 009	6.6	9	12.4	168	14.8
Ochiltree	4	18.7	(D)	(D)	14	9.0	(D)	(D)	6	12.7	(D)	(D)
Oldham	2	—	(D)	(D)	2	16.5	(D)	(D)	2	16.5	(D)	(D)
Orange	4	18.2	23	17.8	15	8.5	118	11.2	6	15.3	18	18.3
Palo Pinto	19	7.4	832	4.3	22	7.2	234	10.9	23	7.3	1 342	11.6
Panola	25	6.4	1 113	.7	19	7.8	785	11.4	2	17.8	(D)	(D)
Parker	48	4.6	4 144	.8	71	4.0	1 048	8.8	54	4.7	957	8.3
Parmer	10	8.4	1 527	.9	14	8.8	264	10.1	8	12.4	884	19.6
Pecos	6	12.2	(D)	(D)	7	13.6	424	22.0	54	2.7	79 687	.3
Polk	6	16.8	40	20.1	23	8.0	963	36.7	7	15.7	22	19.6
Potter	1	39.7	(D)	(D)	16	7.9	606	10.4	7	13.1	112	20.9
Presidio	2	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rains	35	2.2	4 024	.4	19	5.2	2 206	3.4	3	14.2	(D)	(D)
Randall	6	9.7	(D)	(D)	21	7.7	1 492	9.0	17	8.6	789	21.2
Reagan	—	—	—	—	3	8.6	(D)	(D)	33	3.3	27 398	.6
Real	—	—	—	—	3	16.7	35	26.1	48	3.6	9 834	2.7
Red River	22	7.0	820	1.8	29	6.0	329	4.8	5	18.1	64	32.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Reeves	5	12.7	1 730	1.5	4	13.1	18	16.6	4	19.4	91	22.2
Refugio	3	21.0	10	21.9	5	13.9	136	19.3	1	33.7	(D)	(D)
Roberts	2	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Robertson	18	7.6	395	3.7	43	5.2	1 418	16.0	9	11.3	132	14.2
Rockwall	—	—	—	—	4	18.4	14	28.2	8	12.6	173	15.3
Runnels	24	6.5	726	6.9	18	7.8	573	20.2	108	3.0	22 515	4.2
Rusk	26	6.3	1 013	2.9	27	6.9	537	9.4	9	10.5	262	13.4
Sabine	5	16.1	15	34.8	8	10.9	78	15.3	—	—	—	—
San Augustine	5	17.1	125	18.4	5	17.4	39	18.0	3	20.9	12	19.9
San Jacinto	6	14.9	35	18.9	19	8.0	1 646	15.8	8	11.5	127	15.3
San Patricio	2	21.8	(D)	(D)	20	7.4	121	9.7	9	12.6	40	15.6
San Saba	4	16.1	9	18.1	8	12.7	2 072	20.0	52	4.3	4 376	5.9
Schleicher	3	18.2	13	19.5	6	16.1	18	18.9	119	2.4	68 735	1.5
Scurry	3	12.2	(D)	(D)	21	7.8	311	11.1	20	8.2	1 780	23.7
Shackelford	6	10.3	152	4.8	2	15.9	(D)	(D)	2	24.2	(D)	(D)
Shelby	23	6.7	284	6.3	11	8.6	60	14.3	4	16.9	(D)	(D)
Sherman	3	18.2	(D)	(D)	9	10.6	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Smith	44	5.0	2 864	1.0	48	5.1	241	8.4	9	11.9	63	21.2
Somervell	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	7	10.1	100	10.8	7	13.9	133	18.3
Starr	11	9.9	66	24.9	17	7.7	133	13.4	11	8.0	129	7.7
Stephens	6	16.3	19	18.7	5	16.0	95	26.0	20	8.1	444	10.5
Sterling	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	35	3.4	54 016	.7
Stonewall	2	20.1	(D)	(D)	4	18.2	15	18.0	—	—	—	—
Sutton	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	20.0	(D)	(D)	67	2.8	45 018	1.6
Swisher	3	26.2	10	30.6	18	7.6	1 123	19.6	11	9.5	743	28.5
Tarrant	27	6.4	828	3.9	29	7.1	455	13.4	29	7.1	381	12.2
Taylor	18	8.6	322	4.6	38	5.5	3 918	1.4	52	4.6	2 654	5.8
Terrell	4	—	112	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	34	2.1	51 142	.3
Terry	3	18.1	(D)	(D)	11	8.8	289	11.5	4	18.3	(D)	(D)
Throckmorton	4	12.5	(D)	(D)	4	16.9	10	20.8	3	15.6	(D)	(D)
Titus	16	7.1	817	4.3	11	11.4	65	14.8	7	14.4	33	21.7
Tom Green	22	7.2	5 072	.3	22	6.8	1 428	21.6	162	2.4	115 440	1.0
Travis	11	10.7	(D)	(D)	45	5.5	616	10.7	45	5.7	1 549	8.7
Trinity	8	12.7	22	15.6	16	9.2	152	16.8	2	29.0	(D)	(D)
Tyler	10	10.9	28	16.4	23	6.6	172	10.3	1	24.7	(D)	(D)
Upshur	53	2.9	5 203	.4	35	5.3	1 191	22.7	5	15.8	43	16.9
Upton	1	33.1	(D)	(D)	7	11.0	299	3.5	27	4.2	25 655	.9
Uvalde	15	8.6	89	5.3	14	8.6	853	28.4	103	3.1	32 796	2.4
Val Verde	4	16.2	8	8.1	7	14.1	145	14.9	97	1.9	119 926	.4
Van Zandt	73	3.2	9 865	.5	40	5.1	419	9.8	25	6.8	629	10.2
Victoria	17	8.5	224	5.2	38	6.1	356	13.4	27	7.0	423	9.1
Walker	6	15.6	(D)	(D)	40	5.4	4 085	1.0	15	9.5	102	11.7
Waller	29	6.2	792	1.7	33	5.6	455	8.7	15	9.2	176	10.9
Ward	3	21.5	(D)	(D)	5	15.4	19	16.6	3	22.2	29	21.1
Washington	22	5.4	1 331	2.3	40	4.6	1 906	8.4	19	7.4	332	10.5
Webb	14	8.9	72	12.6	12	10.4	53	13.2	14	9.5	1 053	4.1
Wharton	34	6.2	90	6.8	39	5.7	427	9.7	9	12.5	39	19.2
Wheeler	7	13.7	27	13.7	13	10.2	146	19.9	4	18.9	36	19.2
Wichita	11	9.8	262	2.2	23	7.1	1 379	6.1	24	7.3	305	12.2
Wilbarger	5	18.0	24	19.9	11	10.2	(D)	(D)	10	10.9	310	17.0
Willacy	3	20.6	5	20.4	4	17.3	38	20.7	2	20.3	(D)	(D)
Williamson	6	10.3	409	3.0	47	5.2	676	8.7	104	3.5	4 438	6.2
Wilson	37	4.8	4 951	.6	91	3.5	4 482	6.7	36	5.7	4 405	8.5
Winkler	—	—	—	—	6	—	53	—	4	—	(D)	(D)
Wise	94	2.9	6 431	1.5	76	3.9	653	6.9	41	5.5	992	9.0
Wood	93	2.5	12 119	.9	21	8.2	103	10.2	9	12.5	77	24.5
Yoakum	5	8.2	(D)	(D)	10	10.0	154	10.1	—	—	—	—
Young	10	10.6	167	5.5	18	8.0	203	19.7	4	14.7	131	13.6
Zapata	7	14.9	59	22.6	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	3	17.8	28	16.1
Zavala	4	14.3	8	12.7	3	19.7	(D)	(D)	3	18.2	(D)	(D)

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.						
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold		
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number
Texas	6 259	.8	17 200 764	.3	1 000	.7	388 114 496
Anderson	39	5.7	(D)	(D)	2	28.1	(D)
Andrews	6	12.8	239	17.3	—	—	—
Angelina	22	8.2	354	9.8	14	6.0	5 056 373
Aransas	3	23.3	66	23.7	—	—	—
Archer	6	13.8	(D)	(D)	1	35.4	(D)
Armstrong	5	14.5	204	4.7	—	—	—
Atascosa	44	5.5	1 005	8.0	1	38.6	(D)
Austin	65	4.2	(D)	(D)	3	20.1	—
Bailey	1	48.5	(D)	(D)	—	—	350

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.							
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Bandera	42	5.3	717	9.2	2	17.5	(D)	(D)
Bastrop	83	3.8	(D)	(D)	3	19.8	(D)	(D)
Baylor	6	14.7	257	25.3	1	40.0	(D)	(D)
Bee	25	7.1	426	7.6	1	40.2	(D)	(D)
Bell	94	3.6	1 754	6.2	6	14.8	112	30.0
Bexar	79	4.2	4 345	23.0	7	14.1	267	16.6
Blanco	28	6.3	458	7.4	1	37.4	(D)	(D)
Borden	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bosque	44	4.6	(D)	(D)	3	15.5	(D)	(D)
Bowie	32	6.5	713	7.9	21	3.0	5 782 329	1.0
Brazoria	111	3.4	(D)	(D)	5	18.7	267	25.3
Brazos	38	5.2	(D)	(D)	5	12.1	(D)	(D)
Brewster	3	21.6	47	20.6	—	—	—	—
Briscoe	1	37.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Brooks	5	14.4	105	15.4	—	—	—	—
Brown	50	5.0	727	6.2	—	—	—	—
Burleson	41	5.4	(D)	(D)	1	36.0	(D)	(D)
Burnet	59	4.1	1 483	7.0	—	—	—	—
Caldwell	38	5.5	648 357	(L)	3	11.7	(D)	(D)
Calhoun	8	14.4	155	22.3	—	—	—	—
Callahan	21	7.2	387	9.0	—	—	—	—
Cameron	27	7.2	594	8.7	2	23.7	(D)	(D)
Camp	19	5.8	2 103 377	(L)	46	1.9	50 359 409	.3
Carson	6	11.6	157	17.5	—	—	—	—
Cass	25	7.3	120 451	13.8	27	2.1	8 094 736	.4
Castro	4	19.9	84	19.9	—	—	—	—
Chambers	9	13.8	160	22.8	2	30.5	(D)	(D)
Cherokee	34	6.1	(D)	(D)	7	5.4	2 578 104	1.9
Childress	2	16.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Clay	12	9.5	173	10.9	—	—	—	—
Cochran	3	15.3	39	14.1	—	—	—	—
Coke	6	15.7	76	15.6	—	—	—	—
Coleman	12	8.8	152	10.2	—	—	—	—
Collin	53	5.2	978	6.1	2	27.5	(D)	(D)
Collingsworth	7	11.3	215	22.9	—	—	—	—
Colorado	51	4.4	(D)	(D)	2	21.5	(D)	(D)
Comal	49	4.6	1 005	6.6	2	23.2	(D)	(D)
Comanche	35	5.6	541	7.5	—	—	—	—
Concho	3	23.2	13	24.8	—	—	—	—
Cooke	69	4.1	1 128	7.8	2	27.7	(D)	(D)
Coryell	34	6.1	794	11.0	—	—	—	—
Cottle	3	11.0	124	11.4	—	—	—	—
Crane	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Crockett	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Crosby	6	13.5	152	23.8	—	—	—	—
Culberson	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Dallam	4	15.9	113	10.1	—	—	—	—
Dallas	30	7.3	769	10.3	4	16.7	(D)	(D)
Dawson	4	17.2	117	17.3	—	—	—	—
Deaf Smith	15	8.7	277	9.9	—	—	—	—
Delta	8	10.9	118	13.0	—	—	—	—
Denton	87	3.7	(D)	(D)	3	19.2	(D)	(D)
De Witt	35	5.0	(D)	(D)	2	15.2	(D)	(D)
Dickens	5	13.0	76	12.7	—	—	—	—
Dimmit	4	12.7	24	19.7	—	—	—	—
Donley	6	14.8	190	22.1	—	—	—	—
Duval	9	11.7	173	8.9	—	—	—	—
Eastland	46	5.3	718	7.5	—	—	—	—
Ector	23	7.7	392	12.3	—	—	—	—
Edwards	5	16.8	91	29.3	—	—	—	—
Ellis	69	4.0	1 524	7.5	2	26.1	(D)	(D)
El Paso	18	8.8	439	10.3	2	31.4	(D)	(D)
Erath	53	4.8	1 572	2.8	—	—	—	—
Falls	21	7.0	393	10.2	1	33.6	(D)	(D)
Fannin	50	4.9	757	5.9	5	16.2	91	17.7
Fayette	116	2.6	2 039 686	(L)	7	11.5	2 434	15.5
Fisher	5	14.2	100	14.5	—	—	—	—
Floyd	3	22.0	28	25.0	—	—	—	—
Foard	2	20.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Fort Bend	52	5.1	1 400	8.8	2	27.2	(D)	(D)
Franklin	11	9.8	153 027	7.5	29	1.6	14 927 972	.2
Freestone	22	7.6	566	9.7	3	20.3	18	24.8
Frio	3	26.3	49	30.7	—	—	—	—
Gaines	7	14.5	141	14.8	—	—	—	—
Galveston	26	7.1	482	6.0	4	16.8	50	19.2
Garza	4	18.2	70	18.3	—	—	—	—
Gillespie	45	4.7	5 809	1.1	1	32.4	(D)	(D)
Glasscock	2	21.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Goliad	16	7.6	249	8.2	—	—	—	—
Gonzales	71	2.8	3 564 183	.5	83	1.2	53 922 823	.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.							
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Gray	13	9.9	177	11.5	—	—	—	—
Grayson	70	4.1	1 182	5.3	1	39.3	(D)	(D)
Gregg	18	8.1	286	9.3	—	—	—	—
Grimes	37	5.7	1 085	8.2	2	15.9	(D)	(D)
Guadalupe	93	3.2	(D)	(D)	2	16.8	(D)	(D)
Hale	7	14.7	83	17.2	—	—	—	—
Hall	2	29.8	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Hamilton	30	5.6	724	9.6	1	34.0	(D)	(D)
Hansford	3	19.6	90	19.8	2	21.7	(D)	(D)
Hardeman	2	22.5	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Hardin	29	6.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Harris	82	4.4	1 326	6.1	9	14.0	236	23.3
Harrison	39	6.0	628	7.8	1	—	(D)	(D)
Hartley	3	20.7	50	13.1	—	—	—	—
Haskell	4	14.3	66	10.4	—	—	—	—
Hays	47	4.8	858	5.9	1	34.6	(D)	(D)
Hemphill	4	18.6	127	19.4	—	—	—	—
Henderson	45	5.4	781	8.0	2	23.4	(D)	(D)
Hidalgo	34	5.3	539	6.6	—	—	—	—
Hill	47	4.9	839	7.0	1	39.8	(D)	(D)
Hockley	13	9.8	190	11.2	—	—	—	—
Hood	31	6.5	596	10.1	1	39.9	(D)	(D)
Hopkins	41	5.4	98 397	9.9	16	4.3	5 557 814	7
Houston	38	5.5	(D)	(D)	3	16.5	(D)	(D)
Howard	8	13.9	78	18.9	—	—	—	—
Hudspeth	3	22.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Hunt	79	3.8	1 404	6.9	4	18.4	234	27.1
Hutchinson	6	15.8	56	15.4	—	—	—	—
Irion	3	20.6	55	17.3	—	—	—	—
Jack	14	8.8	214	11.6	1	38.8	(D)	(D)
Jackson	27	6.4	763	8.7	2	19.2	(D)	(D)
Jasper	38	5.3	757	6.2	3	23.8	(D)	(D)
Jeff Davis	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Jefferson	19	8.7	547	19.9	4	18.0	340	19.6
Jim Hogg	2	24.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Jim Wells	14	9.7	193	15.1	—	—	—	—
Johnson	116	3.2	2 258	4.4	4	21.5	(D)	(D)
Jones	22	7.9	298	8.5	1	42.6	(D)	(D)
Karnes	26	6.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Kaufman	85	4.0	1 929	5.3	1	41.4	(D)	(D)
Kendall	44	4.4	1 050	8.6	5	14.7	620	21.7
Kenedy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kent	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Kerr	37	5.4	589	6.6	—	—	—	—
Kimble	13	7.0	237	6.6	—	—	—	—
King	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kinney	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kleberg	11	10.0	172	10.6	—	—	—	—
Knox	5	17.3	132	19.9	—	—	—	—
Lamar	26	7.1	744	10.4	2	27.7	(D)	(D)
Lamb	5	19.8	145	21.5	—	—	—	—
Lampasas	55	4.1	1 077	5.8	2	26.6	(D)	(D)
La Salle	4	17.2	48	18.5	—	—	—	—
Lavaca	142	2.5	922 667	1.2	6	5.8	3 356 710	(L)
Lee	70	3.7	(D)	(D)	7	11.1	152	19.2
Leon	26	7.4	424	9.6	4	10.4	2 660 401	(L)
Liberty	57	4.8	1 118	8.0	3	18.4	18	19.4
Limestone	25	7.2	391	9.2	4	14.9	(D)	(D)
Lipscomb	4	15.0	49	14.1	—	—	—	—
Live Oak	13	10.6	1 076	38.5	—	—	—	—
Llano	11	9.8	288	15.3	1	46.7	(D)	(D)
Loving	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lubbock	20	8.4	(D)	(D)	1	43.8	(D)	(D)
Lynn	4	15.0	55	19.8	—	—	—	—
McCulloch	18	7.9	231	10.2	—	—	—	—
McLennan	77	4.1	(D)	(D)	2	20.8	(D)	(D)
McMullen	3	15.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Madison	19	8.3	420	10.2	3	18.6	(D)	(D)
Marion	9	11.1	194	13.4	—	—	—	—
Martin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mason	12	9.0	164	9.9	—	—	—	—
Matagorda	20	8.0	408	9.7	1	—	(D)	(D)
Maverick	4	19.2	140	18.6	—	—	—	—
Medina	53	4.5	882	5.8	5	14.6	(D)	(D)
Menard	3	16.5	60	16.5	—	—	—	—
Midland	18	9.0	384	13.5	—	—	—	—
Milam	45	5.0	759	6.4	4	—	2 931 225	—
Mills	15	9.1	156	10.8	—	—	—	—
Mitchell	2	26.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Montague	45	5.5	785	7.8	2	27.4	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.							
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Montgomery	53	5.4	932	6.2	1	43.6	(D)	(D)
Moore	2	20.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Morris	5	14.6	298	2.8	16	2.5	5 783 000	.4
Motley	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nacogdoches	53	4.3	687 984	3.3	151	1.0	69 164 986	.2
Navarro	30	6.4	519	12.9	4	16.4	(D)	(D)
Newton	17	8.7	520	10.4	1	43.3	(D)	(D)
Nolan	5	16.5	82	15.8	—	—	—	—
Nueces	13	11.3	318	18.2	1	46.2	(D)	(D)
Ochiltree	7	12.6	153	16.7	—	—	—	—
Oldham	2	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Orange	25	6.8	600	9.4	—	—	—	—
Palo Pinto	22	7.4	295	9.6	—	—	—	—
Panola	23	7.4	(D)	(D)	51	.8	19 404 090	.1
Parker	100	3.5	1 826	4.9	4	15.2	269	30.3
Parmar	3	15.4	21	13.2	—	—	—	—
Pecos	7	10.4	123	6.3	—	—	—	—
Polk	29	6.8	1 635	13.0	1	43.5	(D)	(D)
Potter	8	13.8	201	14.1	—	—	—	—
Presidio	1	49.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Rains	18	5.5	452	9.3	—	—	—	—
Randall	18	7.4	328	8.1	—	—	—	—
Reagan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Real	4	15.4	120	15.7	—	—	—	—
Red River	34	6.0	(D)	(D)	5	10.5	752 236	4.3
Reeves	3	20.7	28	21.1	—	—	—	—
Refugio	5	17.5	61	20.3	—	—	—	—
Roberts	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Robertson	25	6.4	(D)	(D)	4	11.1	(D)	(D)
Rockwall	13	10.8	255	12.7	2	22.4	(D)	(D)
Runnels	24	6.2	817	8.5	—	—	—	—
Rusk	33	6.1	(D)	(D)	12	5.9	3 774 133	.7
Sabine	5	14.4	289	19.9	6	7.7	5 566 080	.8
San Augustine	8	12.0	82 745	(L)	25	1.7	11 792 703	.4
San Jacinto	18	8.5	585	15.4	—	—	—	—
San Patricio	12	11.0	118	11.7	—	—	—	—
San Saba	11	8.2	113	9.9	—	—	—	—
Schleicher	5	17.3	91	23.0	—	—	—	—
Scurry	13	10.1	199	15.1	—	—	—	—
Shackelford	2	29.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Shelby	45	3.6	1 469 183	1.4	172	.9	72 928 627	.2
Sherman	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Smith	56	4.9	830	5.2	—	—	—	—
Somervell	6	14.2	90	16.1	—	—	—	—
Starr	12	10.2	504	19.2	—	—	—	—
Stephens	5	17.4	81	27.6	—	—	—	—
Sterling	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Stonewall	4	20.6	67	23.4	—	—	—	—
Sutton	2	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Swisher	8	11.2	110	11.6	—	—	—	—
Tarrant	47	5.6	1 081	7.5	3	20.9	14	32.3
Taylor	28	6.8	499	10.7	1	39.9	(D)	(D)
Terrell	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Terry	5	12.8	48	18.8	—	—	—	—
Throckmorton	5	14.1	83	19.0	—	—	—	—
Titus	17	9.3	487	11.3	28	1.8	18 223 679	1.0
Tom Green	33	6.2	740	10.5	3	19.3	11	18.8
Travis	54	4.9	1 039	6.3	4	18.3	92	24.1
Trinity	17	8.7	60 388	11.1	—	—	—	—
Tyler	26	6.9	505	7.5	1	—	(D)	(D)
Upshur	47	4.6	181 827	(L)	14	5.4	4 237 976	1.8
Upton	2	28.5	(D)	(D)	1	46.4	(D)	(D)
Uvalde	16	8.3	255	12.3	—	—	—	—
Val Verde	5	15.6	394	28.4	—	—	—	—
Van Zandt	91	3.5	1 677	8.4	3	22.6	12	27.4
Victoria	45	5.4	653	7.8	2	17.5	(D)	(D)
Walker	21	7.9	(D)	(D)	2	24.9	(D)	(D)
Waller	64	4.1	2 380	11.2	1	34.8	(D)	(D)
Ward	1	47.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Washington	60	4.2	(D)	(D)	4	17.1	(D)	(D)
Webb	9	11.6	288	12.4	—	—	—	—
Wharton	36	6.0	(D)	(D)	1	40.8	(D)	(D)
Wheeler	9	12.1	203	15.3	—	—	—	—
Wichita	15	9.4	281	13.2	—	—	—	—
Wilbarger	13	9.8	298	10.0	3	19.7	75	20.6
Willacy	4	15.5	(D)	(D)	2	23.4	(D)	(D)
Williamson	102	3.6	1 828	5.6	1	35.5	(D)	(D)
Wilson	59	4.7	(D)	(D)	2	26.8	(D)	(D)
Winkler	4	—	186	—	—	—	—	—
Wise	103	3.3	1 863	8.8	2	24.4	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry—Con.											
	Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold							
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total					
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)				
Wood	40	5.9	172 551	5.0	30	3.1	14 135 903	.4				
Yoakum	3	24.1	15	26.0	—	—	—	—				
Young	13	8.6	150	8.9	2	23.8	(D)	(D)				
Zapata	6	14.8	101	17.9	—	—	—	—				
Zavala	2	24.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—				
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed					Sorghum for grain or seed						
	Farms		Acres	Quantity		Farms		Acres	Quantity			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	5 855	.5	1 656 229	.2	219 361 590	.2	10 438	.6	3 041 937	.3	175 279 096	.3
Anderson	3	23.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Andrews	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	7.1	4 154	.5	66 112	1.5
Angelina	5	17.3	5	17.3	335	17.3	2	20.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Aransas	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Archer	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	18.3	753	13.4	(D)	(D)
Armstrong	6	9.9	945	6.2	165 395	5.8	80	2.7	25 136	1.7	1 090 044	2.0
Atascosa	24	4.6	2 400	4.2	254 927	3.7	53	4.1	9 798	3.1	636 748	2.2
Austin	19	6.2	2 071	2.9	176 155	2.6	18	6.4	3 301	5.0	198 094	5.2
Bailey	42	3.2	8 071	1.4	1 228 974	1.5	140	2.2	37 676	2.3	1 555 680	2.3
Bandera	1	35.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bastrop	24	5.8	2 358	11.3	253 599	13.6	10	10.2	1 805	6.8	105 383	4.7
Baylor	1	40.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	18	6.9	1 719	5.2	58 504	4.9
Bee	40	4.0	14 738	1.2	1 325 420	1.3	46	3.9	34 523	1.9	2 405 522	1.5
Bell	155	2.4	53 022	1.0	4 421 377	1.0	156	2.6	25 083	2.5	1 406 371	2.6
Bexar	77	3.5	10 852	4.0	940 904	3.3	113	3.0	15 157	3.2	964 935	3.1
Blanco	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Borden	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bosque	13	6.5	2 034	3.6	138 888	3.7	34	4.5	2 934	4.1	151 356	4.3
Bowie	10	4.7	3 245	1.6	308 529	1.3	6	9.7	1 507	5.2	108 373	5.9
Brazoria	6	6.8	1 107	.4	100 199	.3	30	3.9	14 807	1.0	851 716	.9
Brazos	15	5.9	8 331	1.1	901 271	.9	17	6.0	4 076	8.0	272 553	7.6
Brewster	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Briscoe	20	1.8	2 807	.8	473 307	.7	42	3.4	8 619	2.1	467 907	2.5
Brooks	3	15.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	12	8.2	3 164	2.2	108 293	4.1
Brown	1	41.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	10	8.8	567	14.8	13 864	9.5
Burleson	39	4.1	6 931	1.7	719 683	1.5	23	5.5	5 368	1.7	306 206	2.0
Burnet	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	11.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Caldwell	10	6.3	2 624	1.8	245 360	1.8	22	5.5	7 656	3.1	483 467	3.2
Calhoun	41	2.8	14 979	1.4	1 499 432	1.4	48	3.5	13 888	2.2	891 360	1.9
Callahan	—	—	—	—	—	—	16	6.4	2 278	4.1	75 463	5.7
Cameron	77	3.3	14 023	2.2	1 628 867	2.0	270	1.7	90 570	1.0	5 012 177	1.0
Camp	3	22.1	35	23.3	809	28.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carson	55	2.1	14 735	.9	2 530 256	.7	178	1.6	57 907	1.1	3 703 567	1.1
Cass	1	34.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Castro	218	1.1	87 536	.3	14 940 012	.3	142	1.8	21 600	1.6	1 408 750	1.6
Chambers	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	14.0	976	10.8	32 186	13.1
Cherokee	9	10.6	92	11.3	5 775	10.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Childress	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	4.7	574	3.4	26 210	3.3
Clay	3	—	364	—	41 600	—	10	8.5	927	4.8	39 117	6.9
Cochran	—	—	—	—	—	—	79	2.2	39 278	1.3	1 379 153	2.3
Coke	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	9.0	952	10.1	47 102	12.2
Coleman	—	—	—	—	—	—	66	3.6	9 657	3.3	231 678	2.6
Collin	44	3.5	18 928	.6	1 738 004	.7	91	3.0	29 231	1.2	1 711 150	1.1
Collingsworth	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	53	3.5	11 427	1.9	523 207	1.5
Colorado	41	3.8	8 974	2.0	856 921	1.7	13	7.5	2 377	4.5	144 592	4.2
Comal	10	9.6	1 261	13.1	132 023	13.3	16	7.5	1 570	9.8	113 636	10.2
Comanche	2	31.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	23	5.4	2 345	5.6	91 040	7.9
Concho	2	20.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	64	3.7	12 430	4.6	505 262	3.9
Cooke	23	6.2	1 278	5.9	93 638	5.9	89	3.0	9 257	3.2	414 281	3.6
Coryell	20	6.5	2 901	8.5	184 686	7.2	63	3.6	11 602	2.6	657 323	2.6
Cottle	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	10.5	355	14.2	7 532	13.7
Crane	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crockett	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crosby	7	6.1	1 280	4.6	148 128	6.2	97	2.1	19 188	1.0	896 966	1.3
Culberson	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dallam	168	1.3	134 140	.3	27 599 981	.3	82	2.1	35 232	1.3	1 329 418	1.6
Dallas	12	7.4	4 291	1.2	347 771	1.5	18	6.3	5 161	2.7	234 304	2.7
Dawson	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	62	2.5	21 418	2.1	901 358	2.6
Deaf Smith	141	1.4	31 885	.7	5 212 414	.7	229	1.3	77 120	.7	4 182 499	.7
Delta	3	—	2 920	—	268 899	—	8	8.6	2 110	4.9	132 269	4.2
Denton	10	7.2	6 529	1.4	593 750	1.2	76	3.5	16 159	2.3	929 643	2.7
De Witt	51	4.0	6 396	2.9	545 142	2.8	24	6.1	1 846	6.4	121 446	6.9
Dickens	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	6.1	3 563	5.0	131 145	3.5
Dimmit	3	12.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	6	11.9	967	13.5	55 340	14.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed						Sorghum for grain or seed					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Donley	7	9.4	1 328	2.8	124 507	5.3	18	6.3	2 284	5.7	105 746	6.6
Duval	8	9.5	351	10.6	34 036	8.4	29	5.5	14 599	2.0	658 346	1.7
Eastland	1	47.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	12	9.1	677	15.5	15 422	10.8
Ector	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	39.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Edwards	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Ellis	65	3.0	22 212	1.3	1 710 004	1.1	75	3.0	16 125	1.9	858 337	1.9
El Paso	1	39.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	4.9	750	2.0	52 278	.8
Erath	3	20.8	55	21.9	2 760	23.6	10	6.9	1 831	4.4	72 286	3.7
Falls	133	2.4	52 026	1.4	4 721 285	1.3	94	2.8	13 244	2.6	838 704	2.6
Fannin	34	4.5	10 550	2.7	787 676	2.9	88	3.3	17 622	3.1	884 976	3.1
Fayette	130	2.6	12 756	3.1	1 165 449	3.0	30	5.3	2 319	4.8	138 915	5.3
Fisher	—	—	—	—	—	—	32	5.4	4 127	4.4	115 965	5.2
Floyd	87	2.2	15 108	2.0	2 274 776	2.3	170	1.5	40 001	1.1	2 834 926	1.1
Foard	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	5.5	11 826	.2	443 147	.2
Fort Bend	42	4.5	5 461	1.5	504 813	1.4	139	2.4	27 389	1.7	1 887 336	1.6
Franklin	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	11.1	175	6.7	(D)	(D)
Freestone	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Frio	20	3.7	5 922	2.0	697 511	2.0	35	3.8	10 114	2.8	528 584	1.6
Gaines	6	8.3	942	5.3	101 932	3.9	69	2.7	14 992	4.7	502 496	3.9
Galveston	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Garza	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7	9.7	2 102	7.1	95 234	9.5
Gillespie	35	5.1	2 361	4.3	206 171	3.5	47	4.4	2 385	6.5	128 746	6.8
Glasscock	3	—	135	—	10 920	—	24	3.7	2 903	3.0	79 796	4.9
Goliad	17	6.9	3 927	8.2	307 224	9.0	10	6.5	3 615	.7	168 883	.8
Gonzales	26	5.0	6 059	4.1	537 875	3.3	15	7.6	2 811	7.1	155 700	7.5
Gray	27	2.4	5 820	1.1	997 627	1.0	71	2.6	25 800	2.0	1 342 726	1.4
Grayson	39	4.5	13 771	1.2	1 246 941	1.1	88	3.3	23 638	1.2	1 278 085	1.6
Gregg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grimes	3	14.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	14.4	249	14.9	13 194	17.0
Guadalupe	106	2.6	11 000	2.6	978 191	2.9	157	2.1	23 614	2.1	1 629 179	2.3
Hale	291	1.4	57 161	.7	9 276 122	.7	292	1.4	46 187	1.5	3 081 397	1.6
Hall	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	16.0	242	8.2	16 330	5.3
Hamilton	3	19.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	35	4.9	3 601	5.4	159 820	6.4
Hansford	98	1.2	39 813	.7	7 298 851	.4	98	1.7	23 441	1.2	1 432 799	1.6
Hardeman	1	31.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	12	5.9	1 147	3.3	48 818	1.7
Hardin	5	16.5	10	23.1	248	21.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harris	23	7.7	4 388	4.4	383 642	4.5	6	9.3	3 116	2.7	145 281	3.8
Harrison	3	19.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hartley	106	1.2	77 308	.3	14 335 663	.3	60	2.2	19 349	1.8	1 132 556	1.0
Haskell	—	—	—	—	—	—	86	3.4	10 250	2.7	361 726	3.4
Hays	23	5.3	5 382	3.8	409 691	3.7	22	5.7	5 230	3.3	244 740	4.5
Hemphill	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	17	5.8	2 871	3.4	131 998	2.4
Henderson	8	12.4	45	12.2	2 416	14.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hidalgo	93	2.1	41 328	.5	3 024 494	.6	264	1.2	136 625	.5	9 498 087	.4
Hill	106	2.5	24 946	1.3	2 174 561	1.2	181	2.0	56 623	1.2	3 757 976	1.1
Hockley	2	21.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	134	2.0	52 224	1.8	2 425 632	1.7
Hood	2	23.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	13.5	301	8.8	16 618	6.2
Hopkins	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	21.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Houston	8	11.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	17.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Howard	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	32.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hudspeth	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	10.0	581	10.8	30 823	13.9
Hunt	9	10.1	2 137	6.4	146 231	8.1	51	3.6	16 445	1.2	981 050	.8
Hutchinson	33	2.4	18 231	.6	3 162 840	.6	38	2.8	11 972	1.8	775 735	2.2
Irion	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	20.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jack	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	14.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jackson	82	2.2	38 052	1.0	3 217 601	.9	122	2.1	52 540	1.1	3 238 963	1.0
Jasper	12	10.6	61	12.4	2 474	15.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jeff Davis	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jefferson	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jim Hogg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jim Wells	47	3.8	19 100	1.5	1 441 910	1.3	89	2.6	85 165	.7	4 352 904	.5
Johnson	9	7.7	1 405	3.9	173 917	1.4	49	4.1	10 407	2.8	591 384	3.0
Jones	—	—	—	—	—	—	80	3.7	7 639	3.4	283 541	3.1
Karnes	74	3.7	8 697	3.7	706 386	4.0	66	3.7	9 262	4.3	355 763	4.4
Kaufman	5	9.9	4 350	.9	315 950	1.5	12	8.4	4 383	6.3	175 777	8.0
Kendall	5	12.1	198	7.5	16 151	8.1	4	11.4	120	7.1	6 757	9.6
Kenedy	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kent	1	41.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	34.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kerr	2	19.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	19.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kimble	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	10.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
King	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	30.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kinney	2	19.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kleberg	3	22.2	122	22.1	5 744	33.3	26	4.6	46 161	.5	2 803 294	.4
Knox	—	—	—	—	—	—	35	4.1	4 225	2.7	173 237	2.6
Lamar	33	5.3	4 781	3.1	434 540	3.7	30	5.2	5 476	3.6	311 020	3.0
Lamb	210	1.5	46 328	.9	7 836 953	.8	156	1.9	18 248	2.2	1 156 798	1.6
Lampasas	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7	10.4	706	11.6	24 810	10.7
La Salle	5	10.3	1 201	2.6	104 190	1.4	7	6.9	3 711	1.9	167 333	3.6
Lavaca	130	2.7	5 363	4.6	336 252	4.2	36	5.6	1 347	7.5	65 051	7.1
Lee	38	4.7	2 321	6.1	218 732	7.6	26	5.4	956	6.5	61 446	5.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed						Sorghum for grain or seed					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Leon	3	24.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	16.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Liberty	3	24.3	8	29.7	(D)	(D)	26	5.2	7 923	2.9	520 068	2.2
Limestone	18	6.2	5 265	1.0	261 026	1.1	15	7.4	2 774	3.7	122 874	2.4
Lipscomb	9	—	4 458	—	874 844	—	55	3.8	11 170	3.9	665 374	5.2
Live Oak	32	5.3	12 365	4.0	1 035 928	3.0	35	5.1	10 104	3.2	589 785	2.3
Llano	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loving	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lubbock	14	8.6	949	11.5	143 604	12.1	139	2.0	23 744	1.7	1 299 828	1.5
Lynn	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	46	3.2	11 549	3.9	512 047	3.1
McCulloch	—	—	—	—	—	—	19	6.8	2 710	4.3	115 386	4.7
McLennan	119	2.5	48 943	1.1	4 321 170	.9	127	2.6	25 348	1.8	1 602 378	1.8
McMullen	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	14.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Madison	2	16.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Marion	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	32.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Martin	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	—	2 503	—	84 849	—
Mason	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	18.8	65	14.9	(D)	(D)
Matagorda	12	6.5	1 959	.6	172 033	.5	95	2.5	40 814	1.6	2 645 205	1.7
Maverick	2	21.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	8.0	589	1.2	28 836	.9
Medina	104	2.6	26 779	1.7	2 912 586	1.7	192	2.0	32 883	2.1	2 616 571	2.1
Menard	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Midland	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	14.9	408	3.0	21 093	2.9
Milam	97	2.6	27 041	1.6	2 516 568	1.6	121	2.7	29 065	2.4	1 776 735	2.2
Mills	2	24.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	11.9	586	13.4	13 245	16.1
Mitchell	—	—	—	—	—	—	14	5.5	2 600	4.3	67 149	5.7
Montague	3	16.6	60	20.0	3 870	25.4	7	9.9	1 126	17.2	43 756	15.7
Montgomery	3	20.5	(D)	(D)	1 171	22.1	1	43.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Moore	107	1.2	57 096	.4	10 998 373	.5	92	1.6	32 347	1.4	2 267 240	1.9
Morris	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Motley	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	229	—	12 040	—
Nacogdoches	4	17.7	29	26.8	2 150	28.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Navarro	27	4.9	3 722	2.7	305 110	2.7	55	3.4	16 511	1.7	907 062	1.5
Newton	7	13.4	31	19.6	911	17.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nolan	3	16.3	220	20.0	17 600	20.0	30	4.2	5 341	3.9	192 982	3.7
Nueces	61	2.6	17 241	1.1	1 511 420	1.0	232	1.4	193 661	.6	12 533 466	.6
Ochiltree	43	2.5	14 683	.8	2 538 933	.8	143	1.7	48 351	.9	2 854 987	1.1
Oldham	3	11.0	410	4.0	47 907	6.0	31	3.2	12 191	1.4	622 647	1.3
Orange	1	42.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	42.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Palo Pinto	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	7.7	335	2.8	19 975	1.6
Panola	2	19.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Parker	2	25.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	14.3	166	16.3	6 880	16.3
Parmer	273	1.0	76 307	.5	13 611 461	.5	209	1.3	41 402	1.3	2 356 516	1.2
Pecos	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	11.9	272	7.0	16 054	8.5
Polk	2	21.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Potter	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	18	5.3	5 435	2.8	228 224	3.7
Presidio	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	23.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Rains	4	12.5	9	14.0	482	14.3	1	22.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Randall	16	5.9	2 777	3.5	419 189	3.5	111	2.4	28 983	1.9	2 073 711	1.5
Reagan	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	13	7.7	1 254	3.4	34 210	3.9
Real	1	35.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	18.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Red River	7	9.6	710	5.3	69 452	5.4	6	—	1 045	—	25 692	—
Reeves	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	22.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Refugio	35	3.5	10 653	2.6	868 192	2.3	52	3.1	39 878	1.1	2 486 869	1.2
Roberts	4	—	1 875	—	318 038	—	11	2.9	2 985	3.2	158 842	2.2
Robertson	21	3.1	6 646	.3	690 569	.2	28	3.0	10 386	1.3	713 605	.2
Rockwall	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	10.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Runnels	3	17.8	82	17.8	1 994	18.1	178	2.1	36 816	1.9	1 317 436	1.9
Rusk	6	15.0	94	25.0	4 030	27.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sabine	3	24.1	40	31.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Augustine	1	42.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
San Jacinto	1	41.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Patricio	58	2.7	19 467	.8	1 658 130	.8	212	1.2	134 831	.7	9 139 977	.6
San Saba	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	13.7	1 354	3.2	(D)	(D)
Schleicher	—	—	—	—	—	—	16	8.0	4 469	7.3	168 498	7.9
Scurry	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	9.7	1 458	10.5	59 405	12.1
Shackelford	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	12.6	964	12.1	27 077	11.1
Shelby	2	26.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	35.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Sherman	120	1.5	60 227	.7	11 394 079	.6	92	1.9	27 961	1.0	1 891 340	.8
Smith	5	17.4	31	20.3	1 341	21.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Somervell	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	17.7	90	17.7	1 800	17.7
Starr	21	5.8	9 288	3.3	546 760	3.5	22	5.0	33 501	.7	1 778 546	.7
Stephens	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	12.0	274	8.0	7 651	11.8
Sterling	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stonewall	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	12.4	519	15.2	15 655	20.6
Sutton	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Swisher	97	2.3	21 775	.9	3 685 815	.7	168	1.8	38 059	1.1	2 442 895	1.0
Tarrant	3	16.4	417	4.4	33 908	6.9	16	7.7	5 037	4.3	298 448	4.8
Taylor	2	18.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	43	4.6	5 484	3.4	137 864	3.2
Terrell	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Terry	5	—	434	—	59 674	—	118	1.9	26 442	2.2	997 788	2.3
Throckmorton	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	11.1	347	15.9	9 385	19.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested												
	Corn for grain or seed					Sorghum for grain or seed							
	Farms		Acres		Quantity			Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Titus	3	15.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Tom Green	9	6.5	1 688	.8	236 559	.6	161	2.1	43 283	1.6	1 789 393	1.5	
Travis	66	3.7	10 698	4.9	834 870	5.1	100	2.8	20 000	3.0	1 073 363	2.8	
Trinity	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Tyler	2	25.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Upshur	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Upton	2	30.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	12.8	433	15.7	23 663	9.7	
Uvalde	56	2.1	22 692	.7	2 955 715	.7	74	2.7	15 530	2.1	1 231 028	2.1	
Val Verde	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Van Zandt	5	12.4	197	13.2	(D)	(D)	3	22.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	
Victoria	85	2.5	21 507	1.3	1 702 796	1.3	76	2.5	34 288	1.0	2 336 470	1.1	
Walker	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Waller	37	4.8	7 866	4.0	792 414	4.0	7	7.0	1 774	2.7	84 575	3.7	
Ward	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Washington	20	6.6	754	3.6	54 926	2.5	8	8.7	1 702	1.8	28 233	7.2	
Webb	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	9.3	475	3.4	28 748	1.6	
Wharton	115	2.4	27 019	1.3	2 336 000	1.1	264	1.7	88 316	1.1	5 649 493	1.1	
Wheeler	5	9.7	750	11.6	88 133	9.3	35	4.9	9 384	3.2	359 501	3.4	
Wichita	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	11.7	1 072	3.8	47 273	2.9	
Wilbarger	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	39	4.2	6 002	5.7	233 243	5.4	
Willacy	17	—	7 694	—	556 739	—	141	1.4	109 275	.6	6 543 774	.5	
Williamson	221	2.0	51 705	1.1	4 683 081	1.0	310	1.7	59 042	1.8	3 423 540	1.9	
Wilson	71	3.5	7 436	2.5	693 916	2.3	146	2.5	21 883	2.3	1 393 948	2.4	
Winkler	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Wise	5	10.3	640	2.8	55 400	2.2	11	7.4	1 424	10.1	79 998	9.0	
Wood	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	41.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	
Yoakum	4	10.7	805	3.2	122 375	3.8	63	2.4	21 913	1.9	775 247	2.6	
Young	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	8.7	520	4.0	18 397	2.0	
Zapata	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	20.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	
Zavala	19	—	4 646	—	558 991	—	31	3.9	8 411	2.9	489 285	4.2	

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain					Rice						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity			Farms		Acres		Quantity
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	13 669	.6	3 860 325	.4	108 242 787	.3	843	1.1	280 676	.6	15 348 483	.6
Anderson	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Andrews	4	10.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Angelina	3	18.4	92	29.0	1 860	28.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Aransas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Archer	159	2.0	50 167	1.7	1 319 595	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Armstrong	103	2.3	40 432	1.9	898 097	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Atascosa	16	8.1	1 764	8.4	31 570	5.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Austin	4	13.9	104	10.7	4 850	9.6	13	7.0	3 741	5.9	175 843	2.3
Bailey	135	2.2	23 719	1.8	687 490	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bandera	3	19.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bastrop	5	15.3	141	21.4	4 171	16.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Baylor	169	1.7	84 368	1.3	2 070 005	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bee	20	5.7	2 109	3.6	40 822	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bell	189	2.3	22 641	1.6	656 687	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bexar	94	3.3	10 117	3.1	255 170	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Blanco	3	18.0	83	9.2	2 490	9.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Borden	10	7.4	802	4.6	18 648	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bosque	99	2.7	10 275	3.1	246 775	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bowie	20	5.0	6 759	4.1	205 598	5.2	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Brazoria	3	26.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	57	2.9	19 111	1.3	1 134 188	1.1
Brazos	1	29.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brewster	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Briscoe	75	2.7	23 526	2.2	502 108	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brooks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brown	96	3.2	12 939	3.7	269 712	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Burleson	6	8.4	750	1.5	18 545	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Burnet	7	12.6	213	20.7	4 127	14.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Caldwell	7	8.9	807	3.7	20 261	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Calhoun	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	14	6.2	2 536	4.5	138 807	4.5
Callahan	141	2.5	22 195	2.5	595 347	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cameron	13	9.2	3 079	10.0	136 468	8.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Camp	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carson	196	1.5	89 448	1.1	2 208 029	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cass	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Castro	317	1.0	100 977	.6	3 649 027	.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chambers	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	80	3.3	20 982	2.8	949 505	2.7
Cherokee	2	24.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Childress	75	2.6	35 504	1.1	675 970	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clay	188	1.9	40 737	2.0	1 012 570	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain					Rice						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Cochran	43	2.6	7 485	2.4	179 754	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coke	30	5.2	4 820	3.4	103 371	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coleman	196	2.1	36 465	2.1	700 739	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Collin	108	2.7	33 165	1.5	1 161 864	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Collingsworth	76	2.9	17 878	1.5	376 647	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Colorado	1	38.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	132	2.3	44 261	1.5	2 560 996	1.4
Comal	10	9.2	974	10.7	31 523	11.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Comanche	35	4.9	4 746	5.4	125 265	5.9	1	47.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Concho	136	2.3	33 016	2.3	743 144	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cooke	193	2.1	28 137	1.8	972 073	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coryell	101	3.0	16 270	2.2	452 658	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cottle	33	3.8	11 315	2.9	223 916	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crane	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crockett	2	24.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crosby	83	2.3	12 027	1.5	177 869	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Culberson	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dallam	189	1.3	106 645	.5	4 810 738	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dallas	35	5.2	9 999	4.1	354 033	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dawson	24	4.0	2 930	5.4	103 143	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deaf Smith	341	1.0	150 404	.6	5 207 641	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Delta	21	6.0	4 790	5.7	212 892	5.4	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Denton	165	2.6	45 215	1.9	1 487 576	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
De Witt	20	6.5	1 251	6.7	31 017	7.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dickens	55	3.0	8 814	3.0	140 560	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dimmit	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Donley	41	4.1	8 664	3.1	201 894	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Duval	3	16.7	(D)	(D)	20 700	31.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Eastland	57	4.1	5 313	4.5	136 598	5.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ector	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Edwards	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ellis	144	2.3	26 545	1.9	804 409	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
El Paso	15	5.9	935	3.5	47 511	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Erath	22	6.1	1 173	4.3	30 103	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Falls	117	2.7	14 300	2.8	447 164	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fannin	130	2.7	31 674	1.5	1 193 883	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fayette	7	10.1	303	7.3	7 658	8.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fisher	101	3.0	20 695	2.9	416 482	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Floyd	215	1.5	62 841	1.1	1 394 641	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Foard	95	2.3	40 612	1.4	813 316	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fort Bend	1	49.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	29	4.6	11 592	2.7	658 485	2.7
Franklin	3	11.1	370	11.7	8 050	13.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Freestone	1	35.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Frio	19	3.3	6 532	.6	231 725	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gaines	117	1.6	22 192	1.6	801 210	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Galveston	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	12.1	1 150	13.6	51 563	13.4
Garza	8	10.5	1 098	6.8	20 566	6.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gillespie	95	3.1	6 065	4.1	190 241	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glasscock	46	2.4	6 331	.9	196 957	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Goliad	3	11.5	404	3.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gonzales	10	9.8	746	8.5	11 669	11.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gray	106	2.4	56 199	1.4	1 199 679	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grayson	138	2.7	35 769	1.7	1 408 613	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gregg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grimes	1	33.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Guadalupe	128	2.4	12 987	2.3	356 835	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hale	272	1.5	37 124	1.2	1 049 896	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hall	27	5.7	5 551	3.7	94 800	5.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hamilton	99	2.7	10 892	3.6	308 146	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hansford	171	1.2	136 871	.6	4 151 253	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hardeman	159	1.8	68 922	1.3	1 620 416	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hardin	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	44.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Harris	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	16	5.6	7 218	3.1	356 432	3.0
Harrison	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hartley	93	1.5	44 779	1.1	1 852 790	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Haskell	309	1.5	89 153	1.5	1 744 906	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hays	18	5.9	4 375	4.2	107 845	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hemphill	49	3.3	14 840	2.9	304 215	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Henderson	2	19.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hidalgo	11	7.3	1 852	13.4	87 060	11.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hill	243	1.8	52 072	1.2	1 837 401	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hockley	66	2.7	8 650	2.6	201 434	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hood	8	11.3	443	12.6	13 202	14.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hopkins	4	10.2	717	5.7	35 425	5.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Houston	2	20.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Howard	7	5.9	1 989	3.8	63 950	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hudspeth	2	24.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hunt	58	3.9	10 451	2.2	381 555	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hutchinson	64	2.4	53 380	1.1	1 378 313	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Irion	11	10.3	1 990	18.0	57 654	20.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jack	40	4.4	3 053	5.9	76 659	6.1	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain						Rice					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jackson.....	5	7.1	(D)	(D)	52 212	.7	57	3.0	18 195	2.2	987 964	2.0
Jasper.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jeff Davis.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	90	2.3	29 623	1.4	1 409 421	1.2
Jim Hogg.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jim Wells.....	11	5.9	2 232	5.6	51 322	5.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Johnson.....	69	3.6	15 104	3.2	467 839	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jones.....	253	1.9	64 214	1.5	1 407 833	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Karnes.....	63	4.0	5 495	5.0	107 538	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kaufman.....	19	6.0	10 821	2.7	313 398	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kendall.....	15	6.9	568	6.6	17 402	7.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kenedy.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kent.....	18	5.8	3 415	9.1	65 358	7.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kerr.....	11	8.8	755	11.9	32 809	12.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kimble.....	9	5.6	1 530	.9	59 812	.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
King.....	4	11.5	686	7.6	10 081	7.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kinney.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kleberg.....	2	21.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Knox.....	181	1.6	101 195	1.1	2 173 362	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lamar.....	50	3.7	8 403	2.7	307 433	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lamb.....	222	1.6	22 911	1.3	805 834	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lampasas.....	39	4.7	4 781	4.1	181 448	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
La Salle.....	4	—	1 051	—	25 239	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lavaca.....	3	20.1	133	21.0	2 856	21.5	5	—	2 694	—	160 976	—
Lee.....	8	9.9	245	13.4	7 012	14.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Leon.....	—	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Liberty.....	3	21.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	33	3.8	12 859	1.5	604 582	1.6
Limestone.....	21	7.0	4 417	6.0	129 372	6.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lipscomb.....	99	2.5	42 059	2.0	773 066	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Live Oak.....	17	8.2	2 384	10.9	41 843	11.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Llano.....	5	11.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loving.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lubbock.....	88	2.8	6 838	3.1	144 456	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lynn.....	39	3.0	4 747	1.8	97 611	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
McCulloch.....	118	2.3	40 250	2.0	1 098 938	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
McLennan.....	192	2.2	41 520	1.5	1 481 047	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
McMullen.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marion.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Martin.....	15	6.1	2 653	3.6	84 126	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mason.....	13	6.7	532	7.8	17 177	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Matagorda.....	3	14.5	664	19.3	(D)	(D)	96	2.3	27 820	1.6	1 456 601	1.7
Maverick.....	3	—	603	—	20 522	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Medina.....	160	2.3	21 662	2.3	705 138	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Menard.....	15	7.6	2 446	10.2	70 164	14.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Midland.....	5	6.3	323	8.7	8 253	8.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Milam.....	116	2.8	11 827	2.9	348 137	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mills.....	53	4.4	4 549	5.6	122 447	5.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mitchell.....	39	4.5	6 075	3.7	141 184	3.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montague.....	50	4.4	8 794	3.3	234 045	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montgomery.....	1	32.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Moore.....	141	1.4	84 035	.6	2 718 931	.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Morris.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Motley.....	19	4.6	3 757	1.6	67 291	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nacogdoches.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Navarro.....	57	3.6	8 722	3.4	267 466	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Newton.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nolan.....	63	3.3	13 197	2.5	294 834	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nueces.....	7	11.4	1 715	13.8	38 792	17.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ochiltree.....	208	1.3	160 674	.7	3 931 789	.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oldham.....	64	2.3	31 031	2.0	756 788	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Orange.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	8.7	1 446	4.7	66 036	4.7
Palo Pinto.....	35	4.6	2 965	5.0	76 592	5.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Panola.....	3	12.9	220	7.0	5 160	12.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Parker.....	14	8.5	1 659	6.4	39 132	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Parmer.....	366	.9	104 345	.9	3 749 277	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pecos.....	6	8.2	1 368	2.7	67 428	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Polk.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Potter.....	36	4.3	15 637	3.7	405 861	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Presidio.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rains.....	3	10.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Randall.....	176	1.8	81 010	1.2	2 468 027	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Reagan.....	30	4.6	3 372	4.8	94 807	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Real.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Red River.....	11	5.9	1 951	2.2	53 582	3.2	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Reeves.....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Refugio.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Roberts.....	23	2.2	15 232	1.4	243 255	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Robertson.....	1	39.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rockwall.....	10	9.8	6 341	1.5	268 774	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Wheat for grain						Rice					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Runnels.....	267	1.7	64 822	1.6	1 531 198	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rusk.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sabine.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Augustine.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Jacinto.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Patricio.....	10	6.0	2 453	2.3	45 020	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Saba.....	86	2.9	16 577	2.6	593 892	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Schleicher.....	28	5.8	4 963	7.4	116 461	8.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Scurry.....	59	4.0	8 273	3.6	195 007	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shackelford.....	70	3.2	16 646	4.0	365 312	4.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shelby.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sherman.....	167	1.4	121 942	.6	4 186 147	.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Smith.....	1	36.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Somervell.....	3	12.7	180	4.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Starr.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stephens.....	36	5.1	3 658	3.4	86 670	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sterling.....	4	—	1 820	—	49 707	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stonewall.....	76	3.3	28 260	2.3	601 996	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sutton.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swisher.....	236	1.5	67 693	1.1	1 880 954	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tarrant.....	41	4.8	12 796	3.5	402 863	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Taylor.....	248	1.9	57 382	1.7	1 415 006	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Terrell.....	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Terry.....	71	2.2	6 644	3.7	248 061	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Throckmorton.....	141	1.8	61 042	1.4	1 446 701	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Titus.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tom Green.....	185	2.1	31 694	1.7	1 024 253	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Travis.....	66	3.8	4 450	4.4	131 008	4.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Trinity.....	1	38.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tyler.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Upshur.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Upton.....	9	9.6	1 709	3.7	41 196	3.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Uvalde.....	56	3.6	17 740	1.7	631 632	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Val Verde.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Van Zandt.....	3	18.6	46	12.9	1 245	17.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Victoria.....	5	16.6	109	18.8	1 361	19.7	6	9.7	3 172	3.4	166 876	4.0
Walker.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waller.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	13	4.7	6 706	.3	468 471	.3
Ward.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington.....	3	17.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Webb.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wharton.....	6	14.5	1 776	19.8	35 362	25.5	185	1.9	63 885	1.1	3 814 302	1.1
Wheeler.....	75	3.3	22 716	2.5	363 303	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wichita.....	171	2.1	67 490	1.3	1 491 057	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilbarger.....	252	1.5	109 979	1.2	2 589 506	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Willacy.....	2	31.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Williamson.....	182	2.4	12 579	2.2	370 864	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilson.....	78	3.5	5 088	4.3	112 320	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Winkler.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wise.....	35	4.8	6 072	5.0	181 159	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wood.....	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yoakum.....	34	1.8	8 001	1.9	308 690	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Young.....	198	1.9	50 872	1.7	1 353 631	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Zapata.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Zavala.....	36	4.2	12 193	3.3	285 937	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Cotton						Soybeans for beans					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas.....	10 971	.6	5 221 561	.3	4 828 062	.3	1 705	.8	381 187	.6	10 114 310	.5
Anderson.....	4	12.9	1 345	11.0	864	5.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Andrews.....	20	4.5	20 772	1.2	11 250	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Angelina.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Aransas.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Archer.....	11	9.3	948	6.8	505	9.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Armstrong.....	5	14.9	361	17.5	227	19.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Atascosa.....	3	16.6	220	19.3	149	17.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Austin.....	19	6.5	2 844	2.8	3 072	2.8	2	16.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bailey.....	175	1.8	73 651	1.4	72 410	1.3	9	7.3	1 184	10.4	36 032	8.9
Bandera.....	1	35.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bastrop.....	7	10.8	835	13.3	779	16.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Baylor.....	44	4.5	7 964	4.0	4 643	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bee.....	5	7.7	2 702	1.7	2 355	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bell.....	32	4.2	3 611	3.3	2 902	3.2	5	13.8	483	9.3	8 216	11.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Cotton						Soybeans for beans					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Bexar	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	48.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Blanco	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Borden	35	3.7	24 309	2.3	20 617	1.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bosque	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bowie	—	—	—	—	—	—	24	4.7	10 173	3.4	303 513	3.9
Brazoria	30	5.7	7 211	1.4	6 447	1.3	35	2.9	9 018	3.6	243 743	3.4
Brazos	15	4.7	5 939	1.7	9 290	1.9	7	10.1	1 440	11.2	32 827	11.4
Brewster	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Briscoe	79	2.3	35 485	1.6	35 843	1.3	3	14.6	204	19.3	11 600	16.6
Brooks	1	45.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brown	4	8.7	753	4.1	835	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Burleson	32	4.1	15 376	1.1	23 827	.9	7	—	1 821	—	48 217	—
Burnet	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Caldwell	20	5.8	4 163	2.7	2 927	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Calhoun	48	3.0	16 220	1.3	20 385	1.2	25	3.6	7 074	1.8	198 863	1.9
Callahan	4	15.9	230	20.1	193	28.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cameron	183	1.9	51 952	.8	55 219	.9	13	3.6	4 131	9.2	124 077	7.6
Camp	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carson	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	27	3.6	4 492	2.8	138 133	2.2
Cass	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Castro	236	1.2	58 330	.6	84 397	.5	39	2.3	3 579	1.8	124 037	2.4
Chambers	—	—	—	—	—	—	20	6.2	3 465	5.7	71 606	6.5
Cherokee	1	38.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	24.5	114	39.1	(D)	(D)
Childress	92	2.3	44 010	1.6	34 806	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clay	10	6.7	2 869	1.7	1 760	1.8	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cochran	135	1.7	116 568	.7	97 689	.6	15	4.2	4 200	4.6	125 839	5.3
Coke	7	12.4	838	12.4	327	13.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coleman	16	7.9	3 022	7.9	1 921	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Collin	21	4.2	6 478	1.5	5 357	1.0	12	6.2	1 781	1.1	57 424	1.6
Collingsworth	73	2.9	27 035	1.8	17 431	2.1	3	14.0	163	7.7	3 419	8.1
Colorado	6	7.6	1 128	3.1	1 456	2.4	10	4.8	1 975	5.4	56 302	4.8
Comal	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	30.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Comanche	2	17.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Concho	54	3.9	19 252	2.8	11 491	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cooke	1	36.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	15	7.2	538	8.5	8 584	7.5
Coryell	6	10.9	812	10.2	612	9.7	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cottle	57	2.7	21 472	2.4	10 633	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crane	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crockett	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crosby	251	1.2	233 538	.6	217 059	.5	10	8.1	979	3.7	29 494	3.4
Culberson	4	16.0	869	3.2	1 254	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dallam	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dallas	3	23.0	114	22.1	103	22.3	9	9.1	3 143	2.5	106 440	2.2
Dawson	390	1.0	274 472	.7	223 069	.6	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Deaf Smith	52	2.9	10 706	1.8	14 720	1.7	5	9.9	397	5.1	11 465	7.9
Delta	9	10.5	1 904	5.8	915	5.2	34	4.8	18 818	1.3	530 397	1.2
Denton	6	6.4	1 303	.4	963	.4	5	—	796	—	24 395	—
De Witt	6	7.8	355	7.1	360	7.9	3	11.1	111	6.3	2 085	8.4
Dickens	63	3.1	26 527	2.2	18 562	1.9	1	29.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dimmit	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Donley	50	4.1	15 638	2.9	9 136	3.1	5	13.2	301	11.9	10 704	11.2
Duval	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Eastland	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	36.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Ector	1	39.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Edwards	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ellis	74	2.9	30 737	1.4	23 881	1.5	28	5.1	4 261	3.3	102 922	2.5
El Paso	85	2.4	27 103	.8	45 746	.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Erath	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	29.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Falls	38	4.1	8 559	1.7	9 288	1.4	20	5.5	4 752	6.0	143 474	6.1
Fannin	5	12.1	557	5.9	405	5.7	76	3.5	22 422	1.9	532 149	1.4
Fayette	3	21.3	60	30.7	97	33.5	3	15.6	284	11.9	7 800	19.2
Fisher	160	2.2	66 870	1.7	53 788	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Floyd	274	1.2	148 345	.7	152 934	.6	77	2.3	10 491	1.3	407 801	1.1
Foard	23	3.3	6 368	2.4	3 526	2.2	1	41.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Fort Bend	206	2.1	46 380	1.8	46 218	1.8	50	4.1	7 627	2.6	153 471	2.9
Franklin	3	11.3	184	3.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Freestone	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Frio	4	11.2	605	5.6	990	7.9	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Gaines	403	.9	278 940	.4	282 317	.4	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Galveston	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Garza	86	2.6	43 986	2.3	33 970	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gillespie	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glasscock	108	1.8	84 156	1.0	62 843	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Goliad	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gonzales	1	48.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gray	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	12	6.4	1 761	3.1	45 374	3.6
Grayson	6	14.2	574	13.5	366	15.6	27	5.8	3 123	5.5	53 862	4.2
Gregg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grimes	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Guadalupe	11	7.9	661	5.8	442	5.1	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Cotton					Soybeans for beans						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Hale	513	1.1	207 674	.7	245 185	.6	107	2.3	17 081	2.7	614 295	3.0
Hall	141	2.1	75 286	1.6	53 790	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hamilton	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hansford	—	—	—	—	—	—	37	1.8	6 730	1.8	237 219	1.0
Hardeman	36	3.7	6 855	1.8	4 189	2.6	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hardin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harris	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	9.4	2 722	6.2	50 746	7.5
Harrison	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hartley	3	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	—	406	—	11 883	—
Haskell	243	1.8	85 123	1.4	58 974	1.2	6	12.4	628	15.0	15 828	21.8
Hays	3	13.7	210	11.9	102	11.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hemphill	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Henderson	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hidalgo	129	1.4	61 890	.7	72 112	.4	20	4.0	1 343	3.4	38 512	3.4
Hill	90	2.6	19 972	1.3	17 603	1.4	2	17.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hockley	338	1.2	221 358	.7	195 238	.7	9	8.1	1 824	11.6	53 903	10.6
Hood	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hopkins	2	20.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	36.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Houston	8	9.7	3 303	9.2	2 712	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Howard	183	1.9	102 389	1.4	73 643	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hudspeth	21	5.4	9 430	1.4	16 856	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hunt	26	4.8	11 202	2.2	6 528	2.3	17	6.3	6 059	1.5	140 771	1.5
Hutchinson	—	—	—	—	—	—	22	2.7	3 059	3.0	111 145	1.9
Irion	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jack	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jackson	62	2.2	23 257	.8	28 323	.6	40	3.4	8 564	4.2	231 111	3.6
Jasper	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jeff Davis	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson	3	21.3	310	20.5	313	20.5	12	2.8	3 445	3.5	82 825	2.6
Jim Hogg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jim Wells	20	4.3	7 075	2.0	5 121	1.6	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Johnson	8	9.1	1 205	7.9	753	8.9	1	35.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Jones	182	2.2	75 494	1.7	54 804	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Karnes	2	17.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	16.0	102	19.2	2 039	15.8
Kaufman	6	14.8	757	21.0	387	20.5	9	6.9	2 876	2.0	41 887	2.9
Kendall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kenedy	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kent	23	5.7	6 081	10.5	3 226	6.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kerr	2	19.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kimble	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
King	3	15.7	2 840	10.6	2 025	7.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kinney	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kleberg	20	4.3	38 070	.6	22 775	.3	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Knox	71	2.8	25 301	1.9	21 967	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lamar	12	9.0	1 677	10.2	1 563	10.0	128	2.6	35 899	1.5	836 484	1.4
Lamb	438	1.1	175 894	.8	209 082	.7	39	3.4	4 430	2.3	163 996	2.1
Lampasas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
La Salle	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lavaca	8	9.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	8	10.3	394	12.4	9 581	12.6
Lee	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	14.0	98	6.5	1 556	10.4
Leon	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Liberty	1	48.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	48	3.9	37 309	1.7	809 595	1.7
Limestone	12	7.3	3 305	6.8	1 643	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lipscomb	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	41.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Live Oak	3	—	643	—	644	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Llano	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loving	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lubbock	519	1.1	279 205	.7	275 647	.6	41	4.1	4 933	5.8	135 543	7.2
Lynn	364	.9	270 283	.5	222 794	.5	15	5.4	3 342	5.5	74 579	5.5
McCulloch	15	6.4	4 380	2.8	2 681	3.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
McLennan	23	4.0	8 642	1.1	8 879	1.1	15	6.5	2 599	2.0	72 888	2.0
McMullen	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marion	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Martin	193	1.3	147 605	.8	119 124	.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mason	1	29.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	47.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Matagorda	52	3.4	22 252	1.1	28 151	1.2	71	3.1	16 670	1.9	402 757	1.8
Maverick	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Medina	20	4.3	3 364	1.4	5 861	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Menard	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Midland	55	2.9	27 352	1.9	21 333	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Milam	59	3.3	14 391	2.0	13 924	1.4	9	8.6	1 666	6.5	35 801	5.4
Mills	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mitchell	111	2.3	60 127	1.6	44 495	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montague	1	36.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montgomery	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Moore	—	—	—	—	—	—	14	5.5	1 596	4.9	49 375	5.5
Morris	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Motley	56	2.7	35 781	1.2	22 186	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nacogdoches	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.											
	Cotton						Soybeans for beans					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Navarro	59	3.3	23 866	2.2	18 013	1.6	1	33.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Newton	1	20.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nolan	80	2.7	46 261	1.5	36 790	1.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Nueces	170	1.6	100 597	.5	95 314	.4	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Ochiltree	1	38.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	18	5.1	2 855	3.6	112 555	3.6
Oldham	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Orange	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	42.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Palo Pinto	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Panola	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Parker	1	37.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Parmer	266	1.1	61 293	.6	94 240	.7	20	4.8	2 022	9.5	62 864	10.5
Pecos	19	6.7	8 767	5.4	9 665	2.4	2	23.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Polk	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Potter	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Presidio	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rains	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Randall	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Reagan	51	2.7	37 624	1.8	26 974	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Real	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Red River	6	—	4 079	—	5 240	—	32	4.6	17 375	3.5	404 468	3.1
Reeves	26	5.0	6 620	2.8	8 034	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Refugio	41	3.2	21 044	1.3	23 130	1.2	8	8.1	2 348	2.9	41 757	3.9
Roberts	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Robertson	28	3.4	12 082	1.5	18 705	1.3	3	15.7	270	6.1	7 704	8.4
Rockwall	2	21.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Runnels	165	2.2	46 188	1.9	22 291	1.7	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Rusk	2	28.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sabine	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	28.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
San Augustine	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Jacinto	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
San Patricio	163	1.3	81 685	.5	87 743	.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
San Saba	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Schleicher	17	7.0	6 672	5.0	2 902	5.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Scurry	162	2.1	60 374	1.9	46 396	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shackelford	9	9.0	2 412	7.5	1 576	5.4	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Shelby	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	35.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Sherman	1	48.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	12.2	377	15.5	11 760	9.4
Smith	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Somervell	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Starr	8	8.1	4 591	3.0	2 866	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stephens	3	16.0	314	19.5	169	20.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sterling	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stonewall	32	5.6	5 172	7.5	3 516	7.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sutton	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swisher	173	1.7	55 056	1.1	65 166	.9	46	3.3	7 410	1.2	294 581	1.0
Tarrant	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	49.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Taylor	31	4.8	17 808	1.2	11 792	1.0	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Terrell	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Terry	330	1.1	220 240	.6	212 580	.5	9	5.4	1 135	3.2	24 865	.8
Throckmorton	37	4.6	7 138	5.6	3 064	5.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Titus	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tom Green	187	1.9	76 382	1.3	55 969	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Travis	44	4.5	5 230	4.7	3 610	5.0	2	17.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Trinity	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tyler	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Upshur	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Upton	26	4.5	14 115	3.2	11 959	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Uvalde	38	2.7	5 990	1.2	12 614	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Val Verde	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Van Zandt	4	15.2	808	23.9	638	23.1	4	18.7	171	27.3	4 700	29.5
Victoria	23	3.2	7 956	.2	8 871	.2	55	2.8	14 594	1.6	355 441	1.5
Walker	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waller	1	34.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	15	6.4	2 569	4.6	52 962	7.6
Ward	8	9.7	1 208	7.7	859	8.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Webb	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wharton	204	1.9	53 341	1.1	57 783	1.1	95	2.5	23 673	2.5	556 132	2.1
Wheeler	14	6.9	5 529	5.4	3 097	3.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wichita	35	4.9	8 998	3.3	4 192	5.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilbarger	97	2.9	20 106	2.4	12 140	2.2	4	9.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Willacy	119	1.6	86 188	.5	79 426	.4	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Williamson	172	2.2	33 182	1.4	29 494	1.2	1	47.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Wilson	9	5.9	1 662	.2	1 942	.1	5	16.0	432	3.5	(D)	(D)
Winkler	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wise	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Wood	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yoakum	146	1.5	125 427	.7	122 511	.6	6	9.9	624	8.9	19 526	12.5
Young	20	5.6	3 234	2.8	2 094	3.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Zapata	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Zavala	15	2.4	2 576	1.3	3 880	1.3	1	48.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Texas	83 219	.6	4 277 199	.6	9 605 686	.6
Anderson	929	.9	43 188	1.3	118 403	1.3
Andrews	19	6.2	1 485	9.3	2 282	13.7
Angelina	391	1.5	11 895	2.2	31 167	2.5
Aransas	22	5.9	631	9.3	1 165	3.0
Archer	194	1.7	22 007	1.0	48 746	.8
Armstrong	57	3.7	3 136	3.6	7 263	5.1
Atascosa	599	1.2	39 821	1.4	84 281	1.3
Austin	1 099	.8	43 847	1.4	103 858	2.0
Bailey	77	3.3	8 902	3.0	23 716	2.0
Bandera	164	2.3	5 560	3.2	10 900	3.9
Bastrop	818	1.0	31 762	1.4	82 645	1.5
Baylor	89	2.9	5 156	2.4	7 740	3.1
Bee	243	1.9	16 759	2.4	31 545	3.1
Bell	838	1.1	31 277	1.5	63 511	1.9
Bexar	926	1.1	34 693	1.6	80 199	1.8
Blanco	215	1.8	8 377	2.6	15 568	2.9
Borden	19	6.4	1 000	4.9	1 282	4.5
Bosque	541	1.0	32 863	1.4	58 629	1.6
Bowie	633	1.1	34 243	1.8	85 416	1.9
Brazoria	496	1.6	21 959	2.5	44 552	2.8
Brazos	453	1.3	19 759	2.0	47 911	2.5
Brewster	2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Briscoe	40	4.2	7 468	2.7	14 100	2.0
Brooks	78	3.1	15 049	1.3	26 217	1.7
Brown	489	1.3	22 724	2.0	45 577	2.3
Burleson	712	1.0	26 357	1.6	65 251	1.8
Burnet	336	1.5	13 596	2.4	25 353	2.7
Caldwell	451	1.2	20 263	1.7	46 396	1.9
Calhoun	81	3.2	2 999	4.5	7 821	6.4
Callahan	384	1.4	16 727	2.0	33 193	2.2
Cameron	140	3.0	6 189	3.2	13 167	3.6
Camp	237	1.4	11 062	1.9	30 814	2.2
Carson	64	3.1	6 104	5.6	11 771	5.1
Cass	532	1.0	24 929	2.1	62 644	1.8
Castro	96	2.6	7 578	1.8	21 480	1.3
Chambers	153	2.4	7 632	3.3	13 637	3.8
Cherokee	862	1.0	49 242	1.3	136 050	1.3
Childress	79	2.6	10 429	1.6	16 442	1.8
Clay	316	1.4	21 817	1.7	35 632	2.0
Cochran	23	6.8	1 699	8.4	4 490	10.2
Coke	85	3.0	5 335	4.5	10 800	3.8
Coleman	343	1.5	25 466	2.2	35 903	2.4
Collin	643	1.2	34 494	1.9	63 574	2.3
Collingsworth	173	2.0	10 763	2.9	20 071	2.6
Colorado	892	.8	29 250	1.3	69 991	1.7
Comal	230	1.7	9 229	3.3	22 039	3.7
Comanche	783	1.0	49 931	1.2	121 453	1.2
Concho	94	3.0	5 661	3.4	11 476	3.8
Cooke	855	1.0	51 047	1.2	105 149	1.5
Coryell	529	1.2	29 485	1.8	52 192	2.2
Cottle	65	2.7	7 756	3.3	10 355	3.6
Crane	1	30.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Crockett	3	13.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Crosby	61	3.2	4 334	3.4	8 053	4.0
Culberson	6	11.0	467	10.6	1 275	10.5
Dallam	63	2.7	6 930	2.5	16 955	2.8
Dallas	199	2.6	15 105	3.7	27 888	4.3
Dawson	35	4.5	2 481	7.6	4 189	7.3
Deaf Smith	131	2.1	23 215	1.3	38 439	2.7
Delta	227	1.6	16 599	2.3	28 893	2.9
Denton	837	1.1	43 958	1.7	95 421	1.6
De Witt	788	.9	29 209	1.4	69 437	1.5
Dickens	79	2.9	5 685	4.4	7 631	4.1
Dimmit	26	4.8	5 785	4.1	6 816	3.7
Donley	88	3.1	5 507	3.6	11 513	4.8
Duval	267	1.9	22 362	2.5	33 652	3.0
Eastland	594	1.2	31 853	1.5	70 607	1.9
Ector	37	5.5	414	7.0	1 355	8.6
Edwards	13	7.3	1 333	3.8	3 066	3.7
Ellis	788	1.0	48 542	1.4	90 963	1.8
El Paso	173	2.1	6 502	1.8	27 709	2.1
Erath	1 008	.8	69 227	1.0	177 645	1.2
Falls	570	1.1	29 215	1.3	63 947	1.5
Fannin	816	1.1	57 548	1.7	102 648	1.8
Fayette	1 707	.6	59 275	1.1	143 602	1.3
Fisher	188	2.0	14 013	2.3	28 461	2.2
Floyd	69	3.2	5 120	3.6	15 098	3.4
Foard	55	3.3	4 186	7.2	9 163	3.9
Fort Bend	393	1.6	18 443	2.5	36 346	3.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.						
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Franklin	335	1.1	21 296	2.5	61 713	2.3	
Freestone	675	1.1	34 863	1.4	81 010	1.5	
Frio	143	2.5	10 255	2.6	19 886	3.0	
Gaines	66	3.4	3 960	1.9	7 943	2.4	
Galveston	142	2.8	7 503	6.0	11 507	7.1	
Garza	46	4.7	2 297	5.1	2 696	4.8	
Gillespie	640	1.0	22 381	1.6	42 678	2.0	
Glasscock	37	4.0	1 759	4.9	2 580	5.0	
Goliad	344	1.2	15 261	2.0	34 747	2.3	
Gonzales	849	.9	38 284	1.2	90 893	1.3	
Gray	79	3.3	6 529	4.4	15 559	8.5	
Grayson	1 008	1.0	55 616	1.5	90 571	2.1	
Gregg	170	2.1	6 264	3.6	12 778	3.6	
Grimes	768	1.0	35 933	1.6	81 322	1.7	
Guadalupe	925	.8	31 744	1.2	70 889	1.4	
Hale	78	3.5	5 414	3.0	16 056	3.7	
Hall	56	4.0	4 341	6.4	7 025	5.3	
Hamilton	510	1.0	28 775	1.5	54 632	1.5	
Hansford	46	2.7	6 951	1.6	21 057	1.1	
Hardeman	92	2.7	6 556	3.9	12 655	3.8	
Hardin	139	2.4	4 491	4.1	10 289	6.1	
Harris	561	1.7	20 886	2.5	45 353	2.4	
Harrison	599	1.2	28 415	1.9	66 781	2.5	
Hartley	33	3.2	4 091	2.8	13 962	2.2	
Haskell	179	2.2	9 632	2.4	18 453	2.6	
Hays	201	2.0	9 363	2.4	20 339	2.5	
Hemphill	77	2.6	8 484	2.5	12 598	2.8	
Henderson	978	1.0	53 861	1.5	146 848	1.7	
Hidalgo	269	1.7	10 493	3.0	21 540	3.8	
Hill	820	1.0	40 250	1.4	82 739	1.7	
Hockley	71	3.5	4 618	6.9	10 187	4.3	
Hood	404	1.4	19 672	2.3	56 509	3.2	
Hopkins	1 198	.8	83 862	.9	226 167	.9	
Houston	773	1.0	43 001	1.3	123 954	1.3	
Howard	59	4.1	4 876	3.6	10 602	5.7	
Hudspeth	49	2.9	12 736	2.0	59 954	2.0	
Hunt	1 024	.9	52 555	1.4	91 953	1.7	
Hutchinson	21	4.3	1 945	3.9	4 359	5.5	
Irion	26	6.2	1 423	10.6	2 029	9.9	
Jack	251	1.7	10 756	2.4	19 263	2.5	
Jackson	237	1.8	8 314	2.9	15 935	3.0	
Jasper	358	1.4	8 715	2.4	22 564	2.5	
Jeff Davis	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	
Jefferson	205	2.0	12 517	2.6	23 521	3.2	
Jim Hogg	21	6.7	1 555	8.2	2 424	5.4	
Jim Wells	282	1.7	19 652	2.8	38 122	3.1	
Johnson	1 039	1.0	55 182	1.5	113 869	1.3	
Jones	298	1.8	15 847	2.6	32 315	3.0	
Karnes	588	1.1	32 353	1.6	70 070	1.9	
Kaufman	875	1.1	54 247	1.5	114 827	1.7	
Kendall	278	1.4	10 747	4.1	22 967	3.6	
Kenedy	3	15.1	474	22.9	857	29.5	
Kent	38	4.4	2 567	4.9	4 426	4.7	
Kerr	194	2.0	8 006	3.3	17 752	5.9	
Kimble	84	2.8	4 027	4.8	7 608	5.6	
King	12	5.7	2 498	7.6	4 628	8.3	
Kinney	18	4.6	1 510	2.8	3 715	3.1	
Kleberg	86	3.0	5 217	4.8	11 189	3.7	
Knox	76	3.0	6 314	3.1	9 297	3.7	
Lamar	832	1.0	65 865	1.4	121 591	1.5	
Lamb	107	2.7	11 861	2.3	39 374	3.0	
Lampasas	298	1.4	16 581	2.1	32 936	2.7	
La Salle	44	4.5	6 002	2.2	8 057	4.8	
Lavaca	1 652	.7	48 530	1.1	114 865	1.2	
Lee	1 003	.8	33 241	1.4	81 043	1.5	
Leon	963	.9	53 257	1.2	133 596	1.5	
Liberty	466	1.4	19 660	2.3	47 595	3.1	
Limestone	632	1.1	39 190	1.6	88 087	1.8	
Lipscomb	66	3.4	10 519	1.6	62 447	.5	
Live Oak	298	1.7	22 127	2.8	42 929	2.6	
Llano	164	2.0	5 409	2.7	10 153	3.2	
Loving	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Lubbock	185	2.4	7 452	3.1	11 669	4.0	
Lynn	56	3.8	2 157	5.0	3 616	6.8	
McCulloch	219	1.7	17 031	2.3	30 401	2.3	
McLennan	1 042	1.0	46 748	1.4	87 314	1.8	
McMullen	47	3.9	5 467	3.9	11 084	4.4	
Madison	465	1.1	19 084	1.6	46 944	2.1	
Marion	142	1.7	7 077	3.5	15 566	3.5	
Martin	50	3.7	4 594	2.7	7 356	3.1	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.						
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Mason	175	1.9	8 155	4.1	15 772	3.9	
Matagorda	215	2.1	10 211	2.6	21 797	2.7	
Maverick	57	3.6	5 013	3.4	12 750	3.4	
Medina	622	1.2	22 107	1.9	45 047	2.2	
Menard	68	3.4	2 330	3.7	6 369	4.5	
Midland	70	3.8	3 069	6.0	4 460	5.6	
Milam	898	.9	47 979	1.2	106 582	1.4	
Mills	329	1.6	19 419	2.2	38 557	2.0	
Mitchell	94	2.9	6 793	3.0	10 858	3.6	
Montague	541	1.2	32 368	1.6	62 930	1.8	
Montgomery	409	1.6	12 613	3.1	25 796	2.5	
Moore	28	4.0	1 634	1.9	3 813	2.0	
Morris	255	1.3	12 481	2.4	39 570	2.7	
Motley	39	3.7	3 194	4.0	3 887	4.2	
Nacogdoches	662	1.1	26 210	1.5	78 581	1.6	
Navarro	703	1.1	41 761	1.6	82 426	2.2	
Newton	146	2.0	3 804	3.5	11 090	3.4	
Nolan	98	2.9	8 550	3.9	19 583	3.2	
Nueces	125	3.1	10 121	3.8	16 256	3.6	
Ochiltree	50	3.2	7 008	1.9	14 563	2.5	
Oldham	20	4.2	2 089	1.4	3 158	1.0	
Orange	101	2.9	4 645	6.4	10 437	8.4	
Palo Pinto	340	1.5	16 357	2.5	32 337	2.5	
Panola	479	1.2	21 281	1.9	56 435	2.0	
Parker	1 131	.9	43 961	1.4	94 060	1.5	
Parmer	93	2.7	8 593	3.2	25 268	4.4	
Pecos	29	4.5	7 299	1.1	26 142	.7	
Polk	283	1.6	11 538	2.6	29 465	3.0	
Potter	23	5.5	3 362	3.2	9 555	2.0	
Presidio	23	6.5	1 977	3.9	7 415	3.3	
Rains	328	.8	15 783	1.1	44 912	1.4	
Randall	133	2.4	11 005	2.7	22 460	2.4	
Reagan	17	6.9	1 710	8.3	2 834	4.3	
Real	34	4.4	1 669	5.9	2 566	10.8	
Red River	606	1.1	41 915	1.7	89 712	1.7	
Reeves	32	4.7	6 965	1.7	43 408	1.3	
Refugio	66	3.7	2 307	4.5	5 254	3.6	
Roberts	21	1.8	3 971	.3	5 071	.4	
Robertson	736	.9	41 929	1.1	114 303	1.3	
Rockwall	103	3.0	5 245	5.3	8 787	5.2	
Runnels	325	1.5	21 333	2.1	34 592	2.3	
Rusk	674	1.1	29 337	1.9	77 839	2.4	
Sabine	111	2.3	3 562	4.0	9 245	5.9	
San Augustine	178	1.8	6 083	3.3	14 857	3.5	
San Jacinto	216	1.6	11 132	1.3	18 531	2.2	
San Patricio	107	3.0	4 674	2.9	8 164	4.9	
San Saba	256	1.6	11 747	1.9	22 205	2.2	
Schleicher	43	4.7	3 502	3.8	7 257	2.8	
Scurry	181	2.2	10 793	2.4	20 025	3.0	
Shackelford	93	2.7	4 946	3.8	8 310	4.6	
Shelby	576	1.1	20 637	1.6	53 360	1.7	
Sherman	34	4.1	3 967	4.1	8 959	9.0	
Smith	1 018	1.0	41 511	1.6	111 309	1.7	
Somervell	132	1.9	4 829	4.0	9 749	3.9	
Starr	108	3.0	10 520	2.8	15 543	3.7	
Stephens	149	2.3	6 611	2.9	11 839	3.6	
Sterling	10	10.3	905	15.3	926	15.3	
Stonewall	77	3.5	4 884	4.3	7 753	4.8	
Sutton	12	8.3	1 249	3.9	2 479	11.0	
Swisher	89	2.8	8 389	2.4	19 034	1.6	
Tarrant	336	1.8	16 208	3.0	35 426	3.3	
Taylor	372	1.6	21 644	2.4	35 933	3.2	
Terrell	5	—	482	—	(D)	(D)	
Terry	29	5.8	1 312	12.0	3 059	10.3	
Throckmorton	81	2.8	5 100	2.6	9 796	2.1	
Titus	460	1.2	21 759	2.5	59 730	2.7	
Tom Green	214	2.2	12 033	3.2	23 494	3.3	
Travis	361	1.7	16 378	2.6	35 472	2.7	
Trinity	329	1.4	13 796	2.0	32 754	2.4	
Tyler	254	1.5	6 643	2.1	19 685	2.7	
Upshur	604	1.0	24 903	1.9	70 903	1.9	
Upton	9	6.5	1 298	1.3	1 969	1.3	
Uvalde	153	2.4	11 755	3.2	19 842	3.3	
Val Verde	39	4.9	1 285	9.3	2 342	10.2	
Van Zandt	1 424	.8	67 865	1.2	191 732	1.4	
Victoria	367	1.5	13 119	2.4	28 691	2.8	
Walker	437	1.3	15 155	1.9	38 418	1.9	
Waller	544	1.2	25 936	1.7	61 040	1.7	
Ward	12	8.4	643	4.6	2 134	2.6	
Washington	1 259	.7	48 202	1.1	112 726	1.3	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1997—Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Webb	42	5.1	3 024	5.7	6 510	6.9
Wharton	476	1.5	19 209	2.2	44 627	2.1
Wheeler	198	1.9	19 831	2.2	30 718	2.3
Wichita	208	2.0	10 326	3.2	20 457	3.7
Wilbarger	171	2.1	19 628	2.1	57 693	1.8
Willacy	30	5.0	1 681	4.1	3 136	3.9
Williamson	928	1.0	38 512	1.3	80 415	1.8
Wilson	1 007	.9	42 809	1.3	93 132	1.6
Winkler	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Wise	1 195	.8	48 074	1.2	116 013	1.4
Wood	841	.9	39 706	1.7	113 262	1.9
Yoakum	19	5.8	1 074	4.7	1 309	3.8
Young	212	1.9	13 631	2.1	22 786	2.2
Zapata	21	7.1	1 053	7.9	1 817	8.3
Zavala	33	4.5	3 940	2.7	7 902	4.6

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Table G. Coverage Estimates: 1997

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census total	Coverage total ¹	Adjusted census		Coverage adjustment (percent)
			Total	Relative standard error (percent)	
Farms number..	194 301	33 813	228 114	1.3	14.8
Land in farms acres..	131 308 286	4 929 043	136 237 329	.8	3.6
Average size of farm acres..	676	146	597	(X)	(X)
Farms by size of farm:					
Less than 10 acres	11 930	5 913	17 843	8.5	33.1
10 to 49 acres	41 615	13 398	55 013	2.6	24.4
50 to 179 acres	59 420	8 679	68 099	1.5	12.7
180 acres or more	81 336	5 823	87 159	1.2	6.7
Farms by value of sales:					
Less than \$2,500	67 440	22 537	89 977	2.5	25.0
\$2,500 to \$9,999	61 882	8 073	69 955	1.6	11.5
\$10,000 or more	64 979	3 203	68 182	1.2	4.7
Market value of agricultural products sold \$1,000..	13 766 527	150 994	13 917 522	.6	1.1
Farms by type of organization:					
Individual or family	170 640	33 455	204 095	1.4	16.4
Partnership, corporation, or other	23 661	358	24 019	2.5	1.5
Farms by tenure of operator:					
Full owners	118 441	27 474	145 915	1.8	18.8
Part owners	54 892	3 136	58 028	1.2	5.4
Tenants	20 968	3 203	24 171	2.6	13.3
Operators by place of residence:					
On farm operated	112 567	17 837	130 404	1.5	13.7
Not on farm operated	68 340	4 840	73 180	1.5	6.6
Not reported	13 394	11 136	24 530	5.6	45.4
Operators by principal occupation:					
Farming	83 284	9 043	92 327	1.5	9.8
Other	111 017	24 770	135 787	1.7	18.2
Operators by sex:					
Male	173 889	28 386	202 275	1.3	14.0
Female.....	20 412	5 427	25 839	3.8	21.0
Operators by race:					
White	186 439	29 575	216 014	1.3	13.7
Black and other races	7 862	4 238	12 100	7.6	35.0
Operators by years on present farm:					
4 years or less	29 596	7 499	37 095	2.4	20.2
5 years or more	134 234	15 812	150 046	1.2	10.5
Not reported	30 471	10 502	40 973	4.1	25.6

¹ See text in Appendix C regarding coverage estimates.